# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

## FORM 8-K/A

CURRENT REPORT
Amendment No. 1
Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): April 4, 2008

## **VECTOR GROUP LTD.**

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

## **DELAWARE**

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation)

1-5759	65-0949535
(Commission File Number)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
100 S.E. Second Street, Miami, Florida	33131
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)	(Zip Code)
(305) 579	0-8000
(Registrant's Telephone Num	ber, Including Area Code)
(Not Appl	icable)
(Former Name or Former Address,	if Changed Since Last Report)
Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simulta following provisions ( <i>see</i> General Instruction A.2. below):	neously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the
o Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 G	CFR 230.425)
o Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CF)	R 240.14a-12)
o Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the E	xchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))
o Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Ex	xchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

ITEM 8.01. Other Events

ITEM 9.01. Financial Statements and Exhibits

**Exhibit Index** 

**SIGNATURE** 

EX-99.1 Item 8. Vector Group Ltd. Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

EX-99.2 Item 15. Vector Group Ltd. Exhibits and Consolidated Financial Statement Schedules

EX-99.3 Liggett Group LLC Consolidated Financial Statements

EX-99.4 Vector Tobacco Inc. Consolidated Financial Statements

EX-99.5 Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

EX-99.6 Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

EX-99.7 Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

EX-99.8 Item 9A Controls and Procedures

#### **Table of Contents**

Explanatory Note: Vector Group Ltd. hereby files this Amendment No. 1 to its Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") on April 8, 2008, in order to include Item 9A. — Controls and Procedures for the year ended December 31, 2007.

#### ITEM 8.01. Other Events.

Vector Group Ltd.'s ("Vector" or the "Company") 11% Senior Secured Note due 2015 issued on August 16, 2007, as defined in Note 7, Notes Payable, Long-Term Debt and Other Obligations, to Vector's consolidated financial statements included in Vector's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 ("Form 10-K"), have been fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a joint and several basis by all of its 100%-owned subsidiaries that are engaged in the conduct of the Company's cigarette businesses. Pursuant to the terms of these guarantee agreements and prior to registering these guarantees with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), the Company is required to present condensed consolidating financial information in its periodic reports filed with the SEC under Regulation S-X, Rule 3-10, "Financial Statements of Guarantors and Issuers of Guaranteed Securities Registered or Being Registered." This Current Report on Form 8-K/A updates Items 8, 9A and 15 of the Company's Form 10-K to include this condensed consolidating financial information as Note 22, Condensed Consolidating Financial Information. The updates do not represent a restatement of previously issued financial statements.

Items 8, 9A and 15 of the Company's Form 10-K are set forth in Exhibit 99.1, 99.2 and 99.8 hereto and are incorporated by reference herein. The information contained in this Current Report on Form 8-K is presented as of December 31, 2007 and, except as indicated above, has not been updated to reflect financial results subsequent to that date or any other changes since the date of the Company's Form 10-K. Other than the note to the consolidated financial statements discussed above, there are no changes to the Company's previously reported consolidated operating results, financial position, or cash flows. Therefore, this filing should be read together with other documents the Company has filed with the SEC subsequent to the filing of its Form 10-K. Information in such reports and documents updates and supersedes certain information in this document.

Other Financial Statements of Certain Subsidiaries of Vector Group Ltd.

The following financial statements for certain indirect 100%-owned subsidiaries of Vector are included pursuant to Regulation S-X, Rule 3-16, "Financial Statements of Affiliates Whose Securities Collateralize an Issue Registered or Being Registered." See Note 7 to Vector's consolidated financial statements.

Subsidiary		Exhibit
Liggett Group LLC		99.3
Vector Tobacco Inc.		99.4
	- 2 -	

## **Table of Contents**

## ITEM 9.01. Financial Statements and Exhibits.

(d) Exhibits

See Exhibit Index.

## **Exhibit Index**

99.1 Item 8. Vector Group Ltd. Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data  99.2 Item 15. Vector Group Ltd. Exhibits and Consolidated Financial Statement Schedules  99.3 Liggett Group LLC Consolidated Financial Statements  99.4 Vector Tobacco Inc. Consolidated Financial Statements	
99.3 Liggett Group LLC Consolidated Financial Statements	
99.4 Vector Tobacco Inc. Consolidated Financial Statements	
99.5 Consent of Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm.	
99.6 Consent of Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm.	
99.7 Consent of Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm.	
99.8 Item 9A. Controls and Procedures	
- 3 -	

## **Table of Contents**

#### **SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

## **VECTOR GROUP LTD.**

By: /s/ J. Bryant Kirkland III

J. Bryant Kirkland III

Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Date: April 8, 2008

## ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Our Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto, together with the report thereon of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP dated February 29, 2008, are set forth beginning on page F-1 of this report.

## VECTOR GROUP LTD. FORM 10-K FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007 ITEMS 8, 15(a)(1) AND (2)

## INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

Financial Statements and Schedules of the Registrant and its subsidiaries required to be included in Items 8, 15(a) (1) and (2) are listed below:

	Page
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:	
Vector Group Ltd. Consolidated Financial Statements	
Report of Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Vector Group Ltd. Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2007 and 2006	F-3
Vector Group Ltd. Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005	F-4
Vector Group Ltd. Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and	
2005	F-5
Vector Group Ltd. Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005	F-6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-8
FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE:	
Schedule II — Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	F-78

Financial Statement Schedules not listed above have been omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is contained in our consolidated financial statements or accompanying notes.

#### Report of Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Vector Group Ltd.:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Vector Group Ltd. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the accompanying index presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedule, and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

As discussed in Note 1 (n) and Note 1 (o) to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed the manner in which it accounts for defined benefit and other post retirement plans effective December 31, 2006 and the manner in which it accounts for share-based compensation in 2006. Also, as discussed in Note 10, the Company changed the manner for which it accounts for uncertain tax positions on January 1, 2007.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP Miami, Florida February 29, 2008, except with respect to our opinion on the consolidated financial statements insofar as it relates to the condensed consolidating financial information, as included in Note 22, as to which the date is April 4, 2008

## VECTOR GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	December 31, 2007 (Dollars in thou			December 31, 2006 usands, except	
	(2	per share			
ASSETS:					
Current assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	238,117	\$	146,769	
Investment securities available for sale		45,875		18,960	
Accounts receivable — trade		3,113		15,480	
Inventories		86,825		91,299	
Deferred income taxes		18,336		27,580	
Other current assets		3,360		3,068	
Total current assets		395,626		303,156	
Property, plant and equipment, net		54,432		59,921	
Long-term investments accounted for at cost		72,971		32,971	
Long-term investments accounted under the equity method		10,495		10,230	
Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses		35,731		28,416	
Restricted assets		8,766		8,274	
Deferred income taxes		26,637		43,973	
Intangible asset		107,511		107,511	
Prepaid pension costs		42,084		20,933	
Other assets		31,036		22,077	
	¢.		œ.		
Total assets	\$	785,289	\$	637,462	
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:					
Current liabilities:					
Current portion of notes payable and long-term debt	\$	20,618	\$	52,686	
Accounts payable	Ψ	6,980	Ψ	7,203	
Accrued promotional expenses		9,210		12,527	
Income taxes payable, net		2,363		12,970	
Accrued excise and payroll taxes payable, net		5,327		9,934	
Settlement accruals		10,041		47,408	
Deferred income taxes		24,019		5,020	
Accrued interest		9,475		2,586	
Other current liabilities		21,304			
				18,452	
Total current liabilities		109,337		168,786	
Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations, less current portion		277,178		103,304	
Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt		101,582		95,473	
Non-current employee benefits		40,933		36,050	
Deferred income taxes		141,904		130,533	
Other liabilities		13,503		8,339	
Total liabilities		684,437		542,485	
Commitments and contingencies					
Stockholders' equity:					
Preferred stock, par value \$1.00 per share, 10,000,000 shares authorized		_		_	
Common stock, par value \$0.10 per share, 150,000,000 and 100,000,000 shares authorized, 63,307,020 and					
59,843,379 shares issued and 60,361,068 and 57,031,269 shares outstanding		6,036		5,703	
Additional paid-in capital		89,494		132,807	
Retained earnings (accumulated deficit)		_		(28,192	
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		18,179		(2,587	
Less: 2,945,952 and 2,812,110 shares of common stock in treasury, at cost		(12,857)		(12,754	
Total stockholders' equity		100,852		94,977	
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$	785,289	\$	637,462	
Total Modello und Stockholdels equity	Ψ	700,200	Ψ	057,402	

## VECTOR GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
	(Dollars ii	except per s)	
Revenues*	\$555,430	\$506,252	\$478,427
Expenses:			
Cost of goods sold	337,079	315,163	285,393
Operating, selling, administrative and general expenses	92,967	90,833	114,048
Gain on sale of assets	_	(2,210)	(12,748)
Provision for loss on uncollectible receivable	_	_	2,750
Restructuring and impairment charges	(120)	1,437	(127)
Operating income	125,504	101,029	89,111
Other income (expenses):			
Interest and dividend income	9,897	9,000	5,610
Interest expense	(45,762)	(37,776)	(29,812)
Changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt	(6,109)	112	3,082
Loss on extinguishment of debt	_	(16,166)	_
Gain on investments, net	_	3,019	1,426
Provision for loss on investments	(1,216)	_	(433)
Gain from conversion of LTS notes	8,121		9,461
Equity in loss on operations of LTS	16.242		(299)
Equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses	16,243	9,086	7,543
Income from lawsuit settlement Other, net	20,000	176	— 79
•	(75)		
Income from continuing operations before provision for income taxes and minority interests	126,603	68,480	85,768
Income tax expense	(52,800)	(25,768)	(41,214)
Minority interests			(1,969)
Income from continuing operations	73,803	42,712	42,585
Discontinued operations:			00
Income from discontinued operations, net of minority Interest and taxes	_		82
Gain on disposal of discontinued operations, net of Minority interest and taxes			2,952
Income from discontinued operations			3,034
Income before extraordinary item	73,803	42,712	45,619
Extraordinary item, unallocated negative goodwill			6,766
Net income	\$ 73,803	\$ 42,712	\$ 52,385
Per basic common share:			
Income from continuing operations	\$ 1.16	\$ 0.70	\$ 0.87
Income from discontinued operations	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 0.06
Income from extraordinary item	<u> </u>	\$ —	\$ 0.14
Net income applicable to common shares	\$ 1.16	\$ 0.70	\$ 1.07
Per diluted common share:	, <u> </u>		
Income from continuing operations	\$ 1.13	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.82
Income from discontinued operations	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	\$ 0.06
Income from extraordinary item	<u> </u>	\$	\$ 0.13
Net income applicable to common shares	\$ 1.13	\$ 0.68	\$ 1.01
Cash distributions declared per share	\$ 1.54	\$ 1.47	\$ 1.40

<sup>\*</sup> Revenues and cost of goods sold include federal excise taxes of \$176,269, \$174,339 and \$161,753 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

## VECTOR GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)

			Additional			Accumulated Other		
	Common S	Stock	Additional Paid-In	Unearned		Comprehensive	Treasury	
	Shares	Amount	Capital	Compensation	Deficit	Income (Loss)	Stock	Total
	<u> </u>	- I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		llars in thousands, ex			Stock	1000
Balance, January 1, 2005	41,773,591	\$ 4,177	\$ 56,631	\$ (656)	\$ (122,808)	\$ (10,409)	\$ (16,152)	\$ (89,217)
Net income	41,773,331	J 4,177	J 50,051	<b>3</b> (030)	52,385	ψ (10,405) —	\$ (10,132) —	52,385
Pension related minimum liability adjustments, net of taxes	_	_	_	_		322	_	322
Forward contract adjustments, net of taxes	_	_	_	_	_	(599)	_	(599)
Unrealized loss on investment securities, net of taxes	_	_	_	_	_	(494)	_	(494)
Total other comprehensive loss	_	_	_	_	_	`	_	(771)
Total comprehensive income	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	51,614
Distributions on common stock	_	_	(73,238)	_	_	_	_	(73,238)
Effect of stock dividend	2.099.451	210	(/5,255)	_	(210)	_	_	(/5,255)
Restricted stock grants	628,570	63	12,295	(12,295)	(	_	_	63
Exercise of options, net of 8,100 shares delivered to pay exercise price	303,764	30	3,764	`	_	_	(168)	3,626
Tax benefit of options exercised	_	_	578	_	_	_	`—	578
Amortization of deferred compensation	_	_	_	1,270	_	_	_	1,270
Effect of New Valley restricted stock transactions, net	_	_	(379)	_	_	_	_	(379)
Beneficial conversion feature of convertible debt, net of taxes	_	_	6,418	_	_	_	_	6,418
Acquisition of New Valley minority interest	5,044,359	505	127,256			570		128,331
Balance, December 31, 2005	49,849,735	4,985	133,325	(11,681)	(70,633)	(10,610)	(16,320)	29,066
Net income	_	_	_	_	42,712	_	_	42,712
Pension related minimum liability adjustments, net of taxes	_	_	_	_		9,461	_	9,461
Forward contract adjustments, net of taxes	_	_	_	_	_	254	_	254
Unrealized gain on long-term investments accounted for under the								
equity method, net of taxes	_	_	_	_	_	173	_	173
Unrealized gain on investment securities, net of taxes	_	_	_	_	_	4,772	_	4,772
Total other comprehensive income	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	14,660
Total comprehensive income	_		_	_	_	_		57,372
		_						
Adoption of SFAS No. 158	_	_	_	_	_	(6,637)	_	(6,637)
Reclassifications in accordance with SFAS No. 123(R)	_	_	(11,681)	11,681	_	` — ` — `	_	
Distributions on common stock	_	_	(92,359)	_	_	_	_	(92,359)
Effect of stock dividend	2,708,295	271	_	_	(271)	_	_	_
Exercise of options, net of 41,566 shares delivered to pay exercise price	273,239	27	3,241	_	_	_	(697)	2,571
Amortization of deferred compensation		_	3,926	_	_	_	_	3,926
Note conversion	4,200,000	420	79,522	_		_	4,263	84,205
Beneficial conversion feature of convertible debt, net of taxes			16,833					16,833
Balance, December 31, 2006	57,031,269	5,703	132,807	_	(28,192)	(2,587)	(12,754)	94,977
Net income	_	_	_	_	73,803	_	_	73,803
Change in net loss and prior service cost, net of taxes	_	_	_	_	_	11,545	_	11,545
Forward contract adjustments, net of taxes	_	_	_	_	_	28	_	28
Unrealized gain on long-term investments accounted for under the						220		226
equity method, net of taxes		_				226		226
Unrealized gain on investment securities, net of taxes	_	_	_	_	_	8,967	_	8,967
Total other comprehensive income	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	20,766
Total comprehensive income	_	_	_	_	_		_	94,569
Distributions and dividends on common stock	_	_	(54,054)	_	(45,324)		_	(99,378)
Effect of stock dividend	2,870,589	287		_	(287)	_	_	
Restricted stock grants	40,000	4	(4)	_		_	_	_
Tax benefit of options exercised		_	2,055	_	_	_	<del>-</del>	2,055
Exercise of options, net of 7,627 shares delivered to pay exercise price	419,210	42	5,161	_		_	(103)	5,100
Amortization of deferred compensation			3,529					3,529
Balance, December 31, 2007	60,361,068	\$ 6,036	\$ 89,494	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	\$ 18,179	\$ (12,857)	\$ 100,852

# VECTOR GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Cash flows from operating activities:	2007 (Dollars in	nded Decemb 2006 thousands, e aare amounts	2005 except per
Net income	\$ 73.803	\$ 42,712	\$ 52,385
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 75,005	ψ 42,/12	(3,034)
Extraordinary item	_		(6,766)
Extraordinary nem	73,803	42,712	42,585
AP and the second secon	73,003	42,/12	42,303
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	10.202	0.000	11 220
Depreciation and amortization	10,202	9,888	11,220
Non-cash stock-based expense	3,529	3,926	3,133
Non-cash portion of restructuring and impairment charges	(120)	1,437 16,166	(127)
Loss on extinguishment of debt		10,100	1,969
Minority interests Gain on sale of investment securities available for sale	_	(3,019)	(1,426)
Gain on sale of investment securities available for sale  Gain on sale of assets	_	(2,210)	(1,420)
Provision for loss on uncollectible receivable	_	(2,210)	2,750
Deferred income taxes	44,656	(10,379)	2,750
Gain from conversion of LTS notes	(6,388)	(10,379)	(9,461)
Equity loss on operations of LTS	(0,300)		299
Provision for loss on marketable securities	1,216		433
Equity income in non-consolidated real estate businesses	(16,243)	(9,086)	(7,543)
1 0	8,878	· · /	5,935
Distributions from non-consolidated real estate businesses Non-cash interest expense	13,912	7,311 5,176	1,068
Changes in assets and liabilities (net of effect of acquisitions and dispositions):	13,912	3,170	1,000
Receivables	12.367	(2,766)	(10,235)
Inventories	4,474	(20,904)	8,546
Change in book overdraft.	(179)	759	0,340
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(46,960)	(2,881)	6,172
Cash payments on restructuring liabilities	(884)	(1,284)	(4,842)
Other assets and liabilities, net	6,935	11,169	8,509
Cash flows from discontinued operations	0,333	11,103	732
•	109,198	46.015	68,189
Net cash provided by operating activities	109,198	46,015	08,189
Cash flows from investing activities:	0.1=	4 400	4 4 4 4 0
Proceeds from sale of businesses and assets	917	1,486	14,118
Proceeds from sale or maturity of investment securities		30,407	7,490
Purchase of investment securities	(6,571)	(19,706)	(4,713)
Proceeds from sale or liquidation of long-term investments	71	326	48
Purchase of long-term investments	(40,091)	(35,345)	(227)
Purchase of LTS stock			(3,250)
(Increase) decrease in restricted assets	(492)	(1,527)	16
Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses	(750)	(9,850)	(6,250)
Distributions from non-consolidated real estate businesses	1,000	_	5,500
Issuance of note receivable	_	_	(2,750)
Costs associated with New Valley acquisition	(5.100)	(0.550)	(2,422)
Capital expenditures	(5,189)	(9,558)	(10,295)
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance policies	(838)	(898)	
Cash flows from discontinued operations			66,912
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(51,943)	(44,665)	64,177

# VECTOR GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Year	Year Ended December 31,				
	2007	2006	2005			
	(Dollars in thou	sands, except per s	share amounts)			
Cash flows from financing activities:						
Proceeds from issuance of debt	174,576	118,146	50,841			
Repayments of debt	(41,200)	(72,925)	(4,305)			
Deferred financing charges	(9,985)	(5,280)	(2,068)			
Borrowings under revolver	537,746	514,739	457,111			
Repayments on revolver	(534,950)	(502,753)	(457,127)			
Distributions on common stock	(99,249)	(90,138)	(70,252)			
Proceeds from exercise of Vector options and warrants	5,100	2,571	3,626			
Tax benefit of options exercised	2,055	_	_			
Other, net	_	_	76			
Cash flows from discontinued operations	_	_	(39,213)			
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	34,093	(35,640)	(61,311)			
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	91,348	(34,290)	71,055			
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	146,769	181,059	110,004			
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 238,117	\$ 146,769	\$ 181,059			

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

#### 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### (a) Basis of Presentation:

The consolidated financial statements of Vector Group Ltd. (the "Company" or "Vector") include the accounts of VGR Holding LLC ("VGR Holding"), Liggett Group LLC ("Liggett"), Vector Tobacco Inc. ("Vector Tobacco"), Liggett Vector Brands Inc. ("Liggett Vector Brands"), New Valley LLC ("New Valley") and other less significant subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Liggett is engaged in the manufacture and sale of cigarettes in the United States. Vector Tobacco is engaged in the development and marketing of low nicotine and nicotine-free cigarette products and the development of reduced risk cigarette products. New Valley is engaged in the real estate business and is seeking to acquire additional operating companies and real estate properties.

As discussed in Note 19, New Valley's real estate leasing operations, sold in February 2005, are presented as discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Certain amounts in the Company's consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2006 have been reclassified to conform to the current year's presentation. This reclassification includes bifurcating "Accrued taxes payable, net" as of December 31, 2006 into "Income taxes payable, net" and "Accrued excise and payroll taxes payable, net".

#### (b) Estimates and Assumptions:

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses. Significant estimates subject to material changes in the near term include restructuring and impairment charges, inventory valuation, deferred tax assets, allowance for doubtful accounts, promotional accruals, sales returns and allowances, actuarial assumptions of pension plans, the estimated fair value of embedded derivative liabilities, settlement accruals and litigation and defense costs. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

## (c) Cash and Cash Equivalents:

For purposes of the statements of cash flows, cash includes cash on hand, cash on deposit in banks and cash equivalents, comprised of short-term investments which have an original maturity of 90 days or less. Interest on short-term investments is recognized when earned. The Company places its cash and cash equivalents with large commercial banks. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) and Securities Investor Protection Corporation (SPIC) insure these balances, up to \$100 and \$500, respectively, and substantially all of the Company's cash balances at December 31, 2007 are uninsured.

#### (d) Financial Instruments:

The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents, restricted assets and short-term loans approximate their fair value.

The carrying amounts of short-term debt reported in the consolidated balance sheets approximate fair value. The fair value of long-term debt for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 was estimated based on current market quotations.

As required by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 133, amended by SFAS No. 138, derivatives embedded within the Company's convertible debt are recognized on the Company's balance sheet and are stated at estimated fair value as determined by a third party at each reporting period. Changes in the fair value of

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

the embedded derivatives are reflected quarterly as "Change in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt."

The methods and assumptions used by the Company's management in estimating fair values for financial instruments presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company could realize in a current market exchange. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair values.

#### (e) Investment Securities:

The Company classifies investments in debt and marketable equity securities as available for sale. Investments classified as available for sale are carried at fair value, with net unrealized gains and losses included as a separate component of stockholders' equity. The cost of securities sold is determined based on average cost.

Gains are recognized when realized in the Company's consolidated statements of operations. Losses are recognized as realized or upon the determination of the occurrence of an other-than-temporary decline in fair value. The Company's policy is to review its securities on a periodic basis to evaluate whether any security has experienced an other-than-temporary decline in fair value. If it is determined that an other-than-temporary decline exists in one of the Company's marketable securities, it is the Company's policy to record an impairment charge with respect to such investment in the Company's consolidated statements of operations. The Company recorded a loss related to other-than-temporary declines in the fair value of its marketable equity securities of \$1,216 and \$433 for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2005, respectively.

#### (f) Significant Concentrations of Credit Risk:

Financial instruments which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash and cash equivalents and trade receivables. The Company places its temporary cash in money market securities (investment grade or better) with what management believes are high credit quality financial institutions.

Liggett's customers are primarily candy and tobacco distributors, the military and large grocery, drug and convenience store chains. One customer accounted for approximately 8.7%, 10.8% and 11.9% of Liggett's revenues in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and accounts receivable of approximately \$26, \$10,603 and \$107 at December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Sales to this customer were primarily in the private label discount segment. Concentrations of credit risk with respect to trade receivables are generally limited due to the large number of customers, located primarily throughout the United States, comprising Liggett's customer base. Ongoing credit evaluations of customers' financial condition are performed and, generally, no collateral is required. Liggett maintains reserves for potential credit losses and such losses, in the aggregate, have generally not exceeded management's expectations.

#### (g) Accounts Receivable:

Accounts receivable-trade are recorded at their net realizable value.

The allowance for doubtful accounts and cash discounts was \$120 and \$611 at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

## (h) Inventories:

Tobacco inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market and are determined primarily by the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method at Liggett and the first-in, first out (FIFO) method at Vector Tobacco. Although portions of leaf tobacco inventories may not be used or sold within one year because of the time required for aging, they are included in current assets, which is common practice in the industry. It is not practicable to determine the amount that will not be used or sold within one year.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

The Company recorded a charge to operations for LIFO layer liquidations of \$1,942 and \$924 for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2005, respectively, and an increase in income of \$790 for LIFO layer increments for the year ended December 31, 2006.

In 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the "FASB") issued SFAS No. 151, "Inventory Costs". SFAS No. 151 requires that abnormal idle facility expense and spoilage, freight and handling costs be recognized as current period charges. In addition, SFAS No. 151 requires that allocation of fixed production overhead costs to inventories be based on the normal capacity of the production facility. The Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 151 prospectively on January 1, 2006 and the effect of adoption did not have a material impact on its consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

#### (i) Restricted Assets:

Long-term restricted assets of \$8,766 and \$8,274 at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, consist primarily of certificates of deposit which collateralize letters of credit and deposits on long-term debt. The certificates of deposit mature at various dates from January 2008 to February 2009.

