UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For The Quarterly Period Ended March 31, 2013

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

1-5759

65-0949535 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation incorporation or organization)

Commission File Number

100 S.E. Second Street Miami, Florida 33131 305/579-8000

(Address, including zip code and telephone number, including area code, of the principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. x Yes o No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). x Yes o No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

x Large accelerated filer

o Accelerated filer

o Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) o Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. o Yes x No

At May 1, 2013, Vector Group Ltd. had 89,898,411 shares of common stock outstanding.

VECTOR GROUP LTD. FORM 10-Q

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION Item 1. Vector Group Ltd. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited): Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 <u>2</u> Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2012 3 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2012 4 Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Deficiency for the three months ended March 31, 2013 5 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2012 <u>6</u> Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements 7 Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations <u>40</u> Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk <u>52</u> Item 4. Controls and Procedures <u>52</u> PART II. OTHER INFORMATION Item 1. Legal Proceedings <u>52</u> Item 1A. Risk Factors <u>52</u> Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds <u>53</u> Item 6. Exhibits 54 **SIGNATURE** <u>56</u>

VECTOR GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) Unaudited

ASPLYImage: Application of the section of		March 31, 2013			December 31, 2012
Carnet action of the sector	ASSETS:		2010		2012
Cale and cale acquisition in a latter for	Current assets:				
Internet seconds - stade, and invatories19,2910.00000000000000000000000000000000000		s	351,902	\$	405,855
Account proceeds of any set of a		-	,	*	69,984
Inexasia99.00Definement Laces94.00Income La rescable, etc.94.00Kather Carlos2.000Charter carlos actives9.000Definement and constants9.000Dependent encominated encom					11,247
before income					,
lock rescardab, art					
Residuation2.4892.480Other conversaois			· · ·		,
Observations5996 501 1000000000000000000000000000000000000					
Tail and equipment, not602 2000609 000Properly, just and equipment, not31.13131.332Insentent or conditional state basinesses, net22.73313.329Lang-term investments accound for der et equip mendol7,8476.434Insentents on some consolder equip mendol7,8476.434Insentents in some consolder equip mendol7,8476.434Insentents in some consolder equip mendol7,1879.434Insentents in some consolder equip mendon51.1039.012Properly plasmin accound for der et equip mendol10.751110.01511Properly plasmin accound for der et equip mendol10.751110.02511Properly plasmin accound for der et equip mendol51.1039.12437Total accis51.000,751110.0251110.02511Properly plasmin accis10.132910.235110.06,731Cancer algorith der and far Mater Statement Agreement44.73212.2321Cancer algorith der ander far Mater Statement Agreement44.73212.2321Cancer algorith der ander far Mater Statement Agreement44.73212.2321Cancer algorith der ander far Mater Statement Agreement10.14412.2321Cancer algorith der ander der Mater Statement Agreement11.14412.334Inder entral allo and explasming accound and explasming accound and explasming accound accound and poil taxe payable, and13.79113.6791Cancer algorith der and explasming, less cancer payable10.14427.235113.6791Internet accound and poil taxe payable, and13.679			,		
Investment in consoldated real extra boursess, net22,713213,291Long-core investments accound for the depth ynchol7.0777.06.433Investment is accound for the depth ynchol7.0189.019,201Retricted asses9.0189.019,201Retricted asses1015,0110.019,201Dertred incom taxe1015,0110.019,201Pagel parsion costs1015,0110.019,201Total asses1015,0110.019,201Dertred incom taxe1015,0110.019,201Nota asses1015,0110.019,201Total asses1015,0110.019,201Total asses1015,0110.019,201Total asses1015,0110.019,201Corrent parsion of notes payle and long-term deft10.020,201Corrent parsion of notes payle bandfis20.02010.020Account payle10.019,20110.020Account payle10.019,20110.020Account payle10.019,20110.020Account payle10.019,20110.020Account payle10.019,20110.020Account payle10.019,20110.020Account payle10.020,20110.020Account payle10.020,20110.020Account payle10.020,20110.020,201Account payle10.020,20110.020,201Account payle10.020,20110.020,201Account payle10.020,20110.020,201Account payle10.020,20110.020,201Account payle payle, payle, payle, pa					639,056
Lang-tern investments accounted for at out16.36716.367Lang-tern investments accounted for and/re equity method7.076.083Nextencia in on-consolidad real status baseses9.0939.093Nextencia in on-consolidad real status baseses9.0121.0121Derival reaction investments accounted for and/real status baseses9.01219.0121Derival reaction investments accounted for and/real status bases1.01211.0121Derival reaction investments accounted for and/real status bases1.01211.0121Counter particle and/real status bases1.01211.01211.0121Counter particle and/real status bases1.01211.01211.0121Counter particle and/real status bases1.01211.01211.0121Counter particle and/real status bases1.01211.01211.0121Accord and/real status bases1.01211.01211.0121Lander and/real status bases1.01211.01211.0121Accord and/real status bases1.01211.01211.0121Lander and/real status bases1.01211.01211.0121Lander and/real status bases1.01211.01211.0121Lander and/real status bases1.01211.01211.0121Lander and/real status bases </td <td>Property, plant and equipment, net</td> <td></td> <td>58,181</td> <td></td> <td>57,153</td>	Property, plant and equipment, net		58,181		57,153
Loeperm investments accounted for under the equity method7.64Investments in one consolidated rule base isome consolidated rule state basenesses119.000Rectriced asses51.1526.445Integral persone costs107.5116.455Deferent income tases51.1527.552Other starts5100.5125.552Total asses51.066,7015.552Current portion of notep sepsyle and long-term defer6.7527.523Current portion of notep sepsyle and long-term defer6.7527.523Current portion of notep sepsyle and long-term defer6.2342.234Current portion of notep sepsyle and long-term defer6.7537.624Current portion of notep sepsyle and long-term defer6.7536.608Accounts portion for phyless benefits2.2342.242Current portion of notep sepsyle and long-term defer6.7536.608Accound sepsyle and proget tases posted for any poste base for any posten defer6.7536.608Accound sepsyle and tase posted for any posten defer7.7547.854I defered income taxes7.7547.8547.954Accound sepsyle and tase posted for any posten defer7.7547.854I defered income taxes7.7547.8547.954I defered income taxes7.7547.8547.954I defered income taxes7.7547.8547.854I defered income taxes7.7547.8547.854I defered income taxes7.7547.8547.854I	Investment in consolidated real estate businesses, net		22,733		13,295
name119.603119.203Restricted sarcts9.7859.793Deferend recome faces107.511100.511Integrable asset107.511100.511Topial socies3.1222.12.237Topial socies51.066.702Topial socies51.066.702Correct labilities51.066.702Correct labilities44.1022.22.232Accounting payable and long-term debt44.1022.22.232Accounting payable and long-term debt7.0006.009Accounting payable and long-term debt7.12.133.06.200Accounting payable6.01.306.200Accounting payable and long-term debt7.12.133.06.200Accounting payable and long-term debt7.21.503.06.200Accounting payable and long-term debt7.21.503.06.200Accounting term debt and long-term debt7.21.503.06.200 </td <td>Long-term investments accounted for at cost</td> <td></td> <td>16,367</td> <td></td> <td>16,367</td>	Long-term investments accounted for at cost		16,367		16,367
Restricted assets9,7859,797Defermationem taxes1,11824,011Intengible assets1,13231,132,737Other assets1,132,7375,132,737Total asset1,132,7371,132,737Contrast protocols1,132,7371,132,737Contrast protocols1,132,7371,132,737Contrast protocols1,132,7371,132,737Contrast protocols1,132,7371,132,737Contrast protocols of futer proporties due by a protocol of futer protocols of futer	Long-term investments accounted for under the equity method		7,367		6,432
Restricted assets9,7859,797Defermationem taxes1,11824,011Intengible assets1,13231,132,737Other assets1,132,7375,132,737Total asset1,132,7371,132,737Contrast protocols1,132,7371,132,737Contrast protocols1,132,7371,132,737Contrast protocols1,132,7371,132,737Contrast protocols1,132,7371,132,737Contrast protocols of futer proporties due by a protocol of futer protocols of futer	Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses		119,603		119,219
Defered income taxes31,18249,142Ising ble sost107,51170,513Propud pension costo33,23270,533Other assts510,080,731ILMILITIS AND STOCKIOLDERS DEFICIENCY.510,080,731Current position fontics payable and long-term debt517,0245Current position fontics payable and long-term debt2,5242,2323Current position fontics payable and long-term debt2,5242,2323Current position fontics payable and long-term debt2,5242,2323Accuront portion of employse benefits2,5242,5243Accuront portion de quenter the Maters Settlement Agreement2,5242,5243Accuront portion de quenter the Maters Settlement Agreement2,5242,5243Accuront portion de quenter the Maters Settlement Agreement17,4448,1824Income taxes payable17,4448,18241,6243Accuront portion de quenter the Maters Settlement Agreement17,4448,1824Deferred income taxes17,4448,18241,6243Deferred income taxes11,1542,12241,6239Accuro de motives11,1542,12441,6239Deferred income taxes11,1542,12441,6239Deferred income taxes11,0541,05531,0553Tor tar de deferred and other obligations, less carrent portion12,0241,0553Deferred income taxes11,0541,05531,0553Deferred income taxes1,05531,05531,0553De	Restricted assets				9,792
namphe aset 107,511 107,511 breast pression costs 13,529 15,839 Other asets 37,011 55,890 Total asets 1,066,792 5 1,066,792 Current pression costs 1,066,792 5 1,066,792 Current pression costs prayable and long-term debt 5 1,062,792 2 2,027,72 Current pression date under the Master Settlement Agreement 6,61,72 2 2,027,72 Current pression date under the Master Settlement Agreement 6,61,72 2 2,028,22 Accounts payable 6,01,72 2 2,028,22 2 2,028,22 Accounts payable 1,03,93 2,021,41 1,03,73 2,024,41 1,03,73 2,024,41 1,03,73 2,024,41 1,03,73 2,024,41 1,03,73 2,024,41 1,03,73 2,024,41 1,03,73 2,024,41 1,024 1,027,92 3,03,73 2,024,41 1,024 1,023 1,025,13 1,024 1,024,91 1,024,91 1,024,91 1,024,91 1,024,91 1,024,91 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
Prepaid pension costs13.32912.870Other assts2.701155.80Total assot2.006.700510.86.700Current portion of nots payable and long-term debt64.71277.970Current portion of enalyses benefits2.8242.8232.824Account portion of enalyses benefits2.9242.8242.824Account portion of enalyses benefits2.9242.8242.824Account portion of enalyses benefits2.9242.8242.824Account portion of enalyses benefits2.9242.8242.824Account portion of enalyses benefits2.9242.9242.924Account portion of enalyses benefits2.9241.9241.924Account portion of enalyses benefits2.9241.9241.924Account portion of enalyses benefits2.9241.9241.924Account portion of enalyses benefits2.9241.9241.924Account portion of enalyses benefits2.9241.9241.924Deformat income taxes2.9241.9241.9241.924Total current liabilitis2.9241.9241.9241.924					
Other sasts 57,91 55,89 Total sasts 5 1,066,70 5 1,066,70 LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIENCY:					,
Total asses \$ 1,066,709 \$ 1,066,709 LIABLITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIENCY: Current liabilities: 3,07,77 S 1,066,781 \$ 3,07,77 Current provino of mesp spable and long-term deft 64,732 \$ 3,06,77 Current provino of menpoyee benefits 2,834 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
Current Habilities s 17,62 17,62 17,62 17,62 17,62 17,62 17,62 16,69 17,62 17,62 16,69		\$		\$	1,086,731
Current Habilities s 17,62 17,62 17,62 17,62 17,62 17,62 17,62 16,69 17,62 17,62 16,69	I JABII ITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIENCY				
Current portion of notes payable and long-term debtS17.624S36.773Current payments due under the Master Settlement Agreement64,72222.297Current portion of employee beeffis2.8242.824Accounts payable7.9090.609Accounts payable6.3306.626Accound spayable, net7.2152.0414Lifigation accounds2.8241.474Deferred income taxes payable, net1.36792.544Other current liabilities13.6792.544Other current liabilities180.6561.955,155Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations, less current portion627.9961.958,994Fir value of durivatives embedded within convertible debt1.90,981.90,98Non-current employee beeffis1.90,981.90,981.958,994Defered income taxes1.90,981.958,9941.958,994Fir value of durivatives embedded within convertible debt1.90,981.90,981.958,994Defered income taxes1.90,981.958,9941.958,994Par value S100 per share, 10.000,000 shares authorized9.058,273 shares issued and 98,989,411 shares outstanding9.998,9943.998,994Additional pairie in equilia in equilia					
Current payments due under the Master Settlement Agreement64,73232,277Current portion of emplayee benefits2,8242,824Accounts payable7,0506,069Accrued promotional expenses17,34818,737Incone taxes payable6,3306,266Accrued promotional expenses7,21520,0441Litigation accruals2,481,470Deferred incone taxes13,16922,540Other current liabilities13,67925,410Other current liabilities130,659155,157Note payable, long-term dots and other obligations, less current portion62,7096566,947Star payable, long-term dots and other obligations, less current portion62,7096566,947Note-current liabilities110,983109,533155,157Note-current employee benefits46,01945,860165,353Deferred income taxes11,09810,953155,157Note-current employee benefits11,09810,953155,157Note-current employee benefits11,09810,953155,157Current employee benefits11,05311,68111,683Litigation accruals11,68111,68311,68311,683Litigation accruals11,51711,51511,55511,555Countineents12,52111,55511,55511,55511,55511,55511,55511,55511,55511,55511,55511,55511,55511,55511,55511,55511,55511,55511,555<		\$	17 624	\$	36 778
Current portion of employee benefits 2,824 2,824 Accounts payable 7,090 6,090 Accrued promotional expenses. 17,348 18,730 Income taxes payable 6,330 6,262 Accrued excise and payroll taxes payable, net 7,215 20,414 Litigation accruals 7,215 20,414 Litigation accruals 248 1,470 Other current liabilities 13,679 25,410 Other current liabilities 13,679 25,410 Other current liabilities 12,221 16,809 Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations, less current portion 26,796 58,65,94 Pair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt 10,90,797 172,122 Note-current employee benefits 46,019 45,865 Deferred income taxes 11,09,83 10,865 Deferred income taxes 11,09,83 10,865 Deferred income taxes 11,09,03 10,855 Deferred income taxes 11,09,03 11,865 Deferred income taxes 11,05,044 11,656		Ψ	,	Ψ	,
Accounts payable 7,090 6,099 Accounts payable 17,348 18,730 Income taxes payable 6,330 6,666 Accrued promotional expenses 7,215 20,449 Litigation accruats 7,215 20,449 Deferred income taxes 31,194 27,295 Accrued interest 31,679 25,544 Other current fiabilities 13,679 25,844 Total current tiabilities 180,656 195,155 Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations, less current portion 262,996 258,6494 Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt 169,079 172,123 Non-current employee benefits 46,019 458,66 Deferred income taxes 110,983 109,553 Total current is adic under the Master Settlement Agreement 37,218 25,653 Liggition accruals 1,681 1,465 Other liabilities 1,175,064 1,165,982 Total labilities 1,123 1,853 Total labilities 1,233 1,855 <					
Accrued promotional expenses 17,348 18,734 Income taxes payable 6,330 6,260 Accrued excise and payroll taxes payable, net 7,215 20,414 Litigation accruals 248 1,47,92 Deferent income taxes 31,679 25,410 Oble current liabilities 11,679 25,410 Total current liabilities 12,281 16,809 Total current liabilities 12,281 16,809 Total current liabilities 122,81 16,809 Total current liabilities 169,079 172,125 None-current employee benefits 169,079 172,125 Non-current employee benefits 162,031 169,059	· · · · ·				
Income taxes payable 6.330 6.266 Accrued excise and payroll taxes payable, net 7.215 2.04,19 Litigation accruals 2.48 1.470 Deferred income taxes 31,194 27.295 Accrued interest 113,679 2.54,40 Other current liabilities 12.281 16,891 Total current liabilities 12.281 16,891 Total current liabilities 180,565 195,155 Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations, less current portion 627,996 586,844 Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt 196,107 172,125 Non-current employee benefits 46.019 455,655 Deferred income taxes 110,893 10,993 Differ di licome taxes 11,075,04 1,655,855,273 Other liabilities 1,223 1,455,855 Total labilities 1,233 1,455,855 Commitmed taxe outsingenesis 1,165,994 - Stockholders' deficiency: - - - Preferred stock, par value \$1,00 per share, 10,000,000 shares autho					
Accrued excise and payroll taxes payable, net 7,215 20,419 Litigation accruals 248 1,470 Deferred income taxes 31,194 27,295 Accrued interest 13,679 25,410 Other current liabilities 12,281 16,899 Total current liabilities 12,281 16,899 Non-current embloyce benefits 627,996 586,944 Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt 199,079 172,125 Non-current embloyce benefits 46,019 453,669 Deferred income taxes 110,983 109,535 Payments due under the Master Settlement Agreement 37,218 52,658 Litigation accruals 1,681 1,865 Litigation accruals 1,681 1,865 Commitments and contingencies 1,175,064 1,6598 Stockholders' deficiency: - - - Preferred stock, par value \$100 per share, 10,000,000 shares authorized, 93,658,273 shares issued and 89,898,411 and 89,898 8,989 8,989 Additional paid-in capital - - -			,		
Litigation accruals 248 1,47 Deferred income taxes 31,194 27,293 Accrued interest 13,679 25,440 Other current liabilities 12,281 16,689 Total current liabilities 180,565 195,155 Notes payable, long-term dobt and other obligations, less current portion 262,7996 58,694 Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt 169,079 172,125 Non-current employee benefits 46,019 45,860 Deferred income taxes 110,983 109,532 Payments due under the Master Settlement Agreement 37,218 52,635 Itigation accruals 1,153,664 116,598 Other liabilities 1,175,064 1,165,982 Total liabilities 1,175,064 1,165,982 Commitments and contingencies 16,000,000 shares authorized, 93,658,273 and 93,658,273 an					
Deferred income taxes 31,194 27,295 Accrued interest 13,679 25,410 Other current liabilities 12,281 16,899 Total current liabilities 12,281 16,899 Total current liabilities 180,565 195,155 Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations, less current portion 627,996 586,944 Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt 169,079 172,123 Non-current employce benefits 46,019 45,860 Deferred income taxes 110,983 109,533 Payments due under the Master Settlement Agreement 37,218 52,653 Litigation accruals 1,681 1,860 Other liabilities 1,253 1,857 Total liabilities 1,175,064 1,165,982 Commitments and contingencies					,
Accrued interest 13,679 25,411 Other current liabilities 12,281 16,899 Total current liabilities 180,555 195,155 Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations, less current portion 627,996 586,944 Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt 169,079 172,121 Non-current employee benefits 46,019 45,866 Deferred income taxes 110,983 100,933 Phyments due under the Master Settlement Agreement 37,218 52,626 Quert liabilities 1,523 1,855 Total liabilities 1,523 1,855 Total liabilities 1,175,064 1,165,982 Commitments and contingencies - - Stockholer's deficiency: - - Preferred stock, par value \$1.00 per share, 150,000,000 shares authorized, 93,658,273 and 93,658,273 shares issued and 89,898,411 and 89,899 8,988 Additional paid-in capital - - - Accumulated deficit (101,977) (161,107 (161,107) Accumulated other comprehensive loss (12,857)	-				
Other current liabilities 12,281 16,891 Total current liabilities 180,565 195,155 Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations, less current portion 627,996 586,940 Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt 169,079 172,123 Non-current employee benefits 46,019 45,860 Deferred income taxes 110,983 109,533 Payments due under the Master Settlement Agreement 37,218 52,633 Litigation accruals 1,681 1,860 Other liabilities 1,523 1,857 Total liabilities 1,175,064 1,165,982 Commitments and contingencies 1 1,175,064 1,165,982 Stockholders' deficiency: - - - - Preferred stock, par value \$1.00 per share, 150,000,000 shares authorized, 93,658,273 shares issued and 89,898,411 and 89,898 8,989 8,989 8,989 Additional paid-in capital - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -			,		
Total current liabilities 180,565 195,155 Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations, less current portion 627,996 586,944 Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt 169,079 172,123 Non-current employee benefits 46,019 45,860 Deferred income taxes 110,983 109,532 Payments due under the Master Settlement Agreement 37,218 52,638 Litigation accruals 1,681 1,860 Other liabilities 1,523 1,855 Total liabilities 1,523 1,855 Commitments and contingencies 1,175,064 1,165,982 Stockholders' deficiency: - - Preferred stock, par value \$1.00 per share, 10,000,000 shares authorized, 93,658,273 shares issued and 89,898,411 and 89,898 8,989 8,989 Additional paid-in capital - - - Accumulated deficit (101,977) (65,111 Accumulated deficit (102,651 (12,857) (12,857) Total liabilities 1,904 - -					
Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations, less current portion 627,996 586,940 Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations, less current portion 627,996 586,940 Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt 169,079 172,123 Non-current employee benefits 46,019 458,601 Deferred income taxes 110,983 109,533 Payments due under the Master Settlement Agreement 37,218 52,633 Litigation accruals 1,681 1,862 Other liabilities 1,523 1,855 Total liabilities 1,175,064 1,165,983 Commitments and contingencies - - Stockholders' deficiency: - - Preferred stock, par value \$1.00 per share, 150,000,000 shares authorized, 93,658,273 and 93,658,273 shares issued and 89,898,411 and 89,898 8,988 Additional paid-in capital - - Accumulated deficit (101,977) (65,110 Accumulated other comprehensive loss (12,857) (12,857) Total Vector Group Ltd. stockholders' deficiency (10,026) (12,857) Non-controlling					
Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt 169,079 172,125 Non-current employee benefits 46,019 45,866 Deferred income taxes 110,983 109,535 Payments due under the Master Settlement Agreement 37,218 52,635 Litigation accruals 1,681 1,866 Other liabilities 1,523 1,857 Total liabilities 1,175,064 1,165,982 Commitments and contingencies 1 1,165,982 Stockholders' deficiency: - - Preferred stock, par value \$1.00 per share, 10,000,000 shares authorized, 93,658,273 and 93,658,273 shares issued and 89,898,411 and 89,898 8,989 Additional paid-in capital - - Accumulated deficit (101,977) (65,110 Accumulated deficit (101,977) (65,110 Less: 3,759,862 shares of common stock in treasury, at cost (12,857) (12,857) Total Vector Group Ltd. stockholders' deficiency (110,199) (79,252) Non-controlling interest 1,904 -					
Non-current employee benefits 46,019 45,860 Deferred income taxes 110,983 109,532 Payments due under the Master Settlement Agreement 37,218 52,633 Litigation accruals 1,681 1,862 Other Habilities 1,523 1,852 Total liabilities 1,175,064 1,165,982 Commitments and contingencies 1 1 Stockholders' deficiency: - - Preferred stock, par value \$1.00 per share, 150,000,000 shares authorized, 93,658,273 shares issued and 89,898,411 and 8,898 8,988 Additional paid-in capital - - Accumulated deficit (101,977) (65,110 Accumulated other comprehensive loss (4,354) (102,667) Less: 3,759,862 shares of common stock in treasury, at cost (12,857) (12,857) Total Vector Group Ltd. stockholder's deficiency (101,99) (79,252) Non-controlling interest 1,904 -			,		
Deferred incrematizes 110,983 100,533 Payments due under the Master Settlement Agreement 37,218 52,633 Litigation accruals 1,681 1,862 Other liabilities 1,523 1,855 Total liabilities 1,175,064 1,165,983 Commitments and contingencies 1 1 Stockholders' deficiency: - - Preferred stock, par value \$1.00 per share, 10,000,000 shares authorized, 93,658,273 shares issued and 89,898,411 and 89,898 8,989 Additional paid-in capital - - Accumulated deficit (101,977) (65,110 Accumulated deficit (101,977) (65,110 Less: 3,759,862 shares of common stock in treasury, at cost (12,857) (12,857) Total Vector Group Ltd. stockholders' deficiency (110,199) (79,252) Non-controlling interest 1,904 -					
Payments due under the Master Settlement Agreement $37,218$ $52,639$ Litigation accruals $1,681$ $1,681$ $1,862$ Other liabilities $1,523$ $1,523$ $1,523$ Total liabilities $1,523$ $1,175,064$ $1,165,982$ Commitments and contingencies $1,223$ $1,175,064$ $1,165,982$ Stockholders' deficiency: $ -$ Preferred stock, par value \$1.00 per share, 10,000,000 shares authorized $ -$ Common stock, par value \$0.10 per share, 150,000,000 shares authorized, 93,658,273 and 93,658,273 shares issued and 89,898,411 and $89,898,411$ shares outstanding $8,989$ $8,989$ Additional paid-in capital $ -$ Accumulated deficit(101,977)(65,110)Accumulated other comprehensive loss $(4,354)$ (10,268)Less: $3,759,862$ shares of common stock in treasury, at cost(12,857)(12,857)Total Vector Group Ltd. stockholders' deficiency(110,199)(79,252)Non-controlling interest $1,904$ $-$					45,860
Litigation accruals1,6811,862Other liabilities1,5231,523Total liabilities1,175,0641,165,983Commitments and contingenciesStockholders' deficiency:Preferred stock, par value \$1.00 per share, 10,000,000 shares authorized, 93,658,273 and 93,658,273 shares issued and 89,898,411 and 89,898,411 shares outstanding8,9898,988Additional paid-in capitalAccumulated deficit(101,977)(65,110Accumulated other comprehensive loss(12,857)Total Vector Group Ltd. stockholders' deficiency(110,199)(79,252)Non-controlling interest1,904	Deferred income taxes		110,983		109,532
Other liabilities1,5231,857Total liabilities1,175,0641,165,983Commitments and contingenciesStockholders' deficiency:Preferred stock, par value \$1.00 per share, 10,000,000 shares authorized, 93,658,273 and 93,658,273 shares issued and 89,898,411 and 89,898,411 shares outstandingAdditional paid-in capitalAccumulated deficit(101,977)(65,110Accumulated other comprehensive lossLess: 3,759,862 shares of common stock in treasury, at cost(12,857)(12,857)Total Vector Group Ltd. stockholders' deficiencyNon-controlling interest1,904	Payments due under the Master Settlement Agreement		37,218		52,639
Total liabilities1,175,0641,165,982Commitments and contingenciesStockholders' deficiency:Preferred stock, par value \$1.00 per share, 10,000,000 shares authorized——Common stock, par value \$0.10 per share, 150,000,000 shares authorized, 93,658,273 shares issued and 89,898,411 and 89,898,411 shares outstanding8,9898,989Additional paid-in capital——Accumulated deficit(101,977)(65,110Accumulated other comprehensive loss(4,354)(10,268Less: 3,759,862 shares of common stock in treasury, at cost(12,857)(12,857)Total Vector Group Ltd. stockholders' deficiency1,904—	Litigation accruals		1,681		1,862
Commitments and contingenciesStockholders' deficiency:Preferred stock, par value \$1.00 per share, 10,000,000 shares authorizedCommon stock, par value \$0.10 per share, 150,000,000 shares authorized, 93,658,273 and 93,658,273 shares issued and 89,898,411 and 89,898,411 shares outstandingAdditional paid-in capitalAccumulated deficitAccumulated deficitAccumulated other comprehensive lossLess: 3,759,862 shares of common stock in treasury, at costTotal Vector Group Ltd. stockholders' deficiencyNon-controlling interest1,904	Other liabilities				1,857
Stockholders' deficiency: Preferred stock, par value \$1.00 per share, 10,000,000 shares authorized 93,658,273 and 93,658,273 shares issued and 89,898,411 and 89,898,411 shares outstanding 8,989 8,989 Additional paid-in capital - - - Accumulated deficit (101,977) (65,110) Accumulated other comprehensive loss (110,268) (10,268) Less: 3,759,862 shares of common stock in treasury, at cost (12,857) (12,857) Total Vector Group Ltd. stockholders' deficiency (110,199) (79,252) Non-controlling interest 1,904 -			1,1/5,064		1,165,983
Preferred stock, par value \$1.00 per share, 10,000,000 shares authorized——Common stock, par value \$0.10 per share, 150,000,000 shares authorized, 93,658,273 and 93,658,273 shares issued and 89,898,411 and 89,898,411 shares outstanding8,9898,989Additional paid-in capital———Accumulated deficit(101,977)(65,110)Accumulated other comprehensive loss(4,354)(10,268)Less: 3,759,862 shares of common stock in treasury, at cost(12,857)(12,857)Total Vector Group Ltd. stockholders' deficiency(110,199)(79,252)Non-controlling interest1,904—					
Common stock, par value \$0.10 per share, 150,000,000 shares authorized, 93,658,273 and 93,658,273 shares issued and 89,898,411 and 89,898,411 shares outstanding8,9898,989Additional paid-in capitalAccumulated deficit(101,977)(65,110Accumulated other comprehensive loss(4,354)(10,268Less: 3,759,862 shares of common stock in treasury, at cost(12,857)(12,857)Total Vector Group Ltd. stockholders' deficiency(110,199)(79,252Non-controlling interest1,904-					
Accumulated deficit (101,977) (65,110 Accumulated other comprehensive loss (4,354) (10,268 Less: 3,759,862 shares of common stock in treasury, at cost (12,857) (12,857) Total Vector Group Ltd. stockholders' deficiency (110,199) (79,252) Non-controlling interest 1,904	Common stock, par value \$0.10 per share, 150,000,000 shares authorized, 93,658,273 and 93,658,273 shares issued and 89,898,411 and		8,989		8,989
Accumulated other comprehensive loss(4,354)(10,268)Less: 3,759,862 shares of common stock in treasury, at cost(12,857)(12,857)Total Vector Group Ltd. stockholders' deficiency(110,199)(79,252)Non-controlling interest1,904-	Additional paid-in capital		—		—
Accumulated other comprehensive loss(4,354)(10,268Less: 3,759,862 shares of common stock in treasury, at cost(12,857)(12,857)Total Vector Group Ltd. stockholders' deficiency(110,199)(79,252)Non-controlling interest1,904			(101,977)		(65,116)
Less: 3,759,862 shares of common stock in treasury, at cost (12,857) (12,857) Total Vector Group Ltd. stockholders' deficiency (110,199) (79,252) Non-controlling interest 1,904	Accumulated other comprehensive loss				(10,268)
Total Vector Group Ltd. stockholders' deficiency(110,199)(79,252Non-controlling interest1,904-					(12,857)
Non-controlling interest1,904					(79,252)
1100.47.0 177.10	Total stockholders' deficiency		(108,295)		(79,252)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

VECTOR GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) Unaudited

		Three Months Ended March 31,			
		2013		2012	
Revenues*	\$	240,402	\$	257,606	
Expenses:					
Cost of goods sold*		172,956		200,181	
Operating, selling, administrative and general expenses		24,350		23,979	
Operating income		43,096		33,446	
Other income (expenses):					
Interest expense		(33,376)		(26,252)	
Loss on extinguishment of debt		(21,458)			
Change in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt		3,049		(21,057)	
Equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses		481		2,863	
Equity loss on long-term investments		(23)		(114)	
Gain on sale of investment securities available for sale		5,406		_	
Other, net		809		(68)	
Loss before provision for income taxes		(2,016)		(11,182)	
Income tax benefit		(335)		(3,492)	
Net loss		(1,681)		(7,690)	
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to non-controlling interest					
Net loss attributed to Vector Group Ltd.	<u>\$</u>	(1,681)	\$	(7,690)	
Per basic common share:					
Net loss applicable to common shares attributed to Vector Group Ltd.	\$	(0.02)	\$	(0.09)	
Per diluted common share:					
Net loss applicable to common shares attributed to Vector Group Ltd.	<u>\$</u>	(0.02)	\$	(0.09)	
Cash distributions and dividends declared per share	\$	0.40	\$	0.38	