#### (j) Property, Plant and Equipment:

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost. Property, plant and equipment are depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets, which are 20 to 30 years for buildings and 3 to 10 years for machinery and equipment.

Repairs and maintenance costs are charged to expense as incurred. The costs of major renewals and betterments are capitalized. The cost and related accumulated depreciation of property, plant and equipment are removed from the accounts upon retirement or other disposition and any resulting gain or loss is reflected in operations.

#### (k) Investment in Non-Consolidated Real Estate Businesses:

In accounting for its investment in non-consolidated real estate businesses, the Company applies FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) ("FIN 46(R)"), "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities", which clarified the application of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51 ("ARB No. 51"), "Consolidated Financial Statements". FIN 46(R) requires the Company to identify its participation in Variable Interest Entities ("VIE"), which are defined as entities with a level of invested equity insufficient to fund future activities to operate on a standalone basis, or whose equity holders lack certain characteristics typical to holders of equity interests, such as voting rights. For entities identified as VIEs, FIN 46(R) sets forth a model to evaluate potential consolidation based on an assessment of which party, if any, bears a majority of the exposure to the expected losses, or stands to gain from a majority of the expected returns. FIN 46(R) also sets forth certain disclosures regarding interests in VIEs that are deemed significant, even if consolidation is not required.

New Valley accounts for its 50% interests in Douglas Elliman Realty LLC, Koa Investors LLC and 16th & K Holdings LLC, and, prior to the fourth quarter of 2007, accounted for its interest in Ceebraid Acquisition Corporation ("Ceebraid") on the equity method because the entities neither meet the definition of a VIE nor is New Valley each respective entity's primary beneficiary, as defined in FIN 46(R).

In addition, FIN 46(R) includes a scope exception for certain entities that are deemed to be "businesses" and meet certain other criteria. Entities that meet this scope exception are not subject to the accounting and disclosure rules of FIN 46(R), but are subject to the pre-existing consolidation rules under ARB No. 51, which are based on an analysis of voting rights. This scope exception applies to New Valley's investment in Douglas Elliman Realty LLC and, as a result, under the applicable ARB No. 51 rules, the Company is not required to consolidate this business.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

#### (l) Intangible Assets:

The Company is required to conduct an annual review of intangible assets for potential impairment including the intangible asset of \$107,511, which is not subject to amortization due to its indefinite useful life. This intangible asset relates to the exemption of The Medallion Company ("Medallion"), acquired in April 2002, under the Master Settlement Agreement, which states payments under the MSA continue in perpetuity. As a result, the Company believes it will realize the benefit of the exemption for the foreseeable future.

Other intangible assets, included in other assets, consisting of trademarks and patent rights, are amortized using the straight-line method over 10-12 years and had a net book value of \$53 and \$60 at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. In connection with the December 2006 restructuring of Vector Research Ltd., the Company recorded an impairment charge of approximately \$650 related to a patent, which is included as a component of "Restructuring and impairment charges" in the Company's consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2006.

#### (m) Impairment of Long-Lived Assets:

The Company reviews long-lived assets for impairment annually or whenever events or changes in business circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be fully recoverable. The Company performs undiscounted operating cash flow analyses to determine if impairment exists. If impairment is determined to exist, any related impairment loss is calculated based on fair value of the asset on the basis of discounted cash flow. Impairment losses on assets to be disposed of, if any, are based on the estimated proceeds to be received, less costs of disposal.

As discussed in Note 2, the Company recorded a \$954 asset impairment charge in 2006 related to the restructuring of Vector Research Ltd. This amount has been included as a component of "Restructuring and impairment charges" in the Company's consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2006.

#### (n) Pension, postretirement and postemployment benefits plans:

The cost of providing retiree pension benefits, health care and life insurance benefits is actuarially determined and accrued over the service period of the active employee group. On September 29, 2006, SFAS No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans" was issued. SFAS No. 158 requires, among other things, the recognition of the funded status of each defined benefit pension plan, retiree health care and other postretirement benefit plans and postemployment benefit plans on the balance sheet. The Company adopted SFAS No. 158 as of December 31, 2006. (See Note 9.)

#### (o) Stock Options:

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for employee stock compensation plans under SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS No. 123R"), which requires companies to measure compensation cost for share-based payments at fair value.

Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for employee stock compensation plans under APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" with the intrinsic value-based method permitted by SFAS No. 123, and "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" as amended by SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation — Transition and Disclosure — an Amendment to FASB Statement No. 123." Accordingly, no compensation expense was recognized when the exercise price was equal to the market price of the underlying common stock on the date of grant for the year ended December 31, 2005. (See Note 11.)

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

#### (p) Income Taxes:

We adopted FIN 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes (an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109)", on January 1, 2007. FIN 48 requires an entity to recognize the financial statement impact of a tax position when it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination. If the tax position meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold, the tax effect is recognized at the largest amount of the benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. FIN 48 requires that a liability created for unrecognized deferred tax benefits shall be presented as a liability and not combined with deferred tax liabilities or assets.

Deferred taxes reflect the impact of temporary differences between the amounts of assets and liabilities recognized for financial reporting purposes and the amounts recognized for tax purposes as well as tax credit carryforwards and loss carryforwards. These deferred taxes are measured by applying currently enacted tax rates. A valuation allowance reduces deferred tax assets when it is deemed more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

#### (q) <u>Distributions and dividends on common stock:</u>

The Company records distributions on its common stock as dividends in its consolidated statement of stockholders' equity to the extent of retained earnings. Any amounts exceeding retained earnings are recorded as a reduction to additional paid-in-capital.

#### (r) Revenue Recognition:

Sales: Revenues from sales are recognized upon the shipment of finished goods when title and risk of loss have passed to the customer, there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, the sale price is determinable and collectibility is reasonably assured. The Company provides an allowance for expected sales returns, net of any related inventory cost recoveries. Certain sales incentives, including buydowns, are classified as reductions of net sales in accordance with the FASB's Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 01-9, "Accounting for Consideration Given by a Vendor to a Customer (Including a Reseller of the Vendor's Products)." In accordance with EITF Issue No. 06-3, "How Taxes Collected From Customers and Remitted to Governmental Authorities Should Be Presented in the Income Statement (That Is, Gross Versus Net Presentation)", the Company's accounting policy is to include federal excise taxes in revenues and cost of goods sold. Such revenues and cost of goods sold totaled \$176,269, \$174,339 and \$161,753 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Since the Company's primary line of business is tobacco, the Company's financial position and its results of operations and cash flows have been and could continue to be materially adversely affected by significant unit sales volume declines, litigation and defense costs, increased tobacco costs or reductions in the selling price of cigarettes in the near term.

*Shipping and Handling Fees and Costs:* Shipping and handling fees related to sales transactions are neither billed to customers nor recorded as revenue. Shipping and handling costs, which were \$7,610 in 2007, \$7,329 in 2006 and \$6,596 in 2005, are recorded as operating, selling, administrative and general expenses.

## (s) Advertising and Research and Development:

Advertising costs, which are expensed as incurred and included within operating, selling, administration and general expenses, were \$175, \$172 and \$296 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Research and development costs, primarily at Vector Tobacco, are expensed as incurred and included within operating, selling, administration and general expenses, and were \$4,220, \$7,750 and \$10,089 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

#### (t) Earnings Per Share:

Information concerning the Company's common stock has been adjusted to give effect to the 5% stock dividends paid to Company stockholders on September 28, 2007, September 29, 2006 and September 29, 2005, respectively. The dividends were recorded at par value of \$287 in 2007, \$271 in 2006 and \$210 in 2005 since the Company did not have retained earnings in each of the aforementioned years. In connection with the 5% stock dividends, the Company increased the number of outstanding stock options by 5% and reduced the exercise prices accordingly.

In March 2004, the EITF reached a final consensus on Issue No. 03-6, "Participating Securities and the Two-Class Method under FASB Statement 128", which established standards regarding the computation of earnings per share ("EPS") by companies that have issued securities other than common stock that contractually entitle the holder to participate in dividends and earnings of the company. For purposes of calculating basic EPS, earnings available to common stockholders for the period are reduced by the contingent interest and the non-cash interest expense associated with the discounts created by the beneficial conversion features and embedded derivatives related to the Company's convertible debt issued in 2004, 2005 and 2006. The convertible debt issued by the Company in 2004, 2005 and 2006, which are participating securities due to the contingent interest feature, had no impact on EPS for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, as the dividends on the common stock reduced earnings available to common stockholders so there were no unallocated earnings under EITF Issue No. 03-6.

As discussed in Note 11, the Company has stock option awards which provide for common stock dividend equivalents at the same rate as paid on the common stock with respect to the shares underlying the unexercised portion of the options. These outstanding options represent participating securities under EITF Issue No. 03-6. Because the Company accounted for the dividend equivalent rights on these options as additional compensation cost in accordance with APB Opinion No. 25, these participating securities had no impact on the calculation of basic EPS in periods ending prior to January 1, 2006. Effective with the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) on January 1, 2006, the Company recognizes payments of the dividend equivalent rights (\$6,475, net of taxes of \$200, and \$6,186, net of taxes of \$227, for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively) on these options as reductions in additional paid-in capital on the Company's consolidated balance sheet. As a result, in its calculation of basic EPS for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, the Company has adjusted its net income for the effect of these participating securities as follows:

	2007	2006	2005
Net income	\$73,803	\$42,712	\$52,385
Income attributable to participating securities	(4,817)	(2,958)	
Net income available to common stockholders	\$68,986	\$39,754	\$52,385

Basic EPS is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding, which includes vested restricted stock. Diluted EPS includes the dilutive effect of stock options and unvested restricted stock grants and warrants and convertible securities.

Basic and diluted EPS were calculated using the following shares for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005:

2007	2006	2005
59,614,000	56,968,694	48,762,326
1,665,571	1,498,573	2,385,934
_	_	6,436,853
61,279,571	58,467,267	57,585,113
	59,614,000 1,665,571 —	1,665,571 1,498,573 — — — —

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

The following stock options, non-vested restricted stock and shares issuable upon the conversion of convertible debt were outstanding during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 but were not included in the computation of diluted EPS because the exercise prices of the options and the per share expense associated with the restricted stock were greater than the average market price of the common shares during the respective periods, and the impact of common shares issuable under the convertible debt were anti-dilutive to EPS.

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2007		_	2006	_	2005
Number of stock options		166,333		522,767		240,653
Weighted-average exercise price	\$	27.54	\$	20.07	\$	25.26
Weighted-average shares of non- vested restricted stock		_		643,947		161,707
Weighted-average expense per share		N/A	\$	17.84	\$	17.79
Weighted-average number of shares			_			
issuable upon conversion of debt		12,315,489	_	12,913,822		12,505,887
Weighted-average conversion price	\$	18.02	\$	18.07	\$	19.12

Diluted EPS are calculated by dividing income by the weighted average common shares outstanding plus dilutive common stock equivalents. The Company's convertible debt was anti-dilutive in 2007 and 2006 and, in 2005, the Company's 5% variable interest senior convertible notes due 2011 were anti-dilutive. As a result of the dilutive nature in 2005 of the Company's 6.25% convertible subordinated notes due 2008, the Company adjusted its net income for the effect of these convertible securities for purposes of calculating diluted EPS as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2007	2006	2005	
Net income	\$73,803	\$42,712	\$52,385	
Expense attributable to 6.25% convertible subordinated notes due 2008	_	_	5,766	
Income attributable to participating securities	(4,817)	(2,958)		
Net income for diluted EPS	\$68,986	\$39,754	\$58,151	

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

#### (u) Comprehensive Income:

Other comprehensive income is a component of stockholders' equity and includes such items as the unrealized gains and losses on investment securities available for sale, forward contracts, minimum pension liability adjustments and, prior to December 9, 2005, the Company's proportionate interest in New Valley's capital transactions. Total comprehensive income for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2007	2006	2005	
Net income	\$73,803	\$42,712	\$52,385	
Net unrealized gains on investment securities available for sale:				
Change in net unrealized gains, net of income taxes and minority interests	8,248	6,556	165	
Net unrealized losses (gains) reclassified into net income, net of income taxes and minority				
interests	719	(1,784)	(659)	
	8,967	4,772	(494)	
Net unrealized gains on long-term investments accounted for under the equity method	226	173		
Net change in forward contracts	28	254	(599)	
Net change in pension-related amounts, net of income taxes	11,545	9,461	322	
Comprehensive income	\$94,569	\$57,372	\$51,614	

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes, were as follows as of December 31, 2007 and 2006:

	Dec	2007	Dec	ember 31, 2006
Net unrealized gains on investment securities available for sale, net of income taxes of \$9,943 and				
\$3,737, respectively	\$	14,367	\$	5,400
Net unrealized gains on long-term investments accounted for under the equity method, net of				
income taxes of \$276 and \$120, respectively		399		173
Forward contracts adjustment, net of income taxes of \$219 and \$226, respectively		(317)		(345)
Pension-related amounts net of income taxes of \$2,452 and \$5,076, respectively		3,730		(7,815)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	\$	18,179	\$	(2,587)

## (v) Contingencies:

The Company records Liggett's product liability legal expenses and other litigation costs as operating, selling, general and administrative expenses as those costs are incurred. As discussed in Note 12, legal proceedings covering a wide range of matters are pending or threatened in various jurisdictions against Liggett.

Management is unable to make a reasonable estimate with respect to the amount or range of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome of pending tobacco-related litigation or the costs of defending such cases, and the Company has not provided any amounts in its consolidated financial statements for unfavorable outcomes, if any. Litigation is subject to many uncertainties, and it is possible that the Company's consolidated financial

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

position, results of operations or cash flows could be materially adversely affected by an unfavorable outcome in any such tobaccorelated litigation.

#### (w) New Accounting Pronouncements:

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, "Accounting for Certain Hybrid Instruments". SFAS No. 155 amends SFAS Nos. 133 and 140 and relates to the financial reporting of certain hybrid financial instruments. SFAS No. 155 allows financial instruments that have embedded derivatives to be accounted for as a whole (eliminating the need to bifurcate the derivative from its host) if the holder elects to account for the whole instrument on a fair value basis. SFAS No. 155 is effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of fiscal years commencing after September 15, 2006. The Company's adoption of SFAS No. 155 did not impact its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2006, the FASB issued FIN 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes (an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109)", which is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006 with earlier adoption encouraged. This interpretation was issued to clarify the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in the financial statements by prescribing a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 requires an entity to recognize the financial statement impact of a tax position when it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination. If the tax position meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold, the tax effect is recognized at the largest amount of the benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. FIN 48 requires that a liability created for unrecognized deferred tax benefits shall be presented as a liability and not combined with deferred tax liabilities or assets. The adoption of FIN 48 is discussed in Note 10.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements", which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 clarifies that fair value should be based on assumptions that market participants would use when pricing an asset or liability and establishes a fair value hierarchy of three levels that prioritizes the information used to develop those assumptions. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets and the lowest priority to unobservable data. SFAS No. 157 requires fair value measurements to be separately disclosed by level within the fair value hierarchy. The provisions of SFAS No. 157 will become effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2008. Generally, the provisions of this statement are to be applied prospectively. Certain situations, however, require retrospective application as of the beginning of the year of adoption through the recognition of a cumulative effect of accounting change. Such retrospective application is required for financial instruments, including derivatives and certain hybrid instruments with limitations on initial gains or losses under EITF Issue No. 02-3, "Issues Involved in Accounting for Derivative Contracts Held for Trading Purposes and Contracts Involved in Energy Trading and Risk Management Activities". In February 2008, the FASB Staff issued a Staff Position that will partially defer the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for one year for certain nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities and remove certain leasing transactions from the scope of SFAS No. 157. The Company has not completed its assessment of the impact of this standard on its consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans." SFAS No. 158 requires an employer to recognize the overfunded or underfunded status of their benefit plans as an asset or liability in its balance sheet and to recognize changes in that funded status in the year in which the changes occur as a component of other comprehensive income. The funded status is measured as the difference between the fair value of the plan's assets and its benefit obligation. In addition, SFAS No. 158 requires an employer to measure benefit plan assets and obligations that determine the funded status of a plan as of the end of its fiscal year. The Company presently measures the funded status of its plans at September 30 and the new measurement date requirements become effective for the Company for the year ending December 31, 2008. The prospective requirement to recognize the funded status of a benefit plan and to provide the required disclosures

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

became effective for the Company on December 31, 2006. The adoption of SFAS No. 158 did not have an impact on the Company's results of operations or cash flows. The adoption of SFAS No. 158 resulted in a \$10,705 reduction of "Prepaid pension costs," which is classified in other assets, a decrease in an intangible asset of \$1,232, an increase of \$4,643 in "Deferred income taxes," which is also included in other assets, an increase of other accrued current liabilities of \$1,142, a decrease of non-current employee benefits of \$1,799, which is comprised of a \$349 decrease in non-current pension liabilities and an \$1,450 decrease in non-current postretirement liabilities, and an \$11,280 (\$6,637 net of taxes) increase to "Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)," which is included in stockholders' equity.

In September 2006, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, "Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements." SAB 108 provides guidance on how prior year misstatements should be taken into consideration when quantifying misstatements in current year financial statements for purposes of determining whether the current year's financial statements are materially misstated. The provisions of SAB 108 are required to be applied beginning December 31, 2006. The adoption of SAB 108 did not impact the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities." SFAS No. 159 permits entities to elect to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, with early adoption permitted provided the entity also elects to apply the provisions of SFAS No. 157. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS No. 159 on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), a revised version of SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations." The revision is intended to simplify existing guidance and converge rulemaking under U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP") with international accounting rules. This statement applies prospectively to business combinations where the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. An entity may not apply it before that date. The new standard also converges financial reporting under U.S. GAAP with international accounting rules. The Company is currently assessing the impact, if any, of SFAS No. 141(R) on its consolidated financial statements.

#### 2. RESTRUCTURINGS

*Vector Research 2006 Restructuring.* In November 2006, the Company's Board of Directors determined to discontinue the genetics operation of its subsidiary, Vector Research, and, not to pursue FDA approval of QUEST as a smoking cessation aide, due to the projected significant additional time and expense involved in seeking such approval. In connection with this decision, Vector Research eliminated 12 full-time positions effective December 31, 2006.

The Company recognized pre-tax restructuring and inventory impairment charges of \$2,664, during the fourth quarter of 2006. The restructuring charges include \$484 relating to employee severance and benefit costs, \$338 for contract termination and other associated costs, approximately \$954 for asset impairment and \$890 in inventory write-offs. Approximately \$1,842 of these charges represent non-cash items.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

The components of the combined pre-tax restructuring charges relating to the 2006 Vector Research Ltd. restructurings for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, were as follows:

	Sev	ployee erance Benefits	ance Asset		Asset Termination/		<u>Total</u>	
Balance, January 1, 2006	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
Restructuring charges		484		1,842		338		2,664
Utilized		_		(1,842)		_	(	1,842)
Balance, December 31, 2006	\$	484	\$		\$	338	\$	822
Change in estimate		(71)		_		8		(63)
Utilized		(343)				(346)		(689)
Balance, December 31, 2007	\$	70	\$	_	\$		\$	70

Liggett Vector Brands Restructurings. During April 2004, Liggett Vector Brands adopted a restructuring plan in its continuing effort to adjust the cost structure of the Company's tobacco business and improve operating efficiency. As part of the plan, Liggett Vector Brands eliminated 83 positions and consolidated operations, subletting its New York office space and relocating several employees. As a result of these actions, the Company recognized pre-tax restructuring charges of \$2,735 in 2004, including \$798 relating to employee severance and benefit costs and \$1,937 for contract termination and other associated costs. Approximately \$503 of these charges represented non-cash items.

On October 6, 2004, the Company announced an additional plan to further restructure the operations of Liggett Vector Brands, its sales, marketing and distribution agent for its Liggett and Vector Tobacco subsidiaries. Liggett Vector Brands has realigned its sales force and adjusted its business model to more efficiently serve its chain and independent accounts nationwide. Liggett Vector Brands is seeking to expand the portfolio of private and control label partner brands by utilizing a pricing strategy that offers long-term list price stability for customers. In connection with the restructuring, the Company eliminated approximately 330 full-time positions and 135 part-time positions as of December 15, 2004.

The Company recognized pre-tax restructuring charges of \$10,583 in 2004, with approximately \$5,659 of the charges related to employee severance and benefit costs and approximately \$4,924 to contract termination and other associated costs. Approximately \$2,503 of these charges represented non-cash items. Additionally, the Company incurred other charges in 2004 for various compensation and related payments to employees which are related to the restructuring. These charges of \$1,670 were included in selling, general and administrative expenses.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

The components of the combined pre-tax restructuring charges relating to the 2004 Liggett Vector Brands restructurings for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 are as follows:

	Se	nployee verance l Benefits	Non-Cash Asset <u>Impairment</u>		Asset		Asset		Ter	Contract mination/ xit Costs		<u>Total</u>
Balance, January 1, 2005	\$	3,614	\$	186	\$	3,285	\$	7,085				
Change in estimate		(54)		(73)		_		(127)				
Utilized		(2,847)		(113)		(1,882)	(	(4,842)				
Balance, December 31, 2005	\$	713	\$		\$	1,403	\$	2,116				
Change in estimate		(103)		_		(25)		(128)				
Utilized		(610)		_		(528)	(	(1,138)				
Balance, December 31, 2006	\$	_	\$		\$	850	\$	850				
Change in estimate		_		_		(57)		(57)				
Utilized		_		_		(195)		(195)				
Balance, December 31, 2007	\$		\$		\$	598	\$	598				

## 3. INVESTMENT SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE

Investment securities classified as available for sale are carried at fair value, with net unrealized gains or losses included as a component of stockholders' equity, net of taxes and minority interests. For the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, net realized gains were \$0, \$3,019 and \$1,426, respectively. The Company recorded a loss related to other-than-temporary declines in the fair value of its marketable equity securities of \$1,216 and \$433 for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2005, respectively. (See Note 1.)

The components of investment securities available for sale at December 31, 2007 and 2006 were as follows:

	Cost	Gross Unrealized <u>Gain</u>	Gross Unrealized Loss	Fair Value
2007				
Marketable equity securities	<u>\$ 21,565</u>	\$ 24,374	\$ (64)	\$45,875
2006				
Marketable equity securities	\$ 9,643	\$ 10,017	<b>\$</b> (700)	\$18,960

Investment securities available for sale as of December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 include New Valley LLC's 13,888,889 and 11,111,111 shares, respectively, of Ladenburg Thalmann Financial Services Inc. ("LTS") common stock, which were carried at \$29,444 and \$13,556, respectively (see Note 17). Investment securities available for sale as of December 31, 2007 also include 2,257,110 shares of Opko Health Inc. ("Opko") common stock, which were carried at \$6,433. In February 2008, the Company purchased an additional 2,800,000 shares of Opko in a private placement for \$5,040. The Opko shares were acquired in a private placement and have not been registered for resale.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

#### 4. **INVENTORIES**

Inventories consist of:

	De	cember 31, 2007	Dec	ember 31, 2006
Leaf tobacco	\$	41,502	\$	33,363
Other raw materials		4,847		2,725
Work-in-process		710		1,348
Finished goods		45,331		57,485
Inventories at current cost		92,390		94,921
LIFO adjustments		(5,565)		(3,622)
	\$	86,825	\$	91,299

The Company has a leaf inventory management program whereby, among other things, it is committed to purchase certain quantities of leaf tobacco. The purchase commitments are for quantities not in excess of anticipated requirements and are at prices, including carrying costs, established at the commitment date. At December 31, 2007, Liggett had leaf tobacco purchase commitments of approximately \$12,421. There were no leaf tobacco purchase commitments at Vector Tobacco at that date. During 2007 the Company entered into a single source supply agreement for fire safe cigarette paper through 2012.

In connection with the Company's decision in November 2006 to discontinue the genetics operation of Vector Research Ltd. and not to pursue, at this time, FDA approval of QUEST as a smoking cessation aide, the Company recognized a non-cash charge of \$890 to adjust the carrying value of the remaining excess QUEST leaf tobacco inventory in 2006. The charge was recorded in cost of goods sold for the year ended December 31, 2006.

The Company capitalizes the incremental prepaid cost of the Master Settlement Agreement in ending inventory.