* Revenues and Cost of goods sold include excise taxes of \$108,911 and \$121,925, respectively.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

VECTOR GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

Unaudited

	Three Months Ended March 31			nded
		2013		2012
Net loss	\$	(1,681)	\$	(7,690)
Net unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities available for sale:				
Change in net unrealized gains (losses)		14,038		(11,244)
Net unrealized gains reclassified into net income		(5,406)		_
Net unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities available for sale		8,632	_	(11,244)
Net unrealized gains on long-term investments accounted for under the equity method:				
Change in net unrealized gains		958		3,047
Net unrealized gains reclassified into net income		_		_
Net unrealized gains on long-term investments accounted for under the equity method		958		3,047
Net change in forward contracts		15		15
Net change in pension-related amounts		351		870
Other comprehensive income (loss)		9,956		(7,312)
Income tax effect on:				
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities		(5,700)		4,565
Net unrealized gains reclassified into net income on investment securities		2,195		
Change in unrealized long-term investments		(389)		(1,237)
Net unrealized gains reclassified into net income on long-term investments		—		—
Forward contracts		(6)		(6)
Pension-related amounts		(142)		(353)
Income tax (provision) benefit on other comprehensive income (loss)		(4,042)		2,969
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax		5,914		(4,343)
Comprehensive income (loss)		4,233	-	(12,033)
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to non-controlling interest				_
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Vector Group Ltd.	\$	4,233	\$	(12,033)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

VECTOR GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIENCY (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) Unaudited

	Vector Group Ltd. Deficiency								
			Additional		Accumulated Other				
	Commor	1 Stock	Paid-In	Accumulated	Comprehensive	Treasury	Non- controlling		
	Shares	Amount	Capital	Deficit	(Loss) Income	Stock	Interest	Total	
Balance, December 31, 2012	89,898,411	\$ 8,989	\$ —	\$ (65,116)	\$ (10,268)	\$ (12,857)	\$ —	\$ (79,252	2)
Net loss	_	_	_	(1,681)	—	_	_	(1,681	i)
Change in net loss and prior service cost, net of income taxes	_	_	_	_	209	_	_	209)
Forward contract adjustments, net of income taxes	_		_	_	9	_	_	9	
Unrealized gain on long-term investment securities accounted for under the equity method, net of income taxes	_	_	_		569	_	_	569	¢
Change in net unrealized gain on investment securities, net of income taxes	_	_	_	_	8,338	_	_	8,338	3
Net unrealized gains reclassified into net income, net of income taxes	_	_	_	_	(3,211)	_	_	(3,211	l)
Unrealized gain on investment securities, net of income taxes	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	5,127	7
Total other comprehensive income	_		_	_	_	_	_	5,914	1
Total comprehensive income	—		—	—	_	—	—	4,233	3
Distributions and dividends on common stock	—		(569)	(35,180)	_	—	—	(35,749))
Stock based compensation	_	—	569	—	_	_	—	569	÷
Contributions to non-controlling interest							1,904	1,904	1
Balance as of March 31, 2013	89,898,411	\$ 8,989	\$	\$ (101,977)	\$ (4,354)	\$ (12,857)	\$ 1,904	\$ (108,295	5)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

VECTOR GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) Unaudited

	Three Months End March 31, 2013		Three Months Ended March 31, 2012		
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$ (9	917) \$	40,576		
Cash flows from investing activities:					
Sale of investment securities	6,	582	—		
Purchase of investment securities	(5,	000)	—		
Purchase of long-term investments		—	(5,000)		
Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses	(1,	354)	(25)		
Investments in consolidated real estate businesses	(7,	617)	—		
Distributions from non-consolidated real estate businesses		_	740		
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance policies		(68)	(472)		
Decrease in restricted assets		7	1,286		
Issuance of notes receivable		—	(43)		
Proceeds from sale of fixed assets		_	11		
Capital expenditures	(3,	557)	(2,243)		
Net cash used in investing activities	(11,	007)	(5,746)		
Cash flows from financing activities:					
Proceeds from debt issuance	450,	000	9,870		
Deferred financing costs	(11,	447)	(150)		
Repayments of debt	(416,	904)	(11,526)		
Borrowings under revolver	214,	762	236,595		
Repayments on revolver	(233)	591)	(258,064)		
Dividends and distributions on common stock	(35,	749)	(32,975)		
Proceeds from exercise of employee stock options		_	44		
Tax benefit of employee stock options exercised		_	4		
Net cash used in financing activities	(33,	029)	(56,202)		
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(53)	953)	(21,372)		
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	405,	355	240,923		
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 351,	902 \$	219,551		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

(a) <u>Basis of Presentation</u>:

The condensed consolidated financial statements of Vector Group Ltd. (the "Company" or "Vector") include the accounts of VGR Holding LLC ("VGR Holding"), Liggett Group LLC ("Liggett"), Vector Tobacco Inc. ("Vector Tobacco"), Liggett Vector Brands LLC ("Liggett Vector Brands"), New Valley LLC ("New Valley") and other less significant subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Liggett and Vector Tobacco are engaged in the manufacture and sale of cigarettes in the United States. New Valley is engaged in the real estate business and is seeking to acquire additional operating companies and real estate properties.

The accompanying unaudited, interim condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and, in management's opinion, contain all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring items, necessary for a fair statement of the results for the periods presented. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The consolidated results of operations for interim periods should not be regarded as necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the entire year.

Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2012 financial information to conform to the 2013 presentation.

(b) <u>Distributions and Dividends on Common Stock:</u>

The Company records distributions on its common stock as dividends in its condensed consolidated statement of stockholders' equity to the extent of retained earnings and accumulated paid-in capital. Any amounts exceeding retained earnings are recorded as a reduction to additional paid-in capital. Any amounts then exceeding accumulated paid-in capital are recorded as an increase to accumulated deficit.

(c) <u>Earnings Per Share</u> ("EPS"):

Information concerning the Company's common stock has been adjusted to give retroactive effect to the 5% stock dividend paid to Company stockholders on September 28, 2012. All per share amounts and references to share amounts have been updated to reflect the retrospective effect of the stock dividends.

Net income for purposes of determining basic and diluted EPS was as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,				
	2013		2012		
Net loss attributable to Vector Group Ltd.	\$ (1,681)	\$	(7,690)		
Income attributable to participating securities	—		—		
Net loss available to common stockholders	\$ (1,681)	\$	(7,690)		



(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

Basic and diluted EPS were calculated using the following shares:

	Three Mon	ths Ended
	March	n 31,
	2013	2012
Weighted-average shares for basic and fully diluted EPS	86,822,475	83,013,566

The following stock options, non-vested restricted stock and shares issuable upon the conversion of convertible debt were outstanding during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 but were not included in the computation of diluted EPS because the effect was anti-dilutive.

	Three Months Ended March 31,				
	2013		2012		
Number of stock options	 512,819		499,257		
Weighted-average exercise price	\$ 13.18	\$	12.95		
Weighted-average shares of non-vested restricted stock	 18,936		402,522		
Weighted-average expense per share	\$ 15.01	\$	11.05		
Weighted-average number of shares issuable upon conversion of debt	26,962,402		18,180,400		
Weighted-average conversion price	\$ 15.98	\$	14.11		

(d) <u>Fair Value of Derivatives Embedded within Convertible Debt</u>:

The Company has estimated the fair market value of the embedded derivatives based principally on the results of a valuation model. The estimated fair value of the derivatives embedded within the convertible debt is based principally on the present value of future dividend payments expected to be received by the convertible debt holders over the term of the debt. The discount rate applied to the future cash flows is estimated based on a spread in the yield of the Company's debt when compared to risk-free securities with the same duration; thus, a readily determinable fair market value of the embedded derivatives is not available. The valuation model assumes future dividend payments by the Company and utilizes interest rates and credit spreads for secured to unsecured debt, unsecured to subordinated debt and subordinated debt to preferred stock to determine the fair value of the Company's stock price. The range of estimated fair market values of the Company's embedded derivatives was between \$166,386 and \$171,852. The Company recorded the fair market value of its embedded derivatives at the midpoint of the inputs at \$169,079 as of March 31, 2013. At December 31, 2012, the range of estimated fair market values of the Company's embedded derivatives was between \$169,424 and \$174,909. The Company recorded the fair market value of its embedded derivatives at the midpoint of the inputs at \$172,128 as of December 31, 2012. The estimated fair market value of its embedded derivatives at the midpoint of the inputs at \$172,128 as of December 31, 2012. The estimated fair market value of its embedded derivatives at the midpoint of the inputs at \$172,128 as of December 31, 2012. The estimated fair market value of the Company's embedded derivatives at the midpoint of the inputs at \$172,128 as of December 31, 2012. The estimated fair market value of the Company's embedded derivatives based on future market conditions. (See Note 4.)

(e) <u>New Accounting Pronouncements</u>:

In July 2012, the FASB issued amendments to the indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment guidance which provides an option for companies to use a qualitative approach to test indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment if certain conditions are met. The amendments are effective for annual and interim indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment tests performed



(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012 (early adoption was permitted). The implementation of the amended accounting guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued amendments to the accounting guidance for presentation of comprehensive income to improve the reporting of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income. The amendments do not change the current requirements for reporting net income or other comprehensive income, but do require an entity to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. In addition, an entity is required to present, either on the face of the statement where the net income is presented or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income but only if the amount reclassified is required under GAAP to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting period. For other amounts that are not required under GAAP to be reclassified in their entirety to net income, an entity is required to cross-reference to other disclosures required under GAAP that provide additional detail about these amounts. For public companies, these amendments are effective prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The implementation of the amended accounting guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

2. **INVENTORIES**

Inventories consist of:

	Ν	Aarch 31, 2013	D	December 31, 2012
Leaf tobacco	\$	53,389	\$	59,130
Other raw materials		4,573		3,151
Work-in-process		551		210
Finished goods		67,046		64,396
Inventories at current cost		125,559		126,887
LIFO adjustments		(27,057)		(26,495)
	\$	98,502	\$	100,392

The Company has a leaf inventory management program whereby, among other things, it is committed to purchase certain quantities of leaf tobacco. The purchase commitments are for quantities not in excess of anticipated requirements and are at prices, including carrying costs, established at the commitment date. At March 31, 2013, Liggett had tobacco purchase commitments of approximately \$32,976.

All of the Company's inventories at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 have been reported under the LIFO method.

3. LONG-TERM INVESTMENTS

Long-term investments accounted for at cost:

		March 31, 2013				December 31, 2012			
	(Carrying Fair Value Value		Carrying Fair		Carrying		g Fair	
					Value		Value		
Investment partnerships	\$	15,540	\$	17,548	\$	15,540	\$	16,962	
Real estate partnership		827		1,361		827		1,391	
Investments accounted for at cost	\$	16,367	\$	18,909	\$	16,367	\$	18,353	

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

Long-term investment partnerships accounted for under the equity method:

	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012		
Investment partnerships	\$ 7,367	\$	6,432	

The Company recorded an equity loss of \$23 and \$114 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, related to the limited partnership.

The carrying value of the investment was approximately \$7,367 as of March 31, 2013 which approximated the investment's fair value. The carrying value of the investments was \$6,432 as of December 31, 2012 which approximated the investments' fair value.

4. NOTES PAYABLE, LONG-TERM DEBT AND OTHER OBLIGATIONS

Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations consist of:

	March 31, 2013	Ι	December 31, 2012
Vector:			
7.75% Senior Secured Notes due 2021	\$ 450,000	\$	—
11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015, net of unamortized discount of \$0 and \$408	—		414,592
6.75% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Note due 2014, net of unamortized discount of \$28,349 and \$30,383*	21,651		19,617
6.75% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Exchange Notes due 2014, net of unamortized discount of \$41,104 and \$45,038*	66,426		62,492
3.875% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debentures due 2026, net of unamortized discount of \$36,058 and \$36,107*	7,164		7,115
7.5% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Notes due 2019, net of unamortized discount of \$160,490 and \$161,795*	69,510		68,205
Liggett:			
Revolving credit facility	10,502		29,430
Term loan under credit facility	4,105		4,179
Equipment loans	16,022		17,810
Other	240		284
Total notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations	 645,620		623,724
Less:			
Current maturities	(17,624)		(36,778)
Amount due after one year	\$ 627,996	\$	586,946

* The fair value of the derivatives embedded within the 6.75% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Note (\$10,363 at March 31, 2013 and \$11,682 at December 31, 2012, respectively), the 6.75% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Exchange Notes (\$19,644 at March 31, 2013 and \$22,146 at December 31, 2012, respectively), the 3.875% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debentures (\$41,263 at March 31, 2013 and \$39,714 at December 31, 2012, respectively), and the 7.50% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debentures (\$97,809 at March 31, 2013 and \$39,714 at December 31, 2012, respectively), and the 7.50% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debentures (\$97,809 at March 31, 2013 and \$39,586 at December 31, 2012, respectively), is separately classified as a derivative liability in the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Credit Facility - Liggett:

In February 2012, Liggett and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association ("Wells Fargo") renewed the \$50,000 credit facility (the "Credit Facility"). The Credit Facility is collateralized by all inventories and receivables of Liggett and a mortgage on

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

its manufacturing facility. The Credit Facility expires on March 8, 2015; provided that Liggett may terminate the Credit Facility prior to March 8, 2015 at any time by giving at least 30 days prior written notice to Wells Fargo, and Wells Fargo may, at Well Fargo's option, terminate the Credit Facility at any time upon the occurrence and during the continuance of an Event of Default.

Prime rate loans under the Credit Facility bear interest at a rate equal to the prime rate of Wells Fargo and Eurodollar rate loans bear interest at a rate equal to 2.0% more than Wells Fargo's adjusted Eurodollar rate. The Credit Facility contains covenants that provide that Liggett's earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, as defined under the Credit Facility, on a trailing twelve month basis, shall not be less than \$100,000 if Liggett's Excess Availability, as defined under the Credit Facility, is less than \$20,000. The covenants also require that annual Capital Expenditures, as defined under the Credit Facility (before a maximum carryover amount of \$2,500), shall not exceed \$15,000 during any fiscal year.

Term Loan under Credit Facility - Liggett:

In February 2012, Wells Fargo amended and restated the existing \$5,600 term loan (the "Term Loan") made to 100 Maple LLC ("Maple"), a subsidiary of Liggett, within the commitment under the Credit Facility. In connection with the amendment and restatement the maturity date of the Term Loan was extended to March 1, 2015 and the outstanding principal amount was paid down to \$4,425. The Term Loan bears an interest rate equal to 1.75% more than Wells Fargo's adjusted Eurodollar rate. Monthly payments of \$25 are due under the Term Loan from March 1, 2012 to February 1, 2015 (\$885 in total) with the balance of \$3,540 due at maturity on March 1, 2015.

The Term Loan is collateralized by the existing collateral securing the Credit Facility, including, without limitation, certain real property owned by Maple. The Term Loan did not increase the \$50,000 borrowing amount of the Credit Facility, but did increase the outstanding amounts under the Credit Facility by the amount of the term loan and proportionately reduces the maximum borrowing availability under the Credit Facility.

As of March 31, 2013, a total of \$14,607 was outstanding under the revolving and term loan portions of the credit facility. Availability as determined under the facility was approximately \$35,393 based on eligible collateral at March 31, 2013.

7.75% Senior Secured Notes due 2021 - Vector:

In February 2013, the Company issued \$450,000 of its 7.75% Senior Secured Notes due 2021 in a private offering to qualified institutional investors in accordance with Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. The aggregate net proceeds from the issuance of the 7.75% Senior Secured Notes were approximately \$438,250 after deducting offering expenses. The Company used the net proceeds of the issuance for a cash tender offer announced on January 29, 2013, with respect to any and all of its outstanding 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015. The Company retired \$336,315 of the 11% Senior Secured Notes at a premium of 104.292%, plus accrued and unpaid interest on February 12, 2013. The remaining \$78,685 of the 11% Senior Secured Notes were called and retired on March 14, 2013 at a redemption price of 103.667% plus accrued and unpaid interest.

The 7.75% Senior Secured Notes pay interest on a semi-annual basis at a rate of 7.75% per year and mature on February 15, 2021. The Company may redeem some or all of the 7.75% Senior Secured Notes at any time prior to February 15, 2016 at a make-whole redemption price. On or after February 15, 2016 the Company may redeem some or all of the 7.75% Senior Secured Notes at a premium that will decrease over time, plus accrued and unpaid interest and liquidated damages, if any, to the redemption date. At any time prior to February 15, 2016, the Company may on any one or more occasions redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the 7.75% Senior Secured Notes with the net proceeds of certain equity offerings at 107.75% of the aggregate principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest and liquidated damages, if any, to the redemption date. In the event of a change of control, as defined in the indenture governing the 7.75% Senior Secured Notes, each holder of the 7.75% Senior Secured Notes may require the Company to repurchase some or all of its 7.75% Senior Secured Notes at a repurchase price equal to 101% of their aggregate principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest.

The 7.75% Senior Secured Notes are guaranteed subject to certain customary automatic release provisions on a joint and several basis by all of the 100% owned domestic subsidiaries of the Company that are engaged in the conduct of the Company's cigarette businesses. (See Note 10.) In addition, some of the guarantees are collateralized by second priority



(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

or first priority security interests in certain collateral of some of the subsidiary guarantors, including their common stock, pursuant to security and pledge agreements.

In connection with the issuance of the 7.75% Senior Secured Notes, the Company entered into a Registration Rights Agreement. The Company agreed to consummate a registered exchange offer for the 7.75% Senior Secured Notes within 360 days after the date of the initial issuance of the 7.75% Senior Secured Notes. On April 26, 2013, the Company commenced an offer to exchange the 7.75% Senior Secured Notes for an equal amount of newly issued 7.75% Senior Secured Notes due 2021. The new 7.75% Senior Secured Notes have substantially the same terms as the original 7.75% Senior Secured Notes, except that the new 7.75% Senior Secured Notes have been registered under the Securities Act.

The indenture contains covenants that restrict the payment of dividends by the Company if the Company's consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, as defined in the indenture, for the most recently ended four full quarters is less than \$75,000. The indenture also restricts the incurrence of debt if the Company's Leverage Ratio and its Secured Leverage Ratio, as defined in the indenture, exceed 3.0 and 1.5, respectively. The Company's Leverage Ratio is defined in the indenture as the ratio of the Company's and the guaranteeing subsidiaries' total debt less the fair market value of the Company's cash, investments in marketable securities and long-term investments to Consolidated EBITDA, as defined in the indenture. The Company's Secured Leverage Ratio is defined in the indenture in the same manner as the Leverage Ratio, except that secured indebtedness is substituted for indebtedness.

11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015 - Vector:

On January 29, 2013, the Company announced a cash tender offer with respect to any and all of its outstanding \$415,000 principal amount of its 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015. The Company retired \$336,315 of the 11% Senior Secured Notes at a premium of 104.292%, plus accrued and unpaid interest on February 12, 2013. The remaining \$78,685 of the 11% Senior Secured Notes were called and retired on March 14, 2013 at a redemption price of 103.667% plus accrued and unpaid interest. The Company recorded a loss on the extinguishment of the debt of \$21,458 for the three months ended March 31, 2013. The loss included premium and tender costs of \$17,820 and non-cash interest expense of \$3,638 related to the write-off of net unamortized debt discount and deferred finance costs.

Non-cash Interest Expense - Vector:

Components of non-cash interest expense are as follows:

	Three Months Ended			
	March 31,			
	2013 20			
Amortization of debt discount	\$ 7,348	\$	3,437	
Amortization of deferred finance costs	597		710	
Loss on extinguishment of 11% Senior Secured Notes	3,638		_	
	\$ 11,583	\$	4,147	

Fair Value of Notes Payable and Long-term Debt:

	March 31, 2013			Decembe	r 31, 2012		
		Carrying		Fair	 Carrying		Fair
		Value		Value	Value		Value
Notes payable and long-term debt	\$	645,620	\$	1,016,876	\$ 623,724	\$	963,672

Notes payable and long-term debt are carried on the condensed balance sheet at amortized cost. The fair value determination disclosed above would be classified as Level 2 under the fair value hierarchy disclosed in Note 8 if such liabilities were

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

recorded on the condensed balance sheet at fair value. The estimated fair value of the Company's notes payable and long-term debt has been determined by the Company using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies including the evaluation of the Company's credit risk as described in Note 1. However, considerable judgment is required to develop the estimates of fair value and, accordingly, the estimate presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amount that could be realized in a current market exchange.

5. CONTINGENCIES

Tobacco-Related Litigation:

Overview

Since 1954, Liggett and other United States cigarette manufacturers have been named as defendants in numerous direct, third-party and purported class actions predicated on the theory that cigarette manufacturers should be liable for damages alleged to have been caused by cigarette smoking or by exposure to secondary smoke from cigarettes. New cases continue to be commenced against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers. The cases have generally fallen into the following categories: (i) smoking and health cases alleging personal injury brought on behalf of individual plaintiffs ("Individual Actions"); (ii) lawsuits by individuals requesting the benefit of the *Engle* ruling ("*Engle* progeny cases"); (iii) smoking and health cases primarily alleging personal injury or seeking court-supervised programs for ongoing medical monitoring, as well as cases alleging the use of the terms "lights" and/or "ultra lights" constitutes a deceptive and unfair trade practice, common law fraud or violation of federal law, purporting to be brought on behalf of a class of individual plaintiffs ("Class Actions"); and (iv) health care cost recovery actions brought by various foreign and domestic governmental plaintiffs and non-governmental plaintiffs seeking reimbursement for health care expenditures allegedly caused by cigarette smoking and/or disgorgement of profits ("Health Care Cost Recovery Actions"). As new cases are commenced, the costs associated with defending these cases and the risks relating to the inherent unpredictability of litigation continue to increase. The future financial impact of the risks and expenses of litigation are not quantifiable at this time. For the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, Liggett incurred legal expenses and other litigation costs totaling approximately \$1,609 and \$1,961, respectively.

Litigation is subject to uncertainty and it is possible that there could be adverse developments in pending or future cases. Management reviews on a quarterly basis with counsel all pending litigation and evaluates whether an estimate can be made of the possible loss or range of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome. An unfavorable outcome or settlement of pending tobacco-related or other litigation could encourage the commencement of additional litigation. Damages awarded in some tobacco-related litigation can be significant.

Bonds. Although Liggett has been able to obtain required bonds or relief from bonding requirements in order to prevent plaintiffs from seeking to collect judgments while adverse verdicts are on appeal, there remains a risk that such relief may not be obtainable in all cases. This risk has been reduced given that a majority of states now limit the dollar amount of bonds or require no bond at all. To obtain stays on judgments pending current appeals, Liggett has secured approximately \$6,306 in bonds as of March 31, 2013.

In June 2009, Florida amended its existing bond cap statute by adding a \$200,000 bond cap that applies to all *Engle* progeny cases in the aggregate and establishes individual bond caps for individual *Engle* progeny cases in amounts that vary depending on the number of judgments in effect at a given time. Plaintiffs, in several cases, have challenged the constitutionality of the bond cap statute, but to date, the courts that have addressed the issue have upheld the constitutionality of the statute. The plaintiffs have appealed some of these rulings and the Florida Supreme Court, after granting review of the *Hall* decision denying plaintiff's challenge to the bond cap statute, subsequently dismissed the matter prior to the scheduled argument as moot. No federal court has yet addressed the issue. Although the Company cannot predict the outcome of such challenges, it is possible that the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations, and cash flows could be materially affected by an unfavorable outcome of such challenges.

Accounting Policy. The Company and its subsidiaries record provisions in their consolidated financial statements for pending litigation when they determine that an unfavorable outcome is probable and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. At the present time, while it is reasonably possible that an unfavorable outcome in a case may occur, except as disclosed in this Note 5: (i) management has concluded that it is not probable that a loss has been incurred in any of the pending tobacco-

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

related cases; or (ii) management is unable to estimate the possible loss or range of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome of any of the pending tobacco-related cases and, therefore, management has not provided any amounts in the consolidated financial statements for unfavorable outcomes, if any. Legal defense costs are expensed as incurred.

Cautionary Statement About Engle Progeny Cases. Judgments have been entered against Liggett and other industry defendants in *Engle* progeny cases. Several of the judgments have been affirmed on appeal. To date, the United States Supreme Court has declined to review these cases. At March 31, 2013, Liggett and the Company were defendants in 3,057 state and 1,466 federal *Engle* progeny cases. As of March 31, 2013, 12 *Engle* progeny cases involving Liggett resulted in verdicts, exclusive of the *Lukacs* case, discussed below. Eight verdicts were returned in favor of the plaintiffs and four in favor of Liggett. Other cases have either been voluntarily dismissed by plaintiffs, dismissed by the court on summary judgment or a mistrial was declared. Excluding the *Lukacs* case, the compensatory verdicts against Liggett have ranged from \$1 to \$3,008. In certain cases, the judgments entered have been joint and several with other defendants. In two of the cases, punitive damages were awarded for \$1,000 and \$7,600. Since February 2009, when *Engle* progeny trials commenced, 74 state cases and 12 federal cases have been tried to a verdict. Based on the current rate of trials per year, it would require decades to resolve the remaining cases. Except as discussed in this Note 5, with respect to the six cases where an adverse verdict was entered against Liggett and which are currently on appeal, management is unable to estimate the possible loss or range of loss from the remaining *Engle* progeny cases as there are currently multiple defendants in each case and, in most cases, discovery has not occurred or is limited. As a result, the Company lacks information about whether plaintiffs are in fact *Engle* class members' claims are generally time-barred), the relevant smoking history, the nature of the alleged injury and the availability of various defenses, among other things. Further, plaintiffs typically do not specify their demand for damages. The Company believes that the process under which *Engle* progeny cases are tri

Although Liggett has generally been successful in managing litigation, litigation is subject to uncertainty and significant challenges remain, particularly with respect to the *Engle* progeny cases. There can be no assurances that Liggett's past litigation experience will be representative of future results. Judgments have been entered against Liggett in the past, in Individual Actions and *Engle* progeny cases, and several of those judgments were affirmed on appeal and satisfied by Liggett. Litigation is subject to many uncertainties. It is possible that the consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows of the Company could be materially adversely affected by an unfavorable outcome or settlement of certain pending smoking-related litigation. Liggett believes, and has been so advised by counsel, that it has valid defenses to the litigation pending against it, as well as valid bases for appeal of adverse verdicts. All such cases are, and will continue to be, vigorously defended. Liggett may, however, enter into settlement discussions in particular cases if it believes it is in its best interest to do so. In connection with the *Engle* progeny cases, Liggett has been receptive to opportunities to settle these cases, individually or on some aggregated basis, on terms it believes are economically favorable to Liggett and will continue to explore such opportunities. Recently, the federal court ordered the parties to engage in mediation to explore the prospect for a global resolution of the federal cases. As of March 31, 2013, Liggett (and in certain cases the Company), has settled 125 *Engle* progeny cases for approximately \$1,109, in the aggregate. If Liggett were able to resolve the *Engle* progeny cases can be resolved on an aggregated basis, nor can there be any assurances that Liggett's settlement experience to date will be representative of future results or intentions.

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

Individual Actions

As of March 31, 2013, there were 69 Individual Actions pending against Liggett and, in certain cases, the Company, where one or more individual plaintiffs allege injury resulting from cigarette smoking, addiction to cigarette smoking or exposure to secondary smoke and seek compensatory and, in some cases, punitive damages. These cases do not include *Engle* progeny cases or the approximately 100 individual cases pending in West Virginia state court as part of a consolidated action. The following table lists the number of Individual Actions, by state, that are pending against Liggett or the Company as of March 31, 2013:

State	Number of Cases
Florida	46
New York	9
Maryland	7
Louisiana	3
West Virginia	2
Missouri	1
Ohio	1

The plaintiffs' allegations of liability in cases in which individuals seek recovery for injuries allegedly caused by cigarette smoking are based on various theories of recovery, including negligence, gross negligence, breach of special duty, strict liability, fraud, concealment, misrepresentation, design defect, failure to warn, breach of express and implied warranties, conspiracy, aiding and abetting, concert of action, unjust enrichment, common law public nuisance, property damage, invasion of privacy, mental anguish, emotional distress, disability, shock, indemnity and violations of deceptive trade practice laws, the federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act ("RICO"), state RICO statutes and antitrust statutes. In many of these cases, in addition to compensatory damages, plaintiffs also seek other forms of relief including treble/multiple damages, medical monitoring, disgorgement of profits and punitive damages. Although alleged damages often are not determinable from a complaint, and the law governing the pleading and calculation of damages varies from state to state and jurisdiction to jurisdiction, compensatory and punitive damages have been specifically pleaded in a number of cases, sometimes in amounts ranging into the hundreds of millions and even billions of dollars.

Defenses raised in Individual Actions include lack of proximate cause, assumption of the risk, comparative fault and/or contributory negligence, lack of design defect, statute of limitations, equitable defenses such as "unclean hands" and lack of benefit, failure to state a claim and federal preemption.

Engle Progeny Cases

Engle Case. In May 1994, *Engle* was filed against Liggett and others in Miami-Dade County, Florida. The class consisted of all Florida residents who, by November 21, 1996, "have suffered, presently suffer or have died from diseases and medical conditions caused by their addiction to cigarette smoking." In July 1999, after the conclusion of Phase I of the trial, the jury returned a verdict against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers on certain issues determined by the trial court to be "common" to the causes of action of the plaintiff class. The jury made several findings adverse to the defendants including that defendants' conduct "rose to a level that would permit a potential award or entitlement to punitive damages." Phase II of the trial was a causation and damages trial for three of the class plaintiffs and a punitive damages trial on a class-wide basis before the same jury that returned the verdict in Phase I. In April 2000, the jury awarded compensatory damages of \$12,704 to the three class plaintiffs, to be reduced in proportion to the respective plaintiff's fault. In July 2000, the jury awarded approximately \$145,000,000 in punitive damages, including \$790,000 against Liggett.

In May 2003, Florida's Third District Court of Appeal reversed the trial court and remanded the case with instructions to decertify the class. The judgment in favor of one of the three class plaintiffs, in the amount of \$5,831, was overturned as time barred and the court found that Liggett was not liable to the other two class plaintiffs.

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

In July 2006, the Florida Supreme Court affirmed the decision vacating the punitive damages award and held that the class should be decertified prospectively, but determined that the following Phase I findings are entitled to res judicata effect in *Engle* progeny cases: (i) that smoking causes lung cancer, among other diseases; (ii) that nicotine in cigarettes is addictive; (iii) that defendants placed cigarettes on the market that were defective and unreasonably dangerous; (iv) that defendants concealed material information knowing that the information was false or misleading or failed to disclose a material fact concerning the health effects or addictive nature of smoking; (v) that defendants agreed to conceal or omit information regarding the health effects of cigarettes or their addictive nature with the intention that smokers would rely on the information to their detriment; (vi) that defendants sold or supplied cigarettes that were defective; and (vii) that defendants were negligent. The Florida Supreme Court decision also allowed former class members to proceed to trial on individual liability issues (using the above findings) and compensatory and punitive damage issues, provided they filed their individual lawsuits by January 2008. In December 2006, the Florida Supreme Court added the finding that defendants sold or supplied cigarettes that, at the time of sale or supply, did not conform to the representations made by defendants. In October 2007, the United States Supreme Court denied defendants' petition for writ of *certiorari*.