LIFO inventories represent approximately 95% and 93% of total inventories at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

#### 5. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Property, plant and equipment consist of:

	Dec	December 31, 2007		2006 2006
Land and improvements	\$	1,418	\$	1,418
Buildings		13,575		13,366
Machinery and equipment		103,416		103,241
Leasehold improvements		2,209		2,017
Construction-in-progress		1,151		525
		121,769		120,567
Less accumulated depreciation		(67,337)		(60,646)
	\$	54,432	\$	59,921

Depreciation and amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$10,202, \$9,888 and \$11,220, respectively. Future machinery and equipment purchase commitments at Liggett were \$3,657 at December 31, 2007.

In December 2005, Liggett completed the sale for \$15,450 of its former manufacturing facility, research facility and offices in Durham, North Carolina with a net book value of approximately \$2,212. In connection with the sale, the Company recorded a gain of \$7,706, net of income taxes of \$5,042, in 2005.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

In February 2005, New Valley completed the sale of its two office buildings in Princeton, New Jersey for \$71,500. (See Note 19). In connection with the sale, the Company recorded a gain of \$2,952, net of minority interests and income taxes, in 2005.

During 2006, Liggett Vector Brands recognized an impairment charge of \$324 associated with its decision to dispose of an asset to an unrelated third party. The asset was sold in the fourth quarter of 2006.

In February 2001, Liggett sold a warehouse facility in a sale-leaseback arrangement which resulted in a deferred gain of \$1,139, to be amortized over the 15-year lease term. The lease provided the owner an early termination option which was exercisable for \$1,500. The owner exercised that option in April 2006, and Liggett vacated the premises effective December 31, 2006. During December 2006, Liggett recognized \$2,476 of income related to recognition of the unamortized portion of the original deferred gain on sale and early termination option payments received by Liggett from the owner.

#### 6. LONG-TERM INVESTMENTS

Long-term investments consist of investments in the following:

		2007		10er 31, 106
	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value
Investment partnerships accounted for at cost	\$72,971	\$89,007	\$32,971	\$47,560
Investments accounted for on the equity method	\$10,495	\$10,495	\$10,230	\$10,230

The principal business of these investment partnerships is investing in investment securities and real estate. The estimated fair value of the investment partnerships was provided by the partnerships based on the indicated market values of the underlying assets or investment portfolio. New Valley is an investor in real estate partnerships where it has committed to make additional investments of up to an aggregate of \$172 at December 31, 2007. The investments in these investment partnerships are illiquid and the ultimate realization of these investments is subject to the performance of the underlying partnership and its management by the general partners.

In August 2006, the Company invested \$25,000 in Icahn Partners, LP, a privately managed investment partnership, of which Carl Icahn is the portfolio manager and the controlling person of the general partner, and manager of the partnership. In September 2007, the Company invested an additional \$25,000 in Icahn Partners, LP. Based on information available in public filings, the Company believes affiliates of Mr. Icahn are the beneficial owners of approximately 20.2% of Vector's common stock at December 31, 2007.

The Company's investments constituted less than 3% of the invested funds in each of the other partnerships at December 31, 2007 and 2006 and, in accordance with EITF Topic No. D-46, "Accounting for Limited Partnership Investments", the Company has accounted for such investments using the cost method of accounting.

On November 1, 2006, the Company invested \$10,000 in Jefferies Buckeye Fund, LLC ("Buckeye Fund"), a privately managed investment partnership, of which Jefferies Asset Management, LLC is the portfolio manager. The Company believes affiliates of Jefferies Asset Management, LLC beneficially owned approximately 6.5% of Vector's common stock as of December 31, 2007. The Company's investment in the Buckeye Fund represented approximately 13.4% of the amounts invested in the Buckeye Fund at December 31, 2007. In accordance with EITF Issue No. 03-16, "Accounting for Investments in Limited Liability Companies", the Company has accounted for its investment in Buckeye Fund using the equity method of accounting and carried its investment in the Buckeye Fund at \$10,495 and \$10,230 as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The amounts include \$675 (\$399 net of income taxes) and \$292 (\$173 net of income taxes) of unrealized gains on investment securities at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The Company recorded a loss of \$118 and \$62 associated with the Buckeye Fund for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

In the future, the Company may invest in other investments, including limited partnerships, real estate investments, equity securities, debt securities, derivatives and certificates of deposit, depending on risk factors and potential rates of return.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

#### 7. NOTES PAYABLE, LONG-TERM DEBT AND OTHER OBLIGATIONS

Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations consist of:

	December 31, 2007		Dece	mber 31, 2006
Vector:				
11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015	\$	165,000	\$	_
3.875% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debentures due 2026, net of unamortized discount of \$84,299 and \$84,056*		25,701		25,944
5% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Notes due 2011, net of unamortized net discount of \$48,027 and \$53,904*		63,837		57,960
Liggett:				
Revolving credit facility		14,782		11,986
Term loan under credit facility		7,822		_
Equipment loans		9,660		12,660
Vector Tobacco:				
Notes payable — Medallion acquisition due 2007		_		35,000
V.T. Aviation:				
Note payable		6,470		7,448
VGR Aviation:				
Note payable		4,370		4,655
Other		154		337
Total notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations		297,796		155,990
Less:				
Current maturities		(20,618)		(52,686)
Amount due after one year	\$	277,178	\$	103,304

<sup>\*</sup> The fair value of the derivatives embedded within the 3.875% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debentures (\$67,911 and \$59,807 at December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively) and the 5% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Notes (\$33,671 at December 31, 2007 and \$35,666 at December 31, 2006, respectively) is separately classified as a derivative liability in the consolidated balance sheets.

## 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015 — Vector:

In August 2007, the Company sold \$165,000 of its 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015 (the "Senior Secured Notes") in a private offering to qualified institutional investors in accordance with Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. The Company intends to use the net proceeds of the issuance for general corporate purposes which may include working capital requirements, the financing of capital expenditures, future acquisitions, the repayment or refinancing of outstanding indebtedness, payment of dividends and distributions and the repurchase of all or any part of its outstanding convertible notes.

The Senior Secured Notes pay interest on a semi-annual basis at a rate of 11% per year and mature on August 15, 2015. The Company may redeem some or all of the Senior Secured Notes at any time prior to August 15, 2011 at a make-whole redemption price. On or after August 15, 2011 the Company may redeem some or all of the Senior Secured Notes at a premium that will decrease over time, plus accrued and unpaid interest and liquidated damages, if any, to the redemption date. At any time prior to August 15, 2010, the Company may on any one or more occasions redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the Senior Secured Notes with the net proceeds of

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

certain equity offerings at 111% of the aggregate principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest and liquidated damages, if any, to the redemption date. In the event of a change of control, as defined in the indenture governing the Senior Secured Notes, each holder of the Senior Secured Notes may require the Company to repurchase some or all of its Senior Secured Notes at a repurchase price equal to 101% of their aggregate principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest and liquidated damages, if any to the date of purchase.

The Senior Secured Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a joint and several basis by all of the wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries of the Company that are engaged in the conduct of the Company's cigarette businesses. In addition, some of the guarantees are collateralized by second priority or first priority security interests in certain collateral of some of the subsidiary guarantors, including their common stock, pursuant to security and pledge agreements.

In connection with the issuance of the Senior Secured Notes, the Company entered into a Registration Rights Agreement. The Company agreed to consummate a registered exchange offer for the Senior Secured Notes within 360 days after the date of the initial issuance of the Senior Secured Notes. The Company will be required to pay additional interest on the Senior Secured Notes if it fails to timely comply with its obligations under the Registration Rights Agreement until such time as it complies.

The indenture contains covenants that restrict the payment of dividends by the Company if the Company's consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("Consolidated EBITDA"), as defined in the indenture, for the most recently ended four full quarters is less than \$50,000. The indenture also restricts the incurrence of debt if the Company's Leverage Ratio and its Secured Leverage Ratio, as defined in the indenture, exceed 3.0 and 1.5, respectively. The Company's Leverage Ratio is defined in the indenture as the ratio of the Company's and the guaranteeing subsidiaries' total debt less the fair market value of the Company's cash, investments in marketable securities and long-term investments to Consolidated EBITDA, as defined in the indenture. The Company's Secured Leverage Ratio is defined in the indenture in the same manner as the Leverage Ratio, except that secured indebtedness is substituted for indebtedness.

#### <u>Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debt — Vector:</u>

Vector has issued two series of variable interest senior convertible debt. Both series of debt pay interest on a quarterly basis at a stated rate plus an additional amount of interest on each payment date. The additional amount is based on the amount of cash dividends paid during the prior three-month period ending on the record date for such interest payment multiplied by the total number of shares of its common stock into which the debt will be convertible on such record date (the "Additional Interest").

#### 3.875% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debentures due 2026:

In July 2006, the Company sold \$110,000 of its 3.875% variable interest senior convertible debentures due 2026 in a private offering to qualified institutional buyers in accordance with Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933. The Company used the net proceeds of the offering to redeem its remaining 6.25% convertible subordinated notes due 2008 and for general corporate purposes.

The debentures pay interest on a quarterly basis at a rate of 3.875% per annum plus Additional Interest (the "Debenture Total Interest"). Notwithstanding the foregoing, however, the interest payable on each interest payment date shall be the higher of (i) the Debenture Total Interest and (ii) 5.75% per annum. The debentures are convertible into the Company's common stock at the holder's option. The conversion price, which was \$19.50 per share at December 31, 2007, is subject to adjustment for various events, including the issuance of stock dividends.

The debentures will mature on June 15, 2026. The Company must redeem 10% of the total aggregate principal amount of the debentures outstanding on June 15, 2011. In addition to such redemption amount, the Company will also redeem on June 15, 2011 and at the end of each interest accrual period thereafter an additional amount, if any, of the debentures necessary to prevent the debentures from being treated as an "Applicable High Yield Discount

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Obligation" under the Internal Revenue Code. The holders of the debentures will have the option on June 15, 2012, June 15, 2016 and June 15, 2021 to require the Company to repurchase some or all of their remaining debentures. The redemption price for such redemptions will equal 100% of the principal amount of the debentures plus accrued interest. If a fundamental change (as defined in the Indenture) occurs, the Company will be required to offer to repurchase the debentures at 100% of their principal amount, plus accrued interest and, under certain circumstances, a "make-whole premium".

#### 5% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Notes Due November 2011:

In November 2004, the Company sold \$65,500 of its 5% variable interest senior convertible notes due November 15, 2011 in a private offering to qualified institutional investors in accordance with Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933. The buyers of the notes had the right, for a 120-day period ending March 18, 2005, to purchase up to an additional \$16,375 of the notes. At December 31, 2004, buyers had exercised their rights to purchase an additional \$1,405 of the notes, and the remaining \$14,959 principal amount of notes were purchased during the first quarter of 2005. In April 2005, Vector issued an additional \$30,000 principal amount of 5% variable interest senior convertible notes due November 15, 2011 in a separate private offering to qualified institutional investors in accordance with Rule 144A. These notes, which were issued under a new indenture at a net price of 103.5%, were on the same terms as the \$81,864 principal amount of notes previously issued in connection with the November 2004 placement.

The notes pay interest on a quarterly basis at a rate of 5% per annum plus Additional Interest (the "Notes Total Interest"). Notwithstanding the foregoing, however, during the period prior to November 15, 2006, the interest payable on each interest payment date is the higher of (i) the Notes Total Interest and (ii) 6.75% per year. The notes are convertible into the Company's common stock at the holder's option. The conversion price, which was \$16.76 at December 31, 2007, is subject to adjustment for various events, including the issuance of stock dividends.

The notes will mature on November 15, 2011. The Company must redeem 12.5% of the total aggregate principal amount of the notes outstanding on November 15, 2009. In addition to such redemption amount, the Company will also redeem on November 15, 2009 and at the end of each interest accrual period thereafter an additional amount, if any, of the notes necessary to prevent the notes from being treated as an "Applicable High Yield Discount Obligation" under the Internal Revenue Code. The holders of the notes will have the option on November 15, 2009 to require the Company to repurchase some or all of their remaining notes. The redemption price for such redemptions will equal 100% of the principal amount of the notes plus accrued interest. If a fundamental change (as defined in the indenture) occurs, the Company will be required to offer to repurchase the notes at 100% of their principal amount, plus accrued interest and, under certain circumstances, a "make-whole premium".

#### Embedded Derivatives on the Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debt:

The portion of the Debenture Total Interest and the Notes Total Interest which is computed by reference to the cash dividends paid on the Company's common stock is considered an embedded derivative within the convertible debt, which the Company is required to separately value. Pursuant to SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities", as amended by SFAS No. 138, "Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities", the Company has bifurcated these embedded derivatives and, based on a valuation by a third party, estimated the fair value of the embedded derivative liability. The resulting discount created by allocating a portion of the issuance proceeds to the embedded derivative is then amortized to interest expense over the term of the debt using the effective interest method. Changes to the fair value of these embedded derivatives are reflected quarterly in the Company's consolidated statements of operations as "Changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt." The value of the embedded derivative is contingent on changes in interest rates of debt instruments maturing over the duration of the convertible debt as well as projections of future cash and stock dividends over the term of the debt.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

The estimated initial fair values of the embedded derivates associated with the 3.875% convertible debentures and the 5% convertible notes were \$56,214 and \$42,041, respectively, at the date of issuance.

A summary of non-cash interest expense associated with the amortization of the discount created by the embedded derivative liabilities for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 is as follows:

	Yea	Year Ended December 31			
	2007	2006	2005		
3.875% convertible debentures	\$ (28)	\$ 414	\$ —		
5% convertible notes	3,796	3,056	2,063		
Interest expense associated with embedded derivatives	\$3,768	\$3,470	\$2,063		

A summary of non-cash changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt is as follows:

	rear Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
3.875% convertible debentures	\$ (8,104)	\$(3,593)	\$ —
5% convertible notes	1,995	3,705	3,082
Gain (loss) on changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt	\$ (6,109)	\$ 112	\$3,082

Von Ended December 21

The following table reconciles the fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt at December 31, 2007.

3.875% Convertible <u>Debentures</u>		Total	
\$ —	\$ 25,687	\$ 25,687	
_	16,766	16,766	
_	(3,082)	(3,082)	
_	39,371	39,371	
56,214	_	56,214	
3,593	(3,705)	(112)	
59,807	35,666	95,473	
8,104	(1,995)	6,109	
\$ 67,911	\$ 33,671	\$101,582	
	Convertible Debentures  \$	Convertible Debentures         Convertible Notes           \$         \$ 25,687           —         16,766           —         (3,082)           —         39,371           56,214         —           3,593         (3,705)           59,807         35,666           8,104         (1,995)	

#### Beneficial Conversion Feature on Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debt:

After giving effect to the recording of the embedded derivative liability as a discount to the convertible debt, the Company's common stock had a fair value at the issuance date of the debt in excess of the conversion price resulting in a beneficial conversion feature. EITF Issue No. 98-5, "Accounting for Convertible Securities with Beneficial Conversion Features or Contingently Adjustable Convertible Ratios", requires that the intrinsic value of the beneficial conversion feature be recorded to additional paid-in capital and as a discount on the debt. The discount is then amortized to interest expense over the term of the debt using the effective interest method.

The initial intrinsic value of the beneficial conversion feature associated with the 3.875% convertible debentures and the 5% convertible notes was \$28,381 and \$22,138, respectively. In accordance with EITF Issue

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

No. 05-8, the beneficial conversion feature has been recorded, net of income taxes, as an increase to stockholders' equity.

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
Amortization of beneficial conversion feature:			
3.875% convertible debentures	\$ (215)	\$ 125	\$ —
5% convertible notes	2,083	1,693	1,139
Interest expense associated with beneficial conversion feature	\$1,868	\$1,818	\$1,139

#### **Unamortized Debt Discount:**

The following table reconciles unamortized debt discount at December 31, 2007.

3.875% Convertible Debentures		Total
Balance at January 1, 2005 \$ -	- \$ 38,739	\$ 38,739
Issuance of 5% convertible debentures-embedded derivative —	- 16,766	16,766
Issuance of 5% convertible debentures-premium on debt —	- (2,100)	(2,100)
Issuance of 5% convertible debentures-beneficial conversion feature —	- 8,452	8,452
Amortization of embedded derivative —	- (2,063)	(2,063)
Amortization of beneficial conversion Feature	(1,139)	(1,139)
Balance at December 31, 2005	- 58,655	58,655
Issuance of 3.875% convertible debentures-embedded derivative 56,21	4 —	56,214
Issuance of 3.875% convertible debentures-beneficial conversion feature 28,38	1 —	28,381
Amortization of embedded derivative (41	4) (3,056)	(3,470)
Amortization of beneficial conversion Feature (12)	5) (1,693)	(1,818)
Balance at December 31, 2006 \$ 84,05	<del>\$ 53,906</del>	\$137,962
Amortization of embedded derivative	8 (3,796)	(3,768)
Amortization of beneficial conversion Feature 21	5 (2,083)	(1,868)
Balance at December 31, 2007 \$ 84,29	9 \$ 48,027	\$132,326

#### 6.25% Convertible Subordinated Notes Due July 15, 2008 — Vector:

In July 2001, Vector completed the sale of \$172,500 (net proceeds of approximately \$166,400) of its 6.25% convertible subordinated notes due July 15, 2008 through a private offering to qualified institutional investors in accordance with Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933. The notes paid interest at 6.25% per annum and were convertible into Vector's common stock, at the option of the holder. The conversion price was subject to adjustment for various events, and any cash distribution on Vector's common stock resulted in a corresponding decrease in the conversion price. In December 2001, \$40,000 of the notes were converted into Vector's common stock, in October 2004, \$8 of the notes were converted and, in June 2006, \$70,000 of the notes were converted. The Company recorded a loss of \$14,860 for the year ended December 31, 2006 on the conversion of the \$70,000 of notes principally as a result of the issuance of 962,531 shares of common stock as an inducement for conversion. In

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

August 2006, Vector redeemed the remaining outstanding notes at a redemption price of 101.042% of the principal amount plus accrued interest. The Company recorded a loss of \$1,306 in 2006 on the retirement of the notes.

#### **Revolving Credit Facility — Liggett:**

Liggett has a \$50,000 credit facility with Wachovia Bank, N.A. ("Wachovia") under which \$14,782 was outstanding at December 31, 2007. Availability as determined under the facility was approximately \$14,000 based on eligible collateral at December 31, 2007. The facility is collateralized by all inventories and receivables of Liggett and a mortgage on Liggett's manufacturing facility. The facility requires Liggett's compliance with certain financial and other covenants including a restriction on Liggett's ability to pay cash dividends unless Liggett's borrowing availability, as defined, under the facility for the 30-day period prior to the payment of the dividend, and after giving effect to the dividend, is at least \$5,000 and no event of default has occurred under the agreement, including Liggett's compliance with the covenants in the credit facility.

The term of the Wachovia facility expires on March 8, 2012, subject to automatic renewal for additional one-year periods unless a notice of termination is given by Wachovia or Liggett at least 60 days prior to such date or the anniversary of such date. Prime rate loans under the facility bear interest at a rate equal to the prime rate of Wachovia with Eurodollar rate loans bearing interest at a rate of 2.0% above Wachovia's adjusted Eurodollar rate. The facility contains covenants that provide that Liggett's earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, as defined under the facility, on a trailing twelve month basis, shall not be less than \$100,000 if Liggett's excess availability, as defined, under the facility, is less than \$20,000. The covenants also require that annual capital expenditures, as defined under the facility (before a maximum carryover amount of \$2,500), shall not exceed \$10,000 during any fiscal year.

In August 2007, Wachovia made an \$8,000 term loan to 100 Maple LLC ("Maple"), a subsidiary of Liggett, within the commitment under the existing credit facility. The \$8,000 term loan is collateralized by the existing collateral securing the credit facility, and is also collateralized by a lien on certain real property (the "Mebane Property") owned by Maple. The Mebane Property also secures the other obligations of Liggett under the credit facility. The \$8,000 term loan did not increase the \$50,000 borrowing amount of the credit facility, but did increase the outstanding amounts under the credit facility by the amount of the term loan and proportionately reduces the maximum borrowing availability under the facility.

In August 2007, Liggett and Wachovia amended the credit facility to permit the guaranty of the Senior Secured Notes by each of Liggett and Maple and the pledging of certain assets of Liggett and Maple on a subordinated basis to secure their guarantees. The credit facility was amended to grant to Wachovia a blanket lien on all the assets of Liggett and Maple, excluding any equipment pledged to current or future purchase money or other financiers of such equipment and excluding any real property, other than the Mebane Property and other real property to the extent its value is in excess of \$5,000. In connection with the amendment, Wachovia, Liggett, Maple and the collateral agent for the holders of the Company's Senior Secured Notes entered into an intercreditor agreement, pursuant to which the liens of the collateral agent on the Liggett and Maple assets will be subordinated to the liens of Wachovia on the Liggett and Maple assets.

#### **Equipment Loans** — Liggett:

In March 2002, Liggett purchased equipment for \$3,023 through the issuance of a note, payable in 30 monthly installments of \$62 and then 30 monthly installments of \$51. Interest is calculated at LIBOR plus 2.8%. The notes were paid in full in the first quarter of 2007.

In May 2002, Liggett purchased equipment for \$2,871 through the issuance of a note, payable in 30 monthly installments of \$59 and then 30 monthly installments of \$48. Interest is calculated at LIBOR plus 2.8%. The notes were paid in full in the second quarter of 2007.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

In September 2002, Liggett purchased equipment for \$1,573 through the issuance of a note guaranteed by the Company, payable in 60 monthly installments of \$26 plus interest calculated at LIBOR plus 4.31%. The notes were paid in full in the third quarter of 2007.

In October 2005, Liggett purchased equipment for \$4,441 through a financing agreement, payable in 24 installments of \$112 and then 24 installments of \$90. Interest is calculated at 4.89%. Liggett was required to provide a security deposit equal to 25% of the funded amount (\$1,110).

In December 2005, Liggett purchased equipment for \$2,273 through a financing agreement, payable in 24 installments of \$58 and then 24 installments of \$46. Interest is calculated at 5.03%. Liggett was required to provide a security deposit equal to 25% of the funded amount (\$568).

In August 2006, Liggett purchased equipment for \$7,922 through a financing agreement, payable in 30 installments of \$191 and then 30 installments of \$103. Interest is calculated at 5.15%. Liggett was required to provide a security deposit equal to 20% of the funded amount (\$1,584).

In May 2007, Liggett purchased equipment for \$1,576 through a financing agreement, payable in 60 installments of \$32. Interest is calculated at 7.99% per annum.

Each of these equipment loans is collateralized by the purchased equipment.

#### **Notes for Medallion Acquisition — Vector Tobacco:**

The purchase price for the 2002 acquisition of The Medallion Company, Inc. ("Medallion") included \$60,000 in notes of Vector Tobacco, guaranteed by the Company and Liggett. Of the notes, \$25,000 have been repaid with the final quarterly principal payment of \$3,125 made on March 31, 2004. The remaining \$35,000 of notes bore interest at 6.5% per year, payable semiannually, and was paid in full on April 2, 2007.

#### <u>Note Payable — V.T. Aviation:</u>

In February 2001, V.T. Aviation LLC, a subsidiary of Vector Research Ltd., purchased an airplane for \$15,500 and borrowed \$13,175 to fund the purchase. The loan, which is collateralized by the airplane and a letter of credit from the Company for \$775, is guaranteed by Vector Research, VGR Holding and the Company. The loan is payable in 119 monthly installments of \$125, including annual interest of 2.31% above the 30-day commercial paper rate, with a final payment of \$2,744 based on current interest rates.

#### Note Payable — VGR Aviation:

In February 2002, V.T. Aviation purchased an airplane for \$6,575 and borrowed \$5,800 to fund the purchase. The loan is guaranteed by the Company. The loan is payable in 119 monthly installments of \$40, including annual interest of 2.75% above the 30-day average commercial paper rate, with a final payment of \$3,585 based on current interest rates. During the fourth quarter of 2003, this airplane was transferred to the Company's direct subsidiary, VGR Aviation LLC, which assumed the debt.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

#### **Scheduled Maturities:**

Scheduled maturities of long-term debt are as follows:

	Face	Unamortized Face Discount	
Year Ending December 31:			
2008	\$ 20,618	\$ —	\$ 20,618
2009	18,794	6,002	12,792
2010	3,525	_	3,525
2011	113,735	44,572	69,163
2012	9,450	_	9,450
Thereafter	264,000	81,752	182,248
Total	\$ 430,122	\$ 132,326	\$297,796

#### Weighted-Average Interest Rate on Current Maturities of Long-Term Debt:

The weighted-average interest rate on the Company's current maturities of long-term debt at December 31, 2007 was approximately 7.03%.

#### 8. COMMITMENTS

Certain of the Company's subsidiaries lease facilities and equipment used in operations under both month-to-month and fixed-term agreements. The aggregate minimum rentals under operating leases with non-cancelable terms of one year or more are as follows:

Year Ending December 31:	Cor	Lease nmitments	Sublease Rentals	Net
2008	\$	4,051	\$ 1,042	\$ 3,009
2009		3,466	1,024	2,442
2010		2,698	946	1,752
2011		2,634	965	1,669
2012		2,521	965	1,556
Thereafter		949	402	547
Total	\$	16,319	\$ 5,344	\$10,975

In 2001, the Company entered into an operating sublease for space in an office building in New York. The lease, as amended, expires in 2013. Minimum rental expense over the entire period is \$10,584. A rent abatement received upon entering into the lease is recognized on a straight line basis over the life of the lease. The Company pays operating expense escalation (\$39 in 2007) in monthly installments along with installments of the base rent.

The Company's rental expense for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$3,928, \$4,506 and \$5,427, respectively. The Company incurred royalty expense under various agreements during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 of \$114, \$1,275 and \$1,400, respectively.

#### 9. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

## **Defined Benefit Plans and Postretirement Plans:**

*Defined Benefit Plans.* The Company sponsors three defined benefit pension plans covering virtually all individuals who were employed by Liggett on a full-time basis prior to 1994. Future accruals of benefits under these

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

three defined benefit plans were frozen between 1993 and 1995. These benefit plans provide pension benefits for eligible employees based primarily on their compensation and length of service. Contributions are made to the pension plans in amounts necessary to meet the minimum funding requirements of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. The plans' assets and benefit obligations are measured at September 30 of each year.

The Company also sponsors a Supplemental Retirement Plan ("SERP") where the Company will pay supplemental retirement benefits to certain key employees, including executive officers of the Company. In January 2006, the Company amended and restated its SERP (the "Amended SERP"), effective January 1, 2005. The amendments to the plan are intended, among other things, to cause the plan to meet the applicable requirements of Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code. The Amended SERP is intended to be unfunded for tax purposes, and payments under the Amended SERP will be made out of the general assets of the Company except that, under the terms of the Chairman's amended employment agreement, the Company has agreed during 2006, 2007 and 2008 to pay \$125 per quarter into a separate trust for him that will be used to fund a portion of his benefits under the Amended SERP. Under the Amended SERP, the benefit payable to a participant at his normal retirement date is a lump sum amount which is the actuarial equivalent of a predetermined annual retirement benefit set by the Company's board of directors. Normal retirement date is defined as the January 1 following the attainment by the participant of the later of age 60 or the completion of eight years of employment following January 1, 2002 with the Company or a subsidiary, except that, under the terms of the Chairman's amended employment agreement, his normal retirement date was accelerated by one year to December 30, 2008. At December 31, 2007, the aggregate lump sum equivalents of the annual retirement benefits payable under the Amended SERP at normal retirement dates occurring during the following years is as follows: 2008 2009 - \$20,431; 2010 — \$12,359; 2011 — \$0; 2012 — \$1,694 and 2013 to 2017 — \$7,202. In the case of a participant who becomes disabled prior to his normal retirement date or whose service is terminated without cause, the participant's benefit consists of a pro-rata portion of the full projected retirement benefit to which he would have been entitled had he remained employed through his normal retirement date, as actuarially discounted back to the date of payment. A participant who dies while working for the Company or a subsidiary (and before becoming disabled or attaining his normal retirement date) will be paid an actuarially discounted equivalent of his projected retirement benefit; conversely, a participant who retires beyond his normal retirement date will receive an actuarially increased equivalent of his projected retirement benefit.

Postretirement Medical and Life Plans. The Company provides certain postretirement medical and life insurance benefits to certain employees. Substantially all of the Company's manufacturing employees as of December 31, 2007 are eligible for postretirement medical benefits if they reach retirement age while working for Liggett or certain affiliates. Retirees are required to fund 100% of participant medical premiums and, pursuant to union contracts, Liggett reimburses approximately 500 hourly retirees, who retired prior to 1991, for Medicare Part B premiums. In addition, the Company provides life insurance benefits to approximately 225 active employees and 500 retirees who reach retirement age and are eligible to receive benefits under one of the Company's defined benefit pension plans. The Company's postretirement liabilities are comprised of Medicare Part B and life insurance premiums.

Computation of Defined Benefit and Postretirement Benefit Plan Liabilities. On September 29, 2006, SFAS No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans" was issued. SFAS No. 158 requires, among other things, the recognition of the funded status of each defined pension benefit plan, retiree health care and other postretirement benefit plans and postemployment benefit plans on the Company's consolidated balance sheet. Each overfunded plan is recognized as an asset and each underfunded plan is recognized as a liability. The initial impact of the standard due to unrecognized prior service costs or credit and net actuarial gains or losses as well as subsequent changes in the funded status is recognized as a component of accumulated comprehensive income (loss) in the Company's consolidated statement of stockholders' equity. Additional minimum pension liabilities ("AML") and related intangible assets are also derecognized upon the adoption of SFAS No. 158, which requires initial application for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2006. The following

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

table summarizes the effect of the required changes in the AML as of December 31, 2006 prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 158 as well as the impact of the initial adoption of SFAS No. 158 at December 31, 2006.

	SFAS No. 158 <u>Adjustment</u>	Post AML and SFAS No. 158 Adjustments
Prepaid pension costs	\$ (10,705)	\$ 20,933
Intangible asset	(1,232)	_
Current liabilities	1,142	1,142
Pension liabilities	(349)	26,548
Postretirement liabilities	(1,450)	9,502
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	11,280	12,891

The following table summarizes amounts in accumulated other comprehensive loss that are expected to be recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost (credit) for the year ending December 31, 2008.

	Defined Benefit Pension Plans	Post- Retirement Plans	Total
Prior service cost	\$1,401	\$ —	\$1,401
Actuarial loss (gain)	100	(180)	(80)

The following provides a reconciliation of benefit obligations, plan assets and the funded status of the pension plans and other postretirement benefits:

	Pension 1	Ronofits	Oth Postretireme	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Change in benefit obligation:				
Benefit obligation at January 1	\$(163,463)	\$(161,389)	\$(10,295)	\$(10,933)
Service cost	(3,896)	(4,547)	(18)	(20)
Interest cost	(9,122)	(9,012)	(591)	(598)
Benefits paid	12,990	13,282	770	975
Plan amendment		(5,005)		_
Time contractual termination benefits	(632)	_	_	_
Actuarial gain	4,347	3,208	298	281
Benefit obligation at December 31	\$(159,776)	\$(163,463)	\$ (9,836)	\$(10,295)
Change in plan assets:				
Fair value of plan assets at January 1	\$ 157,499	\$ 156,012	\$ —	\$ —
Actual return on plan assets	24,598	14,320	_	_
Contributions	358	449	770	975
Benefits paid	(12,990)	(13,282)	(770)	(975)
Fair value of plan assets at December 31	\$ 169,465	\$ 157,499	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Funded status at December 31	\$ 9,689	\$ (5,964)	\$ (9,836)	\$(10,295)
Amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets:				
Prepaid pension costs	\$ 42,084	\$ 20,933	\$ —	\$ —
Other accrued liabilities	(530)	(349)	(768)	(793)
Non-current employee benefit liabilities	(31,865)	(26,548)	(9,068)	(9,502)
Net amounts recognized	\$ 9,689	\$ (5,964)	\$ (9,836)	\$(10,295)

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

	Pension Benefits				Other Postretireme		
	2007	2006		2005	2007	2006	2005
Actuarial assumptions:							
Discount rates — benefit obligation	6.25%	5.85%		5.68%	6.25%	5.85%	5.68%
Discount rates — service cost	5.85%	5.68%	4.509	% - 5.75%	5.85%	5.68%	5.75%
Assumed rates of return on invested assets	8.50%	8.50%		8.50%	_	_	_
Salary increase assumptions	N/A	N/A		N/A	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%
	2007	Pensi	on Benefits 2006	2005	Other Po 2007	ostretirement 2006	Benefits 2005
Service cost — benefits earned during the period	\$ 4,2	46 \$	4,897	\$ 5,009	\$ 18	\$ 20	\$ 27
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	9,1	22	9,012	8,687	591	598	613
Expected return on assets	(12,7	26) (	(12,590)	(12,274)	_	_	_
Prior service cost	1,4	02	1,051	_	_	_	_
Time contractual termination benefits	6	32	_	_	_	_	_
Amortization of net loss (gain)	7	05	1,689	1,120	(105)	(12)	45
Net expense	\$ 3,3	81 \$	4,059	\$ 2,542	\$ 504	\$606	\$685

As of December 31, 2007, current year accumulated other comprehensive income, before income taxes, consists of the following:

	Defined Benefit ısion Plans	Re	Post- tirement Plans	Total
Prior year accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (13,548)	\$	657	\$ (12,891)
Amortization of prior service costs	1,402		_	1,402
Amortization of gain (loss)	705		(105)	600
Net gain arising during the year	16,569		502	17,071
Current year accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 5,128	\$	1,054	\$ 6,182

As of December 31, 2007, there was \$5,128 of items not yet recognized as a component of net periodic pension benefit, which consisted of future pension expense of \$2,553 associated with the amortization of prior service cost and future pension benefits of \$7,681 associated with the amortization of net gains.

As of December 31, 2007, there was \$1,054 of items not yet recognized as a component of net periodic postretirement benefit, which consisted of future benefits of associated with the amortization of net gains.

As of December 31, 2007, two of the Company's four defined benefit plans experienced accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets, for which in the aggregate the projected benefit obligation, accumulated benefit obligation and fair value of plan assets were \$32,485, \$32,485 and \$0, respectively. As of December 31, 2006, two of the Company's four defined benefit plans experienced accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets, for which in the aggregate the projected benefit obligation, accumulated benefit obligation and fair value of plan assets were \$26,897, \$26,897 and \$0, respectively.

Discount rates were determined by a quantitative analysis examining the prevailing prices of high quality bonds to determine an appropriate discount rate for measuring obligations under SFAS No. 87, "Employers'

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Accounting for Pensions" and SFAS No. 106, "Employers' Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions." The aforementioned analysis analyzes the cash flow from each of the Company's two qualified defined benefit plans as well as a separate analysis of the cash flows from the postretirement medical and life insurance plans sponsored by Liggett. The aforementioned analyses then construct a hypothetical bond portfolio whose cash flow from coupons and maturities match the year-by-year, projected benefit cash flow from the respective pension or retiree health plans. The Company uses the lower discount rate derived from the two independent analyses in the computation of the benefit obligation and service cost for each respective retirement liability.

The Company considers input from its external advisors and historical returns in developing its expected rate of return on plan assets. The expected long-term rate of return is the weighted average of the target asset allocation of each individual asset class. The Company's actual 10-year annual rate of return on its pension plan assets was 6.7%, 8.2% and 8.3% for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Gains and losses resulting from changes in actuarial assumptions and from differences between assumed and actual experience, including, among other items, changes in discount rates and changes in actual returns on plan assets as compared to assumed returns. These gains and losses are only amortized to the extent that they exceed 10% of the greater of Projected Benefit Obligation and the fair value of assets. For the year ended December 31, 2007, Liggett used an eight-year period for its Hourly Plan and a five-year period for its Salaried Plan to amortize pension fund gains and losses on a straight line basis. Such amounts are reflected in the pension expense calculation beginning the year after the gains or losses occur. The amortization of deferred losses negatively impacts pension expense in the future.

Plan assets are invested employing multiple investment management firms. Managers within each asset class cover a range of investment styles and focus primarily on issue selection as a means to add value. Risk is controlled through a diversification among asset classes, managers, styles and securities. Risk is further controlled both at the manager and asset class level by assigning excess return and tracking error targets. Investment managers are monitored to evaluate performance against these benchmark indices and targets.

Allowable investment types include equity, investment grade fixed income, high yield fixed income, hedge funds and short term investments. The equity fund is comprised of common stocks and mutual funds of large, medium and small companies, which are predominantly U.S. based. The investment grade fixed income fund includes managed funds investing in fixed income securities issued or guaranteed by the U.S. government, or by its respective agencies, mortgage backed securities, including collateralized mortgage obligations, and corporate debt obligations. The high yield fixed income fund includes a fund which invests in non-investment grade corporate debt securities. The hedge funds invest in both equity, including common and preferred stock, and debt obligations, including convertible debentures, of private and public companies. The Company generally utilizes its short term investments, including interest-bearing cash, to pay benefits and to deploy in special situations.

The current target asset allocation percentage is 50% equity investments, 20% investment grade fixed income, 7% high yield fixed income, 15% alternative investments (including hedge funds and private equity funds) and 8% short-term investments, with a rebalancing range of approximately plus or minus 5% around the target asset allocations.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Vector's defined benefit retirement plan allocations at December 31, 2007 and 2006, by asset category, were as follows:

	Plan Ass Decemb	
	2007	2006
Asset category:		
Equity securities	52%	52%
Investment grade fixed income securities	18%	18%
High yield fixed income securities	8%	7%
Alternative investments	13%	20%
Short-term investments	9%	3%
Total	100%	100%

For 2007 measurement purposes, annual increases in Medicare Part B trends were assumed to equal rates between 0.9% and 4.5% between 2007 and 2016 and 5.0% after 2017. For 2006 measurement purposes, annual increases in Medicare Part B trends were assumed to equal rates between 0% and 11.0% between 2007 and 2016 and 5.0% after 2016.

Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the health care plans. A 1% change in assumed health care cost trend rates would have the following effects:

	1% Increase	1% Decrease
Effect on total of service and interest cost components	\$ 12	\$ (11)
Effect on benefit obligation	\$199	\$(182)

To comply with ERISA's minimum funding requirements, the Company does not currently anticipate that it will be required to make any funding to the pension plans for the pension plan year beginning on January 1, 2008 and ending on December 31, 2008. Any additional funding obligation that the Company may have for subsequent years is contingent on several factors and is not reasonably estimable at this time.

Estimated future pension benefits payments are as follows:

2008	\$13,103
2009	33,164
2010	24,781
2011	12,101
2012	13,419
2013 — 2017	59,658

# **Profit Sharing and Other Plans:**

The Company maintains 401(k) plans for substantially all U.S. employees which allow eligible employees to invest a percentage of their pre-tax compensation. The Company contributed to the 401(k) plans and expensed \$828, \$1,130 and \$937 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

## 10. <u>INCOME TAXES</u>

The Company files a consolidated U.S. income tax return that includes its more than 80%-owned U.S. subsidiaries. For periods prior to December 9, 2005, the consolidated U.S. income tax return did not include the

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

activities of New Valley, which filed a separate consolidated U.S. income tax return that included its more than 80%-owned U.S. subsidiaries. The amounts provided for income taxes are as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2007	2006	2005	
Current:				
U.S. Federal	\$ 5,035	\$ 27,982	\$13,941	
State	3,109	8,165	6,369	
	\$ 8,144	\$ 36,147	\$20,310	
Deferred:				
U.S. Federal	\$40,575	\$ (10,591)	\$20,748	
State	4,081	212	156	
	44,656	(10,379)	20,904	
Total	\$52,800	\$ 25,768	\$41,214	

The tax effect of temporary differences which give rise to a significant portion of deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

	December 31, 2007				December	er 31, 2006		
		rred Tax Assets		erred Tax abilities	Dei	ferred Tax Assets		ferred Tax iabilities
Excess of tax basis over book basis- non-consolidated entities	\$	2,907	\$	_	\$	4,902	\$	_
Deferral on Philip Morris brand transaction		_		75,466		_		75,466
Employee benefit accruals		16,543		15,234		14,656		7,094
Book/tax differences on fixed and Intangible assets		_		23,984		_		24,814
Impact of embedded derivatives on convertible debt		_		12,613		_		18,678
Impact of timing of settlement payments		_		16,293		_		_
Unrestricted U.S. tax loss and contribution carryforwards		_		_		25,244		_
Restricted U.S. tax loss carryforwards		873		_		873		_
U.S. tax credit carryforwards — Vector		15,991		_		15,718		_
Various U.S. state tax loss carryforwards		15,962		_		16,858		_
Other		9,532		22,333		11,033		9,501
Valuation allowance		(16,835)		_		(17,731)		_
	\$	44,973	\$	165,923	\$	71,553	\$	135,553

The Company provides a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets if, based on the weight of available evidence, it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The valuation allowance of \$16,835 and \$17,731 at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, consisted primarily of a reserve against various state and local net operating loss carryforwards, primarily resulting from Vector Tobacco's losses.

During 2007, the Company and its more than 80%-owned subsidiaries, which included New Valley, utilized its remaining U.S. net operating loss carryforwards. As of December 31, 2007, the Company and its more than 80%-owned subsidiaries, which included New Valley, had approximately \$15,485 of alternative minimum tax credit

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

carryforwards, which may be carried forward indefinitely under current U.S. tax law, and \$506 of general business credit carryforwards, which expire in 2011.

As of December 31, 2006, the Company and its more than 80%-owned subsidiaries, which included New Valley, had U.S. net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$68,900 which expired at various dates from 2011 through 2023. As of December 31, 2006, the Company and its more than 80%-owned subsidiaries, which included New Valley, also had approximately \$15,425 of alternative minimum tax credit carryforwards, which may be carried forward indefinitely under current U.S. tax law, and \$293 of general business credit carryforwards, which expire in 2011.

Deferred federal income tax expense differs in 2007, 2006 and 2005 as a result of the utilization of net operating losses, intraperiod allocations between "Income from Discontinued Operations" and "Income from Continuing Operations" and reclassifications between current and deferred tax liabilities resulting from the Company's settlement with the Internal Revenue Service in 2006. The deferred federal tax expense in 2007 related to the deferred income tax expenses associated with the utilization of net operating losses and the impact of a change in accounting method for deductibility of accrued settlement costs. The deferred federal tax benefit in 2006 related to the reclassification between deferred and current income tax expense associated with the Company's settlement with the Internal Revenue Service and was offset by the utilization of net operating losses. The deferred federal tax expense in 2005 related to the utilization of net operating losses and was offset by the intraperiod allocation. The consolidated balance sheets of the Company include deferred income tax assets and liabilities, which represent temporary differences in the application of accounting rules established by generally accepted accounting principles and income tax laws.

As of December 31, 2007, the Company's deferred income tax liabilities exceeded its deferred income tax assets by \$120,950. As of December 31, 2006, the Company's deferred income tax liabilities exceeded its deferred income tax assets by \$64,000. The largest component of the Company's deferred tax liabilities exists because of differences that resulted from a 1998 and 1999 transaction with Philip Morris Incorporated where a subsidiary of Liggett contributed three of its premium cigarette brands to Trademarks LLC, a newlyformed limited liability company. In such transaction, Philip Morris acquired an option to purchase the remaining interest in Trademarks for a 90-day period commencing in December 2008, and the Company has an option to require Philip Morris to purchase the remaining interest for a 90-day period commencing in March 2010. (See Note 16.)

In connection with the transaction, the Company recognized in 1999 a pre-tax gain of \$294,078 in its consolidated financial statements and established a deferred tax liability of \$103,100 relating to the gain. Upon exercise of the options during the 90-day periods commencing in December 2008 or in March 2010, the Company will be required to pay tax in the amount of the deferred tax liability, which will be offset by the benefit of any deferred tax assets available to the Company at that time. In connection with an examination of the Company's 1998 and 1999 federal income tax returns, the Internal Revenue Service issued to the Company in September 2003 a notice of proposed adjustment. The notice asserted that, for tax reporting purposes, the entire gain should have been recognized in 1998 and in 1999 in the additional amounts of \$150,000 and \$129,900, respectively, rather than upon the exercise of the options during the 90-day periods commencing in December 2008 or in March 2010. In July 2006, the Company entered into a settlement with the Internal Revenue Service with respect to the Philip Morris brand transaction. As part of the settlement, the Company agreed that \$87,000 of the gain on the transaction would be recognized by the Company as income for tax purposes in 1999 and that the balance of the remaining gain, net of previously capitalized expenses of \$900, (\$192,000) will be recognized by the Company as income in 2008 or 2009, upon exercise of the options. The Company paid during the third and fourth quarters of 2006 approximately \$41,400, including interest, with respect to the gain recognized in 1999. As a result of the settlement, the Company reduced, during the third quarter of 2006, the excess portion (\$11,500) of a previously established reserve in its consolidated financial statements, which resulted in a decrease in such amount in reported income tax expense in the consolidated statements of operations.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Differences between the amounts provided for income taxes and amounts computed at the federal statutory tax rate are summarized as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	\$126,603	\$ 68,480	\$83,799
Federal income tax expense at statutory rate	44,311	23,969	29,330
Increases (decreases) resulting from:			
State income taxes, net of federal income tax benefits	4,674	5,445	4,241
Non-deductible expenses	2,950	3,188	5,616
Non-deductible impact of conversion of debt	_	5,201	_
Equity and other adjustments	115	(293)	1,067
Impact of tax audit settlements	(468)	(11,500)	_
Change in other tax contingencies	2,114	1,984	_
Changes in valuation allowance, net of equity and tax audit adjustments	(896)	(2,226)	960
Income tax expense	\$ 52,800	\$ 25,768	\$41,214

Income taxes associated with discontinued operations have been shown net of the utilization of the net operating loss carryforwards.

As of January 1, 2007, the Company adopted the provisions of FIN 48. The Company did not recognize any adjustment in the liability for unrecognized tax benefits as a result of the adoption of FIN 48 that impacted the January 1, 2007 accumulated deficit.

The following table summarizes the activity related to the unrecognized tax benefits:

Balance at January 1, 2007	\$11,685
Additions based on tax positions related to current year	_
Additions based on tax positions related to prior years	2,242
Reductions based on tax positions related to prior years	(95)
Settlements	_
Expirations of the statute of limitations	(3,227)
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$10,605

In the event the unrecognized tax benefits of \$10,605 at December 31, 2007 were recognized, such recognition would impact the annual effective tax rate. During 2007, the accrual for potential penalties and interest related to these unrecognized tax benefits was reduced by \$881, and in total, as of December 31, 2007, a liability for potential penalties and interest of \$2,810 has been recorded. The Company classifies all tax-related interest and penalties as income tax expense.

It is reasonably possible the Company may recognize up to approximately \$3,450 of currently unrecognized tax benefits over the next 12 months, pertaining primarily to expiration of statutes of limitations of positions reported on U.S. and state and local income tax returns. The Company files U.S. and state and local income tax returns in jurisdictions with varying statutes of limitations.

In March 2005, New Valley paid \$1,589, including interest of \$885, under protest in connection with a state tax assessment. In October 2005, New Valley filed a brief to challenge the assessment. In March 2007, New Valley and the state taxing authority agreed that the state taxing authority would refund approximately \$725, including \$425 of

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

interest, of the amount paid in March 2005 to New Valley. New Valley received the refund in May 2007. As a result, the Company's income tax provision was reduced by approximately \$450, net of income taxes of approximately \$275, for the year ended December 31, 2007.

#### 11. STOCK COMPENSATION

The Company grants equity compensation under two long-term incentive plans. As of December 31, 2007, there were approximately 4,925,000 shares available for issuance under the Company's Amended and Restated 1999 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "1999 Plan").

Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for share-based compensation plans in accordance with the provisions of APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," as permitted by SFAS No. 123. The Company elected to use the intrinsic value method of accounting for employee and director share-based compensation expense for its non-compensatory employee and director stock option awards and did not recognize compensation expense for the issuance of options with an exercise price equal to the market price of the underlying common stock on the date of grant.

Stock Options. On January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), which requires the Company to value unvested stock options granted prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) under the fair value method of accounting and expense this amount in the statement of operations over the stock options' remaining vesting period. Upon adoption, there was no cumulative adjustment for the impact of the change in accounting principles because the assumed forfeiture rate did not differ significantly from prior periods. The Company recognized compensation expense of \$197 (\$116 net of income taxes) and \$470 (\$279 net of income taxes) related to stock options in the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, as a result of adopting SFAS No. 123(R).

The terms of certain stock options awarded under the 1999 Plan in January 2001 and November 1999 provide for common stock dividend equivalents (at the same rate as paid on the common stock) with respect to the shares underlying the unexercised portion of the options. Prior to January 1, 2006, in accordance with APB Opinion No. 25, the Company accounted for the dividend equivalent rights on these options as additional compensation cost (\$6,178, net of taxes, for 2005). Effective January 1, 2006, in accordance with SFAS No. 123(R), the Company recognizes payments of the dividend equivalent rights on these options as reductions in additional paid-in capital on the Company's consolidated balance sheet (\$6,475 and \$6,186, net of taxes, for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively), which is included as "Distributions on common stock" in the Company's consolidated statement of changes in stockholders' equity. In 2005, the Company recorded charges to income of \$6,661 for the dividend equivalent rights on these options.