Pursuant to the Florida Supreme Court's July 2006 ruling in *Engle*, which decertified the class on a prospective basis, and affirmed the appellate court's reversal of the punitive damages award, former class members had until January 2008 in which to file individual lawsuits. As of March 31, 2013, Liggett and the Company are named defendants in 4,523 *Engle* progeny cases in both federal (1,466 cases) and state (3,057 cases) courts in Florida. These cases include approximately 5,712 plaintiffs. Other cigarette manufacturers are also named as defendants in these cases, although as a case proceeds, one or more defendants may ultimately be dismissed from the action. The number of state court *Engle* progeny cases may increase as multi-plaintiff cases continue to be severed into individual cases. The total number of plaintiffs may also increase as a result of attempts by existing plaintiffs to add additional parties. Although the Company was not named as a defendant in the *Engle* case, it has been named as a defendant in most of the *Engle* progeny cases where Liggett is named as a defendant.

As of March 31, 2013, the following Engle progeny cases have resulted in judgments against Liggett:

Date	Case Name	County	Net Compensatory Damages	Punitive Damages	Status
June 2002	Lukacs v. R.J. Reynolds	Miami-Dade	\$12,418	None	Affirmed by the Third District Court of Appeal. The Judgment was satisfied and the case is concluded.
August 2009	Campbell v. R.J. Reynolds	Escambia	\$156	None	Affirmed by the First District Court of Appeal. The US Supreme Court declined to review the case. Liggett satisfied the judgment in April 2012 and the case is concluded.
March 2010	Douglas v. R.J. Reynolds	Hillsborough	\$1,350	None	Affirmed by the Second District Court of Appeal. In March 2013, the Florida Supreme Court affirmed. Liggett intends to file a petition for writ of certiorari to the US Supreme Court.
April 2010	Clay v. R.J. Reynolds	Escambia	\$349	\$1,000	Affirmed by the First District Court of Appeal. The US Supreme Court declined to review the case. Liggett satisfied the judgment in December 2012 and the case is concluded.
April 2010	Putney v. R.J. Reynolds	Broward	\$3,008	None	On appeal to the Fourth District Court of Appeal. Oral argument occurred on September 27, 2012. A decision is pending.
April 2011	Tullo v. R.J. Reynolds	Palm Beach	\$225	None	On appeal to the Fourth District Court of Appeal. Oral argument is set for June 19, 2013.
January 2012	Ward v. R.J. Reynolds	Escambia	\$1	None	A joint and several judgment for \$487 was entered against Liggett and RJR. On appeal to the First District Court of Appeal.
May 2012	Calloway v. R.J. Reynolds	Escambia	\$1,947	\$7,600	A joint and several judgment for \$16,100 was entered against all defendants. On appeal to the Fourth District Court of Appeal.
December 2012	Buchanan v. R.J. Reynolds	Leon	\$2,035	None	A joint and several judgment for \$5,500 was entered against Liggett and Philip Morris. On appeal to the First District Court of Appeal.

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

The Company's potential range of loss in the *Douglas, Putney, Tullo, Ward, Calloway* and *Buchanan* cases is between \$0 and \$16,166 in the aggregate, plus accrued interest and legal fees. In determining the range of loss, the Company considers potential settlements as well as future appellate relief. Except as disclosed elsewhere in this Note 5, the Company is unable to determine a range of loss related to the remaining *Engle* progeny cases. No amounts have been expensed or accrued in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for these cases. However, as cases proceed through the appellate process, the Company will consider accruals on a case-by-case basis if an unfavorable outcome becomes probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated.

Lukacs Case. In June 2002, the jury in a Florida state court action entitled Lukacs v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., awarded \$37,500 in compensatory damages, jointly and severally, in a case involving Liggett and two other cigarette manufacturers, which amount was subsequently reduced by the court. The jury found Liggett 50% responsible for the damages incurred by the plaintiff. The Lukacs case was the first case to be tried as an individual Engle progeny case, but was tried almost five years prior to the Florida Supreme Court's final decision in Engle. In November 2008, the court entered final judgment in the amount of \$24,835, plus interest from June 2002. In March 2010, the Third District Court of Appeal affirmed the decision, per curiam. Liggett satisfied its share of the judgment, including attorneys' fees and accrued interest, for \$14,361.

Federal Engle Progeny Cases. Three federal judges (in the *Merlob, B. Brown* and *Burr* cases) ruled that the findings in Phase I of the *Engle* proceedings could not be used to satisfy elements of plaintiffs' claims, and two of those rulings (*B. Brown* and *Burr*) were certified by the trial court for interlocutory review. The certification was granted by the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit and the appeals were consolidated (in February 2009, the appeal in *Burr* was dismissed for lack of prosecution). In July 2010, the Eleventh Circuit ruled that plaintiffs do not have an unlimited right to use the findings from the original *Engle* trial to meet their burden of establishing the elements of their claims at trial. Rather, plaintiffs may only use the findings to establish specific facts that they demonstrate with a reasonable degree of certainty were actually decided by the original *Engle* jury. The Eleventh Circuit remanded the case to the district court to determine what specific factual findings the *Engle* jury actually made. All federal cases were stayed pending review by the Eleventh Circuit. The stays were subsequently lifted in a number of cases. At present, Liggett is a defendant in thirteen of the active cases.

Appeals of Engle Progeny Verdicts. In December 2010, in the Martin case, a state court case against R.J. Reynolds, the First District Court of Appeal issued the first ruling by a Florida intermediate appellate court to address the *B. Brown* decision discussed above. The panel held that the trial court correctly construed the Florida Supreme Court's 2006 decision in *Engle* in instructing the jury on the preclusive effect of the Phase I *Engle* proceedings, expressly disagreeing with certain aspects of the *B. Brown* decision. In July 2011, the Florida Supreme Court declined to review the First District Court of Appeal's decision. In March 2012, the United States Supreme Court declined to review the *Martin* case, along with the *Campbell* case and two other *Engle* progeny cases. This decision could lead to other adverse rulings by state appellate courts.

In the *Waggoner* case, the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida directed the parties to brief the applicability of the *Engle* findings to all Middle District cases. Liggett and the Company are not defendants in *Waggoner*, but nonetheless, were directed to submit motions on the issues. In December 2011, the district court ruled that it was bound by *Martin* and *Jimmie Lee Brown* (discussed below) and that the application of the Phase I findings did not deprive defendants of any constitutional due process rights. The court ruled, however, that plaintiffs must establish legal causation to establish liability. With respect to punitive damages, the district court held that the plaintiffs could rely on the findings in support of their punitive damages claims but that, in addition, plaintiffs must demonstrate specific conduct by specific defendants, independent of the *Engle* findings, that satisfies the standards for awards of punitive damages. The *Waggoner* ruling will apply to all of the cases pending in the Middle District of Florida. The defendants are seeking review of the due process ruling by the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit. The *Waggoner* court declined to reach certain issues raised by Liggett and the Company and directed that their motion be re-filed in a case in which they are named as defendants. As a result, Liggett filed a motion in the *Young-McCray* case raising issues specific to Liggett. The court denied the motion and adopted the *Waggoner* ruling as to Liggett.

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

In *Jimmie Lee Brown*, a state court case against R.J. Reynolds, the trial court tried the case in two phases. In the first phase, the jury determined that the smoker was addicted to cigarettes that contained nicotine and that his addiction was a legal cause of his death, thereby establishing he was an *Engle* class member. In the second phase, the jury determined whether the plaintiff established legal cause and damages with regard to each of the underlying claims. The jury found in favor of plaintiff in both phases. In September 2011, the Fourth District Court of Appeal affirmed the judgment entered in plaintiff's favor and approved the trial court's procedure of bifurcating the trial. The Fourth District Court of Appeal agreed with *Martin* that individual post-*Engle* plaintiffs need not prove conduct elements as part of their burden of proof, but disagreed with *Martin* to the extent that the First District Court of Appeal only required a finding that the smoker was a class member to establish legal causation as to addiction and the underlying claims. The Fourth District Court of Appeal held that in addition to establishing class membership, *Engle* progeny plaintiffs must also establish legal causation and damages as to each claim asserted. In so finding, the Fourth District Court of Appeal's decision in *Jimmie Lee Brown* is in conflict with *Martin*. In *dicta*, the Fourth District Court of Appeal further voiced concern that the preclusive effect of the *Engle* findings violates the tobacco company defendants' due process rights and, in the special concurring opinion, the court emphasized that until the Florida Supreme Court gives trial courts guidance as to what it intended by its *Engle* decision, trial courts will continue to play "a form of legal poker." In September 2011, R.J. Reynolds filed a motion asking the Fourth District Court of Appeal to certify the case to the Florida Supreme Court for review. The motion was denied in October 2011.

In the *Rey* case, a state court *Engle* progeny case, the trial court entered final summary judgment on all claims in favor of the Company, Liggett and Lorillard based on what has been referred to in the *Engle* progeny litigation as the "Liggett Rule." The Liggett Rule stands for the proposition that a manufacturer cannot have liability to a smoker under any asserted claim if the smoker did not use a product manufactured by that particular defendant. The Liggett Rule is based on the entry of final judgment in favor of Liggett/Brooke Group in *Engle* on all of the claims asserted against them by class representatives Mary Farnan and Angie Della Vecchia, even though the Florida Supreme Court upheld, as *res judicata*, the generic finding that Liggett/Brooke Group engaged in a conspiracy to commit fraud by concealment. In September 2011, the Third District Court of Appeal affirmed in part and reversed in part holding that the defendants were entitled to summary judgment on all claims asserted against them other than the claim for civil conspiracy. Defendants' motions for rehearing were denied with regard to the Liggett Rule issues. Defendants sought further review by the Florida Supreme Court and on August 20, 2012, the petition for review was denied. In March 2012, the Fifth District Court of Appeal, in other progeny cases, followed the Third District Court of Appeal and reversed summary judgment on the conspiracy claims. Defendants have sought review by the Florida Supreme Court of these decisions.

In March 2012, in *Douglas*, the Second District Court of Appeal issued a decision affirming the judgment of the trial court in favor of the plaintiff and upholding the use of the *Engle* jury findings but certified to the Florida Supreme Court the question of whether granting *res judicata* effect to the *Engle* jury findings violates defendants' federal due process rights. In March 2013, the Florida Supreme Court affirmed the use of *Engle* jury findings and determined that there is no violation of the defendants' due process rights. The defendants intend to file a petition for writ of *certiorari* to the United States Supreme Court.

Liggett Only Cases. There are currently six cases pending where Liggett is the only remaining tobacco company defendant. These cases consist of three Individual Actions and three *Engle* progeny cases. There has been no recent activity in the three Individual Actions. Two of the *Engle* progeny cases, *Katz* and *Heavner*, are currently set for trial on January 6, 2014 and March 4, 2014, respectively. Cases where Liggett is the only defendant could increase substantially as a result of the *Engle* progeny cases.

In February 2009, in *Ferlanti v. Liggett Group*, an Individual Action, a Florida state court jury awarded compensatory damages to plaintiff and an \$816 judgment was entered by the court. That judgment was affirmed on appeal and was satisfied by Liggett. Liggett paid legal fees and accrued interest of \$1,231 in January 2013. Liggett previously accrued \$2,000 for the *Ferlanti* case.

Class Actions

As of March 31, 2013, there were four actions pending for which either a class had been certified or plaintiffs were seeking class certification, where Liggett is a named defendant, including one alleged price fixing case. Other cigarette manufacturers are also named in these actions.

Plaintiffs' allegations of liability in class action cases are based on various theories of recovery, including negligence, gross negligence, strict liability, fraud, misrepresentation, design defect, failure to warn, nuisance, breach of express and implied warranties, breach of special duty, conspiracy, concert of action, violation of deceptive trade practice laws and consumer

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

protection statutes and claims under the federal and state anti-racketeering statutes. Plaintiffs in the class actions seek various forms of relief, including compensatory and punitive damages, treble/multiple damages and other statutory damages and penalties, creation of medical monitoring and smoking cessation funds, disgorgement of profits, and injunctive and equitable relief.

Defenses raised in these cases include, among others, lack of proximate cause, individual issues predominate, assumption of the risk, comparative fault and/or contributory negligence, statute of limitations and federal preemption.

In *Smith v. Philip Morris*, a Kansas state court case filed in February 2000, plaintiffs allege that cigarette manufacturers conspired to fix cigarette prices in violation of antitrust laws. Plaintiffs seek to recover an unspecified amount in actual and punitive damages. Class certification was granted in November 2001. In January 2012, the trial court heard oral argument on defendants' motions for summary judgment and in March 2012, the court granted the motions and dismissed plaintiffs' claims with prejudice. In July 2012, plaintiffs noticed an appeal. The appeal is pending.

In November 1997, in *Young v. American Tobacco Co.*, a purported personal injury class action was commenced on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated residents in Louisiana who, though not themselves cigarette smokers, are alleged to have been exposed to secondhand smoke from cigarettes which were manufactured by the defendants, and who suffered injury as a result of that exposure. The plaintiffs seek to recover an unspecified amount of compensatory and punitive damages. In October 2004, the trial court stayed this case pending the outcome of an appeal in another matter, which has been concluded. There has been no further activity in this case.

In February 1998, in *Parsons v. AC & S Inc.*, a case pending in West Virginia, a class was commenced on behalf of all West Virginia residents who allegedly have personal injury claims arising from exposure to cigarette smoke and asbestos fibers. The complaint seeks to recover \$1,000 in compensatory and punitive damages individually and unspecified compensatory and punitive damages for the class. The case is stayed as a result of the December 2000 bankruptcy of three of the defendants.

Although not technically a class action, in *In Re: Tobacco Litigation (Personal Injury Cases)*, a West Virginia state court consolidated approximately 750 individual smoker actions that were pending prior to 2001 for trial of certain common issues. In January 2002, the court severed Liggett from the trial of the consolidated action, which commenced in June 2010 and ended in a mistrial. The rescheduled trial commenced in October 2011 and it, too, ended in a mistrial. A new trial commenced on April 15, 2013. If the case were to proceed against Liggett, it is estimated that Liggett could be a defendant in approximately 100 of the individual cases.

Class action suits have been filed in a number of states against cigarette manufacturers, alleging, among other things, that use of the terms "lights" and "ultra lights" constitutes unfair and deceptive trade practices. In December 2008, the United States Supreme Court, in *Altria Group v. Good*, ruled that the Federal Cigarette Labeling and Advertising Act did not preempt the state law claims asserted by the plaintiffs and that they could proceed with their claims under the Maine Unfair Trade Practices Act. The *Good* decision has resulted in the filing of additional "lights" class action cases in other states against other cigarette manufacturers. Although Liggett was not a defendant in the *Good* case, and is not currently a defendant in any other "lights" class actions, an adverse ruling or commencement of additional "lights" related class actions could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

In addition to the cases described above, numerous class actions remain certified against other cigarette manufacturers. Adverse decisions in these cases could have a material adverse affect on Liggett's sales volume, operating income and cash flows.

Health Care Cost Recovery Actions

As of March 31, 2013, there was one Health Care Cost Recovery Action pending against Liggett, *Crow Creek Sioux Tribe v. American Tobacco Company*, a South Dakota case filed in 1997, where the plaintiff seeks to recover damages based on various theories of recovery as a result of alleged sales of tobacco products to minors. The case is inactive. Other cigarette manufacturers are also named as defendants.

The claims asserted in health care cost recovery actions vary. Although, typically, no specific damage amounts are pled, it is possible that requested damages might be in the billions of dollars. In these cases, plaintiffs typically assert equitable claims that the tobacco industry was "unjustly enriched" by their payment of health care costs allegedly attributable to smoking and seek reimbursement of those costs. Relief sought by some, but not all, plaintiffs include punitive damages, multiple damages and other statutory damages and penalties, injunctions prohibiting alleged marketing and sales to minors, disclosure of research, disgorgement of profits, funding of anti-smoking programs, additional disclosure of nicotine yields, and payment of attorney and expert witness fees.

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

Other claims asserted include the equitable claim of indemnity, common law claims of negligence, strict liability, breach of express and implied warranty, breach of special duty, fraud, negligent misrepresentation, conspiracy, public nuisance, claims under state and federal statutes governing consumer fraud, antitrust, deceptive trade practices and false advertising, and claims under RICO.

Department of Justice Lawsuit. In September 1999, the United States government commenced litigation against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. The action sought to recover an unspecified amount of health care costs paid and to be paid by the federal government for lung cancer, heart disease, emphysema and other smoking-related illnesses allegedly caused by the fraudulent and tortious conduct of defendants, to restrain defendants and co-conspirators from engaging in alleged fraud and other allegedly unlawful conduct in the future, and to compel defendants to disgorge the proceeds of their unlawful conduct. Claims were asserted under RICO.

In August 2006, the trial court entered a Final Judgment against each of the cigarette manufacturing defendants, except Liggett. In May 2009, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia affirmed most of the district court's decision. The United States Supreme Court denied review. As a result, the cigarette manufacturing defendants, other than Liggett, are now subject to the trial court's Final Judgment which ordered the following relief: (i) an injunction against "committing any act of racketeering" relating to the manufacturing, marketing, promotion, health consequences or sale of cigarettes in the United States; (ii) an injunction against participating directly or indirectly in the management or control of the Council for Tobacco Research, the Tobacco Institute, or the Center for Indoor Air Research, or any successor or affiliated entities of each; (iii) an injunction against "making, or causing to be made in any way, any material false, misleading, or deceptive statement or representation or engaging in any public relations or marketing endeavor that is disseminated to the United States' public and that misrepresents or suppresses information concerning cigarettes"; (iv) an injunction against conveying any express or implied health message through use of descriptors on cigarette packaging or in cigarette advertising or promotional material, including "lights," "ultra lights," and "low tar," which the court found could cause consumers to believe one cigarette brand is less hazardous than another brand; (v) the issuance of "corrective statements" in various media regarding the adverse health effects of smoking, the addictiveness of smoking and nicotine, the lack of any significant health benefit from smoking "low tar" or "lights" cigarettes, defendants' manipulation of cigarette design to ensure optimum nicotine delivery and the adverse health effects of exposure to environmental tobacco smoke; (vi) the disclosure of defendants' public document websites and the production of all documents produced to the government or produced in any future court or administrative action concerning smoking and health; (vii) the disclosure of disaggregated marketing data to the government in the same form and on the same schedules as defendants now follow in disclosing such data to the Federal Trade Commission for a period of ten years; (viii) certain restrictions on the sale or transfer by defendants of any cigarette brands, brand names, formulas or cigarette business within the United States; and (ix) payment of the government's costs in bringing the action.

It is unclear what impact, if any, the Final Judgment will have on the cigarette industry as a whole. To the extent that the Final Judgment leads to a decline in industry-wide shipments of cigarettes in the United States or otherwise results in restrictions that adversely affect the industry, Liggett's sales volume, operating income and cash flows could be materially adversely affected.

Upcoming Trials

As of March 31, 2013, there were 30 *Engle* progeny cases scheduled for trial through March 31, 2014, where Liggett and/or the Company are named defendants. Trial in two *Engle* progeny cases, *LaMotte* and *Cohen*, are underway. There are additional cases against other cigarette manufacturers that are also scheduled for trial through March 31, 2014. Trial dates are, however, subject to change.

MSA and Other State Settlement Agreements

In March 1996, March 1997 and March 1998, Liggett entered into settlements of smoking-related litigation with 45 states and territories. The settlements released Liggett from all smoking-related claims made by those states and territories, including claims for health care cost reimbursement and claims concerning sales of cigarettes to minors.

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

In November 1998, Philip Morris, Brown & Williamson, R.J. Reynolds and Lorillard (the "Original Participating Manufacturers" or "OPMs") and Liggett (together with any other tobacco product manufacturer that becomes a signatory, the "Subsequent Participating Manufacturers" or "SPMs") (the OPMs and SPMs are hereinafter referred to jointly as the "Participating Manufacturers") entered into the Master Settlement Agreement (the "MSA") with 46 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, the United States Virgin Islands, American Samoa and the Northern Mariana Islands (collectively, the "Settling States") to settle the asserted and unasserted health care cost recovery and certain other claims of the Settling States. The MSA received final judicial approval in each Settling State.

As a result of the MSA, the Settling States released Liggett from:

- all claims of the Settling States and their respective political subdivisions and other recipients of state health care funds, relating to: (i) past conduct arising out of the use, sale, distribution, manufacture, development, advertising and marketing of tobacco products; (ii) the health effects of, the exposure to, or research, statements or warnings about, tobacco products; and
- all monetary claims of the Settling States and their respective subdivisions and other recipients of state health care funds relating to future conduct arising out of the use of, or exposure to, tobacco products that have been manufactured in the ordinary course of business.

The MSA restricts tobacco product advertising and marketing within the Settling States and otherwise restricts the activities of Participating Manufacturers. Among other things, the MSA prohibits the targeting of youth in the advertising, promotion or marketing of tobacco products; bans the use of cartoon characters in all tobacco advertising and promotion; limits each Participating Manufacturer to one tobacco brand name sponsorship during any 12-month period; bans all outdoor advertising, with certain limited exceptions; prohibits payments for tobacco product placement in various media; bans gift offers based on the purchase of tobacco products without sufficient proof that the intended recipient is an adult; prohibits Participating Manufacturers from licensing third parties to advertise tobacco brand names in any manner prohibited under the MSA; and prohibits Participating Manufacturers from using as a tobacco product brand name any nationally recognized non-tobacco brand or trade name or the names of sports teams, entertainment groups or individual celebrities.

The MSA also requires Participating Manufacturers to affirm corporate principles to comply with the MSA and to reduce underage use of tobacco products and imposes restrictions on lobbying activities conducted on behalf of Participating Manufacturers. In addition, the MSA provides for the appointment of an independent auditor to calculate and determine the amounts of payments owed pursuant to the MSA.

Under the payment provisions of the MSA, the Participating Manufacturers are required to make annual payments of \$9,000,000 (subject to applicable adjustments, offsets and reductions). These annual payments are allocated based on unit volume of domestic cigarette shipments. The payment obligations under the MSA are the several, and not joint, obligation of each Participating Manufacturer and are not the responsibility of any parent or affiliate of a Participating Manufacturer.

Liggett has no payment obligations under the MSA except to the extent its market share exceeds a market share exemption of approximately 1.65% of total cigarettes sold in the United States. Vector Tobacco has no payment obligations under the MSA except to the extent its market share exceeds a market share exceeds a market share exceeds of approximately 0.28% of total cigarettes sold in the United States. Liggett and Vector Tobacco's domestic shipments accounted for 3.5% of the total cigarettes sold in the United States in 2012. If Liggett's or Vector Tobacco's market share exceeds their respective market share exemption in a given year, then on April 15 of the following year, Liggett and/or Vector Tobacco, as the case may be, must pay on each excess unit an amount equal (on a per-unit basis) to that due from the OPMs for that year. On December 31, 2012, Liggett paid \$105,000 of its \$138,900 2012 MSA payment obligation. On April 15, 2013, Liggett and Vector Tobacco paid an additional \$30,800, of which approximately \$18,700 was paid into the disputed payments account. Liggett disputed, and withheld, approximately \$3,100.

Certain MSA Disputes

NPM Adjustment. In March 2006, an economic consulting firm selected pursuant to the MSA determined that the MSA was a "significant factor contributing to" the loss of market share of Participating Manufacturers, to non-participating manufacturers, for 2003. This is known as the "NPM Adjustment." The economic consulting firm subsequently rendered the same decision with respect to 2004 and 2005. In March 2009, a different economic consulting firm made the same determination for 2006. As a result, the manufacturers are entitled to potential NPM Adjustments to each of their 2003 - 2006 MSA payments. The Participating Manufacturers are also entitled to potential NPM Adjustments to their 2007 - 2012 payments pursuant to agreements entered into between the OPMs and the Settling States under which the OPMs agreed to

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

make certain payments for the benefit of the Settling States, in exchange for which the Settling States stipulated that the MSA was a "significant factor contributing to" the loss of market share of Participating Manufacturers for each of those years. A Settling State that has diligently enforced its qualifying escrow statute in the year in question may be able to avoid application of the NPM Adjustment to the payments made by the manufacturers for the benefit of that Settling State.

For 2003 through 2012, Liggett and Vector Tobacco, as applicable, disputed that they owed the Settling States the NPM Adjustments as calculated by the Independent Auditor. As permitted by the MSA, Liggett and Vector Tobacco withheld payment or paid into a disputed payment account the amounts associated with these NPM Adjustments.

Notwithstanding provisions in the MSA requiring arbitration, litigation was filed in 49 Settling States regarding whether the application of the NPM Adjustment for 2003 was to be determined through litigation or arbitration. These actions related to the potential NPM Adjustment for 2003, which the independent auditor under the MSA previously determined to be as much as \$1,200,000 for all Participating Manufacturers. All but one of the 48 courts that decided the issue ruled that the 2003 NPM Adjustment dispute was arbitrable. One court, the Montana Supreme Court, ruled that Montana's claim of diligent enforcement must be litigated. The United States Supreme Court denied *certiorari* with respect to that opinion. In June 2012, Montana and the Participating Manufacturers reached an agreement that the Participating Manufacturers will not contest Montana's diligent enforcement for 2003.

In response to a proposal from the OPMs and many of the SPMs, 45 of the Settling States, representing approximately 90% of the allocable share of the Settling States, entered into an agreement providing for a nationwide arbitration of the dispute with respect to the NPM Adjustment for 2003. Because states representing more than 80% of the allocable share signed the agreement, signing states will receive a 20% reduction of any 2003 NPM adjustment awarded in the arbitration. In June 2010, the three person arbitration panel was selected. In November 2011, the Participating Manufacturers advised the arbitration panel that they were not contesting diligent enforcement of 16 Settling States. Substantive hearings commenced in April 2012. To date, evidentiary hearings have been held for all but three of the Settling States that have not signed the "term sheet" described below. The remaining hearings should be completed by June 2013. There can be no assurance that Liggett or Vector Tobacco will receive any adjustment as a result of these proceedings, other than as described below in connection with the settlement reached with certain Settling States.

Effective December 17, 2012, the Participating Manufacturers entered into a "term sheet" with 20 Settling States setting out terms for settlement of the NPM Adjustment for 2003 through 2012 and addressing the NPM Adjustment mechanism for those states for future years. Certain non-signatory states objected to the settlement. In March 2013, the arbitration panel entered a Stipulated Partial Settlement and Award which, among other things, overrules the objections of the non-signatory states and directed the independent auditor to implement the terms of the term sheet effective with the April 15, 2013 MSA payments. Certain non-signatory states are attempting to vacate the settlement award by filing state court actions. Although certain terms of the settlement were implemented by the independent auditor on April 15, 2013, no assurance can be given as to the ultimate outcome of the non-signatory states' challenges.

As a result of the settlement, on April 15, 2013 Liggett and Vector Tobacco paid approximately \$1,695 plus accrued interest of \$1,583 and released \$12,913 from the disputed payments accounts to the 20 signatory states. Liggett and Vector Tobacco also recognized income of approximately \$5,600 through an adjustment of the accrual and elimination of the non-current receivable of \$6,542 from "Other Assets" on the Company's consolidated balance sheet at March 31, 2013. The remaining NPM Adjustment accrual of \$29,159 at March 31, 2013 pertains to the amounts withheld from 2004 through 2010 relating to the dispute with the non-signatory states. Approximately \$17,600 remains in the disputed payments accounts relating to the dispute with these non-signatory states.

"Gross" v. "Net" Calculations. In October 2004, the independent auditor notified Liggett and all other Participating Manufacturers that their payment obligations under the MSA, dating from the agreement's execution in late 1998, had been recalculated using "net" units, rather than "gross" units (which had been used since 1999).

Liggett objected to this retroactive change and disputed the change in methodology. Liggett contends that the retroactive change from "gross" to "net" units is impermissible for several reasons, including:

• use of "net" units is not required by the MSA (as reflected by, among other things, the use of "gross" units through 2005);

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

- such a change is not authorized without the consent of affected parties to the MSA;
- the MSA provides for four-year time limitation periods for revisiting calculations and determinations, which precludes recalculating Liggett's 1997 Market Share (and thus, Liggett's market share exemption); and
- Liggett and others have relied upon the calculations based on "gross" units since 1998.

The change in the method of calculation could have resulted in Liggett owing as much as \$38,800 of additional MSA payments for prior years, including interest, because the proposed change from "gross" to "net" units would have lowered Liggett's grandfathered market share exemption under the MSA. The Company estimated that Liggett's future annual MSA payments would have been at least approximately \$2,500 higher if the method of calculation was changed. In August 2011, Liggett received notice from several states seeking to initiate arbitration as to this matter. In December 2012, the parties arbitrated the dispute before a panel of three arbitrators. On February 14, 2013, the arbitrators issued a decision granting the relief sought by Liggett. The arbitrators ruled that the limitations provisions of the MSA precluded the independent auditor from recalculating Liggett's grandfathered market share exemption or Liggett's payment obligations beyond the last four years. The arbitrators further ruled that, for purposes of calculating Liggett's payment obligations for the applicable years, Liggett's market share should be calculated on a net basis, increased by a factor of 1.25%. Liggett filed a motion seeking reconsideration of the part of the arbitrators' decision that would require the 1.25% increase in Liggett's market share. The states objected to Liggett's motion and seek additional relief from the panel declaring that any adjustment ordered by the panel is not limited by the four year limitations set forth in the MSA. If the arbitrator's ruling is not modified, Liggett would be required to pay approximately \$16,200 for the previous five years. If the relief requested by the states is granted, Liggett could be required to pay up to approximately \$36,200. The panel has agreed to hear arguments on the motions on May 29, 2013. The Company cannot quantify future annual obligations as a result of the ruling. Liggett accrued \$6,300 in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for any potential liability relating to the "gross" v. "net" dispute. There can be no assurance that Liggett will be successful in seeking modification of the award or that Liggett will not be required to make additional payments, which could adversely affect the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Litigation Challenging the MSA. Litigation challenging the validity of the MSA, including claims that the MSA violates antitrust laws, has not been successful to date, although several cases are pending. Participating Manufacturers are not typically named as defendants in these cases.

Other State Settlements. The MSA replaced Liggett's prior settlements with all states and territories except for Florida, Mississippi, Texas and Minnesota. Each of these four states, prior to the effective date of the MSA, negotiated and executed settlement agreements with each of the other major tobacco companies, separate from those settlements reached previously with Liggett. Except as described below, Liggett's agreements with these states remain in full force and effect. These states' settlements or resolutions by those states with certain other tobacco companies. Beginning in 1999, Liggett determined that, based on each of these four states' settlements with United States Tobacco Company, Liggett's payment obligations to those states had been eliminated. With respect to all non-economic obligations under the previous settlements, Liggett believes it is entitled to the most favorable provisions as between the MSA and each state's respective settlement with the other major tobacco companies. Therefore, Liggett's non-economic obligations to all states and territories are now defined by the MSA.