The fair value of option grants is estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The Black-Scholes option pricing model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options which have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including expected stock price characteristics which are significantly different from those of traded options, and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of stock-based compensation awards.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

The assumptions used under the Black-Scholes option pricing model in computing fair value of options are based on the expected option life considering both the contractual term of the option and expected employee exercise behavior, the interest rate associated with U.S. Treasury issues with a remaining term equal to the expected option life and the expected volatility of the Company's common stock over the expected term of the option. There were no option grants during 2007. The assumptions used for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 were as follows:

	2006	2005
Risk-free interest rate	4.9% — 5.0%	4.57 %
Expected volatility	38.17% — 40.52%	25.82 %
Dividend yield	9.96% - 10.03%	7.82 %
Expected holding period	6 — 6.75 years	10 years
Weighted average fair value	\$ 2.14 — \$2.50	\$ 2.02

The net impact of the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) was a reduction in the operating, selling, administrative and general expenses of \$5,920 and an increase in net income of \$5,909 for the year ended December 31, 2006. The net impact of the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) was an increase in diluted EPS from \$1.08 to \$1.13 for the year ended December 31, 2007 and an increase in diluted EPS from \$0.62 to \$0.68 for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Awards of options to employees under the Company's stock compensation plans generally vest over periods ranging from four to five years and have a term of ten years from the date of grant. The expense related to stock option compensation included in the determination of net income for the years ended December 31, 2005 differs from that which would have been recognized if the fair value method had been applied to all awards since the original effective date of SFAS No. 123. Had the Company elected to adopt the fair value approach as prescribed by SFAS No. 123, which charges earnings for the estimated fair value of stock options, its pro forma net income and pro forma EPS for the years ended December 31, 2005 would have been as follows:

	2005
Net income	\$52,385
Add: stock option employee compensation expense included in reported net income, net of related tax effects	8,668
Deduct: total stock option employee compensation expense determined under the fair value method for all awards, net of	
related tax effects	(3,474)
Pro forma net income	\$57,579
Income per share:	
Basic — as reported	\$ 1.07
Basic — pro forma	\$ 1.09
Diluted — as reported	\$ 1.01
Diluted — pro forma	\$ 1.03

The pro-forma amounts reported for the 2005 period reflects additional payments of dividend equivalent rights (\$6,178, net of tax) on unexercised options as reductions in additional paid-in capital rather than compensation expense in accordance with SFAS No. 123. Additionally, upon reflecting the payment of dividend equivalent rights as a reduction of additional paid-in capital in determining its pro forma net income, the Company accounted for the effect of the underlying options as participating securities under EITF Issue No. 03-6, "Participating Securities and the Two-Class Method under FASB Statement 128", which established standards regarding the computation of EPS by companies that have issued securities other than common stock that contractually entitle the holder to participate in dividends and earnings of the company when calculating its basic pro forma EPS. As a result, basic

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

pro forma net income was reduced by \$4,596 for the year ended December 31, 2005, respectively, when calculating pro forma EPS.

A summary of employee stock option transactions follows:

	Number of Shares	hted Average ercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value(1)
Outstanding on January 1, 2005	10,246,298	\$ 10.35	4.7	\$ 48,880
Granted	60,638	\$ 18.55		
Issued in New Valley acquisition	122,244	\$ 8.22		
Exercised	(356,602)	\$ 10.64		
Cancelled	(627,269)	\$ 23.09		
Outstanding on December 31, 2005	9,445,309	\$ 9.55	3.6	\$ 67,495
Granted	297,675	\$ 15.96		
Exercised	(338,374)	\$ 9.20		
Cancelled	(27,307)	\$ 17.23		
Outstanding on December 31, 2006	9,377,303	\$ 9.73	2.8	\$ 69,246
Granted	_	_		
Exercised	(446,808)	\$ 8.73		
Cancelled	(9,781)	\$ 23.81		
Outstanding on December 31, 2007	8,920,714	\$ 9.70	1.8	\$ 95,238
Options exercisable as of:				
December 31, 2005	9,290,323			
December 31, 2006	9,017,954			
December 31, 2007	8,723,642			

<sup>(1)</sup> The aggregate intrinsic value represents the amount by which the fair value of the underlying common stock (\$20.06, \$16.90, \$16.48 and \$14.36 at December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 and January 1, 2005, respectively) exceeds the option exercise price.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Additional information relating to options outstanding at December 31, 2007 follows:

		Options Outstanding		Options Exercisable		
Range of Exercise Prices	Outstanding as of 12/31/2007	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	ghted-Average ercise Price	Exercisable as of 12/31/2007		ited-Average rcise Price
\$0.00 — 6.50	3,878,317	0.5	\$ 6.29	3,878,317	\$	6.29
\$6.51 — 12.99	10,051	5.0	\$ 9.43	10,051	\$	9.43
\$12.99 — 16.24	3,346,137	1.9	\$ 10.45	3,346,137	\$	10.45
\$16.25 — 19.49	1,470,265	4.2	\$ 14.17	1,310,402	\$	13.96
\$19.50 — 22.73	49,611	7.9	\$ 18.55	12,402	\$	18.55
\$22.74 — 25.98	5,348	4.1	\$ 20.68	5,348	\$	20.68
\$25.98 — 29.23	32,354	3.5	\$ 23.34	32,354	\$	23.34
\$29.24 — 32.48	50,920	3.7	\$ 27.60	50,920	\$	27.60
	77,711	3.7	\$ 29.72	77,711	\$	29.72
	8,920,714	1.8	\$ 9.62	8,723,642	\$	9.46

As of December 31, 2007, there was \$441 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately one year at December 31, 2007.

As of December 31, 2006, there was \$638 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 1.95 years at December 31, 2006.

In November 2005, the President of Liggett and Liggett Vector Brands agreed to the cancellation of an option to purchase 335,022 shares of the Company's common stock at \$28.66 per share granted under the 1999 Plan in September 2001. In this regard, the President of Liggett and the Company entered into an agreement, in which the Company, in accordance with the 1999 Plan, agreed after the passage of more than six months and assuming his continued employment with the Company or an affiliate of the Company, to grant him another stock option under the 1999 Plan covering 275,625 shares of the Company's common stock with the exercise price equal to the value of the common stock on the grant date of the replacement option. The new option was issued on August 14, 2006 with an exercise price of \$16.09 per share and a ten-year term and will became exercisable with respect to one-fourth of the shares on December 1, 2006, with an additional one-fourth becoming exercisable on each of the three succeeding one-year anniversaries of the first exercisable date through December 1, 2009.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), the Company presented the tax savings resulting from the deductions resulting from the exercise of non-qualified stock options as an operating cash flow in accordance with EITF Issue No. 00-15, "Classification in the Statement of Cash Flows of the Income Tax Benefit Received by a Company upon Exercise of a Nonqualified Employee Stock Option." SFAS No. 123(R) requires the Company to reflect the tax savings resulting from tax deductions in excess of expense reflected in its financial statements as a component of "Cash Flows from Financing Activities."

Non-qualified options for 297,675 and 60,637 shares of common stock were issued during 2006 and 2005, respectively. The exercise prices of the options granted were \$15.96 in 2006 and \$18.55 in 2005. The exercise prices of the options granted in 2006 and 2005 were at the fair value on the dates of the grants, other than a grant of options for 275,625 shares in 2006 at \$1.59 more than the fair value on the grant date.

In connection with the merger of New Valley with a subsidiary of the Company on December 13, 2005, employee and director stock options to purchase New Valley common shares were converted, in accordance with

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

the terms of such options, into options to purchase a total of 122,244 shares of the Company's common stock at prices ranging from \$6.00 to \$10.85 per share.

SFAS No. 123(R) requires the Company to calculate the pool of excess tax benefits, or APIC Pool, available to absorb tax deficiencies recognized subsequent to adopting SFAS No. 123(R), as if the Company had adopted SFAS No. 123 at its effective date in 1995. The two allowable methods to calculate the Company's hypothetical APIC Pool are the long-form method set forth in SFAS No. 123(R) and the short-form method set forth in FASB Staff Position No. 123R-3. The Company has elected to use the long-form method under which each award grant is tracked on an employee-by-employee basis and grant-by-grant basis to determine if there is a tax benefit or tax deficiency for such award. The Company then compares the fair value expense to the tax deduction received for each grant and aggregates the benefits and deficiencies to establish its hypothetical APIC Pool.

Due to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), some exercises of options result in tax deductions in excess of previously recorded benefits based on the option value at the time of grant, or windfall tax benefits. The Company recognizes windfall tax benefits associated with the exercise of stock options directly to stockholders' equity only when realized. Accordingly, deferred tax assets are not recognized for net operating loss carryforwards resulting from windfall tax benefits occurring after December 31, 2005. A windfall tax benefit occurs when the actual tax benefit realized by the Company upon an employee's disposition of a share-based award exceeds the deferred tax asset, if any, associated with the award that the Company had recorded.

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$3,841, \$2,333 and \$1,767, respectively. Tax benefits related to option exercises of \$2,055, \$0 and \$578 were recorded as increases to stockholders' equity for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. In accordance with SFAS No. 123(R), tax benefits related to option exercises for the year ended December 31, 2006 were not deemed to be realized as net operating loss carryforwards are available to offset taxable income computed without giving effect to the deductions related to option exercises.

During 2007, 446,808 options, exercisable at prices ranging from \$7.61 to \$15.17 per share, were exercised for \$5,100 in cash and the delivery to the Company of 7,627 shares of common stock with a fair market value of \$168, or \$22.03, per share on the date of exercise.

During 2006, 338,374 options, exercisable at prices ranging from \$7.61 to \$14.70 per share, were exercised for \$2,571 in cash and the delivery to the Company of 41,566 shares of common stock with a fair market value of \$760, or \$18.27, per share on the date of exercise.

During 2005, 356,602 options, exercisable at prices ranging from \$9.43 to \$14.86 per share, were exercised for \$3,626 in cash and the delivery to the Company of 8,100 shares of common stock with a fair market value of \$167, or \$20.62, per share on the date of exercise.

Restricted Stock Awards. In January 2005, New Valley awarded the President of New Valley, who also served in the same position with the Company, a restricted stock grant of 1,250,000 shares of New Valley's common shares. Under the terms of the award, one-seventh of the shares vested on July 15, 2005, with an additional one-seventh vesting on each of the five succeeding one-year anniversaries of the first vesting date through July 15, 2010 and an additional one-seventh vesting on January 15, 2011. In September 2005, in connection with his election as Chief Executive Officer of the Company, he renounced and waived, as of that date, the unvested 1,071,429 common shares deliverable by New Valley to him in the future. The Company recorded an expense of \$1,267 (\$679 net of minority interests) associated with the grant for the year ended December 31, 2005.

In September 2005, the President of the Company was awarded a restricted stock grant of 551,250 shares of the Company's common stock and, on November 16, 2005, he was awarded an additional restricted stock grant of 86,623 shares of the Company's common stock, in each case, pursuant to the 1999 Plan. Pursuant to the restricted share agreements, one-fourth of the shares vested on September 15, 2006, with an additional one-fourth vesting on each of the three succeeding one-year anniversaries of the first vesting date through September 15, 2009. In the

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

event his employment with the Company is terminated for any reason other than his death, his disability or a change of control (as defined in his restricted share agreements) of the Company, any remaining balance of the shares not previously vested will be forfeited by him. These restricted stock awards by the Company replaced the unvested portion of the New Valley restricted stock grant relinquished by the President of the Company. The number of restricted shares of the Company's common stock awarded to him by the Company (637,872 shares) was the equivalent of the number of shares of the Company's common stock that would have been issued to him had he retained his unvested New Valley restricted shares and those shares were exchanged for the Company's common stock in the exchange offer and subsequent merger whereby the Company acquired the remaining minority interest in New Valley in December 2005. The Company recorded deferred compensation of \$11,340 representing the fair market value of the total restricted shares on the dates of grant. The deferred compensation will be amortized over the vesting period as a charge to compensation expense. The Company recorded an expense of \$2,835, \$2,987 and \$679 associated with the grants for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

In November 2005, the President of Liggett and Liggett Vector Brands was awarded a restricted stock grant of 55,125 shares of the Company's common stock pursuant to the 1999 Plan. Pursuant to his restricted share agreement, one-fourth of the shares vested on November 1, 2006, with an additional one-fourth vesting on each of the three succeeding one-year anniversaries of the first vesting date through November 1, 2009. In the event his employment with the Company is terminated for any reason other than his death, his disability or a change of control (as defined in his restricted share agreement) of the Company, any remaining balance of the shares not previously vested will be forfeited by him. The Company recorded deferred compensation of \$1,018 representing the fair market value of the restricted shares on the date of grant. The Company recorded an expense of \$254, \$254 and \$37 associated with the grant for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

On June 1, 2004, the Company granted 12,155 restricted shares of the Company's common stock pursuant to the 1999 Plan to each of its four outside directors. The shares vested over a period of three years. The Company recognized \$644 of expense over the vesting period, including \$89, \$215 and \$215 of expense for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

On June 4, 2007, the Company granted 10,500 restricted shares of the Company's common stock pursuant to the 1999 Plan to each of its four outside directors. The shares will vest over three years and the Company will recognize \$792 of expense over the vesting period. The Company recognized \$154 for the year ended December 31, 2007, in connection with this restricted stock award.

As of December 31, 2007, there was \$4,846 of total unrecognized compensation costs related to unvested restricted stock awards. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately one year at December 31, 2007.

As of December 31, 2006, there was \$7,386 of total unrecognized compensation costs related to unvested restricted stock awards. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 1.81 years at December 31, 2006.

The Company's accounting policy is to treat dividends paid on unvested restricted stock as a reduction to additional paid-in capital on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

### 12. CONTINGENCIES

### **Tobacco-Related Litigation:**

Overview

Since 1954, Liggett and other United States cigarette manufacturers have been named as defendants in numerous direct, third-party and purported class actions predicated on the theory that cigarette manufacturers should be liable for damages alleged to have been caused by cigarette smoking or by exposure to secondary smoke from cigarettes. New cases continue to be commenced against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers. The cases

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

generally fall into the following categories: (i) smoking and health cases alleging personal injury brought on behalf of individual plaintiffs ("Individual Actions"); (ii) smoking and health cases primarily alleging personal injury or seeking court-supervised programs for ongoing medical monitoring and purporting to be brought on behalf of a class of individual plaintiffs ("Class Actions"); (iii) health care cost recovery actions brought by various foreign and domestic governmental entities ("Governmental Actions"); and (iv) health care cost recovery actions brought by third-party payors including insurance companies, union health and welfare trust funds, asbestos manufacturers and others ("Third-Party Payor Actions"). As new cases are commenced, the costs associated with defending these cases and the risks relating to the inherent unpredictability of litigation continue to increase. The future financial impact of the risks and expenses of litigation and the effects of the tobacco litigation settlements discussed below are not quantifiable at this time. For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, Liggett incurred legal expenses and other litigation costs totaling approximately \$7,800 and \$4,465, respectively.

#### Individual Actions

As of February 22, 2008, there were approximately 59 individual cases pending against Liggett and/or the Company, where one or more individual plaintiffs allege injury resulting from cigarette smoking, addiction to cigarette smoking or exposure to secondary smoke and seek compensatory and, in some cases, punitive damages. In addition, there were approximately 1,600 *Engle* progeny cases (defined below) pending, in state and federal courts in Florida, and approximately 100 individual cases pending in West Virginia state court as part of a consolidated action. The following table lists the number of individual cases by state that are pending against Liggett (excluding Engle progeny cases and the cases consolidated in West Virginia) or its affiliates as of February 22, 2008:

State	Number of Cases
Florida	13
Maryland	13
New York	11
Mississippi	10
Louisiana	5
Missouri	2
West Virginia	2
District of Columbia	1
Ohio	1
Pennsylvania	1

Of the individual cases listed above, there are currently three pending where Liggett is the only tobacco company defendant. In April 2004, in *Davis v. Liggett Group Inc.*, a Florida state court jury awarded compensatory damages of \$540 against Liggett. In addition, plaintiff's counsel was awarded legal fees of \$752. Liggett appealed both the verdict and the legal fees award. In October 2007, the Fourth District Court of Appeal affirmed the compensatory award. Liggett filed a motion for rehearing and/or certification which is currently pending before the appellate court. No amounts have been expensed for this matter. In March 2005, in *Ferlanti v. Liggett Group Inc.*, a Florida state court granted Liggett's motion for summary judgment. The plaintiff appealed and in June 2006, the appellate court reversed and remanded back to the trial court. The court granted leave to plaintiff to add a claim for punitive damages. Trial commenced on February 19, 2008 and, on February 22, 2008, the court declared a mistrial. There is no activity in the other remaining case where Liggett is the sole tobacco company defendant.

The plaintiffs' allegations of liability in those cases in which individuals seek recovery for injuries allegedly caused by cigarette smoking are based on various theories of recovery, including negligence, gross negligence, breach of special duty, strict liability, fraud, concealment, misrepresentation, design defect, failure to warn, breach of express and implied warranties, conspiracy, aiding and abetting, concert of action, unjust enrichment, common

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

law public nuisance, property damage, invasion of privacy, mental anguish, emotional distress, disability, shock, indemnity and violations of deceptive trade practice laws, the federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act ("RICO"), state RICO statutes and antitrust statutes. In many of these cases, in addition to compensatory damages, plaintiffs also seek other forms of relief including treble/multiple damages, medical monitoring, disgorgement of profits and punitive damages. Although alleged damages often are not determinable from a complaint, and the law governing the pleading and calculation of damages varies from state to state and jurisdiction to jurisdiction, compensatory and punitive damages have been specifically pleaded in a number of cases, sometimes in amounts ranging into the hundreds of millions and even billions of dollars. Defenses raised by defendants in these cases include lack of proximate cause, assumption of the risk, comparative fault and/or contributory negligence, lack of design defect, statute of limitations, equitable defenses such as "unclean hands" and lack of benefit, failure to state a claim and federal preemption.

Jury awards representing material amounts of damages have been returned against other cigarette manufacturers in recent years. The awards in these individual actions are for both compensatory and punitive damages. Over the last several years, after conclusion of all appeals, damage awards have been paid to several individual plaintiffs, including an award of \$5,500 in compensatory damages, \$50,000 in punitive damages and \$27,000 in interest in a case against another cigarette manufacturer in 2006. There are several significant jury awards against other cigarette manufacturers which are currently on appeal.

Engle Progeny Cases. Pursuant to the Florida Supreme Court's July 2006 ruling in Engle v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., which decertified the class on a prospective basis, former class members had one year from January 11, 2007 in which to file individual lawsuits. In addition, some individuals who filed suit prior to January 11, 2007, and who claim they meet the conditions in Engle, are attempting to avail themselves of the Engle ruling. Lawsuits by individuals requesting the benefit of the Engle ruling, whether filed before or after the January 11, 2007 mandate, are referred to as the "Engle progeny cases." As of February 22, 2008, both Liggett and the Company were served in approximately 1,600 Engle progeny cases in both state and federal courts in Florida. These cases include approximately 3,500 plaintiffs. Plaintiffs have 120 days to serve cases filed before the deadline, so the total number of cases could increase substantially. For further information on the Engle case, see "— Class Actions — Engle Case," below.

In addition to the *Engle* progeny cases, there are approximately 100 cases pending where individual plaintiffs seek to recover a portion of the monies certain cigarette manufactures, including Liggett, placed into escrow in an agreement with the now decertified *Engle* class. Entitlement to the escrowed monies will be determined by the court, but Liggett has no further obligation in these cases as Liggett's portion of the escrow funds has been previously paid and expensed.

### Class Actions

As of December 31, 2007, there were 11 actions pending for which either a class has been certified or plaintiffs are seeking class certification, where Liggett is a named defendant. Other cigarette manufacturers are also named. Many of these actions purport to constitute statewide class actions and were filed after May 1996 when the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, in *Castano v. American Tobacco Co.*, reversed a federal district court's certification of a purported nationwide class action on behalf of persons who were allegedly "addicted" to tobacco products.

Since the Fifth Circuit's *Castano* ruling, in *Scott v. American Tobacco Co.*, *Inc.* (Liggett is not a defendant in this proceeding), a Louisiana court certified an "addiction-as-injury" class action that covered only citizens in that state. In May 2004, the *Scott* jury returned a verdict in the amount of \$591,000, plus prejudgment interest, on the class' claim for a smoking cessation program. In February 2007, the appellate court upheld \$279,000 of the \$591,000 verdict, finding that certain smokers were entitled to damages. The trial court's award of prejudgment interest was overturned by the appellate court and the case was remanded to the trial court. In February 2007, the defendants filed a motion for rehearing. Two other class actions, *Broin v. Philip Morris Companies Inc.*, (Liggett was dismissed from this case) and *Engle*, were certified in state court in Florida prior to the *Castano* decision.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Engle Case. In May 1994, Engle was filed against Liggett and others in Miami-Dade County, Florida. The class consisted of all Florida residents who, by November 21, 1996, "have suffered, presently suffer or have died from diseases and medical conditions caused by their addiction to cigarette smoking." In July 1999, after the conclusion of Phase I of the trial, the jury returned a verdict against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers on certain issues determined by the trial court to be "common" to the causes of action of the plaintiff class. The jury made several findings adverse to the defendants including that defendants' conduct "rose to a level that would permit a potential award or entitlement to punitive damages." Phase II of the trial was a causation and damages trial for three of the class plaintiffs and a punitive damages trial on a class-wide basis, before the same jury that returned the verdict in Phase I. In April 2000, the jury awarded compensatory damages of \$12,704 to the three class plaintiffs, to be reduced in proportion to the respective plaintiff's fault. In July 2000, the jury awarded approximately \$145,000,000 in punitive damages against all defendants, including \$790,000 against Liggett.

In May 2003, Florida's Third District Court of Appeal reversed the trial court's final judgment and remanded the case with instructions to decertify the class. The judgment in favor of one of the three class plaintiffs, in the amount of \$5,831, was overturned as time barred and the court found that Liggett was not liable to the other two class plaintiffs.

In July 2006, the Florida Supreme Court affirmed in part and reversed in part the May 2003 Third District Court of Appeal's decision. Among other things, the Florida Supreme Court affirmed the decision vacating the punitive damages award and held that the class should be decertified prospectively, but preserved several of the Phase I findings (including that: (i) smoking causes lung cancer, among other diseases; (ii) nicotine in cigarettes is addictive; (iii) defendants placed cigarettes on the market that were defective and unreasonably dangerous; (iv) the defendants concealed material information; (v) all defendants sold or supplied cigarettes that were defective; and (vi) all defendants were negligent) and allowed former class members to proceed to trial on individual liability issues (using the above findings) and compensatory and punitive damage issues, provided they commence their individual lawsuits within one year from January 11, 2007, the date of the court's mandate. In December 2006, the Florida Supreme Court added the finding that defendants sold or supplied cigarettes that, at the time of sale or supply, did not conform to the representations made by defendants. Class counsel filed motions for attorneys' fees and costs, which motions are pending. In May 2007, the defendants, including Liggett, filed a petition for writ of certiorari with the United States Supreme Court. The petition was denied in September 2007. In October 2007, defendants filed a petition for rehearing before the United States Supreme Court which was denied in November 2007. As of February 22, 2008, there were approximately 1,600 *Engle* progeny cases filed and served where either Liggett (and other cigarette manufacturers) or the Company, or both, were named as defendants. These cases include approximately 3,500 plaintiffs.

In June 2002, the jury in a Florida state court action entitled *Lukacs v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company*, awarded \$37,500 in compensatory damages in a case involving Liggett and two other cigarette manufacturers. In March 2003, the court reduced the amount of the compensatory damages to \$24,860. The jury found Liggett 50% responsible for the damages incurred by the plaintiff. The *Lukacs* case was the first case to be tried as an individual *Engle* class member suit following entry of final judgment by the *Engle* trial court. After the verdict was returned, the case was abated pending completion of the *Engle* appeal. After the issuance of the Florida Supreme Court's opinion discussed above, the plaintiff filed a motion requesting that the trial court enter partial final judgment, tax costs and attorneys' fees and schedule trial on the punitive damages claims. Defendants have opposed the relief sought by plaintiff on the grounds that the reversal by the Florida Supreme Court of the *Engle* Phase I finding on fraud mandates the reversal of the jury verdict and precludes the entry of final judgment in plaintiff's favor and, on January 28, 2008, filed a submission asking the court to set aside the verdict and dismiss the case. If the court enters judgment in plaintiff's favor, plaintiff contends that interest on the judgment accrues from the date of the verdict. Plaintiff has filed a motion seeking an award of attorneys' fees from Liggett based on their prior proposal for settlement. Oral argument was held in March 2007 and the parties are awaiting a decision. Liggett may be required to bond the amount of the judgment against it to perfect its appeal. In the event the court enters the judgment, Liggett intends to appeal.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Other Class Actions. Classes remain certified against Liggett in West Virginia (Blankenship), Kansas (Smith), New Mexico (Romero) and New York (Schwab). Blankenship is dormant. Smith and Romero are actions in which plaintiffs allege that cigarette manufacturers conspired to fix cigarette prices in violation of antitrust laws. Class certification was granted in Smith v. Philip Morris in November 2001. Discovery is ongoing. Class certification was granted in Romero v. Philip Morris in April 2003 and was affirmed by the New Mexico Supreme Court in February 2005. In June 2006, the trial court granted defendants' motions for summary judgment. Plaintiffs appealed to the New Mexico Court of Appeals. Briefing was completed in August 2007 and the parties are awaiting a decision.

Class action suits have been filed in a number of states against cigarette manufacturers, alleging, among other things, that the use of the terms "light" and "ultra light" constitutes unfair and deceptive trade practices, among other things. One such suit, *Schwab v. Philip Morris*, pending in federal court in New York since 2004, seeks to create a nationwide class of "light" cigarette smokers. The action asserts claims under RICO. The proposed class is seeking as much as \$200,000,000 in damages, which could be trebled under RICO. In November 2005, the court ruled that the plaintiffs would be permitted to calculate damages on an aggregate basis and use "fluid recovery" theories to allocate them among class members, if the class was certified. Fluid recovery would permit potential damages to be paid out in ways other than merely giving cash directly to plaintiffs, such as establishing a pool of money that could be used for public purposes. In September 2006, the court granted plaintiff's motion for class certification. In November 2006, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit granted the defendants' motions to stay the district court proceedings and for review of the class certification ruling. Oral argument was held in July 2007 and the parties are awaiting a decision. Liggett is a defendant in the *Schwab* 

In June 1998, in *Cleary v. Philip Morris*, *Inc.*, a putative class action was brought in Illinois state court on behalf of persons who have allegedly been injured by (i) the defendants' purported conspiracy pursuant to which defendants allegedly concealed material facts regarding the addictive nature of nicotine; (ii) the defendants' alleged acts of targeting their advertising and marketing to minors; and (iii) the defendants' claimed breach of the public's right to defendants' compliance with laws prohibiting the distribution of cigarettes to minors. The plaintiffs request that the defendants be required to disgorge all profits unjustly received through their sale of cigarettes to plaintiffs, which in no event will be greater than \$75 each, inclusive of punitive damages, interest and costs. In July 2006, the plaintiffs filed a motion for class certification. A class certification hearing occurred in September 2007 and the parties are awaiting a decision. Merits discovery is stayed pending a ruling by the court. Liggett is a defendant in the *Cleary* case.

In April 2001, in *Brown v. The American Tobacco Co.*, *Inc.*, a California state court granted in part plaintiffs' motion for class certification and certified a class comprised of adult residents of California who smoked at least one of defendants' cigarettes "during the applicable time period" and who were exposed to defendants' marketing and advertising activities in California. In March 2005, the court granted defendants' motion to decertify the class based on a recent change in California law. In October 2006, the plaintiffs filed a petition for review with the California Supreme Court, which was granted in November 2006. Oral argument has not yet been scheduled. Liggett is a defendant in the *Brown* case.

Although not technically a class action, in *In Re: Tobacco Litigation (Personal Injury Cases)*, a West Virginia State court consolidated approximately 750 individual smoker actions that were pending prior to 2001 for trial of certain common issues. In January 2002, the court severed Liggett from the trial of the consolidated action. The consolidation was affirmed on appeal by the West Virginia Supreme Court. In February 2008, the United States Supreme Court denied the defendants' petition for writ of certiorari asking the Court to review the trial plan. It is estimated that Liggett could be a defendant in approximately 100 of the cases. In February 2008, the court granted defendants' motion to stay all proceedings pending United States Supreme Court review in *Good v. Altria Group Inc.* 

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Class certification motions are pending in a number of other cases and a number of orders denying class certification are on appeal. In addition to the cases described above, a number of class actions remain certified against other cigarette manufacturers.

#### **Governmental Actions**

As of December 31, 2007, there were two Governmental Actions pending against Liggett, only one of which is active as to Liggett. The claims asserted in health care cost recovery actions vary. In these cases, the governmental entities typically assert equitable claims that the tobacco industry was "unjustly enriched" by their payment of health care costs allegedly attributable to smoking and seek reimbursement of those costs. Other claims made by some but not all plaintiffs include the equitable claim of indemnity, common law claims of negligence, strict liability, breach of express and implied warranty, breach of special duty, fraud, negligent misrepresentation, conspiracy, public nuisance, claims under state and federal statutes governing consumer fraud, antitrust, deceptive trade practices and false advertising, and claims under RICO.

DOJ Case. In September 1999, the United States government commenced litigation against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. The action sought to recover an unspecified amount of health care costs paid for and furnished, and to be paid for and furnished, by the federal government for lung cancer, heart disease, emphysema and other smoking-related illnesses allegedly caused by the fraudulent and tortious conduct of defendants, to restrain defendants and co-conspirators from engaging in alleged fraud and other allegedly unlawful conduct in the future, and to compel defendants to disgorge the proceeds of their unlawful conduct. The action asserted claims under three federal statutes, the Medical Care Recovery Act ("MCRA"), the Medicare Secondary Payer provisions of the Social Security Act ("MSP") and RICO. In September 2000, the court dismissed the government's claims based on MCRA and MSP. Trial of the case concluded in June 2005.

In August 2006, the trial court entered a Final Judgment and Remedial Order against each of the cigarette manufacturing defendants, except Liggett. The Final Judgment, among other things, ordered the following relief against the non-Liggett defendants: (i) the defendants are enjoined from committing any act of racketeering concerning the manufacturing, marketing, promotion, health consequences or sale of cigarettes in the United States; (ii) the defendants are enjoined from making any material false, misleading, or deceptive statement or representation concerning cigarettes that persuades people to purchase cigarettes; (iii) the defendants are enjoined from utilizing "lights", "low tar", "ultra lights", "mild", or "natural" descriptors, or conveying any other express or implied health messages in connection with the marketing or sale of cigarettes, domestically and internationally, as of January 1, 2007; (iv) the defendants must make certain corrective statements on their websites, and in television and print media advertisements; (v) the defendants must maintain internet document websites until 2016 with access to smoking and health related documents; (vi) the defendants must disclose all disaggregated marketing data to the government on a confidential basis; (vii) the defendants are not permitted to sell or otherwise transfer any of their cigarette brands, product formulas or businesses to any person or entity for domestic use without a court order, and unless the acquiring person or entity agrees to be bound by the terms of the Final Judgment; and (viii) the defendants must pay the appropriate costs incurred by the government in prosecuting the action, in an amount to be determined by the trial court.

No monetary damages were awarded other than the government's costs. In October 2006, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia stayed the Final Judgment pending appeal. The defendants filed amended notices of appeal in March 2007. The government acknowledged in its appellate brief that it was not appealing the district court's decision to award no remedy against Liggett. Therefore, although this case has been concluded as to Liggett, it is unclear what impact, if any, the Final Judgment will have on the cigarette industry as a whole. To the extent that the Final Judgment leads to a decline in industry-wide shipments of cigarettes in the United States or otherwise imposes regulations which adversely affect the industry, Liggett's sales volume, operating income and cash flows could be materially adversely affected.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

In December 1998, in City of St. Louis v. American Tobacco Company Inc., a case pending in Missouri state court, the City of St. Louis and approximately 50 hospitals brought suit against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers seeking recovery of costs expended by the hospitals on behalf of patients who suffer, or have suffered, from illnesses allegedly resulting from the use of cigarettes. In June 2005, the court granted defendants' motion for summary judgment as to claims for damages which accrued prior to November 16, 1993. The claims for damages which accrued after November 16, 1993 are pending. Discovery is ongoing. Trial is scheduled to commence in January 2010.

#### Third-Party Payor Actions

As of December 31, 2007, there were two Third-Party Payor Actions pending against Liggett. Other cigarette manufacturers are also named. The Third-Party Payor Actions typically have been commenced by insurance companies, union health and welfare trust funds, asbestos manufacturers and others. In Third-Party Payor Actions, plaintiffs seek damages for: funding of corrective public education campaigns relating to issues of smoking and health; funding for clinical smoking cessation programs; disgorgement of profits from sales of cigarettes; restitution; treble damages; and attorneys' fees. Although no specific amounts are provided, it is understood that requested damages against cigarette manufacturers in these cases might be in the billions of dollars.

Several federal circuit courts of appeals and state appellate courts have ruled that Third-Party Payors did not have standing to bring lawsuits against cigarette manufacturers, relying primarily on grounds that plaintiffs' claims were too remote. The United States Supreme Court has refused to consider plaintiffs' appeals from the cases decided by five federal circuit courts of appeals.

In June 2005, the Jerusalem District Court in Israel added Liggett as a defendant in an action commenced in 1998 by the largest private insurer in that country, General Health Services, against the major United States cigarette manufacturers. The plaintiff seeks to recover the past and future value of the total expenditures for health care services provided to residents of Israel resulting from tobacco related diseases, court ordered interest for past expenditures from the date of filing the statement of claim, increased and/or punitive and/or exemplary damages and costs. The court ruled that, although Liggett had not sold product in Israel since at least 1978, it might still have liability for cigarettes sold prior to that time. Motions filed by the defendants are pending before the Israel Supreme Court seeking appeal from a lower court's decision granting leave to plaintiff for foreign service of process.

In August 2005, the United Seniors Association, Inc. filed a lawsuit in federal court in Massachusetts pursuant to the private cause of action provisions of the MSP seeking to recover for the Medicare program all expenditures on smoking-related diseases since August 1999. In August 2006, the court granted the defendants' motion to dismiss the complaint which was affirmed by the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit in August 2007. Plaintiff filed a petition for writ of certiorari with the United States Supreme Court which was denied on January 22, 2008.

# Upcoming Trials

There is one individual action in Mississippi state court, *McGee v. Philip Morris Inc.*, currently scheduled for trial on October 7, 2008. There are five individual actions in New York state court, where Liggett is a defendant along with other cigarette manufacturers, that may be set for trial in 2008, including *Hausrath v. Philip Morris Inc.*, which has been set for trial on September 8, 2008. There may be several other individual actions in Florida, where Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers are defendants, that may be set for trial in 2008. Trial dates are subject to change.

# MSA and Other State Settlement Agreements

In March 1996, March 1997 and March 1998, Liggett entered into settlements of smoking-related litigation with 45 states and territories. The settlements released Liggett from all smoking-related claims within those states

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

and territories, including claims for health care cost reimbursement and claims concerning sales of cigarettes to minors.

In November 1998, Philip Morris, Brown & Williamson, R.J. Reynolds and Lorillard (the "Original Participating Manufacturers" or "OPMs") and Liggett (together with any other tobacco product manufacturer that becomes a signatory, the "Subsequent Participating Manufacturers" or "SPMs") (the OPMs and SPMs are hereinafter referred to jointly as the "Participating Manufacturers") entered into the Master Settlement Agreement (the "MSA") with 46 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, the United States Virgin Islands, American Samoa and the Northern Mariana Islands (collectively, the "Settling States") to settle the asserted and unasserted health care cost recovery and certain other claims of those Settling States. The MSA received final judicial approval in each Settling State.

In the Settling States, the MSA released Liggett from:

- all claims of the Settling States and their respective political subdivisions and other recipients of state health care funds, relating
  to: (i) past conduct arising out of the use, sale, distribution, manufacture, development, advertising and marketing of tobacco
  products; (ii) the health effects of, the exposure to, or research, statements or warnings about, tobacco products; and
- all monetary claims of the Settling States and their respective subdivisions and other recipients of state health care funds, relating
  to future conduct arising out of the use of or exposure to, tobacco products that have been manufactured in the ordinary course of
  business.

The MSA restricts tobacco product advertising and marketing within the Settling States and otherwise restricts the activities of Participating Manufacturers. Among other things, the MSA prohibits the targeting of youth in the advertising, promotion or marketing of tobacco products; bans the use of cartoon characters in all tobacco advertising and promotion; limits each Participating Manufacturer to one tobacco brand name sponsorship during any 12-month period; bans all outdoor advertising, with certain limited exceptions; prohibits payments for tobacco product placement in various media; bans gift offers based on the purchase of tobacco products without sufficient proof that the intended recipient is an adult; prohibits Participating Manufacturers from licensing third parties to advertise tobacco brand names in any manner prohibited under the MSA; and prohibits Participating Manufacturers from using as a tobacco product brand name any nationally recognized non-tobacco brand or trade name or the names of sports teams, entertainment groups or individual celebrities.

The MSA also requires Participating Manufacturers to affirm corporate principles to comply with the MSA and to reduce underage usage of tobacco products and imposes restrictions on lobbying activities conducted on behalf of Participating Manufacturers.

Liggett has no payment obligations under the MSA except to the extent its market share exceeds a market share exemption of approximately 1.65% of total cigarettes sold in the United States. Vector Tobacco has no payment obligations under the MSA, except to the extent its market share exceeds a market share exemption of approximately 0.28% of total cigarettes sold in the United States. According to data from Management Science Associates, Inc., domestic shipments by Liggett and Vector Tobacco accounted for approximately 2.2% of the total cigarettes shipped in the United States in 2005, 2.4% in 2006 and 2.5% in 2007. If Liggett's or Vector Tobacco's market share exceeds their respective market share exemption in a given year, then on April 15 of the following year, Liggett and/or Vector Tobacco, as the case may be, would pay on each excess unit an amount equal (on a per-unit basis) to that due by the OPMs for that year. In April 2005, Liggett and Vector Tobacco paid \$20,982 for their 2004 MSA obligations. In April 2006, Liggett and Vector Tobacco paid \$10,637 for their 2005 MSA obligations. In April 2007, Liggett and Vector Tobacco paid \$38,743 for their 2006 MSA obligations. Liggett and Vector Tobacco have expensed \$48,756 for their estimated MSA obligations for 2007, as part of cost of goods sold. Liggett and Vector Tobacco prepaid \$34,500 of this amount in 2007 and anticipate paying another \$4,100 in April 2008, after withholding certain disputed amounts.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Under the payment provisions of the MSA, the Participating Manufacturers are required to pay a base annual amount of \$9,000,000 in 2008 and each year thereafter (subject to applicable adjustments, offsets and reductions). These annual payments are allocated based on unit volume of domestic cigarette shipments. The payment obligations under the MSA are the several, and not joint, obligations of each Participating Manufacturer and are not the responsibility of any parent or affiliate of a Participating Manufacturer.

#### Certain MSA Disputes

In 2005, the independent auditor under the MSA calculated that Liggett owed \$28,668 for its 2004 sales. In April 2005, Liggett paid \$11,678 and disputed the balance, as permitted by the MSA. Liggett subsequently paid \$9,304 of the disputed amount, although Liggett continues to dispute that this amount is owed. This \$9,304 relates to an adjustment to its 2003 payment obligation claimed by Liggett for the market share loss to non-participating manufacturers, which is known as the "NPM Adjustment." At December 31, 2007, included in "Other assets" on the Company's consolidated balance sheet, was a noncurrent receivable of \$6,513 relating to such amount. The remaining balance in dispute of \$7,686 is comprised of \$5,318 claimed for a 2004 NPM Adjustment and \$2,368 relating to the independent auditor's retroactive change from "gross" to "net" units in calculating MSA payments, which Liggett contends is improper, as discussed below. From its April 2006 payment, Liggett and Vector Tobacco withheld approximately \$1,600 claimed for the 2005 NPM Adjustment and \$2,612 relating to the retroactive change from "gross" to "net" units. Liggett and Vector Tobacco withheld approximately \$4,200 from their April 2007 payments related to the 2006 NPM Adjustment and approximately \$3,000 relating to the retroactive change from "gross" to "net" units.

The following amounts have not been expensed in the accompanying consolidated financial statements as they relate to Liggett's and Vector Tobacco's claim for an NPM adjustment: \$6,513 for 2003, \$3,789 for 2004 and \$800 for 2005.

*NPM Adjustment.* In March 2006, an economic consulting firm selected pursuant to the MSA rendered its final and non-appealable decision that the MSA was a "significant factor contributing to" the loss of market share of Participating Manufacturers for 2003. The economic consulting firm rendered the same decision with respect to 2004 and 2005. As a result, the manufacturers are entitled to potential NPM Adjustments to their 2003, 2004 and 2005 MSA payments. A Settling State that has diligently enforced its qualifying escrow statute in the year in question may be able to avoid application of the NPM Adjustment to the payments made by the manufacturers for the benefit of that state or territory.

Since April 2006, notwithstanding provisions in the MSA requiring arbitration, litigation has been commenced in 49 Settling States over the issue of whether the application of the NPM Adjustment for 2003 is to be determined through litigation or arbitration. These actions relate to the potential NPM Adjustment for 2003, which the independent auditor under the MSA previously determined to be as much as \$1,200,000 for all Participating Manufacturers. To date, 47 of 48 courts that have decided the issue have ruled that the 2003 NPM Adjustment dispute is arbitrable and 34 of those decisions are final. In Louisiana, Participating Manufacturers have appealed the court's decision that the dispute was not arbitrable. There can be no assurance that Liggett or Vector Tobacco will receive any adjustment as a result of these proceedings.

*Gross v. Net Calculations.* In October 2004, the independent auditor notified Liggett and all other Participating Manufacturers that their payment obligations under the MSA, dating from the agreement's execution in late 1998, had been recalculated using "net" unit amounts, rather than "gross" unit amounts (which had been used since 1999). The change in the method of calculation could, among other things, require additional MSA payments by Liggett of approximately \$14,200, plus interest, for 2001 through 2006, require an additional payment of approximately \$3,300 for 2007 and require additional amounts in future periods because the proposed change from "gross" to "net" units would serve to lower Liggett's market share exemption under the MSA.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Liggett has objected to this retroactive change and has disputed the change in methodology. Liggett contends that the retroactive change from using "gross" unit amounts to "net" unit amounts is impermissible for several reasons, including:

- use of "net" unit amounts is not required by the MSA (as reflected by, among other things, the use of "gross" unit amounts through 2005);
- · such a change is not authorized without the consent of affected parties to the MSA;
- the MSA provides for four-year time limitation periods for revisiting calculations and determinations, which precludes recalculating Liggett's 1997 Market Share (and thus, Liggett's market share exemption); and
- Liggett and others have relied upon the calculations based on "gross" unit amounts since 1998.

No amounts have been expensed or accrued in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for any potential liability relating to the "gross" versus "net" dispute.

Litigation Challenging the MSA. In litigation pending in federal court in New York, certain importers of cigarettes allege that the MSA and certain related New York statutes violate federal antitrust and constitutional law. The United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit has held that plaintiffs have stated a claim for relief on antitrust grounds. In September 2004, the court denied plaintiffs' motion to preliminarily enjoin the MSA and certain related New York statutes, but the court issued a preliminary injunction against an amendment repealing the "allocable share" provision of the New York escrow statute. The parties' motions for summary judgment are pending. Additionally, in another proceeding pending in New York federal court, plaintiffs seek to enjoin the statutes enacted by New York and other states in connection with the MSA on the grounds that the statutes violate the Commerce Clause of the United States Constitution and federal antitrust laws. In September 2005, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit held that plaintiffs stated a claim for relief and that the New York federal court had jurisdiction over the other defendant states. In October 2006, the United States Supreme Court denied the petition of the attorneys general for writ of certiorari. Similar challenges to the MSA and MSA-related state statutes are pending in Kentucky, Arkansas, Kansas, Louisiana, Tennessee and Oklahoma. Liggett and the other cigarette manufacturers are not defendants in these cases.

Other State Settlements. The MSA replaces Liggett's prior settlements with all states and territories except for Florida, Mississippi, Texas and Minnesota. Each of these four states, prior to the effective date of the MSA, negotiated and executed settlement agreements with each of the other major tobacco companies, separate from those settlements reached previously with Liggett. Liggett's agreements with these states remain in full force and effect, and Liggett made various payments to these states during 1996, 1997 and 1998 under the agreements. These states' settlement agreements with Liggett contained most favored nation provisions which could reduce Liggett's payment obligations based on subsequent settlements or resolutions by those states with certain other tobacco companies. Beginning in 1999, Liggett determined that, based on each of these four states' settlements or resolutions with United States Tobacco Company, Liggett's payment obligations to those states had been eliminated. With respect to all non-economic obligations under the previous settlements, Liggett believes it is entitled to the most favorable provisions as between the MSA and each state's respective settlement with the other major tobacco companies. Therefore, Liggett's non-economic obligations to all states and territories are now defined by the MSA.

In 2003, in order to resolve any potential issues with Minnesota as to Liggett's ongoing economic settlement obligations, Liggett negotiated a \$100 a year payment to Minnesota, to be paid any year cigarettes manufactured by Liggett are sold in that state. In 2004, the Attorneys General for Florida, Mississippi and Texas advised Liggett that they believed that Liggett had failed to make all required payments under the respective settlement agreements with these states for the period 1998 through 2003 and that additional payments may be due for 2004 and subsequent years. Liggett believes these allegations are without merit, based, among other things, on the language of the most favored nation provisions of the settlement agreements. In December 2004, Florida offered to settle all amounts

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

allegedly owed by Liggett for the period through 2003 for the sum of \$13,500. In March 2005, Florida reaffirmed its December 2004 offer to settle and provided Liggett with a 60 day notice to cure the alleged defaults. Liggett offered Florida \$2,500 in a lump sum to settle all alleged obligations through December 31, 2006 and \$100 per year thereafter in any year in which cigarettes manufactured by Liggett are sold in Florida, to resolve all alleged future obligations under the settlement agreement. In November 2004, Mississippi offered to settle all amounts allegedly owed by Liggett for the period through 2003 for the sum of \$6,500. In April 2005, Mississippi reaffirmed its November 2004 offer to settle and provided Liggett with a 60 day notice to cure the alleged defaults. No specific monetary demand has been made by Texas.

Except for \$2,500 accrued at December 31, 2007, in connection with the foregoing matters, no other amounts have been accrued in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for any additional amounts that may be payable by Liggett under the settlement agreements with Florida, Mississippi and Texas. There can be no assurance that Liggett will resolve these matters or that Liggett will not be required to make additional material payments, which payments could adversely affect the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Management is not able to predict the outcome of the litigation pending or threatened against Liggett. Litigation is subject to many uncertainties. For example, in July 2006, the Florida Supreme Court affirmed in part and reversed in part the May 2003 intermediate appellate court decision in the Engle case. Although the Florida Supreme Court affirmed the decision to decertify the class on a prospective basis and the order vacating the punitive damages award, the court upheld certain of the trial court's Phase I determinations. In June 2002, the jury in the *Lukacs* case, an individual case brought under the third phase of the *Engle* case, awarded \$37,500 (subsequently reduced by the court to \$24,860) of compensatory damages against Liggett and two other defendants and found Liggett 50% responsible for the damages. The plaintiff filed a motion for the trial court to enter partial final judgment, tax costs and attorneys' fees, and schedule trial on the punitive damages claim. Oral argument on the motion occurred in March 2007 and the parties are awaiting a decision. Liggett may be required to bond the amount of the judgment entered against it to perfect its appeal. In April 2004, a jury in a Florida state court action awarded compensatory damages of approximately \$540 against Liggett in an individual action. In addition, plaintiff's counsel was awarded legal fees of \$752. Liggett appealed both the verdict and the legal fees award. In October 2007, the Fourth District Court of Appeal affirmed the compensatory award. Liggett filed a motion for rehearing and/or certification. It is possible that additional cases could be decided unfavorably against Liggett. As a result of the *Engle* decision, approximately 1,600 cases has been filed and served against Liggett and the Company. These cases include approximately 3,500 plaintiffs. Although the deadline for filing Engle progeny cases has passed, plaintiffs have 120 days to serve their complaints so it is possible that the number of cases could increase substantially. Liggett may enter into discussions in an attempt to settle particular cases if it believes it is appropriate to do so.