In 2003, as a result of a dispute with Minnesota regarding its settlement agreement, Liggett agreed to pay \$100 a year, in any year cigarettes manufactured by Liggett are sold in that state. In 2003 and 2004, the Attorneys General for Florida, Mississippi and Texas advised Liggett that they believed that Liggett had failed to make certain required payments under the respective settlement agreements with these states. In December 2010, Liggett settled with Florida and agreed to pay \$1,200 and to make further annual payments of \$250 for a period of 21 years, starting in March 2011. The payments in years 12 - 21 will be subject to an inflation adjustment. These payments are in lieu of any other payments allegedly due to Florida under the original settlement agreement. The Company accrued approximately \$3,200 for this matter in 2010. In February 2012, Mississippi provided Liggett with a 60-day notice that the state intended to pursue its remedies if Liggett did not cure the alleged defaults. Liggett responded to Mississippi's letter denying the existence of any defaults. There can be no assurance that Liggett will be able to resolve the matters with Texas and Mississippi or that Liggett will not be required to make additional payments which could adversely affect the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Cautionary Statement. Management is not able to predict the outcome of the litigation pending or threatened against Liggett or the Company. Litigation is subject to many uncertainties. Through March 31, 2013, Liggett has been found liable in eight *Engle* progeny cases in addition to the *Lukacs* case. In two of the cases, the verdicts were affirmed on appeal and the

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

judgments were satisfied by Liggett. Although Liggett has appealed the remaining adverse verdicts, appellate efforts to date have not been successful. Liggett has also had judgments entered against it in Individual Actions, which judgments were affirmed on appeal and satisfied by Liggett. It is possible that other cases could be decided unfavorably against Liggett and that Liggett will be unsuccessful on appeal. Liggett may attempt to settle particular cases if it believes it is in its best interest to do so.

Management cannot predict the cash requirements related to any future defense costs, settlements or judgments, including cash required to bond any appeals, and there is a risk that those requirements will not be able to be met. An unfavorable outcome of a pending smoking-related case could encourage the commencement of additional litigation, or could lead to adverse decisions in the *Engle* progeny cases. Except as discussed in this Note 5, management is unable to estimate the loss or range of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome of the cases pending against Liggett or the costs of defending such cases and as a result has not provided any amounts in its consolidated financial statements for unfavorable outcomes.

The tobacco industry is subject to a wide range of laws and regulations regarding the marketing, sale, taxation and use of tobacco products imposed by local, state and federal governments. There have been a number of restrictive regulatory actions, adverse legislative and political decisions and other unfavorable developments concerning cigarette smoking and the tobacco industry. These developments may negatively affect the perception of potential triers of fact with respect to the tobacco industry, possibly to the detriment of certain pending litigation, and may prompt the commencement of additional litigation or legislation.

It is possible that the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows could be materially adversely affected by an unfavorable outcome in any of the smoking-related litigation.

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

		Cu	rren	t Liabilities								
	und Se	Payments due under Master Settlement Agreement		tigation ccruals	Total		Payments due under Master Settlement Agreement			tigation ccruals		Total
Delence et January 1, 2012	\$	22.070	\$	1,470	\$	34,440	\$	52 620	\$	1 967	\$	54 501
Balance at January 1, 2013	Ф	32,970	Ф	,	Ф	,	Ф	52,639	Ф	1,862	Э	54,501
Expenses		28,389		124		28,513						_
NPM Settlement adjustment		_		—		_		(12,144)		—		(12,144)
Change in MSA obligations capitalized as inventory		96		_		96		_		_		_
Payments		—		(1,580)		(1,580)		—		—		
Reclassification from non-current liabilities		3,277		223		3,500		(3,277)		(223)		(3,500)
Interest on withholding		_		11		11		_		42		42
Balance at March 31, 2013	\$	64,732	\$	248	\$	64,980	\$	37,218	\$	1,681	\$	38,899

The activity in the Company's accruals for tobacco litigation for the three months ended March 31, 2013 were as follows:

The activity in the Company's accruals for tobacco litigation for the three months ended March 31, 2012 were as follows:

		Cur	rent	Liabilities			Non-Current Liabilities							
	Payments due under Master Settlement Agreement		Litigation Accruals		tigation		Payments due under Master Settlement Agreement		under Master Settlement Litiga		Master ement Litigation			Total
Balance at January 1, 2012	\$	51,174	\$	1,551	\$	52,725	\$	49,338	\$	1,600	\$	50,938		
Expenses		33,668		98		33,766		_		_		_		
Change in MSA obligations capitalized as inventory		84		_		84		_		_				
Payments		_		(272)		(272)		_		_		_		
Reclassification from non-current liabilities		_		224		224		_		(224)		(224)		
Interest on withholding		_		9		9		582		335		917		
Balance at March 31, 2012	\$	84,926	\$	1,610	\$	86,536	\$	49,920	\$	1,711	\$	51,631		

Other Matters:

Liggett's and Vector Tobacco's management are unaware of any material environmental conditions affecting their existing facilities. Liggett's and Vector Tobacco's management believe that current operations are conducted in material compliance with all environmental laws and regulations and other laws and regulations governing cigarette manufacturers. Compliance with federal, state and local provisions regulating the discharge of materials into the environment, or otherwise relating to the protection of the environment, has not had a material effect on the capital expenditures, results of operations or competitive position of Liggett or Vector Tobacco.

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

In February 2004, Liggett Vector Brands entered into a five year agreement with a subsidiary of the American Wholesale Marketers Association to support a program to permit certain tobacco distributors to secure, on reasonable terms, tax stamp bonds required by state and local governments for the distribution of cigarettes. This agreement has been extended through February 2016. Under the agreement, Liggett Vector Brands has agreed to pay a portion of losses incurred by the surety under the bond program, with a maximum loss exposure of \$500 for Liggett Vector Brands. To secure its potential obligations under the agreement, Liggett Vector Brands has delivered to the subsidiary of the association a \$100 letter of credit and agreed to fund up to an additional \$400. The Company believes the fair value of Liggett Vector Brands' obligation under the agreement was immaterial at March 31, 2013.

There may be several other proceedings, lawsuits and claims pending against the Company and certain of its consolidated subsidiaries unrelated to tobacco or tobacco product liability. Management is of the opinion that the liabilities, if any, ultimately resulting from such other proceedings, lawsuits and claims should not materially affect the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

6. INCOME TAXES

The Company's provision for income taxes in interim periods is based on an estimated annual effective income tax rate derived, in part, from estimated annual pre-tax results from ordinary operations. The annual effective income tax rate is reviewed and, if necessary, adjusted on a quarterly basis.

The Company's income tax benefit consisted of the following:

		Three Months Ended				
		Marc	ch 31,			
	2013 20			2012		
Loss before provision for income taxes	\$	(2,016)	\$	(11,182)		
Income tax benefit using estimated annual effective income tax rate		(788)		(4,249)		
Out-of-period adjustment related to non-deductible expenses in 2011		—		757		
Impact of discrete item, net		453		—		
Income tax benefit	\$	(335)	\$	(3,492)		

The discrete item for the three months ended March 31, 2013 is related to the loss on the extinguishment of the 11% Senior Secured Notes. The out-ofperiod adjustment for the three months ended March 31, 2012 is related to a non-accrual of a non-deductible expense related to permanent difference for income taxes in the fourth quarter of 2011.

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

7. <u>NEW VALLEY LLC</u>

The components of "Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses" were as follows:

	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Douglas Elliman Realty LLC	\$ 64,468	\$ 65,171
Sesto Holdings	5,037	5,037
1107 Broadway	5,839	5,566
Lofts 21	900	900
Hotel Taiwana	3,225	2,658
East 68th Street	7,000	7,000
11 Beach Street	10,137	9,642
Maryland Portfolio	4,348	4,615
701 Seventh Avenue	9,307	9,307
Queens Plaza	7,350	7,350
Chrystie Street	1,992	1,973
Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses	\$ 119,603	\$ 119,219

Residential Brokerage Business. New Valley recorded income of \$623 and \$1,444 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, associated with Douglas Elliman Realty, LLC. New Valley received cash distributions from Douglas Elliman Realty, LLC of \$1,325 and \$2,325 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The summarized financial information of Douglas Elliman Realty, LLC is as follows:

	March 31, 2013		cember 31, 2012
Cash	\$ 75,033	\$	78,015
Other current assets	7,246		8,543
Property, plant and equipment, net	15,554		15,796
Trademarks	21,663		21,663
Goodwill	38,528		38,523
Other intangible assets, net	841		897
Other non-current assets	2,735		3,182
Notes payable - current	422		466
Other current liabilities	56,068		22,065
Notes payable - long term	309		334
Other long-term liabilities	9,566		9,614
Members' equity	95,235		134,140

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

	Three Mo	nths Ende	ed		
	March 31,				
	2013	2012			
Revenues	\$ 74,537	\$	71,175		
Costs and expenses	73,614		69,226		
Depreciation expense	973		815		
Amortization expense	56		60		
Other income	142		742		
Interest expense, net	—		23		
Income tax expense	 (59)		54		
Net income	\$ 95	\$	1,739		

Douglas Elliman Realty is in discussions with Prudential to redeem the approximate 20% equity interest owned by a former affiliate of Prudential. The redemption price for such equity interest is to be determined through an appraisal process in accordance with the terms of Douglas Elliman Realty's Limited Liability Company Operating Agreement. Upon completion of the redemption, Vector will own more than 50% of Douglas Elliman Realty and will consolidate Douglas Elliman Realty accordingly.

Chelsea Eleven. In February and April 2012, Chelsea closed on the remaining utility and two residential units of the 54 unit building and the project is concluded. The Company has received net distributions of \$715 from New Valley Oaktree Chelsea Eleven LLC for the three months ended March 31, 2012. Chelsea was a variable interest entity; however, the Company was not the primary beneficiary. New Valley accounted for its 40% interest in New Valley Oaktree Chelsea Eleven, LLC under the equity method of accounting. New Valley recorded equity income \$1,667 for the three months ended March 31, 2012, related to New Valley Chelsea. New Valley had no exposure to loss as a result of its investment in Chelsea as of March 31, 2013.

Hotel Taiwana. New Valley and its partners contributed additional capital in March 2013 and April 2013. New Valley contributed an additional \$567 in February 2013 and \$3,088 in April 2013, along with other investment partners of Hill. New Valley's investment percentage did not change from year end. Hill will use the contributions to purchase the remaining interest in Hotel Taiwana.

Consolidated real estate investments:

The components of "Investments in consolidated real estate businesses, net" were as follows:

	March 31, 2013			December 31, 2012	
Escena, net	\$	13,213	\$	13,295	
Indian Creek		9,520		—	
Investment in consolidated real estate businesses, net	\$	22,733	\$ 13,295		

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

Investment in Escena. The components of the Company's investment in Escena are as follows:

	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	
Land and land improvements	\$ 11,430	\$ 11,430	
Building and building improvements	1,530	1,530	
Other	1,385	1,374	
	14,345	14,334	
Less accumulated depreciation	(1,132)	(1,039)	
	\$ 13,213	\$ 13,295	

The Company recorded operating income of \$383 and \$610 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, from Escena.

Investment in Indian Creek. In March 2013, New Valley invested \$7,616 for an approximate 80% interest in Timbo LLC ("Indian Creek") which owns a residential real estate conversion project located on Indian Creek, Florida. As a result of the 80% ownership interest, the consolidated financial statements of the Company include the balances of Indian Creek which included land and building of approximately \$9,520, equity interest of \$7,616 and a minority interest of \$1,904.

8. INVESTMENTS AND FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The Company's recurring financial assets and liabilities subject to fair value measurements are as follows:

	Fair Value Measurements as of March 31, 2013											
Description		Total	Act	noted Prices in ive Markets for entical Assets (Level 1)	Obse	nificant Other ervable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Input (Level 3)					
Assets:												
Money market funds	\$	304,794	\$	304,794	\$	_	\$	_				
Certificates of deposit		2,240		—		2,240		—				
Bonds		6,306		6,306		—		—				
Investment securities available for sale		82,439		76,315		6,124		—				
Warrants (1)		834		_		—		834				
Total	\$	396,613	\$	387,415	\$	8,364	\$	834				
Liabilities:												
Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt		169,079				_		169,079				
Total	\$	169,079	\$	_	\$	—	\$	169,079				

(1) Warrants include 1,000,000 of LTS Warrants received on November 4, 2011 which were carried at \$779 as of March 31, 2013 and are included in "Other assets". The Company recognized income of \$65 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 related to the change in fair value of the Warrants.

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

	Fair Value Measurements as of December 31, 2012											
Description		Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)		Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)		Un	Significant observable Inputs (Level 3)				
Assets:												
Money market funds	\$	372,718	\$	372,718	\$	_	\$	_				
Certificates of deposit		2,240		—		2,240		—				
Bonds		6,306		6,306		—		—				
Investment securities available for sale		69,984		69,107		877		—				
Warrants (1)		769		—		—		769				
Total	\$	452,017	\$	448,131	\$	3,117	\$	769				
Liabilities:												
Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt		172,128		_				172,128				
Total	\$	172,128	\$		\$		\$	172,128				

(1) Warrants include 1,000,000 of LTS Warrants received on November 4, 2011 which were carried at \$717 as of December 31, 2012 and are included in "Other assets".

The fair value of the Level 2 certificates of deposit are based on prices posted by the financial institutions. The fair value of investment securities available for sale included in Level 1 are based on quoted market prices from various stock exchanges. The Level 2 investment securities available for sale are based on quoted market prices of securities that are thinly traded.

The fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt was derived using a valuation model and have been classified as Level 3. The valuation model assumes future dividend payments by the Company and utilizes interest rates and credit spreads based upon the implied debt rate of the 7.5% Convertible Notes to determine the fair value of the derivatives embedded within the convertible debt. The changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt are presented on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The value of the embedded derivatives is contingent on changes in implied interest rates of the convertible debt, the Company's stock price, stock volatility as well as projections of future cash and stock dividends over the term of the debt. The interest rate component of the value of the embedded derivative is computed by calculating an equivalent non-convertible, unsecured and subordinated borrowing cost. This rate is determined by calculating the implied rate on the Company's 2019 Convertible Notes when removing the embedded option value within the convertible security. This rate is based upon market observable inputs and influenced by the Company's stock price, convertible bond trading price, risk free interest rates and stock volatility.

The Company recognized income of \$3,049 and charges of \$21,057 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The fair value of the warrants was derived using the Black-Scholes model and has been classified as Level 3. The assumptions used under the Black-Scholes model in computing the fair value of the warrants are based on contractual term of the warrants, volatility of the underlying stock based on the historical quoted prices of the underlying stock, assumed future dividend payments and a risk-free rate of return.

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

The unobservable inputs related to the valuations of the Level 3 assets and liabilities are as follows at March 31, 2013:

	Quantitative Information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements									
	Fair Value at March 31, 2013		Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input		Range (Actual)				
			Outin							
Warrants	\$	834	Option model	Stock price	\$	1.66				
				Exercise price	\$	1.68				
				Term (in years)		3.6				
				Volatility		65.85%				
				Dividend rate		—				
				Risk-free return		0.47%				
Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible			Discounted							
debt	\$	169,079	cash flow	Assumed annual stock dividend		5%				
				Assumed annual cash dividend	\$	1.60				
				Stock price	\$	16.12				
				Convertible trading price		116.00%				
				Volatility		18.00%				
				Implied credit spread		9.25% - 10.25% (9.75%)				

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

The unobservable inputs related to the valuations of the Level 3 assets and liabilities are as follows at December 31, 2012:

	Quantitative Information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements									
	Fair Value at December 31, 2012		Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input		Range (Actual)				
Warrants	\$	769	Option model	Stock price	\$	1.40				
				Exercise price	\$	1.68				
				Term (in years)		3.8				
				Volatility		76.87%				
				Dividend rate		—				
				Risk-free return		0.50%				
Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt	\$	172,128	Discounted cash flow	Assumed annual stock dividend		5%				
	+	-,-,		Assumed annual cash dividend	\$	1.60				
				Stock price	\$	14.87				
				Convertible trading price		109.00%				
				Volatility		18%				
				Implied credit spread	10.0	00% - 11.00% (10.50%)				

In addition to assets and liabilities that are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis, the Company is required to record assets and liabilities at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. Generally, assets and liabilities are recorded at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as a result of impairment charges. The Company had no nonrecurring nonfinancial assets subject to fair value measurements as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

9. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company's significant business segments for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 were Tobacco and Real Estate. The Tobacco segment consists of the manufacture and sale of cigarettes. The Real Estate segment includes the Company's investment in Escena, Indian Creek and investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies.

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

Financial information for the Company's operations before taxes for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 follows:

	Tobacco			Real Estate		Corporate and Other	Tot	tal
Three months ended March 31, 2013								
Revenues	\$	240,402	\$		\$	— 5	\$	240,402
Operating income (loss)		47,160	(1)	86		(4,150)		43,096
Equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses		_		481		—		481
Depreciation and amortization		2,385		128		83		2,596
Capital expenditures		1,937		305		1,315		3,557
Three months ended March 31, 2012								
Revenues	\$	257,606	\$	_	\$	— 9	\$	257,606
Operating income (loss)		37,515		521		(4,590)		33,446
Equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses		_		2,863				2,863
Depreciation and amortization		2,495		85		191		2,771
Capital expenditures		1,442		41		760		2,243

⁽¹⁾ Operating income includes \$5,602 of income from a NPM Settlement.

10. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The accompanying condensed consolidating financial information has been prepared and presented pursuant to Securities and Exchange Commission Regulation S-X, Rule 3-10, "Financial Statements of Guarantors and Issuers of Guaranteed Securities Registered or Being Registered". Each of the subsidiary guarantors are 100% owned, directly or indirectly, by the Company, and all guarantees are joint and several and subject to certain automatic release provisions. Relief from the financial statement requirements under Rule 3-10 is being provided because the Company's guarantee release provisions are considered customary pursuant to Section 2510.5 of the SEC Division of Corporation Finance Financial Reporting Manual. The Company's investments in its consolidated subsidiaries are presented under the equity method of accounting.

The indenture of the 7.75% Senior Secured Notes contains similar guarantees and covenants to those of the 11% Senior Secured Notes, except the indenture has covenants that restrict the payment of dividends by the Company if the Company's consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, as defined in the indenture, for the most recently ended four full quarters is less than \$75,000 and the indenture also restricts the incurrence of debt if the Company's Leverage Ratio and its Secured Leverage Ratio, as defined in the indenture, exceed 3.0 and 1.5, respectively.

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS

			March 31, 2013 Subsidiary								
										Consolidated	
	Parent/			Subsidiary Non-				nsolidating	Vector Group		
		Issuer		Guarantors		Guarantors	Ad	justments		Ltd.	
ASSETS:											
Current assets:											
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	335,873	\$	15,265	\$	764	\$	_	\$	351,902	
Investment securities available for sale		39,950		42,489		_		_		82,439	
Accounts receivable - trade, net		_		10,236		180		_		10,416	
Intercompany receivables		334		_		_		(334)		_	
Inventories		_		98,502		_		_		98,502	
Deferred income taxes		30,719		3,573		_		_		34,292	
Income taxes receivable, net		46,120		_		_		(29,316)		16,804	
Restricted assets		_		2,469		_		_		2,469	
Other current assets		923		4,799		254		_		5,976	
Total current assets		453,919		177,333		1,198		(29,650)		602,800	
Property, plant and equipment, net		3,336		54,346		499		_		58,181	
Investment in consolidated real estate businesses, net		_		_		22,733		_		22,733	
Long-term investments accounted for at cost		15,540		_		827		_		16,367	
Long-term investments accounted for under the equity method		7,367		_		_		_		7,367	
Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses				_		119,603		_		119,603	
Investments in consolidated subsidiaries		247,223		_		_		(247,223)		_	
Restricted assets		1,890		7,864		31		(,)		9,785	
Deferred income taxes		36,476		9,125		5,581		_		51,182	
Intangible asset				107,511				_		107,511	
Prepaid pension costs		_		13,329		_		_		13,329	
Other assets		47,786		9,626		499		_		57,911	
Total assets	\$	813,537	\$	379,134	\$	150,971	\$	(276,873)	\$	1,066,769	
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIENCY:		010,001	<u> </u>	0.0,101		100,011		(110,010)	<u> </u>	1,000,100	
Current liabilities:	\$		¢	47 457	¢	407	¢		¢	47.004	
Current portion of notes payable and long-term debt	Þ	_	\$	17,457	\$	167	\$	_	\$	17,624	
Current portion of employee benefits				2,824				-		2,824	
Accounts payable		884		5,869		337		-		7,090	
Intercompany payables		_		37		297		(334)		-	
Accrued promotional expenses		_		17,348		-		—		17,348	
Income taxes payable, net				4,173		31,473		(29,316)		6,330	
Accrued excise and payroll taxes payable, net Litigation accruals and current payments due under the Master		—		7,215		—		_		7,215	
Settlement Agreement		_		64,980		_		_		64,980	
Deferred income taxes		21,561		9,633		_		_		31,194	
Accrued interest		13,679		_		_		_		13,679	
Other current liabilities		2,903		8,057		1,321		_		12,281	
Total current liabilities		39,027		137,593		33,595		(29,650)		180,565	
Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations, less current portio	n	614,751		13,222		23		_		627,996	
Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt		169,079		_		_		_		169,079	
Non-current employee benefits		26,017		20,002		_		_		46,019	
Deferred income taxes		72,193		34,548		4,242		_		110,983	
Other liabilities, primarily litigation accruals and payments due under the	Э										
Master Settlement Agreement		765	_	38,959		698			_	40,422	
Total liabilities		921,832		244,324		38,558		(29,650)		1,175,064	
Commitments and contingencies											
Stockholders' deficiency	<u> </u>	(108,295)	4	134,810	-	112,413		(247,223)	•	(108,295)	
Total liabilities and stockholders' deficiency	\$	813,537	\$	379,134	\$	150,971	\$	(276,873)	\$	1,066,769	

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS

					De	cember 31, 2012				
						Subsidiary				Consolidated
		Parent/		Subsidiary		Non-	Co	onsolidating		Vector Group
		Issuer		Guarantors		Guarantors	A	djustments		Ltd.
ASSETS:										
Current assets:										
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	401,344	\$	3,776	\$	735	\$	_	\$	405,855
Investment securities available for sale		35,330		34,654		_		_		69,984
Accounts receivable - trade, net		_		11,183		64		_		11,247
Intercompany receivables		354		_		_		(354)		_
Inventories		_		100,392		_		_		100,392
Deferred income taxes		33,238		3,371		_		_		36,609
Income taxes receivable, net		33,302		_		_		(26,523)		6,779
Restricted assets		_		2,469		_		_		2,469
Other current assets		665		4,848		208		_		5,721
Total current assets		504,233		160,693		1,007		(26,877)	-	639,056
Property, plant and equipment, net		2,104		54,810		239		_		57,153
Investment in consolidated real estate businesses, net		_		_		13,295		_		13,295
Long-term investments accounted for at cost		15,540		_		827		_		16,367
Long-term investments accounted for under the equity method		6,432		_		_		_		6,432
Investments in non- consolidated real estate businesses		_		_		119,219		_		119,219
Investments in consolidated subsidiaries		210,525		_		_		(210,525)		_
Restricted assets		1,898		7,863		31		_		9,792
Deferred income taxes		38,077		5,669		5,396		_		49,142
Intangible asset		_		107,511		_		_		107,511
Prepaid pension costs		_		12,870		_		_		12,870
Other assets		39,534		16,144		216		_		55,894
Total assets	\$	818,343	\$	365,560	\$	140,230	\$	(237,402)	\$	1,086,731
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIENCY:	_									
Current liabilities:										
Current portion of notes payable and long-term debt	\$	_	\$	36,617	\$	161	\$	_	\$	36,778
Current portion of employee benefits		_		2,824		_		_		2,824
Accounts payable		661		5,173		265		_		6,099
Intercompany payables		_		64		290		(354)		_
Accrued promotional expenses		_		18,730		_		_		18,730
Income taxes payable, net		_		1,445		31,347		(26,523)		6,269
Accrued excise and payroll taxes payable, net		_		20,419		_		_		20,419
Litigation accruals and current payments due under the Master										
Settlement Agreement		-		34,440		_		—		34,440
Deferred income taxes		23,304		3,995		—		_		27,299
Accrued interest		25,410		_		_				25,410
Other current liabilities		5,545		9,658		1,688		_		16,891
Total current liabilities		54,920		133,365		33,751		(26,877)		195,159
Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations, less current portion	۱	572,023		14,860		63		_		586,946
Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt		172,128		-		_		-		172,128
Non-current employee benefits		25,599		20,261		—		_		45,860
Deferred income taxes		71,777		33,793		3,962		_		109,532
Other liabilities, primarily litigation accruals and payments due under the Master Settlement Agreement		1,148		54,506		704		_		56,358
Total liabilities		897,595		256,785		38,480		(26,877)		1,165,983
Commitments and contingencies										
Stockholders' deficiency		(79,252)	_	108,775		101,750		(210,525)	_	(79,252)
Total liabilities and stockholders' deficiency	\$	818,343	\$	365,560	\$	140,230	\$	(237,402)	\$	1,086,731



(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

		Three Months Ended March 31, 2013							
					Subsidiary			(Consolidated
	Parent/		Subsidiary		Non-		Consolidating	١	Vector Group
	Issuer		Guarantors		Guarantors		Adjustments		Ltd.
Revenues	\$ _	\$	240,402	\$	_	\$	_	\$	240,402
Expenses:									
Cost of goods sold	_		172,956		_		_		172,956
Operating, selling, administrative and general expenses	5,876		18,494		(20)		_		24,350
Management fee expense	 		2,377	_	_		(2,377)		_
Operating (loss) income	(5,876)		46,575		20		2,377		43,096
Other income (expenses):									
Interest expense	(32,960)		(412)		(4)		—		(33,376)
Change in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt	3,049		_		_		_		3,049
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(21,458)		_		_		—		(21,458)
Equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses	_		_		481		_		481
Equity loss on long-term investments	(23)		_		—		—		(23)
Gain on investment securities available for sale	—		5,406		_		_		5,406
Equity income in consolidated subsidiaries	31,288		_		—		(31,288)		_
Management fee income	2,377		—		_		(2,377)		_
Other, net	702		55		52		_		809
(Loss) income before provision for income taxes	(22,901)		51,624		549		(31,288)		(2,016)
Income tax benefit (expense)	 21,220		(20,657)		(228)				335
Net (loss) income	 (1,681)		30,967	_	321		(31,288)		(1,681)
Comprehensive income	\$ 4,233	\$	34,445	\$	321	\$	(34,766)	\$	4,233

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2012								
					Consolidated				
	Parent/		Subsidiary	Non-			Consolidating		Vector Group
	Issuer		Guarantors		Guarantors		Adjustments		Ltd.
Revenues	\$ 	\$	257,606	\$	_	\$	_	\$	257,606
Expenses:									
Cost of goods sold	_		200,181		_		_		200,181
Operating, selling, administrative and general expenses	6,261		18,204		(486)		_		23,979
Management fee expense			2,291		_		(2,291)		_
Operating (loss) income	 (6,261)		36,930		486		2,291		33,446
Other income (expenses):									
Interest expense	(24,909)		(1,336)		(7)		_		(26,252)
Change in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt	(21,057)		_		_		_		(21,057)
Equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses	—		_		2,863		_		2,863
Equity loss on long-term investments	(114)		_		_		_		(114)
Equity income in consolidated subsidiaries	24,618		_		_		(24,618)		_
Management fee income	2,291		_		_		(2,291)		_
Other, net	(95)		27		_				(68)
(Loss) income before provision for income taxes	(25,527)		35,621		3,342		(24,618)		(11,182)
Income tax benefit (expense)	17,837		(13,233)		(1,112)	_	_		3,492
Net (loss) income	(7,690)		22,388		2,230		(24,618)		(7,690)
Comprehensive (loss) income	\$ (12,033)	\$	21,764	\$	2,230	\$	(23,994)	\$	(12,033)

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

			Three Months Ended March 31, 2013						
					Subsidiary			C	Consolidated
		Parent/		Subsidiary	Non-		Consolidating	٧	ector Group
		Issuer		Guarantors	Guarantors		Adjustments		Ltd.
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$	(36,170)	\$	40,891	\$ 900	\$	(15,538)	\$	(9,917)
Cash flows from investing activities:									
Sale of investment securities		_		6,582	_		_		6,582
Purchase of investment securities		_		(5,000)	_		_		(5,000)
Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses		_		_	(1,354)		_		(1,354)
Investments in consolidated real estate businesses		_		_	(7,617)				(7,617)
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance policies		(21)		(47)	_				(68)
Decrease (increase) in non-current restricted assets		8		(1)	_				7
Investments in subsidiaries		(15,777)		—	_		15,777		_
Capital expenditures		(1,315)		(1,937)	(305)				(3,557)
Net cash used in investing activities		(17,105)	_	(403)	(9,276)		15,777		(11,007)
Cash flows from financing activities:									
Proceeds from debt issuance		450,000		—	_				450,000
Deferred financing costs		(11,447)		—	_				(11,447)
Repayments of debt		(415,000)		(1,870)	(34)				(416,904)
Borrowings under revolver		_		214,762	_				214,762
Repayments on revolver		_		(233,691)	_				(233,691)
Capital contributions received		_		5,800	9,977		(15,777)		_
Intercompany dividends paid		_		(14,000)	(1,538)		15,538		_
Dividends and distributions on common stock		(35,749)		_	_				(35,749)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities		(12,196)		(28,999)	8,405		(239)		(33,029)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents		(65,471)		11,489	29		_		(53,953)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		401,344		3,776	735				405,855
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	335,873	\$	15,265	\$ 764	\$		\$	351,902

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) <u>Unaudited</u>

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

		 Three M	Nonth	s Ended March	31, 201	2		
				Subsidiary			С	consolidated
	Parent/	Subsidiary		Non-	Co	nsolidating	V	ector Group
	Issuer	Guarantors		Guarantors	Ad	ljustments		Ltd.
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$ (7,184)	\$ 53,892	\$	2,682	\$	(8,814)	\$	40,576
Cash flows from investing activities:								
Purchase of long-term investments	(5,000)	_		_		_		(5,000)
Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses	_	_		(25)		_		(25)
Distributions from non-consolidated real estate businesses	_	_		740		_		740
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance policies	(425)	(47)		_		_		(472)
Decrease in non-current restricted assets	271	1,015		_		_		1,286
Issuance of notes receivable	(43)	_		_		_		(43)
Proceeds from sale of fixed assets	_	11		_		_		11
Investments in subsidiaries	(658)	_		_		658		_
Capital expenditures	 (759)	 (1,442)		(42)				(2,243)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(6,614)	(463)		673		658		(5,746)
Cash flows from financing activities:								
Proceeds from debt issuance	_	9,870		_		_		9,870
Deferred financing costs	_	(150)		_		_		(150)
Repayments of debt	_	(11,494)		(32)		_		(11,526)
Borrowings under revolver	_	236,595		_		_		236,595
Repayments on revolver	_	(258,064)		_		_		(258,064)
Capital contributions received	_	600		58		(658)		_
Intercompany dividends paid	_	(6,000)		(2,814)		8,814		_
Dividends and distributions on common stock	(32,975)	_		_		_		(32,975)
Proceeds from exercise of Vector options and warrants.	44	_		_		_		44
Tax benefits from exercise of Vector options and warrants	4	 _				_		4
Net cash used in financing activities	(32,927)	(28,643)		(2,788)		8,156		(56,202)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	 (46,725)	 24,786		567		_		(21,372)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	238,262	2,488		173				240,923
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 191,537	\$ 27,274	\$	740	\$	_	\$	219,551

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

Overview

We are a holding company and are engaged principally in:

- the manufacture and sale of cigarettes in the United States through our Liggett Group LLC and Vector Tobacco Inc. subsidiaries, and
- the real estate business through our New Valley LLC subsidiary, which is seeking to acquire additional operating companies and real estate properties. New Valley owns 50% of Douglas Elliman Realty, LLC, which operates the largest residential brokerage company in the New York metropolitan area.

All of our tobacco operation's unit sales volume in 2012 and for the first three months of 2013 was in the discount segment, which management believes has been the primary growth segment in the industry for more than a decade. The significant discounting of premium cigarettes in recent years has led to brands, such as EVE, that were traditionally considered premium brands to become more appropriately categorized as discount, following list price reductions.

Our tobacco subsidiaries' cigarettes are produced in approximately 117 combinations of length, style and packaging. Liggett's current brand portfolio includes:

- PYRAMID the industry's first deep discount product with a brand identity re-launched in the second quarter of 2009,
- GRAND PRIX re-launched as a national brand in 2005,
- LIGGETT SELECT a leading brand in the deep discount category,
- EVE a leading brand of 120 millimeter cigarettes in the branded discount category,
- EAGLE 20's relaunched as a deep discount brand in January 2013, and
- USA and various Partner Brands and private label brands.

In 1999, Liggett introduced LIGGETT SELECT, one of the leading brands in the deep discount category. LIGGETT SELECT's unit volume was 6.0% for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 7.0% of Liggett's unit volume for the year ended December 31, 2012. In September 2005, Liggett repositioned GRAND PRIX to distributors and retailers nationwide. GRAND PRIX's unit volume was 7.8% of Liggett's unit volume for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 9.6% for the year ended December 31, 2012. In April 2009, Liggett repositioned PYRAMID as a box-only brand with a new low price to specifically compete with brands which are priced at the lowest level of the deep discount segment. PYRAMID is now the largest seller in Liggett's family of brands with 70.3% of Liggett's unit volume for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 62.7% for the year ended December 31, 2012. In January 2013, Liggett repackaged and relaunched EAGLE 20's to distributors and retailers. EAGLE 20's is marketed to compete with brands positioned in the deep discount segment.

Under the Master Settlement Agreement ("MSA") reached in November 1998 with 46 states and various territories, the three largest cigarette manufacturers must make settlement payments to the states and territories based on how many cigarettes they sell annually. Liggett, however, is not required to make any payments unless its market share exceeds approximately 1.65% of the U.S. cigarette market. Additionally, Vector Tobacco has no payment obligation unless its market share exceeds approximately 0.28% of the U.S. market. Liggett's and Vector Tobacco's payments under the MSA are based on each company's incremental market share above the minimum threshold applicable to such company. We believe that our tobacco subsidiaries have gained a sustainable cost advantage over their competitors as a result of the settlement.

The discount segment is a challenging marketplace, with consumers having less brand loyalty and placing greater emphasis on price. Liggett's competition is now divided into two segments. The first segment is made up of the three largest manufacturers of cigarettes in the United States, Philip Morris USA Inc., R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company, and Lorillard Tobacco Company. The three largest manufacturers, while primarily premium cigarette based companies, also produce and sell discount cigarettes. The second segment of competition is comprised of a group of smaller manufacturers and importers, most of which sell deep discount cigarettes. Our largest competitor in this segment is Commonwealth Brands, Inc. (a wholly owned subsidiary of Imperial Tobacco PLC).

Recent Developments

7.75% Senior Secured Notes due 2021. In February 2013, we issued \$450,000 of our 7.75% senior secured notes due 2021 in a private offering to qualified institutional investors in accordance with Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. We agreed to consummate a registered exchange offer for the 7.75% senior secured notes within 360 days after the date of the initial issuance of the 7.75% senior secured notes. On April 26, 2013, we commenced an offer to exchange our 7.75% Senior Secured Notes for an equal amount of newly issued 7.75% Senior Secured Notes due 2021. The new 7.75% Senior Secured Notes have substantially the same terms as the original 7.75% Senior Secured Notes, except that our new 7.75% Senior Secured Notes have been registered under the Securities Act.

The 7.75% senior secured notes pay interest on a semi-annual basis at a rate of 7.75% per year and mature on February 15, 2021. We may redeem some or all of the 7.75% senior secured notes at any time prior to February 15, 2016 at a make-whole redemption price. On or after February 15, 2016 we may redeem some or all of the 7.75% senior secured notes at a premium that will decrease over time, plus accrued and unpaid interest and liquidated damages, if any, to the redemption date.

The 7.75% senior secured notes are guaranteed subject to certain customary automatic release provisions on a joint and several basis by all of our 100% owned domestic subsidiaries that are engaged in the conduct of our cigarette businesses. In addition, some of the guarantees are collateralized by second priority or first priority security interests in certain collateral of some of the subsidiary guarantors, including their common stock, pursuant to security and pledge agreements. The indenture contains covenants that restrict the payment of dividends if our consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, as defined in the indenture, for the most recently ended four full quarters is less than \$75,000. The indenture also restricts the incurrence of debt if our Leverage Ratio and our Secured Leverage Ratio, as defined in the indenture, exceed 3.0 and 1.5, respectively.

The aggregate net proceeds from the issuance of the 7.75% senior secured notes were approximately \$438,250 after deducting offering expenses. We used the net proceeds of the issuance for the cash tender offer described below and the redemption price for any existing 11% senior secured notes that were not tendered, plus accrued and unpaid interest plus any related fees and expenses.

Tender Offer. On January 29, 2013, we announced we were commencing a cash tender offer with respect to any and all of the outstanding \$415,000 of our 11% senior secured notes due 2015. We retired \$336,315 of the 11% senior secured notes at a premium of 104.292%, plus accrued and unpaid interest, on February 12, 2013. The remaining \$78,685 of the 11% senior secured notes were called and were retired on March 14, 2013 at a redemption price of 103.667% plus accrued and unpaid interest. We recorded a loss on the extinguishment of the debt of \$21,458 for the three months ended March 31, 2013, which included \$17,820 of premium and tender offer costs and non-cash interest expense of \$3,638 related to the write-off of net unamortized debt discount and deferred finance costs.

Prudential Franchise Agreements. Douglas Elliman Realty is in discussions with Prudential related to certain matters in connection with the franchise agreements, and Douglas Elliman Realty has elected to cease operating as a Prudential franchisee. Douglas Elliman Realty is seeking a resolution of these matters. The franchise agreements expired on March 13, 2013. As a result of the termination or expiration of the franchise agreements, in accordance with the terms of the Limited Liability Company Operating Agreement, Douglas Elliman Realty is required to redeem the approximate 20% equity interest owned by a former affiliate of Prudential. The redemption price for such equity interest is to be determined through an appraisal process in accordance with the terms of Douglas Elliman Realty's Limited Liability Company Operating Agreement.

Investment in Indian Creek. In March 2013, New Valley invested \$7,616 for an approximate 80% interest in Timbo LLC ("Indian Creek") which owns a residential real estate conversion project located on Indian Creek, Florida. As a result of the 80% ownership interest, the consolidated financial statements of the Company include the balances of Indian Creek which included land and building of approximately \$9,520 included in Consolidated Real Estate Businesses, equity interest of \$7,616 and a non-controlling interest of \$1,904.

Hotel Taiwana. New Valley and its partners contributed additional capital in March 2013 and April 2013. New Valley contributed an additional \$567 in February 2013 and \$3,088 in April 2013, along with other investment partners of Hill. New Valley's investment percentage did not change from year end. Hill will use the contributions to purchase the remaining interest in Hotel Taiwana.

NPM Adjustment. In March 2006, an economic consulting firm selected pursuant to the MSA determined that the MSA was a "significant factor contributing to" the loss of market share of Participating Manufacturers, to non-participating manufacturers, for 2003. This is known as the "NPM Adjustment." Effective December 17, 2012, while in the process of arbitrating with certain settling states over the 2003 NPM Adjustment, the Participating Manufacturers entered into a "term sheet" with 20 settling states setting out terms for settlement of the NPM Adjustment for 2003 through 2012 and addressing the NPM Adjustment mechanism for those states for future years. Certain non-signatory states objected to the settlement. In March 2013, the arbitration panel entered a Stipulated Partial Settlement and Award which, among other things, overrules the objections of the non-signatory states

and directed the independent auditor to implement the terms of the term sheet effective with the April 15, 2013 MSA payments. Certain non-signatory states are attempting to vacate the settlement award by filing state court actions. Although certain terms of the settlement were implemented by the independent auditor on April 15, 2013, no assurance can be given as to the ultimate outcome of the non-signatory states' challenges.

As a result of the settlement, on April 15, 2013 Liggett and Vector Tobacco paid approximately \$1,695 plus accrued interest of \$1,583 and released \$12,913 from the disputed payments accounts to the 20 signatory states. Liggett and Vector Tobacco also recognized income of approximately \$5,600 through an adjustment of the accrual and elimination of the non-current receivable of \$6,542 from "Other Assets" on the Company's consolidated balance sheet at March 31, 2013. The remaining NPM Adjustment accrual of \$29,159 at March 31, 2013 pertains to the amounts withheld from 2004 through 2010 relating to the dispute with the non-signatory states. Approximately \$17,600 remains in the disputed payments accounts relating to the dispute with these non-signatory states.

Recent Developments in Smoking-Related Litigation

The cigarette industry continues to be challenged on numerous fronts. New cases continue to be commenced against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers. Liggett could be subjected to substantial liabilities and bonding requirements from litigation relating to cigarette products. Adverse litigation outcomes could have a negative impact on our ability to operate due to their impact on cash flows. We and our Liggett subsidiary, as well as the entire cigarette industry, continue to be challenged on numerous fronts, particularly with respect to the *Engle* progeny cases in Florida. New cases continue to be commenced against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers. It is likely that similar legal actions, proceedings and claims will continue to be filed against Liggett. Punitive damages, often in amounts ranging into the billions of dollars, are specifically pled in certain cases, in addition to compensatory and other damages. It is possible that there could be adverse developments in pending cases including the certification of additional class actions. An unfavorable outcome or settlement of pending tobacco-related litigation could encourage the commencement of additional litigation. In addition, an unfavorable outcome in any tobacco-related litigation could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Liggett could face difficulties in obtaining a bond to stay execution of a judgment pending appeal.

As of March 31, 2013, there were 4,523 *Engle* progeny cases, 69 individual product liability lawsuits, four purported class actions and one healthcare cost recovery action pending in the United States in which Liggett or us, or both, were named as a defendant. To date, adverse verdicts have been entered against Liggett in eight *Engle* progeny cases.

Engle Progeny Cases. In 2000, a jury in *Engle v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.* rendered a \$145,000,000 punitive damages verdict in favor of a "Florida Class" against certain cigarette manufacturers, including Liggett. Pursuant to the Florida Supreme Court's July 2006 ruling in *Engle*, which decertified the class on a prospective basis, and affirmed the appellate court's reversal of the punitive damages award, former class members had one year from January 11, 2007 in which to file individual lawsuits. In addition, some individuals who filed suit prior to January 11, 2007, and who claim they meet the conditions in *Engle*, are attempting to avail themselves of the *Engle* ruling. Lawsuits by individuals requesting the benefit of the *Engle* ruling, whether filed before or after the January 11, 2007 deadline, are referred to as the "*Engle* progeny cases." Liggett and us are currently named in 4,523 *Engle* progeny cases in both federal (1,466 cases) and state (3,057 cases) courts in Florida. Other cigarette manufacturers have also been named as defendants in these cases, although as a case proceeds, one or more defendants may ultimately be dismissed from the action. These cases include approximately 5,712 plaintiffs.

Liggett Only Cases. There are currently six cases pending where Liggett is the only remaining tobacco company defendant. These cases consist of three Individual Actions and three *Engle* progeny cases. Cases where Liggett is the only defendant could increase substantially as a result of the *Engle* progeny cases.

In February 2009, in *Ferlanti v. Liggett Group*, a Florida state court jury awarded compensatory damages to plaintiff and an \$816 judgment was entered by the court. That judgment was affirmed on appeal and was satisfied by Liggett in March 2011. In September 2010, the court awarded plaintiff legal fees of \$996. Liggett paid legal fees and accrued interest of \$1,231 in January 2013. Liggett previously accrued \$2,000 for the *Ferlanti* case.

Critical Accounting Policies

There are no material changes from the critical accounting policies set forth in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, for the year ended December 31, 2012. Please refer to that section and the information below for disclosures regarding the critical accounting policies related to our business.

Results of Operations

The following discussion provides an assessment of our results of operations, capital resources and liquidity and should be read in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report. The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of VGR Holding, Liggett, Vector Tobacco, Liggett Vector Brands, New Valley and other less significant subsidiaries.

For purposes of this discussion and other consolidated financial reporting, our significant business segments for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 were Tobacco and Real Estate. The Tobacco segment consists of the manufacture and sale of cigarettes. The Real Estate segment includes our investments in consolidated and non-consolidated real estate businesses.

	Three Months Ended				
	March 31				
	2013		2012		
Revenues:					
Тоbacco	\$ 240,402	\$	257,606		
Operating income:					
Tobacco	\$ 47,160 (1)	\$	37,515		
Real Estate	86		521		
Corporate and other	(4,150)		(4,590)		
Total operating income	\$ 43,096	\$	33,446		

⁽¹⁾ Operating income includes \$5,602 of income from a NPM Settlement.

Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 Compared to Three Months ended March 31, 2012

Revenues. All of our revenues were from the Tobacco segment for the first quarter of 2013 and 2012. Liggett increased the list price of PYRAMID, LIGGETT SELECT, EVE, and GRAND PRIX by \$1.00 per carton in June 2012, and \$0.60 per carton in December 2012.

All of our sales in 2013 and 2012 were in the discount category. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, revenues were \$240,402 compared to \$257,606 for the three months ended March 31, 2012. Revenues declined by 6.7% (\$17,204) primarily due to an unfavorable sales volume of \$30,983 (approximately 258.6 million units or 10.7%) offset by a favorable price variance of \$13,779 primarily related to increases in price of the Company's core brands. Liggett's first quarter 2013 results had two fewer shipping days than its first quarter 2012 and, adjusting for two fewer shipping days, Liggett's volume would have declined by 7.7%.

Cost of goods sold. Our cost of goods sold declined from \$200,181 for the three months ended March 31, 2012 to \$172,956 for the three months ended March 31, 2013. The major components of our cost of goods sold are federal excise taxes, expenses under the MSA, FDA legislation and tobacco buyout, which are variable costs based on the number of units sold, and tobacco and other manufacturing costs, which are fixed and variable costs. Federal excise taxes declined from \$121,925 for the three months ended March 31, 2012 to \$108,911 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as a result of decreased unit sales volume of 10.7%. Tobacco and other manufacturing costs were \$28,827 and \$30,575 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Expenses under the MSA were \$22,788 and \$33,668 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The 2013 MSA expenses were reduced by the \$5,602 NPM settlement.

Tobacco gross profit. Tobacco gross profit was \$67,445 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to \$57,425 for the three months ended March 31, 2012. This represented an increase of \$10,020 (17.4%) from the 2012 period. This increase was due primarily to higher prices and the \$5,602 from the NPM settlement. As a percentage of revenues (excluding federal excise taxes), Tobacco gross profit increased to 51.3% in the 2013 period (47.0% adjusting for the NPM Settlement) compared to gross profit of 42.3% in the 2012 period due to higher prices and the NPM settlement.

Expenses. Operating, selling, general and administrative expenses were \$24,350 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to \$23,979 for the same period last year, an increase of \$371 (1.5%). Tobacco expenses were \$20,285 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to \$19,910 for the same period in the prior year. The increase of \$375 was primarily the

result of an increased sales force in 2013 and an increase in point of sales materials. Tobacco product liability legal expenses and other litigation costs were \$1,609 and \$1,961 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Expenses at the corporate level decreased from \$4,590 to \$4,151.

Operating income. Operating income was \$43,096 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to \$33,446 for the same period last year, an increase of \$9,650 (28.9%). Tobacco segment operating income increased to \$47,160 in 2013 from \$37,515 in 2012 primarily due to higher prices and the NPM settlement in 2013. The real estate segment operating income was \$86 and \$521 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, related primarily to Escena's operations.

Other income (expenses). Other expenses were \$45,112 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to expenses of \$44,628 for the same period last year. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, other expenses primarily consisted of interest expense of \$33,376, loss on the extinguishment of debt of \$21,458 and equity loss on long-term investments of \$23. This was offset by equity income on non-consolidated real estate businesses of \$481, income of \$3,049 from changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt, gain on sale of investment securities available for sale of \$5,406, and other income of \$809. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, other expenses primarily consisted of interest expense of \$26,252, charges of \$21,057 for changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt, an equity loss on long-term investments of \$114, and other expenses of \$68. The expenses were offset by equity income on nonconsolidated real estate businesses of \$68.

The value of the embedded derivatives is contingent on changes in interest rates of debt instruments maturing over the duration of the convertible debt, our stock price as well as projections of future cash and stock dividends over the term of the debt. The interest rate component of the value of the embedded derivative is computed by calculating an equivalent non-convertible, unsecured and subordinated borrowing cost. This rate is determined by calculating the implied rate on our 7.5% Convertible Notes when removing the embedded option value within the convertible security. This rate is based upon market observable inputs and influenced by our stock price, convertible bond trading price, risk free interest rates and stock volatility. We recognized income of \$3,049 and charges of \$21,057 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Loss before provision for income tax benefit. Loss before provision for income tax benefit for the three months ended March 31, 2013 was \$2,016 compared to \$11,182 for the three months ended March 31, 2012.

Income tax benefit. The income tax benefit was \$335 and \$3,492 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Our provision for income taxes in interim periods is based on an estimated annual effective income tax rate derived, in part, from estimated annual income before provision for income taxes in accordance with guidance on accounting for income taxes on interim periods. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, our income tax benefit was reduced by \$453 due to the discrete item related to the loss on the extinguishment of the 11% Senior Secured Notes. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, our income tax benefit was reduced by an out-of-period adjustment of \$757 related to a non-accrual of a non-deductible expense related to a permanent difference for income taxes in the fourth quarter of 2011.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash and cash equivalents decreased by \$53,953 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to a decrease of \$21,372 for the three months ended March 31, 2012.

Cash used in operations was \$9,917 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to cash provided by operations of \$40,576 for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The change primarily related to cash payments in the 2013 period associated with the extinguishment of our 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015, lower settlement accruals under the MSA in the 2013 period due to the NPM Settlement and lower unit sales, higher collections of accounts receivable in the 2012 period compared to the 2013 period due to the timing of sales in the fourth quarter of the previous year, higher excise tax payments in the 2013 period associated with the timing of shipments during the fourth quarter of 2012 and higher cash interest expenditures primarily due to the November 2012 issuance of our 7.5% Senior Convertible Notes due 2019. The amounts were offset in part by increased operating income in 2013.

Cash used in investing activities was \$11,007 and \$5,746 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. In the first three months of 2013, cash used in investing activities was for investments in consolidated real estate business of \$7,617, the purchase of investment securities of \$5,000, capital expenditures of \$3,557, investment in non-consolidated real estate businesses of \$1,354 and an increase in cash surrender value of corporate-owned life insurance policies of \$68. This was offset by the sale of investment securities of \$6,582 and an increase in non-current restricted assets of \$7. In the first three months of 2012, cash used for investing activities was for the purchase of long-term investments of \$5,000, capital expenditures of \$2,243, an increase in cash surrender value of corporate-owned life insurance policies of \$472, the issuance of notes receivable of \$43

and investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses of \$25. This was offset by decrease in restricted assets of \$1,286, distributions from nonconsolidated real estate businesses of \$740 and the proceeds from the sale of fixed assets of \$11.

Cash used in financing activities was \$33,029 and \$56,202 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. In the first three months of 2013, cash was used for the repayment of debt of \$416,904, distributions on common stock of \$35,749, net repayments of debt under the revolver of \$18,929 and deferred financing costs of \$11,447. This was offset by proceeds from debt issuance of \$450,000, In the first three months of 2012, cash was used for distributions on common stock of \$32,975, net repayments of debt under the revolver of \$21,469, repayment of debt of \$11,526 and deferred financing costs of \$150. This was offset by proceeds from the exercise of Vector options of \$44, and tax benefit of options exercised of \$4.

Liggett Credit Facility. Liggett has a \$50,000 credit facility (the "Credit Facility") with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ("Wells Fargo"). The Credit Facility is collateralized by all inventories and receivables of Liggett and a mortgage on Liggett's manufacturing facility. The Credit Facility requires Liggett's compliance with certain financial and other covenants including a restriction on Liggett's ability to pay cash dividends unless Liggett's borrowing availability, as defined, under the credit facility for the 30-day period prior to the payment of the dividend, and after giving effect to the dividend, is at least \$5,000 and no event of default has occurred under the agreement, including Liggett's compliance with the covenants in the credit facility.

The Credit Facility expires on March 8, 2015; provided that Liggett may terminate the Credit Facility prior to March 8, 2015 at any time by giving at least 30 days prior written notice to Wells Fargo, and Wells Fargo may, at Well Fargo's option, terminate the Credit Facility at any time upon the occurrence and during the continuance of an Event of Default. Prime rate loans under the facility bear interest at a rate equal to the prime rate of Wells Fargo with Eurodollar rate loans bearing interest at a rate of 2.0% above Wells Fargo's adjusted Eurodollar rate. The credit facility contains covenants that provide that Liggett's earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, as defined under the credit facility, on a trailing twelve month basis, shall not be less than \$100,000 if Liggett's excess availability, as defined, under the credit facility, is less than \$20,000. The covenants also require that annual Capital Expenditures, as defined under the credit facility (before a maximum carryover amount of \$2,500), shall not exceed \$15,000 during any fiscal year except for 2010, when Liggett was permitted to incur Capital Expenditures of up to \$33,000. Liggett had future machinery and equipment purchase commitments of \$4,746 at March 31, 2013.

Liggett Term Loan Under Credit Facility. On February 21, 2012, Wells Fargo amended and restated the existing \$5,600 term loan (the "Term Loan") made to 100 Maple LLC ("Maple"), a subsidiary of Liggett, within the commitment under the Credit Facility. In connection with the amendment and restatement the maturity date of the Term Loan was extended to March 1, 2015 and the outstanding principal amount was paid down to \$4,425. The Term Loan bears an interest rate equal to 1.75% more than Wells Fargo's adjusted Eurodollar rate. Monthly payments of \$25 are due under the Term Loan from March 1, 2012 to February 1, 2015 (\$885 in total) with the balance of \$3,540 due at maturity on March 1, 2015.

The Term Loan is collateralized by the existing collateral securing the Credit Facility, including, without limitation, certain real property owned by Maple. The Term Loan did not increase the \$50,000 borrowing amount of the Credit Facility, but did increase the outstanding amounts under the Credit Facility by the amount of the term loan and proportionately reduces the maximum borrowing availability under the Credit Facility.

The Credit Facility permits the guaranty of our 7.75% senior secured notes due 2021 by each of Liggett and 100 Maple LLC, a subsidiary of Liggett ("Maple") and the pledging of certain assets of Liggett and Maple on a subordinated basis to secure their guarantees. The credit facility also grants to Wells Fargo a blanket lien on all the assets of Liggett and Maple, excluding any equipment pledged to current or future purchase money or other financiers of such equipment and excluding any real property, other than the Mebane Property and other real property to the extent its value is in excess of \$5,000. Wells Fargo, Liggett, Maple and the collateral agent for the holders of our 7.75% senior secured notes have entered into an intercreditor agreement, pursuant to which the liens of the collateral agent on the Liggett and Maple assets will be subordinated to the liens of Wells Fargo on the Liggett and Maple assets.

As of March 31, 2013, \$14,607 was outstanding under the revolving and term loan portions of the credit facility. Availability as determined under the Credit Facility was approximately \$35,393 based on eligible collateral at March 31, 2013. At March 31, 2013, management believed that Liggett was in compliance with all covenants under the credit facility; Liggett's EBITDA, as defined, were approximately \$171,525 for the twelve months ended March 31, 2013.

Tobacco Litigation. In June 2002, the jury in an *Engle* progeny case awarded \$24,835 of compensatory damages against Liggett and two other defendants and found Liggett 50% responsible for the damages. The verdict was affirmed on appeal and Liggett paid \$14,361 in June 2010. To date, eight other verdicts have been entered in *Engle* progeny cases against Liggett in the total amount of approximately \$17,671, plus attorneys' fees and interest. Three of these verdicts have been affirmed on appeal. Liggett has satisfied the judgments in two cases. It is possible that additional cases could be decided unfavorably. Liggett may enter into discussions in an attempt to settle particular cases if it believes it is appropriate to do so.

Management cannot predict the cash requirements related to any future settlements or judgments, including cash required to bond any appeals, and there is a risk that those requirements will not be able to be met. Management is unable to make a reasonable estimate of the amount or range of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome of the cases pending against Liggett or the costs of defending such cases. It is possible that our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows could be materially adversely affected by an unfavorable outcome in any such tobacco-related litigation.

Vector. In February 2013, we issued \$450,000 of our 7.75% senior secured notes due 2021 in a private offering to qualified institutional investors in accordance with Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. The aggregate net proceeds from the issuance of the 7.75% senior secured notes were approximately \$438,250 after deducting offering expenses. We used the net proceeds of the issuance for a cash tender offer for any existing 11% senior secured notes announced on January 29, 2013 with respect to any and all of the outstanding \$415,000 of our 11% senior secured notes due 2015. We retired \$336,315 of the 11% senior secured notes at a premium of 104.292%, plus accrued and unpaid interest, on February 12, 2013. We called and then retired the remaining \$78,685 of the 11% senior secured notes at a redemption price of 103.667% plus accrued and unpaid interest, on March 14, 2013. We recorded a loss on the extinguishment of the debt of \$21,458 for the three months ended March 31, 2013, which included \$17,820 of premium and tender offer costs and non-cash interest expense of \$3,638 related to the write-off of net unamortized debt discount and deferred finance costs.

We agreed to consummate a registered exchange offer for the 7.75% senior secured notes within 360 days after the date of the initial issuance of the 7.75% senior secured notes. On April 26, 2013, we commenced an offer to exchange our 7.75% Senior Secured Notes for an equal amount of newly issued 7.75% Senior Secured Notes due 2021. The new 7.75% senior secured notes to be issued in the exchange offer will have substantially the same terms as the original notes, except that the new 7.75% senior secured notes will have been registered under the Securities Act. We will be required to pay additional interest on the 7.75% senior secured notes if we fail to timely comply with our obligations under the Registration Rights Agreement until such time as we comply.

The 7.75% senior secured notes pay interest on a semi-annual basis at a rate of 7.75% per year and mature on February 15, 2021. We may redeem some or all of the 7.75% senior secured notes at any time prior to February 15, 2016 at a make-whole redemption price. On or after February 15, 2016 we may redeem some or all of the 7.75% senior secured notes at a premium that will decrease over time, plus accrued and unpaid interest and liquidated damages, if any, to the redemption date.

The 7.75% senior secured notes are guaranteed subject to certain customary automatic release provisions on a joint and several basis by all of our 100% owned domestic subsidiaries that are engaged in the conduct of our cigarette businesses. In addition, some of the guarantees are collateralized by second priority or first priority security interests in certain collateral of some of the subsidiary guarantors, including their common stock, pursuant to security and pledge agreements.

The indenture contains covenants that restrict the payment of dividends if our consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("Consolidated EBITDA"), as defined in the indenture, for the most recently ended four full quarters is less than \$75,000. The indenture also restricts the incurrence of debt if our Leverage Ratio and our Secured Leverage Ratio, as defined in the indenture, exceed 3.0 and 1.5, respectively. Our Leverage Ratio is defined in the indenture as the ratio of our guaranteeing subsidiaries' total debt less the fair market value of our cash, investments in marketable securities and long-term investments to Consolidated EBITDA, as defined in the indenture. Our Secured Leverage Ratio is defined in the indenture in the same manner as the Leverage Ratio, except that secured indebtedness is substituted for indebtedness. The following table summarizes the requirements of these financial covenants and the results of the calculation, as defined by the indenture.

	Indenture	March 31,	December 31,
Covenant	Requirement	2013	2012
Consolidated EBITDA, as defined	\$75,000	\$245,839	\$231,385
Leverage ratio, as defined	<3.0 to 1	0.8 to 1	0.5 to 1
Secured leverage ratio, as defined	<1.5 to 1	0.1 to 1	Negative

We and our subsidiaries have significant indebtedness and debt service obligations. At March 31, 2013, we and our subsidiaries had total outstanding indebtedness of \$911,621. Approximately \$157,500 of our 6.75% convertible notes mature in 2014. We are required to offer to repurchase on June 15, 2016, the remaining \$43,222 of our 3.875% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debentures due 2026. Approximately \$230,000 of our 7.5% variable interest convertible notes mature in 2019. We incurred an additional \$450,000 of indebtedness in connection with the February 2013 offering of our 7.75% senior secured notes due 2021. In addition, subject to the terms of any future agreements, we and our subsidiaries will be able to incur additional indebtedness in the future. There is a risk that we will not be able to generate sufficient funds to repay our debt. If we cannot service our fixed charges, it would have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

We believe that our cigarette operations are positive cash flow generating units and will continue to be able to sustain their operations without any significant liquidity concerns.

In order to meet the above liquidity requirements as well as other anticipated liquidity needs in the normal course of business, we had cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$351,900, investment securities available for sale of approximately \$82,400, long-term investments with an estimated value of approximately \$26,300 and availability under Liggett's credit facility of approximately \$35,400 at March 31, 2013. Management currently anticipates that these amounts, as well as expected cash flows from our operations, proceeds from public and/or private debt and equity financing, management fees and other payments from subsidiaries should be sufficient to meet our liquidity needs over the next 12 months. We may acquire or seek to acquire additional operating businesses through merger, purchase of assets, stock acquisition or other means, or to make other investments, which may limit our liquidity otherwise available.

On a quarterly basis, we evaluate our investments to determine whether an impairment has occurred. If so, we also make a determination if such impairment is considered temporary or other-than-temporary. We believe that the assessment of temporary or other-than-temporary impairment is facts and circumstances driven. However, among the matters that are considered in making such a determination are the period of time the investment has remained below its cost or carrying value, the likelihood of recovery given the reason for the decrease in market value and our original expected holding period of the investment.

Market Risk

We are exposed to market risks principally from fluctuations in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and equity prices. We seek to minimize these risks through our regular operating and financing activities and our long-term investment strategy. Our market risk management procedures cover all market risk sensitive financial instruments.