Management cannot predict the cash requirements related to any future defense costs, settlements or judgments, including cash required to bond any appeals, and there is a risk that those requirements will not be able to be met. An unfavorable outcome of a pending smoking and health case could encourage the commencement of additional similar litigation. Management is unable to make a reasonable estimate with respect to the amount or range of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome of the cases pending against Liggett or the costs of defending such cases and as a result has not provided any amounts in its consolidated financial statements for unfavorable outcomes. The complaints filed in these cases rarely detail alleged damages. Typically, the claims set forth in an individual's complaint against the tobacco industry seek money damages in an amount to be determined by a jury, plus punitive damages and costs.

The tobacco industry is subject to a wide range of laws and regulations regarding the marketing, sale, taxation and use of tobacco products imposed by local, state and federal governments. There have been a number of restrictive regulatory actions, adverse legislative and political decisions and other unfavorable developments concerning cigarette smoking and the tobacco industry. These developments may negatively affect the perception of

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

potential triers of fact with respect to the tobacco industry, possibly to the detriment of certain pending litigation, and may prompt the commencement of additional similar litigation or legislation.

It is possible that the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows could be materially adversely affected by an unfavorable outcome in any such smoking-related litigation.

Liggett's and Vector Tobacco's management are unaware of any material environmental conditions affecting their existing facilities. Liggett's and Vector Tobacco's management believe that current operations are conducted in material compliance with all environmental laws and regulations and other laws and regulations governing cigarette manufacturers. Compliance with federal, state and local provisions regulating the discharge of materials into the environment, or otherwise relating to the protection of the environment, has not had a material effect on the capital expenditures, results of operations or competitive position of Liggett or Vector Tobacco.

#### **Other Litigation:**

In 1994, New Valley commenced an action against the United States government seeking damages for breach of a launch services agreement covering the launch of one of the Westar satellites owned by New Valley's former Western Union satellite business. In March 2007, the parties entered into a Stipulation for Entry of Judgment to settle New Valley's claims. In May 2007, New Valley received a \$20,000 payment from the government in connection with the settlement. The Company recognized a pre-tax gain in 2007 of \$19,590, net of operating, selling, administrative and general expenses of \$410, in connection with the settlement.

In October 2005, Lorillard Tobacco Company advised Liggett that it believed that certain styles of Liggett's Grand Prix brand cigarettes created a likelihood of confusion among consumers with Lorillard's Newport cigarette brand because of similarities in packaging. In December 2006, Lorillard commenced an action in the United States District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina seeking, among other things: an injunction against Liggett's sale of certain brand styles of Grand Prix; an order directing the recall of the relevant brand styles; an accounting of profits for the relevant brand styles; treble damages; and interest, attorneys' fees and costs. In January 2008, the parties resolved the litigation.

#### **Other Matters:**

In February 2004, Liggett Vector Brands and another cigarette manufacturer entered into a five year agreement with a subsidiary of the American Wholesale Marketers Association to support a program to permit certain tobacco distributors to secure, on reasonable terms, tax stamp bonds required by state and local governments for the distribution of cigarettes. Under the agreement, Liggett Vector Brands has agreed to pay a portion of losses, if any, incurred by the surety under the bond program, with a maximum loss exposure of \$500 for Liggett Vector Brands. To secure its potential obligations under the agreement, Liggett Vector Brands has delivered to the subsidiary of the Association a \$100 letter of credit and agreed to fund up to an additional \$400. Liggett Vector Brands has incurred no losses to date under this agreement, and the Company believes the fair value of Liggett Vector Brands' obligation under the agreement was immaterial at December 31, 2007.

There are several other proceedings, lawsuits and claims pending against the Company and certain of its consolidated subsidiaries unrelated to tobacco or tobacco product liability. Management is of the opinion that the liabilities, if any, ultimately resulting from such other proceedings, lawsuits and claims should not materially affect the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

### 13. SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
I. Cash paid during the period for:			
Interest	\$30,491	\$35,553	\$25,382
Income taxes	18,967	45,475	14,045
II. Non-cash investing and financing activities:			
Issuance of stock dividend	287	271	210
Conversion of debt	_	70,000	_
Non-cash dividend of LTS shares	_	_	2,986
Capital leases with purchase of equipment	_	_	418
Equipment acquired through financing agreements	_	_	6,713

### 14. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In connection with the Company's private offering of convertible notes in November 2004, in order to permit hedging transactions by the purchasers, the purchasers of the notes required a principal stockholder of the Company, who serves as the Executive Chairman of the Company, to enter into an agreement granting the placement agent for the offering the right, in its sole discretion, to borrow up to 4,020,285 shares of common stock from this stockholder or an entity affiliated with him during a 30-month period through May 2007, subject to extension under various conditions, and that he agreed not to dispose of such shares during this period, subject to limited exceptions. In consideration for this stockholder agreeing to lend his shares in order to facilitate the Company's offering and accepting the resulting liquidity risk, the Company agreed to pay him or an affiliate designated by him an annual fee, payable on a quarterly basis in cash or, by mutual agreement of the Company and this stockholder, shares of Common Stock, equal to 1% of the aggregate market value of 4,020,285 shares of Common Stock. In addition, the Company agreed to hold this stockholder harmless on an after-tax basis against any increase, if any, in the income tax rate applicable to dividends paid on the shares as a result of the share loan agreement. For the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, the Company recognized expense of \$504, \$1,207 and \$873 for amounts payable to an entity affiliated with this stockholder under this agreement. This stockholder had the right to assign to one of the Company's other principal stockholders, who serves as the Company's President, some or all of his obligation to lend the shares under such agreement. In May 2006, this stockholder assigned to the other stockholder the obligation to lend 590,472 shares of Common Stock under the agreement.

In connection with the April 2005 placement of additional convertible notes, the Company entered into a similar agreement through May 2007 with this other principal stockholder, who is the President of the Company, with respect to 347,287 shares of common stock. For the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, the Company recognized expense of \$62, \$115 and \$41, respectively, for amounts payable to an entity affiliated with this stockholder under this agreement and for the assigned obligation to lend shares.

In September 2006, the Company entered into an agreement with Ladenburg Thalmann Financial Services Inc. ("LTS") pursuant to which the Company agreed to make available to LTS the services of the Company's Executive Vice President to serve as the President and Chief Executive Officer of LTS and to provide certain other financial and accounting services, including assistance with complying with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. In consideration for such services, LTS had agreed to pay the Company an annual fee of \$250 plus reimbursement of expenses and will indemnify the Company. The agreement is terminable by either party upon 30 days' prior written notice. Various executive officers and directors of the Company and New Valley serve as members of the Board of Directors of LTS. In December 2007, LTS and Vector entered into an amendment to the agreement to amend the fees payable thereunder as follows: (i) a special management fee payment of \$150 for 2007 (resulting in a total payment of \$400 for 2007), (ii) an increase in the annual fee from \$250 to \$400, effective January 1, 2008 and (iii) an increase

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

in the annual fee from \$400 to \$600, effective July 1, 2008 (payment of \$500 for 2008). For 2007, LTS paid compensation of \$600 to each of the President of the Company, who serves as Vice Chairman of LTS, and to the Executive Vice President of the Company, who serves as President and CEO of LTS. (See Note 17.)

The Company's President, a firm he serves as a consultant to (and, prior to January 2005, was the Chairman of), and affiliates of that firm received ordinary and customary insurance commissions aggregating approximately \$241, \$273 and \$495 in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, on various insurance policies issued for the Company and its subsidiaries and equity investees.

The Company is an investor in investment partnerships affiliated with certain stockholders of the Company. (See Note 6.)

### 15. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The estimated fair value of the Company's financial instruments have been determined by the Company using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies described in Note 1. However, considerable judgment is required to develop the estimates of fair value and, accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that could be realized in a current market exchange.

	December 31, 2007			ber 31, 06
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Financial assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 238,117	\$238,117	\$146,769	\$146,769
Investment securities available for sale	45,875	45,875	18,960	18,960
Restricted assets	8,766	8,766	8,274	8,274
Long-term investments accounted for at cost	72,971	89,007	32,971	47,560
Financial liabilities:				
Notes payable and long-term debt	297,796	504,662	155,990	340,837
Embedded derivatives	101,582	101,582	95,473	95,473

### 16. PHILIP MORRIS BRAND TRANSACTION

In November 1998, the Company and Liggett granted Philip Morris Incorporated options to purchase interests in Trademarks LLC which holds three domestic cigarette brands, *L&M*, *Chesterfield* and *Lark*, formerly held by Liggett's subsidiary, Eve Holdings Inc.

Under the terms of the Philip Morris agreements, Eve contributed the three brands to Trademarks, a newly-formed limited liability company, in exchange for 100% of two classes of Trademarks' interests, the Class A Voting Interest and the Class B Redeemable Nonvoting Interest. Philip Morris acquired two options to purchase the interests from Eve. In December 1998, Philip Morris paid Eve a total of \$150,000 for the options, \$5,000 for the option for the Class A interest and \$145,000 for the option for the Class B interest.

The Class A option entitled Philip Morris to purchase the Class A interest for \$10,100. On March 19, 1999, Philip Morris exercised the Class A option, and the closing occurred on May 24, 1999.

The Class B option entitles Philip Morris to purchase the Class B interest for \$139,900. The Class B option will be exercisable during the 90-day period beginning on December 2, 2008, with Philip Morris being entitled to extend the 90-day period for up to an additional six months under certain circumstances. The Class B interest will also be redeemable by Trademarks for \$139,900 during the same period the Class B option may be exercised.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

On May 24, 1999, Trademarks borrowed \$134,900 from a lending institution. The loan is guaranteed by Eve and collateralized by a pledge by Trademarks of the three brands and Trademarks' interest in the trademark license agreement (discussed below) and by a pledge by Eve of its Class B interest. In connection with the closing of the Class A option, Trademarks distributed the loan proceeds to Eve as the holder of the Class B interest. The cash exercise price of the Class B option and Trademarks' redemption price were reduced by the amount distributed to Eve. Upon Philip Morris' exercise of the Class B option or Trademarks' exercise of its redemption right, Philip Morris or Trademarks, as relevant, will be required to obtain Eve's release from its guaranty. The Class B interest will be entitled to a guaranteed payment of \$500 each year with the Class A interest allocated all remaining income or loss of Trademarks. The Company believes the fair value of Eve's guarantee is negligible at December 31, 2007.

Trademarks has granted Philip Morris an exclusive license of the three brands for an 11-year term expiring May 24, 2010 at an annual royalty based on sales of cigarettes under the brands, subject to a minimum annual royalty payment equal to the annual debt service obligation on the loan plus \$1,000.

If Philip Morris fails to exercise the Class B option, Eve will have an option to put its Class B interest to Philip Morris, or Philip Morris' designees, at a put price that is \$5,000 less than the exercise price of the Class B option (and includes Philip Morris' obtaining Eve's release from its loan guarantee). The Eve put option is exercisable at any time during the 90-day period beginning March 2, 2010.

If the Class B option, Trademarks' redemption right and the Eve put option expire unexercised, the holder of the Class B interest will be entitled to convert the Class B interest, at its election, into a Class A interest with the same rights to share in future profits and losses, the same voting power and the same claim to capital as the entire existing outstanding Class A interest, i.e., a 50% interest in Trademarks.

See Note 10 regarding the settlement with the Internal Revenue Service relating to the Philip Morris brand transaction.

#### 17. NEW VALLEY CORPORATION

*Office Buildings*. In December 2002, New Valley purchased two office buildings in Princeton, New Jersey for a total purchase price of \$54,000. In February 2005, New Valley completed the sale of the office buildings for \$71,500. (See Notes 5, 6 and 19.)

Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses. New Valley accounts for its 50% interests in Douglas Elliman Realty LLC, Koa Investors LLC and 16th & K Holdings LLC and, prior to the fourth quarter of 2007, accounted for its approximate 20% interest in Ceebraid on the equity method. (See Note 1(k).) Douglas Elliman Realty operates a residential real estate brokerage company in the New York metropolitan area. Koa Investors owns the Sheraton Keauhou Bay Resort & Spa in Kailua-Kona, Hawaii. Following a major renovation, the property reopened in the fourth quarter 2004 as a four star resort with 521 rooms. 16th and K Holdings acquired the St. Regis Hotel, a 193 room luxury hotel in Washington, D.C. in August 2005. The St. Regis Hotel, which was temporarily closed for an extensive renovation on August 31, 2006, reopened in January 2008. Ceebraid owns the Holiday Isle Resort in Islamorada, Florida.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

The components of "Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses" were as follows as of December 31, 2007 and 2006:

	Dec	December 31, 2007		2006 2006
Douglas Elliman Realty LLC	\$	31,893	\$	20,481
16th and K Holdings LLC		3,838		7,182
Ceebraid Acquisition Corporation		_		753
Koa Investors LLC				_
Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses	\$	35,731	\$	28,416

Residential Brokerage Business. New Valley recorded income of \$20,290, \$12,662 and \$11,217 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, associated with Douglas Elliman Realty. Summarized financial information as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 and for the three years ended December 31, 2007 for Douglas Elliman Realty is presented below. New Valley's equity income from Douglas Elliman Realty includes \$1,319, \$1,383 and \$1,188, respectively, of interest income earned by New Valley on a subordinated loan to Douglas Elliman Realty for the years ended December 31, 2007 as well as increases to income resulting from amortization of negative goodwill which resulted from purchase accounting of \$316 and \$427 and management fees of \$1,300 and \$1,100 earned from Douglas Elliman for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. New Valley received cash distributions from Douglas Elliman Realty LLC of \$8,878, \$6,119 and \$5,935 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

	December 31, 2007		December 31, 2006	
Cash	\$	26,916	\$	19,307
Other current assets		9,462		6,218
Property, plant and equipment, net		18,394		19,538
Trademarks		21,663		21,663
Goodwill		38,294		38,087
Other intangible assets, net		1,928		1,966
Other non-current assets		850		1,001
Notes payable — current		581		2,880
Current portion of notes payable to member — Prudential Real Estate Financial Services Of				
America, Inc.		4,373		1,500
Current portion of notes payable to member — New Valley		625		_
Other current liabilities		26,579		21,506
Notes payable — long term		2,402		3,175
Notes payable to member — Prudential Real Estate Financial Services of America, Inc.		15,115		32,557
Notes payable to member — New Valley		8,583		8,875
Other long-term liabilities		6,599		5,204
Members' equity		52,650		32,083

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

	Yea	Year Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005	
Revenues	\$ 405,595	\$347,244	\$330,075	
Costs and expenses	359,334	315,347	297,543	
Depreciation expense	6,047	5,138	4,896	
Amortization expense	448	410	899	
Interest expense, net	4,308	5,705	5,974	
Income tax expense	748	1,140	705	
Net income	\$ 34,710	\$ 19,504	\$ 20,058	
Net income	\$ 34,710	\$ 19,504	\$ 20,056	

Douglas Elliman Realty could be negatively impacted by a downturn in the residential real estate market. The residential real estate market tends to be cyclical and typically is affected by changes in the general economic conditions that are beyond Douglas Elliman Realty's control. The U.S. residential real estate market is currently in a significant downturn due to various factors including downward pressure on housing prices, the impact of the recent contraction in the subprime and mortgage markets generally and an exceptionally large inventory of unsold homes at the same time that sales volumes are decreasing. We cannot predict whether the downturn will worsen or when the market and related economic forces will return the U.S. residential real estate industry to a growth period.

All of Douglas Elliman Realty's current operations are located in the New York metropolitan area. Local and regional economic and general business conditions in this market could differ materially from prevailing conditions in other parts of the country. A downturn in the residential real estate market or economic conditions in that region could have a material adverse effect on Douglas Elliman Realty.

Hawaiian Hotel. New Valley incurred a loss of \$750 for the year ended December 31, 2007, income of \$867 for the year ended December 31, 2006 and a loss of \$3,501 for the year ended December 31, 2005, associated with Koa Investors. Summarized financial information as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 and for the three years ended December 31, 2007 for Koa Investors is presented below. The income in the 2006 period related to the receipt of tax credits of \$1,192 from the State of Hawaii offset by equity in the loss of Koa Investors of \$325 during the third quarter of 2006. New Valley received cash distributions from Koa Investors of \$0, \$1,192 (in the form of a tax credit) and \$5,500 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

In the event that Koa Investors makes distributions of cash, New Valley is entitled to 50% of the cash distributions until it has recovered its invested capital and achieved an annual 12% internal rate of return ("IRR"), compounded on a quarterly basis. New Valley is then entitled to 35% of subsequent cash distributions until it has achieved an annual 25% IRR. New Valley is then entitled to 30% of subsequent cash distributions until it has achieved an annual 35% IRR. After New Valley has achieved an annual 35% IRR, it is then entitled to 25% of subsequent cash distributions.

	December 31, 2007	December 31, 2006
Cash	\$ 1,696	\$ 1,264
Restricted assets	3,791	3,279
Other current assets	1,925	2,030
Property, plant and equipment, net	63,194	67,889
Deferred financing costs, net	590	1,297
Accounts payable and other current liabilities	8,371	5,930
Notes payable	82,000	82,000
Deferred ground rent payable	6,813	5,661
Members' equity	(25,988)	(17,832)

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Year Ended December 31,			
2007	2006	2005	
\$30,585	\$ 29,440	\$ 24,252	
26,525	26,166	24,990	
868	697	605	
6,225	5,989	7,401	
6,616	6,616	6,687	
\$ (9,649)	\$ (10,028)	\$ (15,431)	
	2007 \$30,585 26,525 868 6,225	2007         2006           \$30,585         \$ 29,440           26,525         26,166           868         697           6,225         5,989           6,616         6,616	

In August 2005, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Koa Investors borrowed \$82,000 at an interest rate of LIBOR plus 2.45%. Koa Investors used the proceeds of the loan to repay its \$57,000 construction loan and distributed a portion of the proceeds to its members, including \$5,500 to New Valley. As a result of the refinancing, New Valley suspended its recognition of equity losses in Koa Investors to the extent such losses exceed its basis plus any commitment to make additional investments, which totaled \$600 at the refinancing. New Valley recorded a \$600 liability for its future obligation to Koa Investors which was carried under "Other liabilities" on the Company's consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2005. In August 2006, New Valley contributed \$925 to Koa in the form of \$600 of the required contributions and \$325 of discretionary contributions. Accordingly, the Company has recognized a \$325 loss from its equity investment in Koa Investors for the year ended December 31, 2006. Although New Valley was not obligated to fund any additional amounts to Koa Investors at December 31, 2006, New Valley made a \$750 capital contribution in February 2007.

St. Regis Hotel, Washington, D.C. In June 2005, affiliates of New Valley and Brickman Associates formed 16th & K Holdings LLC ("Hotel LLC"), which acquired the St. Regis Hotel in Washington, D.C. for \$47,000 in August 2005. The Company, which holds a 50% interest in Hotel LLC, had invested \$12,125 in the project at December 31, 2007. In connection with the purchase of the hotel, a subsidiary of Hotel LLC entered into agreements to borrow up to \$50,000 of senior and subordinated debt. In April 2006, Hotel LLC purchased for approximately \$3,000 a building adjacent to the hotel to house various administrative and sales functions.

New Valley accounts for its interest in Hotel LLC under the equity method and recorded losses of \$2,344, \$2,147 and \$173 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. New Valley's equity losses in Hotel LLC in 2005 were reduced by \$251 as a result of amortization of negative goodwill associated with purchase accounting adjustments in 2005. The St. Regis Hotel, which was temporarily closed on August 31, 2006 for an extensive renovation, reopened in January 2008. Hotel LLC is capitalizing all costs other than management fees related to the renovation of the property during the renovation phase. New Valley received cash distributions from Koa Investors of \$1,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007.

In the event that Hotel LLC makes distributions of cash, New Valley is entitled to 50% of the cash distributions until it has recovered its invested capital and achieved an annual 11% IRR, compounded quarterly. New Valley is then entitled to 35% of subsequent cash distributions until it has achieved an annual 22% IRR. New Valley is then entitled to 30% of subsequent cash distributions until it has achieved an annual 32% IRR. After New Valley has achieved an annual 35% IRR, it is then entitled to 25% of subsequent cash distributions.

In September 2007, Hotel LLC entered into an agreement to sell 90% of the St. Regis Hotel. In October 2007, Hotel LLC entered into an agreement to sell certain tax credits associated with the hotel. The transactions are subject to customary closing conditions. If the St. Regis Hotel is sold, in addition to retaining a 2.5% interest, net of incentives, in the St. Regis Hotel, New Valley anticipates it would receive approximately \$18,000 in connection with the closing of the sale of the hotel and approximately an additional \$4,000 between 2008 and 2012 from the tax credits.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Summarized financial information as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 and for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 and the period from August 4, 2005 (date of acquisition) to December 31, 2005 for Hotel LLC is presented below.

	December 31, 2007	D	ecember 31, 2006
Cash	\$ 1,405	\$	1,041
Restricted assets	3,555		771
Other current assets	368		524
Property, plant and equipment, net	114,268		56,311
Deferred financing costs, net	2,294		462
Other assets	774		82
Current portion of mortgages payable	_		500
Accounts payable and other current liabilities	12,863		4,691
Notes payable	97,382		34,500
Other liabilities	_		393
Members' equity	12,419		19,107

	Year Ended December 31, 2007		Year Ended December 31, 2006		August 4, 2005 to December 31, 2005	
Revenues	\$	_	\$	14,027	\$	9,633
Costs and operating expenses		3,224		12,829		8,069
Management fees		288		167		99
Depreciation and amortization expense		424		1,110		663
Interest expense, net		752		2,205		1,148
Loss on disposition of furniture				2,512		_
Net loss	\$	(4,688)	\$	(4,796)	\$	(346)

Holiday Isle. During the fourth quarter of 2005, New Valley advanced a total of \$2,750 to Ceebraid, an entity which entered into an agreement to acquire the Holiday Isle Resort in Islamorada, Florida. In February 2006, Ceebraid filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy after it was unable to consummate financing arrangements for the acquisition. Although Ceebraid continued to seek to obtain financing for the transaction and to close the acquisition pursuant to the purchase agreement, the Company determined that a reserve for uncollectibility should be established against these advances at December 31, 2005. Accordingly, a charge of \$2,750 was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2005. In April 2006, an affiliate of Ceebraid completed the acquisition of the property for \$98,000, and New Valley increased its investment in the project to a total of \$5,800 initially held an approximate 22% equity interest in Ceebraid. New Valley had committed to make additional investments of up to \$200 in Ceebraid at December 31, 2007 and has recorded a \$200 liability for its future obligation to Holiday Isle. New Valley now holds an approximate 19% interest in Ceebraid. In connection with the closing of the purchase, an affiliate of Ceebraid borrowed \$98,000 of mezzanine and senior debt to finance a portion of the purchase price and anticipated development costs. The maturity of approximately \$77,000 of the debt, which was due on May 1, 2007, has been extended until August 1, 2008. In April 2006, the Company agreed, under certain circumstances, to guarantee up to \$2,000 of the debt. The Company believes the fair value of its guarantee was negligible at December 31, 2007. New Valley accounts for its interest in Holiday Isle under the equity method and recorded losses of \$953 and \$2,296 for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, in connection with its investment. New Valley has suspended its recognition of equity losses in Ceebraid to the extent such losses exceed

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

its basis plus any commitment to make additional investments, which totaled \$200 at December 31, 2007. As a result, the Company has recorded a \$200 liability, which has been included in "Other current liabilities" in its consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2007. The Company anticipates recognizing losses from any future contributions exceeding \$200 made to Holiday Isle. Holiday Isle will capitalize all costs related to the renovation of the property during the renovation phase.

December 31,

13,189

1,307

1,866

11,827

December 31,

9,192

3.780

6,511

742

Summarized financial information as of December 31, 2007 and for the period from April 21, 2006 (date of acquisition) to December 31, 2006 for Ceebraid is presented below.