As of March 31, 2013, approximately \$14,600 of our outstanding debt at face value had variable interest rates determined by various interest rate indices, which increases the risk of fluctuating interest rates. Our exposure to market risk includes interest rate fluctuations in connection with our variable rate borrowings, which could adversely affect our cash flows. As of March 31, 2013, we had no interest rate caps or swaps. Based on a hypothetical 100 basis point increase or decrease in interest rates (1%), our annual interest expense could increase or decrease by approximately \$146.

In addition, as of March 31, 2013, \$164,751 (\$430,752 principal amount) of outstanding debt had a variable interest rate determined by the amount of the dividends on our common stock. The difference between the stated value of the debt and carrying value is due principally to certain embedded derivatives, which were separately valued and recorded upon issuance. Changes to the estimated fair value of these embedded derivatives are reflected within our statements of operations as "Changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt." The value of the embedded derivative is contingent on changes in interest rates of debt instruments maturing over the duration of the convertible debt as well as projections of future cash and stock dividends over the term of the debt and changes in the closing stock price at the end of each quarterly period. Based on a hypothetical 100 basis point increase or decrease in interest rates (1%), our annual "Changes in fair value of derivative associated with our 6.75% note due 2014, \$174 resulting from the embedded derivative associated with our 6.75% exchange notes due 2014, \$2,886 resulting from the embedded derivative associated with our 3.875% variable interest senior convertible debt as 2026. An increase in our quarterly dividend rate by \$0.10 per share would increase interest expense by approximately \$11,000 per year.

We have estimated the fair market value of the embedded derivatives based principally on the results of a valuation model. The value of the embedded derivatives is contingent on changes in interest rates of debt instruments maturing over the duration of the convertible debt, our stock price as well as projections of future cash and stock dividends over the term of the debt. The interest rate component of the value of the embedded derivative is computed by calculating an equivalent non-convertible, unsecured and subordinated borrowing cost. This rate is determined by calculating the implied rate on our 7.5% Convertible Notes when removing the embedded option value within the convertible security. This rate is based upon market observable inputs and influenced by our stock price, convertible bond trading price, risk free interest rates and stock volatility. The range of estimated fair market values of our embedded derivatives was between \$171,852 and \$166,386. We recorded the fair market value of our embedded derivatives at the midpoint of the inputs at \$169,079 as of March 31, 2013. The estimated fair market value of our embedded derivatives could change significantly based on future market conditions.

We held investment securities available for sale totaling \$82,439 at March 31, 2013, which includes 13,891,205 common shares of Ladenburg Thalmann Financial Services Inc. carried at \$23,059 and 1,000,000 warrants carried at \$779.



We and New Valley also hold long-term investments in various investment partnerships. These investments are illiquid, and their ultimate realization is subject to the performance of the underlying entities.

New Accounting Pronouncements

Refer to Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, to our financial statements for further information on New Accounting Pronouncements.

Legislation and Regulation

Reports with respect to the alleged harmful physical effects of cigarette smoking have been publicized for many years and, in the opinion of Liggett's management, have had and may continue to have an adverse effect on cigarette sales. Since 1964, the Surgeon General of the United States and the Secretary of Health and Human Services have released a number of reports which state that cigarette smoking is a causative factor with respect to a variety of health hazards, including cancer, heart disease and lung disease, and have recommended various government actions to reduce the incidence of smoking. In 1997, Liggett publicly acknowledged that, as the Surgeon General and respected medical researchers have found, smoking causes health problems, including lung cancer, heart and vascular disease, and emphysema.

On June 22, 2009, the President signed into law the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act (the "Tobacco Control Act"). The law grants the Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") broad authority over the manufacture, sale, marketing and packaging of tobacco products, although FDA is prohibited from banning all cigarettes or all smokeless tobacco products, or requiring the reduction of nicotine yields of a tobacco product to zero. Among other measures, the law (under various deadlines):

- increases the number of health warnings required on cigarette and smokeless tobacco products, increases the size of warnings on packaging and in advertising, requires FDA to develop graphic warnings for cigarette packages, and grants FDA authority to require new warnings;
- requires practically all tobacco product advertising to eliminate color and imagery and instead consist solely of black text on white background;
- imposes new restrictions on the sale and distribution of tobacco products, including significant new restrictions on tobacco product advertising and promotion, as well as the use of brand and trade names;
- bans the use of "light," "mild," "low" or similar descriptors on tobacco products;
- bans the use of "characterizing flavors" in cigarettes other than tobacco or menthol;
- gives FDA the authority to impose tobacco product standards that are appropriate for the protection of the public health (by, for example, requiring reduction or elimination of the use of particular constituents or components, requiring product testing, or addressing other aspects of tobacco product construction, constituents, properties or labeling);
- requires manufacturers to obtain FDA review and authorization for the marketing of certain new or modified tobacco products;
- requires pre-market approval by FDA for tobacco products represented (through labels, labeling, advertising, or other means) as presenting a lower risk of harm or tobacco-related disease;
- requires manufacturers to report ingredients and harmful constituents and requires FDA to disclose certain constituent information to the public;
- mandates that manufacturers test and report on ingredients and constituents identified by FDA as requiring such testing to protect the public health, and allows FDA to require the disclosure of testing results to the public;
- requires manufacturers to submit to FDA certain information regarding the health, toxicological, behavioral or physiological effects of tobacco products;
- prohibits use of tobacco containing a pesticide chemical residue at a level greater than allowed under federal law;
- requires FDA to establish "good manufacturing practices" to be followed at tobacco manufacturing facilities;
- requires tobacco product manufacturers (and certain other entities) to register with FDA;
- authorizes FDA to require the reduction of nicotine (although it may not require the reduction of nicotine yields of a tobacco product to zero) and the potential reduction or elimination of other constituents, including menthol;
- imposes (and allows FDA to impose) various recordkeeping and reporting requirements on tobacco product manufacturers; and

• grants FDA the regulatory authority to impose broad additional restrictions.

The law also required establishment, within FDA's new Center for Tobacco Products, of a Tobacco Products Scientific Advisory Committee ("TPSAC") to provide advice, information and recommendations with respect to the safety, dependence or health issues related to tobacco products, including:

- a recommendation on modified risk applications;
- a recommendation on the effects of tobacco product nicotine yield alteration and whether there is a threshold level below which nicotine yields do not produce dependence;
- a report on the public health impact of the use of menthol in cigarettes; and
- a report on the public health impact of dissolvable tobacco products.

TPSAC completed its review of the use of menthol in cigarettes and issued a report with recommendations to FDA in March 2011. The report states that "removal of menthol cigarettes from the marketplace would benefit public health in the United States," but does not expressly recommend that FDA ban menthol cigarettes. FDA is considering the report and recommendations of TPSAC and will make a determination about what future regulatory action, if any, it believes is warranted. A decision by FDA to ban menthol in tobacco products could have a material adverse effect on us.

The law imposes user fees on certain tobacco product manufacturers in order to fund tobacco-related FDA activities. User fees will be allocated among tobacco product classes according to a formula set out in the legislation, and then among manufacturers and importers within each class based on market share. FDA user fees for Liggett and Vector Tobacco for 2012 were \$17,308 and we estimate that they will increase in the future.

The law also imposes significant new restrictions on the advertising and promotion of tobacco products. For example, as required under the law, FDA has reissued certain regulations previously issued by them in 1996 (which were struck down by the Supreme Court in 2000 as beyond FDA's authority). Subject to limitations imposed by a federal injunction (discussed below), these regulations took effect on June 22, 2010. As written, these regulations significantly limit the ability of manufacturers, distributors and retailers to advertise and promote tobacco products, by, for example, restricting the use of color and graphics in advertising, limiting the use of outdoor advertising, restricting the sale and distribution of non-tobacco items and services, gifts, and sponsorship of events, and imposing restrictions on the use for cigarette or smokeless tobacco products of trade or brand names that are used for nontobacco products.

In August 2009, several cigarette manufacturers filed a federal lawsuit against FDA challenging the constitutionality of a number of the restrictions imposed by the Tobacco Control Act, including the ban on color and graphics in advertising, the color graphic and non-graphic warning label requirement, limits on the right to make truthful statements regarding modified risk tobacco products, restrictions on the placement of outdoor advertising, and a ban on the distribution of product samples. In January 2010, a federal district court in Kentucky ruled that the regulations' ban on the use of color and graphics in certain tobacco product advertising was unconstitutional and prohibited FDA from enforcing that ban. The court, however, let stand numerous other advertising and promotion restrictions. In March 2010, both parties appealed this decision. In May 2010, FDA issued a guidance document indicating that it intends to exercise its enforcement discretion and not commence enforcement actions based upon these provisions during the pendency of the litigation. In March 2012, a federal appellate court reviewing the district court's decision also let stand numerous advertising and promotion restrictions, but held that the ban on the use of color and graphics in advertising was unconstitutional. In May 2012, the federal appellate court denied the cigarette manufactures' petition for rehearing *en banc*. In October 2012, the cigarette manufacturers filed a petition for writ of *certiorari* in the United States Supreme Court which was denied in April 2013.

In April 2010, a number of cigarette manufacturers filed a federal lawsuit against FDA challenging the restrictions on trade or brand names based upon First Amendment and other grounds. In May 2010, FDA issued a guidance document indicating that FDA was aware of concerns regarding the trade and brand name restrictions and is considering what changes, if any, would be appropriate to address those concerns. FDA also indicated that while the agency was considering those issues, it intended to exercise its enforcement discretion and not commence trade or brand name enforcement actions for the duration of its consideration where: (1) the trade or brand name of the cigarettes or smokeless tobacco product was registered, or the product was marketed, in the United States on or before June 22, 2009; or (2) the first marketing or registration in the United States of the tobacco product occurs before the first marketing or registration in the United States of the non-tobacco product bearing the same name; provided, however, that the tobacco and non-tobacco product are not owned, manufactured, or distributed by the same, related, or affiliated entities (including as a licensee). The lawsuit was subsequently stayed, at the request of the parties, pending FDA's evaluation of these concerns. In November 2011, FDA issued a proposal to amend its trade name restrictions. The proposal remains under consideration by the FDA. We cannot predict the future course of this proposed amendment or its potential impact on the litigation.

In June 2011, FDA issued a final rule that would have modified the required warnings that appear on cigarette packages and in cigarette advertisements. The rule would have required each cigarette package and advertisement to bear one of nine new



textual warning statements accompanied by graphic images. The warnings would appear on at least the top 50% of the front and rear panels of cigarette packages and occupy at least 20% of cigarette advertisements. In August 2011, a number of cigarette manufacturers, including Liggett, filed a federal lawsuit against FDA challenging the constitutionality of these new graphic images on First Amendment and other grounds and seeking an injunction staying implementation of the graphic images, and other related labeling requirements. In February 2012, the court granted the industry's motion for summary judgment permanently enjoining implementation of FDA's graphic warnings regulation on First Amendment grounds. This decision was affirmed on appeal and FDA did not seek United States Supreme Court review. Should FDA ultimately issue new graphic warnings that are deemed constitutionally valid, the decision provides that such warnings would go into effect 15 months after they are issued. We cannot predict how the inclusion of new warnings, if ultimately required by FDA in new rulemaking, will impact product sales or whether it will have a material adverse effect on us.

The Tobacco Control Act requires premarket review of "new tobacco products." A "new tobacco product" is one that was not commercially marketed in the U.S. before February 15, 2007 or that was modified after that date. In general, before a company may commercially market a "new tobacco product," it must either (a) submit an application and obtain an order from FDA permitting the product to be marketed; or (b) submit a report and receive an FDA order finding the product to be "substantially equivalent" to a "predicate" tobacco product that was commercially marketed in the U.S. prior to February 15, 2007. A "substantially equivalent" tobacco product is one that has the "same characteristics" as the predicate or one that has "different characteristics" but does not raise "different questions of public health."

Manufacturers of products first introduced after February 15, 2007 and before March 22, 2011 who submitted a substantial equivalence report to FDA prior to March 23, 2011 may continue to market the tobacco product unless FDA issues an order that the product is not substantially equivalent. Failure to timely submit the report, or FDA's conclusion that such a "new tobacco product" is not substantially equivalent, will cause the product to be deemed misbranded and/or adulterated. After March 22, 2011, a "new tobacco product" may not be marketed without an FDA substantial equivalence determination. Prior to the deadline, Liggett and Vector Tobacco submitted substantial equivalence reports to FDA for numerous products. It is possible that FDA could determine some, or all, of these products are not "substantially equivalent" to a preexisting tobacco product. Such a determination could prevent us from marketing these products in the United States and could have a material adverse effect on us.

It is likely that the Tobacco Control Act could result in a decrease in cigarette sales in the United States, including sales of Liggett's and Vector Tobacco's brands. Total compliance and related costs are not possible to predict and depend on the future requirements imposed by FDA under the new law. Costs, however, could be substantial and could have a material adverse effect on the companies' financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows. Failure to comply with the Tobacco Control Act and with FDA regulatory requirements could result in significant financial penalties and could have a material adverse effect on the business, financial condition and results of operation of both Liggett and Vector Tobacco. At present, we are not able to predict whether the Tobacco Control Act will impact Liggett and Vector Tobacco to a greater degree than other companies in the industry, thus affecting its competitive position.

In October 2004, the Fair and Equitable Tobacco Reform Act of 2004 ("FETRA") was signed into law. FETRA provides for the elimination of the federal tobacco quota and price support program through an industry funded buyout of tobacco growers and quota holders. Pursuant to the legislation, manufacturers of tobacco products have been assessed \$10,140,000 over a ten year period, commencing in 2005, to compensate tobacco growers and quota holders for the elimination of their quota rights. For 2012, cigarette manufacturers were responsible for approximately 89% of the assessment based on relative unit volume of domestic cigarette shipments. Liggett's and Vector Tobacco's assessment was \$30,874 for 2012. The annual assessments are set to expire at the end of 2014.

Cigarettes are subject to substantial and increasing federal, state and local excise taxes. On April 1, 2009, the federal cigarette excise tax increased from \$0.39 to \$1.01 per pack. State excise taxes vary considerably and, when combined with sales taxes, local taxes and the federal excise tax, may exceed \$4.00 per pack. Both the Federal government and many states are considering, or have pending, legislation proposing further excise tax increases. Management believes increases in excise and similar taxes have had, and will continue to have, an adverse effect on sales of cigarettes.

Over the last several years all 50 states and the District of Columbia have enacted virtually identical legislation requiring cigarettes to meet a laboratory test standard for reduced ignition propensity. Cigarettes that meet this standard are referred to as "fire standards compliant" or "FSC," and are sometimes commonly called "self-extinguishing." All of the cigarettes that Liggett and Vector Tobacco manufacture are fire standards compliant. Compliance with such legislation is burdensome and costly and could harm the business of Liggett and Vector Tobacco, particularly if standards were to vary from state to state.

A wide variety of federal, state and local laws limiting the advertising, sale and use of cigarettes have proliferated in recent years. For example, many local laws prohibit smoking in restaurants and other public places, and many employers have initiated programs restricting or eliminating smoking in the workplace. There are various other legislative efforts pending at the federal, state or local level which seek to, among other things, eliminate smoking in public places, curtail affirmative defenses of tobacco



companies in product liability litigation, and further restrict the sale, marketing and advertising of cigarettes and other tobacco products. This trend has had, and is likely to continue to have, an adverse effect on us. It is not possible to predict what, if any, additional legislation, regulation or other governmental action will be enacted or implemented.

In addition to the foregoing, there have been a number of other restrictive regulatory actions, adverse legislative and political decisions and other unfavorable developments concerning cigarette smoking and the tobacco industry. These developments may negatively affect the perception of potential triers of fact with respect to the tobacco industry, possibly to the detriment of certain pending litigation, and may prompt the commencement of additional similar litigation or legislation.

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

In addition to historical information, this report contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the federal securities law. Forward-looking statements include information relating to our intent, belief or current expectations, primarily with respect to, but not limited to:

- economic outlook,
- capital expenditures,
- cost reduction,
- legislation and regulations,
- cash flows,
- operating performance,
- litigation,
- impairment charges and cost saving associated with restructurings of our tobacco operations, and
- related industry developments (including trends affecting our business, financial condition and results of operations).

We identify forward-looking statements in this report by using words or phrases such as "anticipate", "believe", "estimate", "expect", "intend", "may be", "objective", "plan", "seek", "predict", "project" and "will be" and similar words or phrases or their negatives.

The forward-looking information involves important risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from our anticipated results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those suggested by the forward-looking statements include, without limitation, the following:

- · general economic and market conditions and any changes therein, due to acts of war and terrorism or otherwise,
- governmental regulations and policies,
- effects of industry competition,
- impact of business combinations, including acquisitions and divestitures, both internally for us and externally in the tobacco industry,
- impact of legislation on our competitors' payment obligations, results of operations and product costs, i.e. the impact of federal legislation eliminating the federal tobacco quota system and providing for regulation of tobacco products by the FDA,
- impact of substantial increases in federal, state and local excise taxes,
- uncertainty related to product liability litigation including the Engle progeny cases pending in Florida; and,
- potential additional payment obligations for us under the MSA and other settlement agreements with the states.

Further information on the risks and uncertainties to our business include the risk factors discussed above in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and under Item 1A, "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Although we believe the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, there is a risk that these expectations will not be attained and that any deviations will be material. The forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information under the caption "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Market Risk" is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report, and, based on their evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that these controls and procedures are effective.

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II

OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Reference is made to Note 5, incorporated herein by reference, to our condensed consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report which contains a general description of certain legal proceedings to which our company, or its subsidiaries are a party and certain related matters. Reference is also made to Exhibit 99.1 for additional information regarding the pending smoking-related legal proceedings to which Liggett or us is a party. A copy of Exhibit 99.1 will be furnished without charge upon written request to us at our principal executive offices, 100 S.E. Second St., 32nd Floor, Miami, Florida 33131, Attn. Investor Relations.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Except as set forth below, there are no material changes from the risk factors set forth in Item 1A, "Risk Factors," of our Annual Report on 10-K, as amended, for the year ended December 31, 2012.

We have significant liquidity commitments

We have certain liquidity commitments that could require the use of our existing cash resources. As of March 31, 2013, our corporate expenditures (exclusive of Liggett, Vector Tobacco and New Valley) and other potential liquidity requirements over the next 12 months included the following:

- cash interest expense of approximately \$91.9 million,
- dividends on our outstanding common shares (currently at an annual rate of approximately \$149.1 million, and
- other corporate expenses and taxes.

In order to meet the above liquidity requirements as well as other liquidity needs in the normal course of business, we will be required to use cash flows from operations and existing cash and cash equivalents. Should these resources be insufficient to meet the upcoming liquidity needs, we may also be required to liquidate investment securities available for sale and other long-term investments, or, if available, draw on Liggett's credit facility. While there are actions we can take to reduce our liquidity needs, there can be no assurance that such measures can be achieved.

We and our subsidiaries have a substantial amount of indebtedness.

We and our subsidiaries have significant indebtedness and debt service obligations. At March 31, 2013, we and our subsidiaries had total outstanding indebtedness of \$911,621. Approximately \$157,500 of our 6.75% convertible notes mature in 2014. We

are required to offer to repurchase on June 15, 2016, the remaining \$43,222 of our 3.875% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debentures due 2026. Approximately \$230,000 of our 7.5% variable interest convertible notes mature in 2019. We incurred an additional \$450,000 of indebtedness in connection with the February 2013 offering of our 7.75% senior secured notes due 2021. In addition, subject to the terms of any future agreements, we and our subsidiaries will be able to incur additional indebtedness in the future. There is a risk that we will not be able to generate sufficient funds to repay our debt. If we cannot service our fixed charges, it would have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Except for and as discussed in our filing on Form 8-K dated February 12, 2013 related to an additional \$450,000 principal amount of our 7.75% Senior Secured Notes, no securities of ours which were not registered under a private offering of the Securities Act of 1933 have been issued or sold by us during the three months ended March 31, 2013. We agreed to consummate a registered exchange offer for the 7.75% senior secured notes within 360 days after the date of the initial issuance of the 7.75% senior secured notes. On April 26, 2013, we commenced an offer to exchange our 7.75% Senior Secured Notes for an equal amount of newly issued 7.75% Senior Secured Notes due 2021. The new 7.75% Senior Secured Notes have substantially the same terms as the original 7.75% Senior Secured Notes, except that our new 7.75% Senior Secured Notes have been registered under the Securities Act.

- *4.1 Indenture, dated as of February 12, 2013, among Vector, the guarantors named therein and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, relating to the 7.75% Senior Secured Notes due 2021, including Form of Note (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of Vector's Form 8-K dated February 12, 2013).
- *4.2 Pledge Agreement, dated as of February 12, 2013, by and between VGR Holding LLC U.S. Bank National Association, as collateral agent, relating to the 7.75% Senior Secured Notes due 2021 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 of Vector's Form 8-K dated February 12, 2013).
- *4.3 Security Agreement, dated as of February 12, 2013, by and between Vector Tobacco Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association, as collateral agent, relating to the 7.75% Senior Secured Notes due 2021 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 of Vector's Form 8-K dated February 12, 2013).
- *4.4 Security Agreement, dated as of February 12, 2013, among Liggett Group LLC, 100 Maple LLC and U.S. Bank National Association, as collateral agent, relating to the 7.75% Senior Secured Notes due 2021 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 of Vector's Form 8-K dated February 12, 2013).
- *4.5 Intercreditor Agreement, dated as of February 12, 2013, among Liggett Group LLC, 100 Maple LLC, U.S. Bank National Association and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, relating to the 7.75% Senior Secured Notes due 2021 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 of Vector's Form 8-K dated February 12, 2013).
- *4.6 Sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 12, 2013, among Vector Group Ltd., the guarantors named therein and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, relating to the 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.7 of Vector's Form 8-K dated February 12, 2013).
- **10.1** Stock Option Agreement, dated February 26, 2013, between Vector and Howard M. Lorber.
- **10.2** Stock Option Agreement, dated February 26, 2013, between Vector and Richard J. Lampen.
- **10.3** Stock Option Agreement, dated February 26, 2013, between Vector and J. Bryant Kirkland III.
- **10.4** Stock Option Agreement, dated February 26, 2013, between Vector and Marc N. Bell.
- *10.5 Term Sheet agreed to by Liggett Group LLC, certain other Participating Manufacturers, 18 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Reynolds American Inc.'s (Commission File Number 1-32258) Form 8-K, dated March 12, 2013).
- *10.6 Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of February 12, 2013, among Vector Group Ltd., the guarantors named therein and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, relating to the 7.75% Senior Secured Notes due 2021 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of Vector's Form 8-K dated February 12, 2013).
- **12.1** Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges for each of the five years within the period ended December 31, 2012 and for each of the three months within the periods ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.
- **31.1** Certification of Chief Executive Officer, Pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- **31.2** Certification of Chief Financial Officer, Pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- **32.1** Certification of Chief Executive Officer, Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- **32.2** Certification of Chief Financial Officer, Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 99.1 Material Legal Proceedings
- *99.2 Arbitration Panel's Entry of Stipulated Partial Settlement and Award, dated March 12, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to Reynolds American Inc.'s (Commission File Number 1-32258) Form 8-K, dated March 12, 2013).

- **101.INS** XBRL Instance Document
- **101.SCH** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
- **101.PRE** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

VECTOR GROUP LTD. (Registrant)

By: /s/ J. Bryant Kirkland III

J. Bryant Kirkland III Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer

Date: May 1, 2013

VECTOR GROUP LTD. 100 S.E. Second Street, 32nd Floor Miami, Florida 33131

February 25, 2013

Mr. Howard M. Lorber [Address Redacted]

Dear Mr. Lorber:

We are pleased to inform you that Vector Group Ltd. (the "Company") has granted you a nonqualified option (the "Option") to purchase 500,000 shares of the Company's common stock, par value \$.10 per share (the "Common Stock"), at a purchase price of \$16.12 per share, subject to adjustment (any of the underlying shares of Common Stock to be issued upon exercise of the Option are referred to hereinafter as the "Shares"), pursuant to the Company's 1999 Amended and Restated Long-Term Incentive Plan, as may be and is in effect and as amended from time to time (the "Plan"). This agreement is subject in all respects to the terms and provisions of the Plan, all of which terms and provisions are made a part of and incorporated in this agreement as if they were each expressly set forth herein. In the event of any conflict between the terms of this agreement and the terms of the Plan, the terms of the Plan shall control.

1. The Option may be exercised on or prior to the tenth anniversary of the date of grant (after which date the Option will, to the extent not previously exercised, expire), provided the Option shall only vest and become exercisable as to all of the aggregate shares covered thereby on February 25, 2017. However, the Option shall earlier vest and become immediately exercisable upon (i) the occurrence of a "Change in Control" as defined in Section 6(f) of the Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated as of January 27, 2006, by and between you and the Company, regardless of whether the Employment Agreement is then in effect (the "Employment Agreement"), other than any Change in Control arising by reason of a testamentary bequest by Bennett S. LeBow to or for the benefit of his surviving spouse of any or all securities of the Company beneficially owned by him as of the date of death, so long as, following the bequest, the event referenced in Section 6(f)(ii) of the Employment Agreement shall not have occurred or (ii) the termination of your employment with the Company due to death or Disability (as defined in Section 2.8 of the Plan).

2. The Option, from and after the date it vests and becomes exercisable pursuant to Section 1 hereof, may be exercised in whole or in part by delivering to the Company a written notice of exercise in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A, specifying the number of the Shares to be purchased and the purchase price therefor, together with payment of the purchase price of the Shares to be purchased. The purchase price is to be paid in cash or by delivering shares of Common Stock already owned by you for at least six months having a fair market value on the date of exercise equal to the purchase price of the Option being exercised, or a combination of such shares and cash.

In addition, payment of the purchase price of the Shares to be purchased may also be made by delivering a properly executed notice to the Company, together with a copy of the irrevocable instructions to a broker to deliver promptly to the Company the amount of sale or loan proceeds necessary to pay the purchase price, and, if required, the amount of any federal, state or local withholding taxes.

No Shares shall be issued until full payment therefor has been made. You shall have all of the rights of a stockholder of the Company holding the Common Stock that is subject to the Option (including, if applicable, the

right to vote the Shares and the right to receive dividends thereon), when you have given written notice of exercise, have paid in full for such Shares and, if requested, have given the certificate described in Section 9 hereof.

3. In the event your employment with the Company is terminated for any reason, the Option shall forthwith terminate, provided that you may exercise any then unexercised portion of the Option then vested and exercisable pursuant to Section 1 hereof at any time prior to the earlier of one year from the date of termination or the expiration of the Option.

4. The Option is not transferable except (i) by will or the applicable laws of descent and distribution, (ii) as a gift to a foundation, charity or other not-for-profit organization, or (iii) for transfers to your family members or trusts or other entities whose beneficiaries are your family members, provided that such transfer is being made for estate, tax and/or personal planning purposes.

5. In the event of your death or Disability, the Option may be exercised by your personal representative or representatives, or by the person or persons to whom your rights under the Option shall pass by will or by the applicable laws of descent and distribution, within the one year period following termination due to death or Disability.

6. In the event of any change in capitalization affecting the Common Stock of the Company, including, without limitation, a stock dividend or other distribution, stock split, reverse stock split, recapitalization, consolidation, subdivision, split-up, spin-off, split-off, combination or exchange of shares or other form of reorganization or recapitalization, or any other change affecting the Common Stock, the aggregate number of shares of Common Stock covered by the Option and the exercise price per share of Common Stock subject to the Option shall be proportionately adjusted by the Company.

7. The grant of the Option does not confer on you any right to continue in the employ of the Company or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates or interfere in any way with the right of the Company or its subsidiaries or affiliates to terminate the term of your employment.

8. The Company shall require as a condition to the exercise of any portion of the Option that you pay to the Company, or make other arrangements regarding the payment of, any federal state or local taxes required by law to be withheld as a result of such exercise.

9. Unless at the time of the exercise of any portion of the Option a registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Act"), is in effect as to the Shares, the Shares shall be acquired for investment and not for sale or distribution, and if the Company so requests, upon any exercise of the Option, in whole or in part, you agree to execute and deliver to the Company a reasonable certificate to such effect.

10. You understand and acknowledge that: (i) any Shares purchased by you upon exercise of the Option may be required to be held indefinitely unless such Shares are subsequently registered under the Act or an exemption from such registration is available; (ii) any sales of such Shares made in reliance upon Rule 144 promulgated under the Act may be made only in accordance with the terms and conditions of that Rule (which, under certain circumstances, restrict the number of shares which may be sold and the manner in which shares may be sold); (iii) certificates for Shares to be issued to you hereunder shall bear a legend to the effect that the Shares have not been registered under the Act relating thereto or an opinion of counsel satisfactory to the Company that such registration is not required; and (iv) the Company shall place an appropriate "stop transfer" order with its transfer agent with respect to such Shares.

11. In the event of the payment of any dividends or other distributions in respect of the Common Stock on or after the date hereof, through and including the tenth anniversary of the date of grant, you shall receive, within ten days of the payment of such dividend or distribution, a payment equal to the amount of any such

Mr. Howard M. Lorber February 25, 2013 Page 3

dividends or other distributions that would have been paid to you had you been at the record date for such dividends or other distributions a shareholder of the Shares issuable upon exercise of any then unexercised portion of the Option, whether vested or unvested (the "Dividend Equivalent"), provided, that in the event that the payment of such dividend or distribution occurs within the last ten days of a calendar year, the Dividend Equivalent shall be paid by the Company within the first ten days of the subsequent calendar year.

12. The Company represents and warrants to you as follows: (i) this letter agreement and the grant of the Option hereunder have been authorized by all necessary corporate action by the Company and this letter agreement is a valid and binding agreement of the Company enforceable against the Company in accordance with its terms; (ii) the grant of the Option to you on the terms set forth herein will be exempt from the provisions of Section 16(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, pursuant to Rule 16b-3(d) thereunder; (iii) the Company will obtain, at its expense, any regulatory approvals necessary or advisable in connection with the grant of the Option or the issuance of the Shares; and (iv) the Company currently has reserved and available, and will continue to have reserved and available during the term of the Option, sufficient authorized and issued shares of its Common Stock for issuance upon exercise of the Option.

13. Promptly following the date hereof, the Company shall use its best efforts to file and keep in effect a Registration Statement on Form S-8, Form S-3 or other applicable form to register under the Act the Shares issuable to you upon exercise of the Option and the resale thereof by you.

14. This letter agreement contains all the understandings between the Company and you pertaining to the matters referred to herein, and supercedes all undertakings and agreements, whether oral or in writing, previously entered into by the Company and you with respect hereto. No provision of this letter agreement may be amended or waived unless such amendment or waiver is agreed to in writing signed by you and a duly authorized officer of the Company. No waiver by the Company or you of any breach by the other party hereto of any condition or provision of this letter agreement to be performed by such other party shall be deemed a waiver of a similar or dissimilar condition or provision at the same time, any prior time or any subsequent time. If any provision of this letter agreement or the application of any such provision to any party or circumstances shall be determined by any court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid and unenforceable to any extent, the remainder of this letter agreement or the application of such provision to such person or circumstances other than those to which it is so determined to be invalid and unenforceable, shall not be affected thereby, and each provision hereof shall be validated and shall be enforced to the fullest extent permitted by law. This letter agreement will be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Delaware, without regard to its conflicts of laws principles. This letter agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

Mr. Howard M. Lorber February 25, 2013 Page 4

Would you kindly evidence your acceptance of the Option and your agreement to comply with the provisions hereof by executing this letter agreement in the space provided below.

Very truly yours,

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

By:<u>/s/ Richard J. Lampen</u> Richard J. Lampen Executive Vice President

AGREED TO AND ACCEPTED:

/s/ Howard M. Lorber Howard M. Lorber Vector Group Ltd. 100 S. E. Second Street, 32nd Floor Miami, Florida 33131

Gentlemen:

Noti price of \$	ce is hereby given of my election per Share, pursuant to the pro	n to purchase shares of Common sistence of the stock option granted to me on Fe	Stock, \$.10 par value (the "Shares"), of Vector Group Ltd., at a ebruary 25, 2013. Enclosed in payment for the Shares is:
		ount of \$	
	Shares on the date he	Shares having a total value of \$ ereof.	, such value being based on the closing price(s) of the
The	following information is supplied	for use in issuing and registering the Shares pr	urchased hereby:
	Number of Certificates and Denominations		
	Name		
	Address		
	Social Security No.		
Dated:		Very truly yours,	
		Howard M. Lorber	

<u>EXHIBIT A</u>

VECTOR GROUP LTD. 100 S.E. Second Street, 32nd Floor Miami, Florida 33131

February 25, 2013

Mr. Richard J. Lampen [Address Redacted]

Dear Mr. Lampen:

We are pleased to inform you that Vector Group Ltd. (the "Company") has granted you a nonqualified option (the "Option") to purchase 125,000 shares of the Company's common stock, par value \$.10 per share (the "Common Stock"), at a purchase price of \$16.12 per share, subject to adjustment (any of the underlying shares of Common Stock to be issued upon exercise of the Option are referred to hereinafter as the "Shares"), pursuant to the Company's 1999 Amended and Restated Long-Term Incentive Plan, as may be and is in effect and as amended from time to time (the "Plan"). This agreement is subject in all respects to the terms and provisions of the Plan, all of which terms and provisions are made a part of and incorporated in this agreement as if they were each expressly set forth herein. In the event of any conflict between the terms of this agreement and the terms of the Plan, the terms of the Plan shall control.

1. The Option may be exercised on or prior to the tenth anniversary of the date of grant (after which date the Option will, to the extent not previously exercised, expire), provided the Option shall only vest and become exercisable as to all of the aggregate shares covered thereby on February 25, 2017. However, the Option shall earlier vest and become immediately exercisable upon (i) the occurrence of a "Change in Control" as defined in Section 6(f) of the Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated as of January 27, 2006, by and between Howard M. Lorber and the Company, regardless of whether the Employment Agreement is then in effect (the "Employment Agreement"), other than any Change in Control arising by reason of a testamentary bequest by Bennett S. LeBow to or for the benefit of his surviving spouse of any or all securities of the Company beneficially owned by him as of the date of death, so long as, following the bequest, the event referenced in Section 6(f)(ii) of the Employment Agreement shall not have occurred or (ii) the termination of your employment with the Company due to death or Disability (as defined in Section 2.8 of the Plan).

2. The Option, from and after the date it vests and becomes exercisable pursuant to Section 1 hereof, may be exercised in whole or in part by delivering to the Company a written notice of exercise in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A, specifying the number of the Shares to be purchased and the purchase price therefor, together with payment of the purchase price of the Shares to be purchased. The purchase price is to be paid in cash or by delivering shares of Common Stock already owned by you for at least six months having a fair market value on the date of exercise equal to the purchase price of the Option being exercised, or a combination of such shares and cash.

In addition, payment of the purchase price of the Shares to be purchased may also be made by delivering a properly executed notice to the Company, together with a copy of the irrevocable instructions to a broker to deliver promptly to the Company the amount of sale or loan proceeds necessary to pay the purchase price, and, if required, the amount of any federal, state or local withholding taxes.

No Shares shall be issued until full payment therefor has been made. You shall have all of the rights of a stockholder of the Company holding the Common Stock that is subject to the Option (including, if applicable, the

right to vote the Shares and the right to receive dividends thereon), when you have given written notice of exercise, have paid in full for such Shares and, if requested, have given the certificate described in Section 9 hereof.

3. In the event your employment with the Company is terminated for any reason, the Option shall forthwith terminate, provided that you may exercise any then unexercised portion of the Option then vested and exercisable pursuant to Section 1 hereof at any time prior to the earlier of one year from the date of termination or the expiration of the Option.

4. The Option is not transferable except (i) by will or the applicable laws of descent and distribution, (ii) as a gift to a foundation, charity or other not-for-profit organization, or (iii) for transfers to your family members or trusts or other entities whose beneficiaries are your family members, provided that such transfer is being made for estate, tax and/or personal planning purposes.

5. In the event of your death or Disability, the Option may be exercised by your personal representative or representatives, or by the person or persons to whom your rights under the Option shall pass by will or by the applicable laws of descent and distribution, within the one year period following termination due to death or Disability.

6. In the event of any change in capitalization affecting the Common Stock of the Company, including, without limitation, a stock dividend or other distribution, stock split, reverse stock split, recapitalization, consolidation, subdivision, split-up, spin-off, split-off, combination or exchange of shares or other form of reorganization or recapitalization, or any other change affecting the Common Stock, the aggregate number of shares of Common Stock covered by the Option and the exercise price per share of Common Stock subject to the Option shall be proportionately adjusted by the Company.

7. The grant of the Option does not confer on you any right to continue in the employ of the Company or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates or interfere in any way with the right of the Company or its subsidiaries or affiliates to terminate the term of your employment.

8. The Company shall require as a condition to the exercise of any portion of the Option that you pay to the Company, or make other arrangements regarding the payment of, any federal state or local taxes required by law to be withheld as a result of such exercise.

9. Unless at the time of the exercise of any portion of the Option a registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Act"), is in effect as to the Shares, the Shares shall be acquired for investment and not for sale or distribution, and if the Company so requests, upon any exercise of the Option, in whole or in part, you agree to execute and deliver to the Company a reasonable certificate to such effect.

10. You understand and acknowledge that: (i) any Shares purchased by you upon exercise of the Option may be required to be held indefinitely unless such Shares are subsequently registered under the Act or an exemption from such registration is available; (ii) any sales of such Shares made in reliance upon Rule 144 promulgated under the Act may be made only in accordance with the terms and conditions of that Rule (which, under certain circumstances, restrict the number of shares which may be sold and the manner in which shares may be sold); (iii) certificates for Shares to be issued to you hereunder shall bear a legend to the effect that the Shares have not been registered under the Act relating thereto or an opinion of counsel satisfactory to the Company that such registration is not required; and (iv) the Company shall place an appropriate "stop transfer" order with its transfer agent with respect to such Shares.

11. In the event of the payment of any dividends or other distributions in respect of the Common Stock on or after the date hereof, through and including the tenth anniversary of the date of grant, you shall receive, within ten days of the payment of such dividend or distribution, a payment equal to the amount of any such

Mr. Richard J. Lampen February 25, 2013 Page 3

dividends or other distributions that would have been paid to you had you been at the record date for such dividends or other distributions a shareholder of the Shares issuable upon exercise of any then unexercised portion of the Option, whether vested or unvested (the "Dividend Equivalent"), provided, that in the event that the payment of such dividend or distribution occurs within the last ten days of a calendar year, the Dividend Equivalent shall be paid by the Company within the first ten days of the subsequent calendar year.

12. The Company represents and warrants to you as follows: (i) this letter agreement and the grant of the Option hereunder have been authorized by all necessary corporate action by the Company and this letter agreement is a valid and binding agreement of the Company enforceable against the Company in accordance with its terms; (ii) the grant of the Option to you on the terms set forth herein will be exempt from the provisions of Section 16(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, pursuant to Rule 16b-3(d) thereunder; (iii) the Company will obtain, at its expense, any regulatory approvals necessary or advisable in connection with the grant of the Option or the issuance of the Shares; and (iv) the Company currently has reserved and available, and will continue to have reserved and available during the term of the Option, sufficient authorized and issued shares of its Common Stock for issuance upon exercise of the Option.

13. Promptly following the date hereof, the Company shall use its best efforts to file and keep in effect a Registration Statement on Form S-8, Form S-3 or other applicable form to register under the Act the Shares issuable to you upon exercise of the Option and the resale thereof by you.

14. This letter agreement contains all the understandings between the Company and you pertaining to the matters referred to herein, and supercedes all undertakings and agreements, whether oral or in writing, previously entered into by the Company and you with respect hereto. No provision of this letter agreement may be amended or waived unless such amendment or waiver is agreed to in writing signed by you and a duly authorized officer of the Company. No waiver by the Company or you of any breach by the other party hereto of any condition or provision of this letter agreement to be performed by such other party shall be deemed a waiver of a similar or dissimilar condition or provision at the same time, any prior time or any subsequent time. If any provision of this letter agreement or the application of any such provision to any party or circumstances shall be determined by any court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid and unenforceable to any extent, the remainder of this letter agreement or the application of such provision to such person or circumstances other than those to which it is so determined to be invalid and unenforceable, shall not be affected thereby, and each provision hereof shall be validated and shall be enforced to the fullest extent permitted by law. This letter agreement will be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Delaware, without regard to its conflicts of laws principles. This letter agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

Mr. Richard J. Lampen February 25, 2013 Page 4

Would you kindly evidence your acceptance of the Option and your agreement to comply with the provisions hereof by executing this letter agreement in the space provided below.

Very truly yours,

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

By:<u>/s/ Howard M. Lorber</u> Howard M. Lorber President and Chief Executive Officer

AGREED TO AND ACCEPTED:

<u>/s/ Richard J. Lampen</u> Richard J. Lampen Vector Group Ltd. 100 S. E. Second Street, 32nd Floor Miami, Florida 33131

Gentlemen:

price of	Notice is hereby given of my election to p of \$ per Share, pursuant to the provisio	burchase shares of Common S ns of the stock option granted to me on Fe	Stock, \$.10 par value (the "Shares"), of Vector Group Ltd., at a abruary 25, 2013. Enclosed in payment for the Shares is:
	my check in the amount of		
	Shares on the date hereof	_ Shares having a total value of \$, such value being based on the closing price(s) of the
	The following information is supplied for u	se in issuing and registering the Shares pu	irchased hereby:
	Number of Certificates and Denominations		
	Name		
	Address		
	Social Security No.		
Dated	:	Very truly yours,	

Richard J. Lampen

VECTOR GROUP LTD. 100 S.E. Second Street, 32nd Floor Miami, Florida 33131

February 25, 2013

Mr. J. Bryant Kirkland III [Address Redacted]

Dear Mr. Kirkland:

We are pleased to inform you that Vector Group Ltd. (the "Company") has granted you a nonqualified option (the "Option") to purchase 75,000 shares of the Company's common stock, par value \$.10 per share (the "Common Stock"), at a purchase price of \$16.12 per share, subject to adjustment (any of the underlying shares of Common Stock to be issued upon exercise of the Option are referred to hereinafter as the "Shares"), pursuant to the Company's 1999 Amended and Restated Long-Term Incentive Plan, as may be and is in effect and as amended from time to time (the "Plan"). This agreement is subject in all respects to the terms and provisions of the Plan, all of which terms and provisions are made a part of and incorporated in this agreement as if they were each expressly set forth herein. In the event of any conflict between the terms of this agreement and the terms of the Plan, the terms of the Plan shall control.

1. The Option may be exercised on or prior to the tenth anniversary of the date of grant (after which date the Option will, to the extent not previously exercised, expire), provided the Option shall only vest and become exercisable as to all of the aggregate shares covered thereby on February 25, 2017. However, the Option shall earlier vest and become immediately exercisable upon (i) the occurrence of a "Change in Control" as defined in Section 6(f) of the Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated as of January 27, 2006, by and between Howard M. Lorber and the Company, regardless of whether the Employment Agreement is then in effect (the "Employment Agreement"), other than any Change in Control arising by reason of a testamentary bequest by Bennett S. LeBow to or for the benefit of his surviving spouse of any or all securities of the Company beneficially owned by him as of the date of death, so long as, following the bequest, the event referenced in Section 6(f)(ii) of the Employment Agreement shall not have occurred or (ii) the termination of your employment with the Company due to death or Disability (as defined in Section 2.8 of the Plan).

2. The Option, from and after the date it vests and becomes exercisable pursuant to Section 1 hereof, may be exercised in whole or in part by delivering to the Company a written notice of exercise in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A, specifying the number of the Shares to be purchased and the purchase price therefor, together with payment of the purchase price of the Shares to be purchased. The purchase price is to be paid in cash or by delivering shares of Common Stock already owned by you for at least six months having a fair market value on the date of exercise equal to the purchase price of the Option being exercised, or a combination of such shares and cash.

In addition, payment of the purchase price of the Shares to be purchased may also be made by delivering a properly executed notice to the Company, together with a copy of the irrevocable instructions to a broker to deliver promptly to the Company the amount of sale or loan proceeds necessary to pay the purchase price, and, if required, the amount of any federal, state or local withholding taxes.

No Shares shall be issued until full payment therefor has been made. You shall have all of the rights of a stockholder of the Company holding the Common Stock that is subject to the Option (including, if applicable, the

right to vote the Shares and the right to receive dividends thereon), when you have given written notice of exercise, have paid in full for such Shares and, if requested, have given the certificate described in Section 9 hereof.

3. In the event your employment with the Company is terminated for any reason, the Option shall forthwith terminate, provided that you may exercise any then unexercised portion of the Option then vested and exercisable pursuant to Section 1 hereof at any time prior to the earlier of one year from the date of termination or the expiration of the Option.

4. The Option is not transferable except (i) by will or the applicable laws of descent and distribution, (ii) as a gift to a foundation, charity or other not-for-profit organization, or (iii) for transfers to your family members or trusts or other entities whose beneficiaries are your family members, provided that such transfer is being made for estate, tax and/or personal planning purposes.

5. In the event of your death or Disability, the Option may be exercised by your personal representative or representatives, or by the person or persons to whom your rights under the Option shall pass by will or by the applicable laws of descent and distribution, within the one year period following termination due to death or Disability.

6. In the event of any change in capitalization affecting the Common Stock of the Company, including, without limitation, a stock dividend or other distribution, stock split, reverse stock split, recapitalization, consolidation, subdivision, split-up, spin-off, split-off, combination or exchange of shares or other form of reorganization or recapitalization, or any other change affecting the Common Stock, the aggregate number of shares of Common Stock covered by the Option and the exercise price per share of Common Stock subject to the Option shall be proportionately adjusted by the Company.

7. The grant of the Option does not confer on you any right to continue in the employ of the Company or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates or interfere in any way with the right of the Company or its subsidiaries or affiliates to terminate the term of your employment.

8. The Company shall require as a condition to the exercise of any portion of the Option that you pay to the Company, or make other arrangements regarding the payment of, any federal state or local taxes required by law to be withheld as a result of such exercise.

9. Unless at the time of the exercise of any portion of the Option a registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Act"), is in effect as to the Shares, the Shares shall be acquired for investment and not for sale or distribution, and if the Company so requests, upon any exercise of the Option, in whole or in part, you agree to execute and deliver to the Company a reasonable certificate to such effect.

10. You understand and acknowledge that: (i) any Shares purchased by you upon exercise of the Option may be required to be held indefinitely unless such Shares are subsequently registered under the Act or an exemption from such registration is available; (ii) any sales of such Shares made in reliance upon Rule 144 promulgated under the Act may be made only in accordance with the terms and conditions of that Rule (which, under certain circumstances, restrict the number of shares which may be sold and the manner in which shares may be sold); (iii) certificates for Shares to be issued to you hereunder shall bear a legend to the effect that the Shares have not been registered under the Act relating thereto or an opinion of counsel satisfactory to the Company that such registration is not required; and (iv) the Company shall place an appropriate "stop transfer" order with its transfer agent with respect to such Shares.

11. In the event of the payment of any dividends or other distributions in respect of the Common Stock on or after the date hereof, through and including the tenth anniversary of the date of grant, you shall receive, within ten days of the payment of such dividend or distribution, a payment equal to the amount of any such

Mr. J. Bryant Kirkland III February 25, 2013 Page 3

dividends or other distributions that would have been paid to you had you been at the record date for such dividends or other distributions a shareholder of the Shares issuable upon exercise of any then unexercised portion of the Option, whether vested or unvested (the "Dividend Equivalent"), provided, that in the event that the payment of such dividend or distribution occurs within the last ten days of a calendar year, the Dividend Equivalent shall be paid by the Company within the first ten days of the subsequent calendar year.

12. The Company represents and warrants to you as follows: (i) this letter agreement and the grant of the Option hereunder have been authorized by all necessary corporate action by the Company and this letter agreement is a valid and binding agreement of the Company enforceable against the Company in accordance with its terms; (ii) the grant of the Option to you on the terms set forth herein will be exempt from the provisions of Section 16(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, pursuant to Rule 16b-3(d) thereunder; (iii) the Company will obtain, at its expense, any regulatory approvals necessary or advisable in connection with the grant of the Option or the issuance of the Shares; and (iv) the Company currently has reserved and available, and will continue to have reserved and available during the term of the Option, sufficient authorized and issued shares of its Common Stock for issuance upon exercise of the Option.

13. Promptly following the date hereof, the Company shall use its best efforts to file and keep in effect a Registration Statement on Form S-8, Form S-3 or other applicable form to register under the Act the Shares issuable to you upon exercise of the Option and the resale thereof by you.

14. This letter agreement contains all the understandings between the Company and you pertaining to the matters referred to herein, and supercedes all undertakings and agreements, whether oral or in writing, previously entered into by the Company and you with respect hereto. No provision of this letter agreement may be amended or waived unless such amendment or waiver is agreed to in writing signed by you and a duly authorized officer of the Company. No waiver by the Company or you of any breach by the other party hereto of any condition or provision of this letter agreement to be performed by such other party shall be deemed a waiver of a similar or dissimilar condition or provision at the same time, any prior time or any subsequent time. If any provision of this letter agreement or the application of any such provision to any party or circumstances shall be determined by any court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid and unenforceable to any extent, the remainder of this letter agreement or the application of such provision to such person or circumstances other than those to which it is so determined to be invalid and unenforceable, shall not be affected thereby, and each provision hereof shall be validated and shall be enforced to the fullest extent permitted by law. This letter agreement will be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Delaware, without regard to its conflicts of laws principles. This letter agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

Mr. J. Bryant Kirkland III February 25, 2013 Page 4

Would you kindly evidence your acceptance of the Option and your agreement to comply with the provisions hereof by executing this letter agreement in the space provided below.

Very truly yours,

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

By:/s/ Howard M. Lorber Howard M. Lorber President and Chief Executive Officer

AGREED TO AND ACCEPTED:

<u>/s/ J. Bryant Kirkland III</u> J. Bryant Kirkland III Vector Group Ltd. 100 S. E. Second Street, 32nd Floor Miami, Florida 33131

Gentlemen:

Not price of \$	tice is hereby given of my elect	tion to purchase shares of Common sprovisions of the stock option granted to me on Fe	Stock, \$.10 par value (the "Shares"), of Vector Group Ltd., at a ebruary 25, 2013. Enclosed in payment for the Shares is:
	my check in the a	amount of \$	
	Shares on the date	Shares having a total value of \$ e hereof.	, such value being based on the closing price(s) of the
The	e following information is suppli	ied for use in issuing and registering the Shares pu	urchased hereby:
	Number of Certificates and Denominations		
	Name		
	Address		
	Social Security No.		
Dated:		Very truly yours,	
Dated:	Social Security No.		

J. Bryant Kirkland III

VECTOR GROUP LTD. 100 S.E. Second Street, 32nd Floor Miami, Florida 33131

February 25, 2013

Mr. Marc N. Bell [Address Redacted]

Dear Mr. Bell:

We are pleased to inform you that Vector Group Ltd. (the "Company") has granted you a nonqualified option (the "Option") to purchase 50,000 shares of the Company's common stock, par value \$.10 per share (the "Common Stock"), at a purchase price of \$16.12 per share, subject to adjustment (any of the underlying shares of Common Stock to be issued upon exercise of the Option are referred to hereinafter as the "Shares"), pursuant to the Company's 1999 Amended and Restated Long-Term Incentive Plan, as may be and is in effect and as amended from time to time (the "Plan"). This agreement is subject in all respects to the terms and provisions of the Plan, all of which terms and provisions are made a part of and incorporated in this agreement as if they were each expressly set forth herein. In the event of any conflict between the terms of this agreement and the terms of the Plan, the terms of the Plan shall control.

1. The Option may be exercised on or prior to the tenth anniversary of the date of grant (after which date the Option will, to the extent not previously exercised, expire), provided the Option shall only vest and become exercisable as to all of the aggregate shares covered thereby on February 25, 2017. However, the Option shall earlier vest and become immediately exercisable upon (i) the occurrence of a "Change in Control" as defined in Section 6(f) of the Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated as of January 27, 2006, by and between Howard M. Lorber and the Company, regardless of whether the Employment Agreement is then in effect (the "Employment Agreement"), other than any Change in Control arising by reason of a testamentary bequest by Bennett S. LeBow to or for the benefit of his surviving spouse of any or all securities of the Company beneficially owned by him as of the date of death, so long as, following the bequest, the event referenced in Section 6(f)(ii) of the Employment Agreement shall not have occurred or (ii) the termination of your employment with the Company due to death or Disability (as defined in Section 2.8 of the Plan).

2. The Option, from and after the date it vests and becomes exercisable pursuant to Section 1 hereof, may be exercised in whole or in part by delivering to the Company a written notice of exercise in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A, specifying the number of the Shares to be purchased and the purchase price therefor, together with payment of the purchase price of the Shares to be purchased. The purchase price is to be paid in cash or by delivering shares of Common Stock already owned by you for at least six months having a fair market value on the date of exercise equal to the purchase price of the Option being exercised, or a combination of such shares and cash.

In addition, payment of the purchase price of the Shares to be purchased may also be made by delivering a properly executed notice to the Company, together with a copy of the irrevocable instructions to a broker to deliver promptly to the Company the amount of sale or loan proceeds necessary to pay the purchase price, and, if required, the amount of any federal, state or local withholding taxes.

No Shares shall be issued until full payment therefor has been made. You shall have all of the rights of a stockholder of the Company holding the Common Stock that is subject to the Option (including, if applicable, the

right to vote the Shares and the right to receive dividends thereon), when you have given written notice of exercise, have paid in full for such Shares and, if requested, have given the certificate described in Section 9 hereof.

3. In the event your employment with the Company is terminated for any reason, the Option shall forthwith terminate, provided that you may exercise any then unexercised portion of the Option then vested and exercisable pursuant to Section 1 hereof at any time prior to the earlier of one year from the date of termination or the expiration of the Option.

4. The Option is not transferable except (i) by will or the applicable laws of descent and distribution, (ii) as a gift to a foundation, charity or other not-for-profit organization, or (iii) for transfers to your family members or trusts or other entities whose beneficiaries are your family members, provided that such transfer is being made for estate, tax and/or personal planning purposes.

5. In the event of your death or Disability, the Option may be exercised by your personal representative or representatives, or by the person or persons to whom your rights under the Option shall pass by will or by the applicable laws of descent and distribution, within the one year period following termination due to death or Disability.

6. In the event of any change in capitalization affecting the Common Stock of the Company, including, without limitation, a stock dividend or other distribution, stock split, reverse stock split, recapitalization, consolidation, subdivision, split-up, spin-off, split-off, combination or exchange of shares or other form of reorganization or recapitalization, or any other change affecting the Common Stock, the aggregate number of shares of Common Stock covered by the Option and the exercise price per share of Common Stock subject to the Option shall be proportionately adjusted by the Company.

7. The grant of the Option does not confer on you any right to continue in the employ of the Company or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates or interfere in any way with the right of the Company or its subsidiaries or affiliates to terminate the term of your employment.

8. The Company shall require as a condition to the exercise of any portion of the Option that you pay to the Company, or make other arrangements regarding the payment of, any federal state or local taxes required by law to be withheld as a result of such exercise.

9. Unless at the time of the exercise of any portion of the Option a registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Act"), is in effect as to the Shares, the Shares shall be acquired for investment and not for sale or distribution, and if the Company so requests, upon any exercise of the Option, in whole or in part, you agree to execute and deliver to the Company a reasonable certificate to such effect.

10. You understand and acknowledge that: (i) any Shares purchased by you upon exercise of the Option may be required to be held indefinitely unless such Shares are subsequently registered under the Act or an exemption from such registration is available; (ii) any sales of such Shares made in reliance upon Rule 144 promulgated under the Act may be made only in accordance with the terms and conditions of that Rule (which, under certain circumstances, restrict the number of shares which may be sold and the manner in which shares may be sold); (iii) certificates for Shares to be issued to you hereunder shall bear a legend to the effect that the Shares have not been registered under the Act relating thereto or an opinion of counsel satisfactory to the Company that such registration is not required; and (iv) the Company shall place an appropriate "stop transfer" order with its transfer agent with respect to such Shares.

11. In the event of the payment of any dividends or other distributions in respect of the Common Stock on or after the date hereof, through and including the tenth anniversary of the date of grant, you shall receive, within ten days of the payment of such dividend or distribution, a payment equal to the amount of any such

Mr. Marc N. Bell February 25, 2013 Page 3

dividends or other distributions that would have been paid to you had you been at the record date for such dividends or other distributions a shareholder of the Shares issuable upon exercise of any then unexercised portion of the Option, whether vested or unvested (the "Dividend Equivalent"), provided, that in the event that the payment of such dividend or distribution occurs within the last ten days of a calendar year, the Dividend Equivalent shall be paid by the Company within the first ten days of the subsequent calendar year.

12. The Company represents and warrants to you as follows: (i) this letter agreement and the grant of the Option hereunder have been authorized by all necessary corporate action by the Company and this letter agreement is a valid and binding agreement of the Company enforceable against the Company in accordance with its terms; (ii) the grant of the Option to you on the terms set forth herein will be exempt from the provisions of Section 16(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, pursuant to Rule 16b-3(d) thereunder; (iii) the Company will obtain, at its expense, any regulatory approvals necessary or advisable in connection with the grant of the Option or the issuance of the Shares; and (iv) the Company currently has reserved and available, and will continue to have reserved and available during the term of the Option, sufficient authorized and issued shares of its Common Stock for issuance upon exercise of the Option.

13. Promptly following the date hereof, the Company shall use its best efforts to file and keep in effect a Registration Statement on Form S-8, Form S-3 or other applicable form to register under the Act the Shares issuable to you upon exercise of the Option and the resale thereof by you.

14. This letter agreement contains all the understandings between the Company and you pertaining to the matters referred to herein, and supercedes all undertakings and agreements, whether oral or in writing, previously entered into by the Company and you with respect hereto. No provision of this letter agreement may be amended or waived unless such amendment or waiver is agreed to in writing signed by you and a duly authorized officer of the Company. No waiver by the Company or you of any breach by the other party hereto of any condition or provision of this letter agreement to be performed by such other party shall be deemed a waiver of a similar or dissimilar condition or provision at the same time, any prior time or any subsequent time. If any provision of this letter agreement or the application of any such provision to any party or circumstances shall be determined by any court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid and unenforceable to any extent, the remainder of this letter agreement or the application of such provision to such person or circumstances other than those to which it is so determined to be invalid and unenforceable, shall not be affected thereby, and each provision hereof shall be validated and shall be enforced to the fullest extent permitted by law. This letter agreement will be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Delaware, without regard to its conflicts of laws principles. This letter agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

Mr. Marc N. Bell February 25, 2013 Page 4

Would you kindly evidence your acceptance of the Option and your agreement to comply with the provisions hereof by executing this letter agreement in the space provided below.

Very truly yours,

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

By:<u>/s/ Howard M. Lorber</u> Howard M. Lorber President and Chief Executive Officer

AGREED TO AND ACCEPTED:

/s/ Marc N. Bell Marc N. Bell Vector Group Ltd. 100 S. E. Second Street, 32nd Floor Miami, Florida 33131

Gentlemen:

otice is hereby given of my election t per Share, pursuant to the provi	o purchase shares of Common sions of the stock option granted to me on H	Stock, \$.10 par value (the "Shares"), of Vector Group Ltd., at a February 25, 2013. Enclosed in payment for the Shares is:
Shares on the date here	Shares having a total value of \$ eof.	, such value being based on the closing price(s) of the
ne following information is supplied for	or use in issuing and registering the Shares p	purchased hereby:
Number of Certificates and Denominations		
Name		
Address		
Social Security No.	Very truly yours,	
	my check in the amou Shares on the date her he following information is supplied fo Number of Certificates and Denominations Name Address	and Denominations

Marc N. Bell

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Ratios)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Year Ended December 31,					
	2013	2012	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008	
Earnings as defined:								
Pre-tax (loss) income	(2,016)	(11,182)	53,717	123,157	85,570	28,537	94,572	
Distributions from investees	1,450	2,325	19,169	9,322	12,212	6,715	13,741	
Interest expense	51,785	47,309	132,538	93,939	72,572	104,415	37,998	
(Income) in equity of affiliate	(481)	(2,863)	(29,764)	(19,966)	(23,963)	(15,213)	(24,399)	
Interest portion of rental expense (1)	503	293	1,367	1,438	1,223	1,301	1,275	
Total earnings	51,241	35,882	177,027	207,890	147,614	125,755	123,187	
Fixed charges as defined:								
Interest expense	51,785	47,309	132,538	93,939	72,572	104,415	37,998	
Interest portion of rent expense (1)	503	293	1,367	1,438	1,223	1,301	1,275	
Total fixed charges	52,288	47,602	133,905	95,377	73,795	105,716	39,273	
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges	0.98	0.75	1.32	2.18	2.00	1.19	3.14	

(1) One-third of rent expense is the portion deemed representative of the interest factor.

RULE 13a-14(a) CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

I, Howard M. Lorber, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Vector Group Ltd.;
- Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 1, 2013

/s/ Howard M. Lorber

Howard M. Lorber President and Chief Executive Officer

I, J. Bryant Kirkland III, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Vector Group Ltd.;
- Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 1, 2013

/s/ J. Bryant Kirkland III

J. Bryant Kirkland III Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer

SECTION 1350 CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Vector Group Ltd. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Howard M. Lorber, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to my knowledge:

- 1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- 2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

May 1, 2013

/s/ Howard M. Lorber

Howard M. Lorber President and Chief Executive Officer

SECTION 1350 CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Vector Group Ltd. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, J. Bryant Kirkland III, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to my knowledge:

- 1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- 2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

May 1, 2013

/s/ J. Bryant Kirkland III

J. Bryant Kirkland III Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to the Florida Supreme Court's July 2006 ruling in *Engle v. Liggett Group Inc.*, which decertified the *Engle* class on a prospective basis, former class members had one year from January 11, 2007 to file individual lawsuits. In addition, some individuals who filed suit prior to January 11, 2007, and who claim they meet the conditions in *Engle*, are attempting to avail themselves of the *Engle* ruling. Lawsuits by individuals requesting the benefit of the *Engle* ruling, whether filed before or after the January 11, 2007 mandate, are hereinafter referred to as the "*Engle* progeny" cases. As of March 31, 2013, Liggett and/or the Company are named in 4,523 *Engle* progeny cases in both state and federal courts in Florida. These cases include approximately 5,712 plaintiffs. The total number of state court cases may increase as a courts may require multi-plaintiff cases to be severed into individual cases. The total number of plaintiffs may increase as a result of attempts by existing plaintiffs to add additional parties. For more information on the *Engle* case, see "Note 5. Contingencies."

(i) Engle Progeny Cases with trial dates through March 31, 2014.

Banks v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*, Case No. 08-026337, Circuit Court of the 17th Judicial Circuit, Broward County (case filed 06/05/08). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. The case is scheduled for the trial period of 07/01/13 - 09/20/13.

Barnes v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*, Case No. 2011-CA-004965, Circuit Court of the 10th Judicial Circuit, Polk County (case filed 10/17/11). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. The case is scheduled for trial starting 02/14.

Baum v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*, Case No. 10-CA-60768, Circuit Court of the 11th Judicial Circuit, Miami-Dade County (case filed 11/23/10). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. The case is scheduled for trial starting 01/06/14.

Bayne f/k/a Eaton v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*, Case No. 01-07-CA-5197, Circuit Court of the 8th Judicial Circuit, Alachua County (case filed 12/18/07). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. The case is scheduled for trial starting 01/06/14.

Beissel, et al. v. R.J. Reynolds, et al., Case No. 2009-CA-31121, Circuit Court of the 9th Judicial Circuit, Orange County (case filed 09/24/09). Two individuals suing. The case is scheduled for trial starting 03/17/14.

<u>Bowden v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*</u>, Case No. 16-2008-CA-000391-CXXXMA, Circuit Court of the 4th Judicial Circuit, Duval County (case filed 01/09/08). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. The case is scheduled for trial starting 02/02/14.

Brown, B. v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*, Case No. 2007-CA-2907, Circuit Court of the 1st Judicial Circuit, Escambia County (case filed 12/03/07). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. The case is scheduled as the back-up case to *H. Brown* starting 09/16/13.

<u>Brown, H. v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*</u>, Case No. 2007-CA-2855, Circuit Court of the 1st Judicial Circuit, Escambia County (case filed 11/28/07). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. The case is scheduled as the back-up case to *Thibault* which is scheduled to start trial on 05/27/13. If it is not reached on that date, it is the number one case scheduled for trial starting 09/16/13.

<u>Campbell, G. v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*</u>, Case No. 09-CA-000846, Circuit Court of the 20th Judicial Circuit, Lee County (case filed 02/23/09). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. The case is scheduled for trial as the number two back-up to *Surico* starting 03/04/14.

<u>Carroll v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*</u>, Case No. 01-2007-CA-5337, Circuit Court of the 8th Judicial Circuit, Alachua County (case filed 12/21/07). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. The case is scheduled for trial starting 10/07/13.

<u>Clayton v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*</u>, Case No. 2007-CA-11175-CXXX-MA, Circuit Court of the 4th Judicial Circuit, Duval County (case filed 11/29/07). The case is scheduled for trial starting on the next business day following the completion of jury selection starting on 08/19/13.

<u>Cobb v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*</u>, Case No. 2007-CA-11175-DXXX-MA, Circuit Court of the 4th Judicial Circuit, Duval County (case filed 11/29/07). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. The case is scheduled for trial starting 11/04/13.

<u>Cohen, D. v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*</u>, Case No. 09-004042-AI, Circuit Court of the 15th Judicial Circuit, Palm Beach County (case filed 02/04/09). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. Trial commenced on 04/04/13.

<u>Dickinson, et al. v. R.J. Reynolds, et al.</u>, Case No. 07-46807, Circuit Court of the 11th Judicial Circuit, Miami-Dade County (case filed 12/20/07). Two individuals suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. The case is scheduled for trial starting 03/03/14.

<u>Edwards v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*</u>, Case No. 01-08-CA-3840, Circuit Court of the 8th Judicial Circuit, Alachua County (case filed 07/18/08). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. The case is scheduled for trial starting 07/08/13.

<u>Ferqueron v. Philip Morris USA Inc., et al.</u>, Case No. 07-012493, Circuit Court of the 6th Judicial Circuit, Pinellas County (case filed 11/29/07). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. The case is scheduled for trial starting 10/03/13.

<u>Frost, et al. v. R.J. Reynolds, et al.</u>, Case No. GC-08-42, Circuit Court of the 10th Judicial Circuit, Highlands County (case filed 01/10/08). Two individuals suing. The case is scheduled for trial starting 02/14.

<u>Goveia v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*</u>, Case No. 2008-CA-760, Circuit Court of the 9th Judicial Circuit, Orange County (case filed 01/10/08). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. The case is scheduled for trial starting 01/06/14.

<u>Gore v. R.J. Reynolds, et al.</u>, Case No. 2008-10052, Circuit Court of the 19th Judicial Circuit, Indian River County (case filed 01/08/08). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. The case is scheduled for trial starting 07/22/13.

<u>Heavner v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*</u>, Case No. 07-CA-016555, Circuit Court of the 20th Judicial Circuit, Lee County (case filed 12/06/07). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. Liggett is the only remaining defendant. The case is scheduled for trial as the number one back-up to *Surico* starting 03/04/14.

<u>Katz v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*</u>, Case No. 50-2009-CA-004037, Circuit Court of the 15th Judicial Circuit, Palm Beach County (case filed 02/04/09). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. Liggett is the only remaining defendant. The case is scheduled for trial starting 01/06/14.

LaMotte v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*, Case No. 2010-CA-3072, Circuit Court of the 1st Judicial Circuit, Escambia County (case filed 10/26/10). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. Trial commenced on 04/01/13.

<u>Ledoux v. R.J. Reynolds, et al.</u>, Case No. 07-43991, Circuit Court of the 11th Judicial Circuit, Miami-Dade County (case filed 12/12/07). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. The case is tentatively scheduled for trial no earlier than 10/07/13.

<u>Magaldi v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*</u>, Case No. 08-00845, Circuit Court of the 11th Judicial Circuit, Miami-Dade County (case filed 01/09/08). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. The case may be set for trial no earlier than 08/13.

<u>McGriff v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*</u>, Case No. 50-2008-CA-000796, Circuit Court of the 15th Judicial Circuit, Palm Beach County (case filed 01/10/08). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. The case is scheduled for trial starting 01/16/14.

<u>McKeehan v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*</u>, Case No. 01-08-CA-3840, Circuit Court of the 8th Judicial Circuit, Alachua County (case filed 07/10/08). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. The case is scheduled for trial starting 10/07/13.

Mehlenbacher, *et al.* v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*, Case No. 01-2008-CA-3118, Circuit Court of the 8th Judicial Circuit, Alachua County (case filed 06/09/08). Two individuals suing. The case is scheduled for trial starting 03/03/14.

Moyer v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*, Case No. 08-026337, Circuit Court of the 17th Judicial Circuit, Broward County (case filed 06/09/08). One individual suing. The case is scheduled for the trial period of 07/01/13 - 09/20/13.

<u>O'Hara v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*</u>, Case No. 2007-CA-3065, Circuit Court of the 1st Judicial Circuit, Escambia County (case filed 12/18/07). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. The case is scheduled for trial starting 07/22/13.

<u>Rizzuto v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*</u>, Case No. H27-CA-2008-003318, Circuit Court of the 5th Judicial Circuit, Hernando County (case filed 05/21/08). The case is scheduled for trial starting 08/08/13.

Surico v. R.J. Reynolds, et al., Case No. 09-CA-000891, Circuit Court of the 20th Judicial Circuit, Lee County (case filed 02/25/09). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. The case is scheduled for trial starting 03/04/14.

<u>Wendel v. R.J. Reynolds, et al.</u>, Case No. 10-54813, Circuit Court of the 11^{th} Judicial Circuit, Miami-Dade County (case filed 10/12/10). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. The case is scheduled for trial starting 03/31/14.

(ii) Post-Trial Engle Progeny Cases.

Buchanan v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*, Case No. 2007-CA-3565, Circuit Court of the 2nd Judicial Circuit, Leon County (case filed 12/17/07). This was a wrongful death action which proceeded to jury trial in November 2012. On December 7, 2012, the jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff and awarded compensatory damages in the amount of \$5,500,000. The jury appointed fault as follows: decedent - 26%, Philip Morris - 37% and Liggett - 37% (\$2,035,000). In April 2012, a joint and several judgment for \$5,500,000 was entered against the defendants. In April 2013, the defendants filed a notice of appeal.

<u>Calloway v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*</u>, Case No. 08-21770, Circuit Court of the17th Judicial Circuit, Broward County (case filed 05/15/08). This was a wrongful death action which proceeded to jury trial in April 2012. In May 2012, the jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff and awarded compensatory damages in the amount of \$20,500,000. The jury apportioned fault as follows: decedent - 20.5%, R.J. Reynolds - 27%, Philip Morris - 25%, Lorillard - 18% and Liggett - 9.5% (\$1,947,500). In August 2012, a joint and several judgment for

compensatory damages of \$16,100,000 was entered against all of the defendants, plus interest, and an order of entitlement to attorneys' fees and costs was also entered against the defendants. In addition, judgment was entered against Liggett for \$7,600,000 in punitive damages. In September 2012, the defendants filed a notice of appeal. The plaintiffs filed a notice of cross-appeal. Briefing is underway.

<u>Douglas v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*</u>, Case No. 08-8108, Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit, Hillsborough County (case filed 11/02/07). This was a wrongful death action which proceeded to jury trial in March 2010. In March 2010, the jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff and awarded compensatory damages in the amount of \$5,000,000. The jury apportioned fault as follows: plaintiff - 50%, Liggett - 27% (\$1,350,000), Philip Morris - 18% and R.J. Reynolds - 5%. Plaintiff did not seek punitive damages. In March 2012, the judgment was affirmed by the Second District Court of Appeal. In March 2013, the Florida Supreme Court affirmed. Plaintiff moved for an award of attorneys' fees against Liggett pursuant to the fee shifting provisions of Florida's proposal for settlement statute based on a settlement offer that was not accepted by Liggett. The parties reached a confidential settlement agreement regarding the attorneys' fees incurred through trial. Liggett intends to file a petition for writ of *certiorari* with the United States Supreme Court.

<u>Putney v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*</u>, Case No. 07-36668, Circuit Court of the 17th Judicial Circuit, Broward County (case filed 12/28/07). This was a wrongful death action which proceeded to jury trial in March 2010. In April 2010, the jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff and awarded compensatory damages in the amount of \$15,000,000. The jury apportioned fault as follows: plaintiff - 35%, Liggett - 20% (\$3,008,138), R.J. Reynolds - 30% and Philip Morris - 15%. No punitive damages were awarded against Liggett. Defendants appealed the final judgment to the Fourth District Court of Appeal. Oral argument occurred on September 27, 2012. A decision is pending. Plaintiff moved for an award of attorneys' fees against Liggett pursuant to the fee shifting provisions of Florida's proposal for settlement statute based on a settlement offer that was not accepted by Liggett. Entitlement to an attorney fee award has been entered, and the amount of such award will be determined in a separate proceeding.

<u>Tullo v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*</u>, Case No. 2008-CA-035457, Circuit Court of the 15th Judicial Circuit, Palm Beach County (case filed 11/14/08). This was a wrongful death action which proceeded to jury trial in March 2011. In April 2011, the jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff and awarded compensatory damages in the amount of \$4,500,000. The jury apportioned damages as follows: plaintiff - 45%, Philip Morris - 45%, Liggett - 5% (\$225,000) and Lorillard - 5%. No punitive damages were awarded. Defendants appealed the final judgment to the Fourth District Court of Appeal. Oral argument is scheduled for June 19, 2013.

<u>Ward v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*</u>, Case No. 2008-CA-2135, Circuit Court of the 1st Judicial Circuit, Escambia County (case filed 12/13/07). This was a wrongful death action which proceeded to jury trial in January 2012. In January 2012, the jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff and awarded compensatory damages in the amount of \$1,000,000. The jury apportioned fault as follows: plaintiff - 50%, R.J. Reynolds - 30%, Philip Morris - 10%, Lorillard - 9.9% and Liggett - 0.1% (\$1,000). Philip Morris and Lorillard were dismissed from the case before trial. No punitive damages were awarded against Liggett. A joint and several judgment was entered against RJR and Liggett for \$487,000. Post-trial motions were denied. Defendants appealed the final judgment to the First District Court of Appeal. The plaintiff filed a notice of cross-appeal. Briefing is underway. Plaintiff moved for an award of attorneys' fees against Liggett pursuant to the fee shifting provisions of Florida's proposal for settlement statute based on a settlement offer that was not accepted by Liggett. The parties reached a confidential settlement agreement regarding the attorneys' fees incurred through trial, which agreement is contingent upon the pending appeal of the compensatory damages award.

B. Other Individual Cases.

Bagshaw v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*, Case No. 06-CA-004768, Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit, Hillsborough County (case filed 06/01/06). One individual suing.

Beatty v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*, Case No. 50-2009-CA-032435 (AB), Circuit Court of the 15th Judicial Circuit, Palm Beach County (case filed 09/24/09). Two individuals suing.

<u>Brown, E. v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Co., *et al.*, Case No. 05-CA-004822, Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit, Hillsborough County (case filed 06/03/05). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. In January 2008, plaintiff filed a motion to amend the complaint alleging that plaintiff is a member of the *Engle* class. There has been no further activity in the case.</u>

Brown, J. v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*, Case No. 05-CA-000790, Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit, Hillsborough County (case filed 01/26/05). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. In January 2008, plaintiff filed a motion to amend the complaint alleging that plaintiff is a member of the *Engle* class. There has been no further activity in the case.

Bryant v. Philip Morris Inc., *et al.*, Case No. 50-2008-CA-25429 (AJ), Circuit Court of the 15th Judicial Circuit, Palm Beach County (case filed 08/25/08). One individual suing as personal representative of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker.

<u>Cagle v. Philip Morris USA Inc., et al.</u>, Case No. 02-CA-010718, Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit, Hillsborough County (case filed 11/13/02). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. In January 2008, plaintiff filed a motion to amend the complaint alleging that plaintiff is a member of the *Engle* class. There has been no further activity in the case.

<u>Caldwell v. Philip Morris Inc., *et al.*</u>, Case No. 08-000391 (AA), Circuit Court of the 15th Judicial Circuit, Palm Beach County (case filed 01/07/08). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker.

<u>Calhoun v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Co., *et al.*, Case No. 02-CA-007970, Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit, Hillsborough County (case filed 08/27/02). Three individuals suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker.</u>

<u>Coffey v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Co., et al.</u>, Case No. 01-CA-009935, Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit, Hillsborough County (case filed 11/14/01). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. In January 2008, plaintiff filed a motion to amend the complaint alleging that plaintiff is a member of the *Engle* class. There has been no further activity in the case.

<u>Colic v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Co., et al.</u>, Case No. 03-CA-010844, Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit, Hillsborough County (case filed 11/18/03). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker.

<u>Cotto v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Co., *et al.*</u>, Case No. 03-CA-000748, Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit, Hillsborough County (case filed 01/22/03). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker.

<u>Cowart v. Liggett Group Inc., et al.</u>, Case No. 98-01483-CA, Circuit Court of the 4th Judicial Circuit, Duval County (case filed 03/16/98). One individual suing. Liggett is the only tobacco company defendant in this case. The case is dormant.

Cox v. R.J. Reynolds, et al., Case No. 05-CA-000677, Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit, Hillsborough County (case filed 01/21/05). One individual suing.

Diamond v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*, Case No. 08-24533, Circuit Court of the 17th Judicial Circuit, Broward County (case filed 05/30/08). One individual suing.

Ditslear v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*, Case No. 05-CA-000899, Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit, Hillsborough County (case filed 01/28/05). One individual suing.

<u>Fine v. Philip Morris, Inc., *et al.*</u>, Case No. 08-000383 (AA), Circuit Court of the 15th Judicial Circuit, Palm Beach County (case filed 01/07/08). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker.

<u>Fuchs v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*</u>, Case No. 05-CA-000681, Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit, Hillsborough County (case filed 01/21/05). One individual suing.

Garcia v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*, Case No. 05-CA-004159, Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit, Hillsborough County (case filed 05/11/05). One individual suing.

<u>Grant v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Co., et al.</u>, Case No. 03-CA-002673, Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit, Hillsborough County (case filed 03/17/03). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker.

<u>Grose v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*</u>, Case No. 08-38276, Circuit Court of the 17th Judicial Circuit, Broward County (case filed 08/15/08). One individual suing as personal representative of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. In addition to Liggett, Vector Tobacco Inc. was named as a defendant. Defendants filed a motion to dismiss the complaint. A hearing has not been scheduled.

Hikin, et al. v. Philip Morris Inc., et al., Case No. 08-57479, Circuit Court of the 17th Judicial Circuit, Broward County (case filed 11/21/08). Two individuals suing.

Hearne v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*, Case No. 06-CA-000550, Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit, Hillsborough County (case filed 01/20/06). One individual suing.

Hecker v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Co., *et al.*, Case No. 03-CA-009336, Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit, Hillsborough County (case filed 10/07/03). One individual suing.

<u>Hutto v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*</u>, Case No. 05-CA-002552, Circuit Court of the 13^{th} Judicial Circuit, Hillsborough County (case filed 03/22/05). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. In January 2008, plaintiff filed a motion to amend the complaint alleging that plaintiff is a member of the *Engle* class. There has been no further activity in the case.

Laschke, *et al.* v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*, Case No. 96-8131-CI-008, Circuit Court of the 6th Judicial Circuit, Pinellas County (case filed 12/20/96). Two individuals suing. The dismissal of the case was reversed on appeal, and the case was remanded to the trial court. An amended complaint was filed by the plaintiffs. In January 2006, defendants filed motions to dismiss the amended complaint. A hearing has not been scheduled.

Lewis v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*, Case No. 05-CA-002167, Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit, Hillsborough County (case filed 03/09/05). One individual suing.

<u>McBride v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Co., et al.</u>, Case No. 02-CA-005085, Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit, Hillsborough County (case filed 06/04/02). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. In January 2008, plaintiff filed a motion to amend the complaint alleging that plaintiff is a member of the *Engle* class. There has been no further activity in the case.

<u>McDonald v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Co., et al.</u>, Case No. 03-CA-004767, Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit, Hillsborough County (case filed 05/19/03). One individual suing. In January 2008, plaintiff filed a motion to amend the complaint alleging that plaintiff is a member of the *Engle* class. There has been no further activity in the case.

McKeever v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., et al., Case No. 09-87681, Circuit Court of the 11th Judicial Circuit, Miami-Dade County (case filed 12/04/09). Two individuals suing.

<u>Meckler v. Liggett Group Inc.</u>, Case No. 97-03949-CA, Circuit Court of the 4th Judicial Circuit, Duval County (case filed 07/10/97). One individual suing. Liggett is the only defendant in this case. The case is dormant.

Morgan v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Co., *et al.*, Case No. 02-CA-007084, Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit, Hillsborough County (case filed 08/02/02). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker.

Moscaritola v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., *et al.*, Case No. 11-14616, Circuit Court of the 17th Judicial Circuit, Broward County (case filed 06/24/11). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. Liggett has not been served with the complaint.

<u>Rawls v. Liggett Group Inc.</u>, Case No. 97-01354-CA, Circuit Court of the 4th Judicial Circuit, Duval County (case filed 03/06/97). One individual suing. Liggett is the only defendant in this case. The case is dormant.

Quinn v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Co., *et al.*, Case No. 03-CA-004768, Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit, Hillsborough County (case filed 05/19/03). One individual suing.

<u>Schuman v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*</u>, Case No. 04-CA-009409, Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit, Hillsborough County (case filed 10/18/04). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker.

Shaw v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*, Case No. 05-CA-002863, Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit, Hillsborough County (case filed 03/30/05). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker.

<u>Sheehan v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Co., *et al.*, Case No. 03-CA-004768, Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit, Hillsborough County (case filed 11/02/01). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. In January 2008, plaintiff filed a motion to amend the complaint alleging that plaintiff is a member of the *Engle* class. There has been no further activity in the case.</u>

Shirah v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Co., *et al.*, Case No. 03-CA-001589, Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit, Hillsborough County (case filed 02/13/03). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. In January 2008, plaintiff filed a motion to amend the complaint alleging that plaintiff is a member of the *Engle* class. There has been no further activity in the case.

Spivak v. Philip Morris Inc., *et al.*, Case No. 08-19309 (AH), Circuit Court of the 15th Judicial Circuit, Palm Beach County (case filed 06/26/08). One individual suing as personal representative of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker.

Spry, et al. v. Liggett Group LLC, et al., Case No. 06-31216 CICI, Circuit Court of the 7th Judicial Circuit, Volusia County (case filed 07/27/06). Two individuals suing.

Stafford v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Co., *et al.*, Case No. 97-CA-007732, Circuit Court of the 6th Judicial Circuit, Pinellas County (case filed 11/14/97). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker.

Swindells v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*, Case No. 06-CA-007837, Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit, Hillsborough County (case filed 09/01/06). One individual suing.

Ward v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Co., et al., Case No. 03-CA-008480, Hillsborough County (case filed 09/11/03). One individual suing.

<u>Weldon v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*</u>, Case No. 04-CA-002530, Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit, Hillsborough County (case filed 03/15/04). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker. In January 2008, plaintiff filed a motion to amend the complaint alleging that plaintiff is a member of the *Engle* class. There has been no further activity in the case.

<u>Williams v. R.J. Reynolds, *et al.*</u>, Case No. 06-CA-007430, Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit, Hillsborough County (case filed 08/22/06). One individual suing on behalf of the estate and survivors of a deceased smoker.

<u>Witt v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Co., *et al.*, Case No. 04-CA-008530, Circuit Court of the 13th Judicial Circuit, Hillsborough County (case filed 09/21/04). One individual suing.</u>

<u>Louisiana</u>

<u>Dimm, et al. v. R.J. Reynolds, et al.</u>, Case No. 53919, Circuit Court of the 18th Judicial District Court, Iberville Parish (case filed 07/25/00). Seven individuals suing. The case was dismissed at the trial court level as abandoned. Plaintiff filed an appeal, and briefing is underway.

Oser v. The American Tobacco Co., et al., Case No. 97-9293, Circuit Court of the Civil District Court, Parish of Orleans (case filed 05/27/97). One individual suing.

<u>Reese, et al. v. R. J. Reynolds, et al.</u>, Case No. 2003-12761, Circuit Court of the 22nd Judicial District Court, St. Tammany Parish (case filed 06/10/03). Five individuals suing.

<u>Maryland</u>

Brown, et al. v. Liggett Group LLC, et al., Case No. 24-X-12-000555, Circuit Court, Baltimore City (case filed 05/30/12). Two individuals suing. Plaintiffs seek damages allegedly caused to decedent by exposure to asbestos and cigarette smoke, with claims against certain asbestos manufacturer defendants and certain tobacco company defendants, including Liggett. Liggett joined in and adopted the Cigarette Defendants' Motion to Dismiss in August 2012. A decision is pending.

Koch v. John Crane-Houdaille, Inc., et al., Case No. 24-X-11-000011, Circuit Court, Baltimore City (case filed 11/29/12). Plaintiff seeks damages allegedly caused to decedent by exposure to asbestos and cigarette smoke, with claims against certain asbestos manufacturer defendants and certain tobacco company defendants, including Liggett.

LaFollette, *et al.* v. John Crane-Houdaille, Inc., *et al.*, Case No. 520521543, Circuit Court, Baltimore City (case filed 05/30/12). Two individuals suing. Plaintiff and his wife seek damages allegedly caused by exposure to asbestos and cigarettes, with claims against certain asbestos manufacturer defendants and certain tobacco company defendants, including Liggett. Liggett joined in and adopted the Cigarette Defendants' Motion to Dismiss in August 2012. A decision is pending.

<u>Schnepf v. John Crane-Houdaille, Inc., et al.</u>, Case No. 24-X-10-000466, Circuit Court, Baltimore City (case filed 11/15/12). Plaintiff seeks damages allegedly caused by exposure to asbestos and cigarette smoke, with claims against certain asbestos manufacturer defendants and certain tobacco company defendants, including Liggett. Liggett joined in and adopted the Cigarette Defendants' Motion to Dismiss in January 2013. A decision is pending.

<u>Stavrakis v. Liggett Group LLC, et al.</u>, Case No. 24-X-12-000490, Circuit Court, Baltimore City (case filed 06/11/12). Plaintiff is the surviving spouse of decedent Steve W. Stavrakis. Plaintiff seeks damages allegedly caused to decedent by exposure to asbestos and cigarette smoke, with claims against certain asbestos

manufacturer defendants and certain tobacco company defendants, including Liggett. Liggett joined in and adopted the Cigarette Defendants' Motion to Dismiss in August 2012. A decision is pending.

<u>Turner v. John Crane-Houdaille, Inc., et al.</u>, Case No. 24-X-12-000525, Circuit Court, Baltimore City (case filed 11/15/12). Plaintiff seeks damages allegedly caused by exposure to asbestos and cigarette smoke, with claims against certain asbestos manufacturer defendants and certain tobacco company defendants, including Liggett. Liggett joined in and adopted the Cigarette Defendants' Motion to Dismiss in January 2013. A decision is pending.

<u>Wilkinson, et al., v. John Crane-Houdaille, Inc., et al.</u>, Case No. 24-X-12-000527, Circuit Court, Baltimore City (case filed 11/29/12). Two individuals suing. Plaintiffs are the surviving spouse and child of decedent Theodore W. Wilkinson. Plaintiffs seek damages allegedly caused to decedent by exposure to asbestos and cigarette smoke, with claims against certain asbestos manufacturer defendants and certain tobacco company defendants, including Liggett.

<u>Missouri</u>

Nuzum, et al. v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corporation, et al., Case No. 03-cv-237237, Circuit Court, Jackson County (case filed 05/21/03). Two individuals suing.

<u>New York</u>

<u>Aponte, et al. v. Liggett Group Inc and Lorillard Tobacco</u>, Case No. 13CIV569, Southern District of New York, U.S. District Court, NY (case filed 04/18/13). One individual suing.

Brantley v. The American Tobacco Company, *et al.*, Case No. 114317/01, Supreme Court of New York, New York County (case filed 07/23/01). One individual suing. The case was stayed by stipulation of the parties.

Debobes v. The American Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 29544/92, Supreme Court of New York, Nassau County (case filed 10/17/97). One individual suing.

Hausrath, et al. v. Liggett Group LLC, Case No. I2001-09526, Supreme Court of New York, Erie County (case filed 01/24/02). Two individuals suing. Liggett is the only defendant. There has been no recent activity in this case.

James v. The American Tobacco Company, *et al.*, Case No. 103034/02, Supreme Court of New York, New York County (case filed 04/04/97). One individual suing.

<u>Shea, et al. v. The American Tobacco Company, et al.</u>, Case No. 008938/03, Supreme Court of New York, Nassau County (case filed 10/17/97). Two individuals suing. In December 2008, the trial court granted defendants' motion to dismiss plaintiffs' claims for punitive damages as barred by the prior settlement with the New York Attorney General, but denied the defendants' motion to dismiss the case. The dismissal of the punitive damages claim was affirmed by the intermediate appellate court in May 2010. Plaintiffs' motion to reargue the decision was denied by the appellate court.

Standish v. The American Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 18418-97, Supreme Court of New York, Bronx County (case filed 07/28/97). One individual suing.

<u>Tomasino, *et al.* v. The American Tobacco Company, *et al.*, Case No. 027182/97, Supreme Court of New York, Nassau County (case filed 09/23/97). Two individuals suing. In June 2009, the trial court granted defendants' motion to dismiss plaintiffs' claims for punitive damages as barred by the prior settlement with the New York Attorney General, but denied the defendants' motion to dismiss the case. The dismissal of the punitive damages</u>



claim was affirmed by the intermediate appellate court in May 2010. Plaintiffs' motion to reargue the decision was denied by the appellate court.

<u>Yedwabnick v. The American Tobacco Company, et al.</u>, Case No. 20525/97, Supreme Court of New York, Queens County (case filed 09/19/97). One individual suing.

<u>Ohio</u>

Croft, et al. v. Akron Gasket & Packing, et al., Case No. CV04541681, Court of Common Pleas, Cuyahoga County (case filed 08/25/05). Two individuals suing.

West Virginia

Brewer, et al. v. The American Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 01-C-82, Circuit Court, Ohio County (case filed 03/20/01). Two individuals suing.

Little v. The American Tobacco Company, et al., Case No. 01-C-235, Circuit Court, Ohio County (case filed 06/04/01). One individual suing.

II. CLASS ACTION CASES

A. Smoking Related.

In Re: Tobacco Litigation (Personal Injury Cases), Case No. 00-C-5000, Circuit Court, West Virginia, Ohio County (case filed 01/18/00). Although not technically a class action, the court consolidated approximately 750 individual smoker actions that were pending prior to 2001 for trial on some common related issues. Liggett was severed from trial of the consolidated action. Trial against the other defendants commenced in June 2010 and ended in a mistrial. The rescheduled trial commenced in October 2011 and it, too, ended in a mistrial. A new trial commenced April 15, 2013.

Parsons, et al. v. A C & S Inc., et al., Case No. 98-C-388, Circuit Court, West Virginia, Ohio County (case filed 02/09/98). This class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff's decedent and all West Virginia residents who allegedly have personal injury claims arising from their exposure to cigarette smoke and asbestos fibers. The complaint seeks to recover unspecified compensatory and punitive damages for all potential members of the class. The plaintiff alleges that Mrs. Parsons' use of tobacco products and exposure to asbestos products caused her to develop lung cancer and to become addicted to tobacco. The case is stayed as a result of the December 2000 bankruptcy petitions filed by three defendants (Nitral Liquidators, Inc., Desseaux Corporation of North America and Armstrong World Industries) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware.

Young, et al. v. American Brands Inc., et al., Case No. 97-19984cv, Civil District Court, Louisiana, Orleans Parish (case filed 11/12/97). This purported personal injury class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated residents in Louisiana who, though not themselves cigarette smokers, have been exposed to secondhand smoke from cigarettes which were manufactured by the defendants, and who suffered injury as a result of that exposure. The class has not been certified. The plaintiffs seek to recover an unspecified amount of compensatory and punitive damages. In October 2004, the trial court stayed this case pending the outcome of an appeal in *Scott v. American Tobacco Co.* The *Scott* case is now final. Liggett was not a party in the *Scott* case. There is currently no activity in the case.

B. Price Fixing.

Smith, et al. v. Philip Morris, Inc., et al., Case No. 00-cv-26, District Court, Kansas, Seward County (case filed 02/07/00). In this class action, plaintiffs allege that defendants conspired to fix, raise, stabilize, or maintain

prices for cigarettes in Kansas. The court granted class certification in November 2001. In November 2010, defendants filed a motion for summary judgment. In addition to joining that summary judgment motion, Liggett filed a summary judgment motion in June 2011. In March 2012, the court granted the defendants' motions and dismissed the plaintiffs' claims with prejudice. In July 2012, plaintiffs noticed an appeal. Briefing is underway.

III. HEALTH CARE COST RECOVERY ACTIONS

<u>Crow Creek Sioux Tribe v. The American Tobacco Company, *et al.*, Case No. cv-97-09-082, Tribal Court of the Crow Creek Sioux Tribe, South Dakota (case filed 09/26/97). The plaintiff seeks to recover actual and punitive damages, restitution, funding of a clinical cessation program, funding of a corrective public education program and disgorgement of unjust profits from alleged sales to minors. The case is dormant.</u>