		2007		2006	
Cash	\$	251	\$	307	
Restricted assets		7,369		9,484	
Other current assets		1,580		1,090	
Property, plant and equipment, net		98,029		99,855	
Other assets		231		2,515	
Deferred financing costs, net		_		1,511	
Accounts payable and other current liabilities		5,346		496	
Notes payable		98,000		98,000	
Members' equity		4,114		16,266	
		Year Ended	•	ril 21, 2006 to	
	December 31, D 2007			2006 2006	
Revenues	\$	12,644	\$	9,891	

Net loss

\*\*Ladenburg Thalmann Financial Services.\*\* In November 2004, New Valley and the other holder of the convertible notes of LTS entered into a debt conversion agreement with LTS. New Valley and the other holder agreed to convert their notes, with an aggregate principal amount of \$18,010, together with the accrued interest, into common stock of LTS. Pursuant to the debt conversion agreement, the conversion price of the note held by New Valley was reduced from the previous conversion price of approximately \$2.08 to \$0.50 per

Costs and operating expenses

Depreciation and amortization expense

Management fees

Interest expense, net

The note conversion transaction was approved by the LTS shareholders in January 2005 and closed in March 2005. At the closing, New Valley's note, representing approximately \$9,938 of principal and accrued interest, was converted into 19,876,358 shares of LTS common stock and New Valley purchased 11,111,111 LTS shares. In the first quarter of 2005, New Valley recorded a gain of \$9,461 which represented the fair value of the converted shares as determined by an independent appraisal firm.

LTS borrowed \$1,750 from New Valley in 2004 and an additional \$1,750 in the first quarter 2005. At the closing of the debt conversion agreement, New Valley delivered these notes for cancellation as partial payment for its purchase of LTS common stock.

share and New Valley and the other holder each agreed to purchase \$5,000 of LTS common stock at \$0.45 per share.

On March 30, 2005, New Valley distributed the 19,876,358 shares of LTS common stock it acquired from the conversion of the note to holders of New Valley common shares through a special distribution. On the same date, the

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Company distributed the 10,947,448 shares of LTS common stock that it received from New Valley to the holders of its common stock as a special distribution. New Valley stockholders of record on March 28, 2005 received 0.852 of a LTS share for each share of New Valley, and the Company's stockholders of record on that date received 0.22 (\$2,986) of a LTS share for each share of the Company. In 2005, the Company recognized equity loss in operations of LTS of \$299.

In February 2007, LTS entered into a Debt Exchange Agreement (the "Exchange Agreement") with New Valley, the holder of \$5,000 principal amount of its promissory notes due March 31, 2007. Pursuant to the Exchange Agreement, New Valley agreed to exchange the principal amount of its notes for LTS common stock at an exchange price of \$1.80 per share, representing the average closing price of the LTS common stock for the 30 prior trading days ending on the date of the Exchange Agreement.

The debt exchange was consummated on June 29, 2007 following approval by the LTS shareholders of the transaction at its annual meeting of shareholders. At the closing, the \$5,000 principal amount of notes was exchanged for 2,777,778 shares of LTS's common stock, and accrued interest on the notes of approximately \$1,730 was paid in cash. As a result of the debt exchange, New Valley's ownership of LTS common stock increased to 13,888,889 shares or approximately 8.6% of the outstanding LTS shares.

New Valley provided a full reserve against the LTS notes in 2002 and carried the notes on its consolidated balance sheet at \$0 prior to the exchange. In connection with the debt exchange, the Company recorded a gain of \$8,121, which consisted of the fair value of the 2,777,778 shares of LTS common stock at June 29, 2007 (the transaction date) and interest received in connection with the exchange, in the second quarter of 2007.

Restricted Share Award. On January 10, 2005, the President of New Valley, who also serves in the same position with the Company, was awarded a restricted stock grant of 1,250,000 New Valley common shares pursuant to New Valley's 2000 Long-Term Incentive Plan. Under the terms of the award, one-seventh of the shares vested on July 15, 2005, with an additional one-seventh vesting on each of the five succeeding one-year anniversaries of the first vesting date through July 15, 2010 and an additional one-seventh vesting on January 15, 2011. On September 27, 2005, the executive renounced and waived, as of that date, the unvested 1,071,429 common shares deliverable by New Valley to him in the future.

Vector initially recorded deferred compensation of \$8,875 (\$3,152 net of income taxes and minority interests), representing the fair market value of the restricted shares on the date of the grant which was anticipated to be amortized over the vesting period as a charge to compensation expense. In connection with the executive's renouncement of the unvested common shares, the Company reduced the deferred compensation associated with the award by \$7,608 during the third quarter of 2005. The Company recorded expense, net of minority interests, associated with the grant of \$679 for the year ended December 31, 2005.

## 18. <u>NEW VALLEY EXCHANGE OFFER</u>

In December 2005, the Company completed an exchange offer and subsequent short-form merger whereby it acquired the remaining 42.3% of the common shares of New Valley Corporation that it did not already own. As result of these transactions, New Valley Corporation became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company and each outstanding New Valley Corporation common share was exchanged for 0.490 shares of the Company's common stock. The surviving corporation in the short-form merger was subsequently merged into a new Delaware limited liability company named New Valley LLC, which conducts the business of the former New Valley Corporation.

New Valley LLC is engaged in the real estate business and is seeking to acquire additional operating companies and real estate properties. (See Note 17.)

*Purchase Accounting.* Approximately 5,561,404 shares of Vector common stock were issued in connection with the transactions. The aggregate purchase price amounted to \$106,900, which included \$101,039 in the Company's common stock, \$758 of accrued purchase price obligation, \$4,130 in acquisition related costs and \$973

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

of exchanged options, which represents the fair value on the acquisition date of the Vector options issued in exchange for the outstanding New Valley options. The transactions were accounted for under the provisions of SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations." The purchase price has been allocated based upon the estimated fair value of net assets acquired at the date of acquisition.

The purchase price reflects the fair value of Vector common stock issued in connection with the transactions based on the average closing price of the Vector common stock for the five trading days including November 16, 2005, which was \$18.17 per share. The purchase price for New Valley was primarily determined on the basis of management's assessment of the value of New Valley's assets (including deferred tax assets and net operating losses) and its expectations of future earnings and cash flows, including synergies.

In connection with the acquisition of the remaining interests in New Valley, Vector estimated the fair value of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition, December 9, 2005. The Company's analysis indicated that the fair value of net assets acquired, net of Vector's stock ownership of New Valley prior to December 9, 2005, totaled \$150,543, compared to a fair value of liabilities assumed of \$22,212, yielding net assets acquired of \$128,331 which were then compared to the New Valley purchase price of \$106,900 resulting in a reduction of non-current assets acquired of \$14,665 and negative goodwill of \$6,766.

Generally accepted accounting principles require that negative goodwill be reported as an extraordinary item on the Company's Statement of Operations.

Prior to December 9, 2005, New Valley's operating results were included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements of the Company and have been reduced by the minority interests in New Valley. New Valley's operating results from December 9, 2005, the date of acquisition, through December 31, 2005 are included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The unaudited pro forma results of operations of the Company and New Valley, prepared based on the purchase price allocation for New Valley described above and as if the New Valley acquisition had occurred at the beginning of each fiscal year presented, would have been as follows:

	 2005
Pro forma total net revenues	\$ 478,427
Pro forma net income from continuing operations	\$ 42,915
Pro forma income before extraordinary item	\$ 51,436
Pro forma net income	\$ 51,436
Pro forma basic weighted average shares outstanding	54,323,731
Pro forma income from continuing operations per basic common share	\$ 0.79
Pro forma income before extraordinary item per basic common share	\$ 0.95
Pro forma net income per basic common share	\$ 0.95
Pro forma diluted weighted average shares outstanding	56,709,666
Pro forma income from continuing operations per diluted common share	\$ 0.76
Pro forma income before extraordinary item per diluted common share	\$ 0.91
Pro forma net income per diluted common share	\$ 0.91

The pro forma financial information above is not necessarily indicative of what the Company's consolidated results of operations actually would have been if the New Valley acquisition had been completed at the beginning of each period. In addition, the pro forma information above does not attempt to project the Company's future results of operations.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

The following table summarizes the Company's estimates of the fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the New Valley acquisition:

	As of December 9, 2005	
Tangible assets acquired:		
Current assets	\$	106,526
Long-term investments		14,982
Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses		71,508
Deferred income taxes		70,810
Other assets		3,972
Total tangible assets acquired		267,798
Adjustment to reflect Vector's stock ownership of New Valley prior to the offer and subsequent merger		(115,210)
Liabilities assumed		(14,123)
Deferred tax liability related to acquired long-term investments and non-consolidated real estate businesses		(10,134)
Total assets acquired in excess of liabilities assumed		128,331
Reduction of non-current assets		(14,665)
Unallocated goodwill		(6,766)
Total purchase price	\$	106,900

### 19. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Real Estate Leasing. As discussed in Note 17, in February 2005, New Valley completed the sale for \$71,500 of its two office buildings in Princeton, N.J. As a result of the sale, the consolidated financial statements of the Company reflect New Valley's real estate leasing operations as discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2005. Accordingly, revenues, costs and expenses of the discontinued operations have been excluded from the respective captions in the consolidated statements of operations. The net operating results of the discontinued operations have been reported, net of applicable income taxes and minority interests, as "Income from discontinued operations".

Summarized operating results of the discontinued real estate leasing operations for the year ended December 31, 2005 are as follows:

2005
\$924
515
409
223
104
\$ 82

*Gain on Disposal of Discontinued Operations.* New Valley recorded a gain on disposal of discontinued operations of \$2,952 (net of minority interests and taxes) for the year ended December 31, 2005 in connection with the sale of the office buildings.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

#### 20. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company's significant business segments for each of the three years ended December 31, 2007 were Liggett and Vector Tobacco. The Liggett segment consists of the manufacture and sale of conventional cigarettes and, for segment reporting purposes, includes the operations of Medallion, which are held for legal purposes as part of Vector Tobacco). The Vector Tobacco segment includes the development and marketing of the low nicotine and nicotine-free cigarette products as well as the development of reduced risk cigarette products and, for segment reporting purposes, excludes the operations of Medallion. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies.

Financial information for the Company's continuing operations before taxes and minority interests for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 follows:

	Liggett	Vector Tobacco	Real Estate	Corporate and Other	Total	
2007						
Revenues	\$551,687	\$ 3,743	\$ —	\$ —	\$555,430	
Operating income (loss)	159,347	(9,896)	_	(23,947)	125,504	
Identifiable assets	314,242	2,459	35,731	432,857	785,289	
Depreciation and amortization	7,723	134	_	2,345	10,202	
Capital expenditures	4,997	192	_	_	5,189	
2006						
Revenues	\$499,468	\$ 6,784	\$ —	\$ —	\$506,252	
Operating income (loss)	140,508(1)	(13,971)(1)	_	(25,508)	101,029(1)	
Identifiable assets	316,165	3,122	28,416	289,759	637,462	
Depreciation and amortization	7,344	317	_	2,227	9,888	
Capital expenditures	9,439	100	_	19	9,558	
2005						
Revenues	\$468,652	\$ 9,775	\$ —	\$ —	\$478,427	
Operating income (loss)	143,361(2)	(14,992)(2)	_	(39,258)	89,111(2)	
Identifiable assets	267,661	1,091	17,391	317,409	603,552	
Depreciation and amortization	8,201	676	_	2,343	11,220	
Capital expenditures	9,664	12	_	619	10,295	

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes a gain on sale of assets at Liggett of \$2,217 and a loss on sale of assets of \$7 at Vector Tobacco, restructuring and inventory impairment charges of \$2,664 at Vector Tobacco and a reversal of restructuring charges of \$116 at Liggett.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes a special federal quota stock liquidation assessment under the federal tobacco buyout legislation of \$5,219 in 2005 (\$5,150 at Liggett and \$69 at Vector Tobacco), a gain on sale of assets at Liggett of \$12,748 and a reversal of restructuring charges of \$114 at Liggett and \$13 at Vector Tobacco in 2005.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

#### 21. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL RESULTS (UNAUDITED)

Unaudited quarterly data for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 are as follows:

	December 31, 2007		September 30, 2007		June 30, 2007(1)			1arch 31, 2007(2)
Revenues	\$	145,134	\$	136,053	\$1	40,351	\$	133,892
Operating income		36,894		33,707		29,183		25,720
Net income applicable to common shares	\$	14,231	\$	15,064	\$	21,381	\$	23,127
Per basic common share(3):			<del></del>				=	
Income from continuing operations	\$	0.22	\$	0.24	\$	0.34	\$	0.36
Income from discontinued operations	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
Income from extraordinary item	\$	_	\$	_	\$		\$	
Net income applicable to common shares	\$	0.22	\$	0.24	\$	0.34	\$	0.36
Per diluted common share(3):								
Income from continuing operations	\$	0.22	\$	0.23	\$	0.32	\$	0.35
Income from discontinued operations	\$	_	\$	_	\$		\$	
Income from extraordinary item	\$		\$		\$		\$	
Net income applicable to common shares	\$	0.22	\$	0.23	\$	0.32	\$	0.35

<sup>(1)</sup> Second quarter 2007 income from continuing operations included an \$8,121 pre-tax gain from the exchange of LTS notes.

<sup>(2)</sup> First quarter of 2007 income from continuing operations included a \$19,590 pre-tax gain from lawsuit settlement.

<sup>(3)</sup> Per share computations include the impact of a 5% stock dividend paid on September 28, 2007. Quarterly basic and diluted net income per common share were computed independently for each quarter and do not necessarily total to the year to date basic and diluted net income (loss) per common share.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

	cember 31, 2006(1)	September 30, 2006(2)		June 30, 2006(3)			arch 31, 2006
Revenues	\$ 137,528	\$	137,665	\$1	113,355	\$1	17,704
Operating income	32,641		25,701		22,460		20,227
Income (loss) from continuing operations	15,791		19,617		(2,709)		10,013
Income from discontinued operations	_		_		_		
Income from extraordinary item	_		_		_		_
Net income (loss) applicable to common shares	\$ 15,791	\$	19,617	\$	(2,709)	\$	10,013
Per basic common share(4):							
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 0.25	\$	0.31	\$	(0.05)	\$	0.17
Income from discontinued operations	\$ _	\$	_	\$		\$	
Income from extraordinary item	\$	\$		\$		\$	
Net income applicable to common shares	\$ 0.25	\$	0.31	\$	(0.05)	\$	0.17
Per diluted common share(4):	 						
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 0.24	\$	0.30	\$	(0.05)	\$	0.17
Income from discontinued operations	\$ _	\$		\$		\$	
Income from extraordinary item	\$ _	\$		\$	_	\$	_
Net income (loss) applicable to common shares	\$ 0.24	\$	0.30	\$	(0.05)	\$	0.17

<sup>(1)</sup> Fourth quarter 2006 income from continuing operations included a \$2,476 gain on the sale of Liggett's excess Durham real estate, restructuring and inventory impairment charges of \$2,664 at Vector Tobacco and a \$116 gain from the reversal of amounts previously accrued as restructuring charges at Liggett.

<sup>(2)</sup> Third quarter 2006 income from continuing operations included a \$11,500 decrease in reported income tax expense as a result of the settlement with the Internal Revenue Service.

<sup>(3)</sup> Second quarter 2006 income from continuing operations included a \$14,860 non-cash charge associated with the issuance in June 2006 of additional shares of common stock in connection with the conversion of \$70,000 of the Company's 6.25% convertible notes due 2008.

<sup>(4)</sup> Per share computations include the impact of a 5% stock dividends paid on September 28, 2007 and September 29, 2006. Quarterly basic and diluted net income (loss) per common share were computed independently for each quarter and do not necessarily total to the year to date basic and diluted net income (loss) per common share.

### VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

#### 22. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The accompanying condensed consolidating financial information has been prepared and presented pursuant to Securities and Exchange Commission Regulation S-X, Rule 3-10, "Financial Statements of Guarantors and Issuers of Guaranteed Securities Registered or Being Registered." Each of the subsidiary guarantors are 100% owned, directly or indirectly, by Vector Group Ltd., and all guarantees are full and unconditional and joint and several. The Company's investments in its consolidated subsidiaries are presented under the equity method of accounting.

The 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015, issued on August 16, 2007 by Vector, are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a joint and several basis by all of its 100%-owned domestic subsidiaries of the Company that are engaged in the conduct of its cigarette businesses. (See Note 7.) The notes are not guaranteed by any of its subsidiaries engaged in its real estate businesses conducted through its subsidiary New Valley LLC. Presented herein are Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 and the related Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations and Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 of Vector Group Ltd. (Parent/issuer), the guarantor subsidiaries (Subsidiary Guarantors) and the subsidiaries that are not guarantors (Subsidiary Non-Guarantors).

The indenture contains covenants that restrict the payment of dividends by the Company if the Company's consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("Consolidated EBITDA"), as defined in the indenture, for the most recently ended four full quarters is less than \$50,000. The indenture also restricts the incurrence of debt if the Company's Leverage Ratio and its Secured Leverage Ratio, as defined in the indenture, exceed 3.0 and 1.5, respectively. The Company's Leverage Ratio is defined in the indenture as the ratio of the Company's and the guaranteeing subsidiaries' total debt less the fair market value of the Company's and the Guarantors' cash and cash equivalents, investment securities and long-term investments to Consolidated EBITDA, as defined in the indenture. The Company's Secured Leverage Ratio is defined in the indenture in the same manner as the Leverage Ratio, except that secured indebtedness is substituted for indebtedness.

#### **VECTOR GROUP LTD.** NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS (Dollars in Thousands)

		December 31, 2007								
			Subsidiary		Consolidated					
	Parent/	Subsidiary	Non-	Consolidating	Vector Group					
	Issuer	Guarantors	<u>Guarantors</u>	<u>Adjustments</u>	Ltd.					
ASSETS:										
Current assets:										
Cash and cash equivalents	\$228,901	\$ 9,216	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 238,117					
Investment securities available for sale	45,841	_	34	_	45,875					
Accounts receivable — trade	_	3,113	_	_	3,113					
Intercompany receivables	19	_	_	(19)						
Inventories	_	86,825	_	_	86,825					
Deferred income taxes	18,003	333		_	18,336					
Income taxes receivable	27,364	_	_	(27,364)	_					
Other current assets	103	3,257	_	`	3,360					
Total current assets	320,231	102,744	34	(27,383)	395,626					
Total our one decote	020,202		•	(=:,000)	000,020					
Property, plant and equipment, net	867	53,565		_	54,432					
Long-term investments accounted for at cost	72,233		738		72,971					
Long-term investments accounted under the equity	72,200		730		12,511					
method	10,495				10,495					
Investments in non- consolidated real estate	10,495	<del>_</del>	<del>-</del>	<del>_</del>	10,495					
			25 721		25 721					
businesses	400.054	_	35,731	(4.00.05.4)	35,731					
Investments in consolidated subsidiaries	190,354		<del>-</del>	(190,354)						
Restricted assets	3,859	4,907		_	8,766					
Deferred income taxes	21,288	883	4,466	_	26,637					
Intangible asset	_	107,511	_	_	107,511					
Prepaid pension costs	_	42,084	_	_	42,084					
Other assets	18,066	12,970			31,036					
Total assets	\$637,393	\$324,664	\$ 40,969	\$ (217,737)	\$ 785,289					
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:										
Current liabilities:										
Current portion of notes payable and long-term										
debt	\$ —	\$ 20,618	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 20,618					
Accounts payable	2,194	4,786	Ψ —	Ψ —	6,980					
	2,194	4,780	<del>-</del>	(10)	0,960					
Intercompany payables	_			(19)	0.210					
Accrued promotional expenses	_	9,210		(27.204)	9,210					
Income taxes payable, net	_	13,245	16,482	(27,364)	2,363					
Accrued excise and payroll taxes payable, net	_	5,327	_	_	5,327					
Settlement accruals	_	10,041	_	_	10,041					
Deferred income taxes	20,218	3,801			24,019					
Accrued interest	9,475	_	_	_	9,475					
Other current liabilities	6,486	14,118	700		21,304					
Total current liabilities	38,373	81,165	17,182	(27,383)	109,337					
Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations,										
less current portion	254,538	22,640	_	_	277,178					
Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible										
debt	101,582	_	_	_	101,582					
Non-current employee benefits	25,983	14,950	_	_	40,933					
Deferred income taxes	115,571	26,223	110	_	141,904					
Other liabilities	494	10,571	2,438	_	13,503					
Total liabilities	536,541	155,549	19,730	(27,383)	684,437					
Commitments and contingencies	550,541	155,549	19,730	(21,303)	004,437					
	100.053	160 115	21 220	(100.254)	100.053					
Stockholders' equity	100,852	169,115	21,239	(190,354)	100,852					
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$637,393</u>	\$324,664	\$ 40,969	<u>\$ (217,737)</u>	\$ 785,289					
	F-70	)								

### VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS (Dollars in Thousands)

			December 31, 2006	5	
			Subsidiary		Consolidated
	Parent/ Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non- Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Vector Group Ltd.
ASSETS:	133001	Oddianois	Oddiantors	Aujustments	Ltu.
Current assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$132,944	\$ 13,797	\$ 28	\$ —	\$ 146,769
Investment securities available for sale	4,792	· · · · ·	14,168	_	18,960
Accounts receivable — trade	· —	15,480		_	15,480
Intercompany receivables	105,258	· —	_	(105,258)	<i>'</i> —
Inventories	_	91,299	_	` _	91,299
Deferred income taxes	26,574	515	491	_	27,580
Other current assets	101	2,967	_	_	3,068
Total current assets	269,669	124,058	14,687	(105,258)	303,156
Property, plant and equipment, net	1,005	58,916	· —	` _	59,921
Long-term investments accounted for at cost	25,000	· —	7,971	_	32,971
Long-term investments accounted under the equity					
method	10,230	_	_	_	10,230
Investments in non-consolidated real estate					
businesses	_	_	28,416	_	28,416
Investments in consolidated subsidiaries	65,365	_	_	(65,365)	_
Restricted assets	3,338	4,936	_	_	8,274
Deferred income taxes	34,908	951	8,114	_	43,973
Intangible asset	_	107,511	_	_	107,511
Prepaid pension costs	_	20,933	_	_	20,933
Other assets	9,660	12,396	21		22,077
Total assets	\$419,175	\$329,701	\$ 59,209	\$ (170,623)	\$ 637,462
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY: Current liabilities: Current portion of notes payable and long-term					
debt	\$ —	\$ 52,686	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 52,686
Accounts payable	2,291	4,912	Ψ —	Ψ —	7,203
Accrued promotional expenses	2,231	12,527	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	12,527
Intercompany payables		105,213	45	(105,258)	12,521
Income taxes payable, net	4,054	5,912	3,004	(100,200)	12,970
Accrued excise and payroll taxes payable, net	+,00+ —	9,934		_	9,934
Settlement accruals	_	47,408	_	_	47,408
Deferred income taxes	4,068	952	_	_	5,020
Accrued interest	2,586	_	_	_	2,586
Other current liabilities	6,776	11,174	502	_	18,452
Total current liabilities	19,775	250,718	3,551	(105,258)	168,786
Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations,	20,110	200,120	0,001	(100,200)	100,100
less current portion	83,904	19,400	_	_	103,304
Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible	,				
debt	95,473	_	_	_	95,473
Non-current employee benefits	21,617	14,433	_	_	36,050
Deferred income taxes	102,764	23,922	3,847	_	130,533
Other liabilities	665	5,202	2,472	_	8,339
Total liabilities	324,198	313,675	9,870	(105,258)	542,485
Commitments and contingencies				_	
Stockholders' equity	94,977	16,026	49,339	(65,365)	94,977
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$419,175	\$329,701	\$ 59,209	\$ (170,623)	\$ 637,462
. 2.33. mas.mass and stasianolation ordany	+,	+	+ 00,200	<del>+ (=: 0,020</del> )	+ 551,152
	F-71	-			

## $\label{eq:VECTOR} \mbox{VECTOR GROUP LTD.} \\ \mbox{NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS} \mbox{$--$ (Continued)$}$

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Dollars in Thousands)

		Year	Ended December 31	, 2007	
	5	6 1	Subsidiary	0 "1."	Consolidated
	Parent/ Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non- Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Vector Group Ltd.
Revenues	\$ —	\$555,430	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 555,430
Expenses:	Ψ	Ψ000,400	Ψ	Ψ	Ψ 000,400
Cost of goods sold	_	337,079	_	_	337,079
Operating, selling, administrative and general		331,013			00.,0.0
expenses	25,974	65,835	1,158	_	92,967
Management fee expense		7,669	_	(7,669)	_
Restructuring and impairment charges	_	(120)	_		(120)
Operating income (loss)	(25,974)	144,967	(1,158)	7,669	125,504
Other income (expenses):	( , ,	•	( , ,	•	•
Interest and dividend income	13,618	1,406	_	(5,127)	9,897
Interest expense	(43,217)	(7,672)	_	5,127	(45,762)
Changes in fair value of derivatives embedded					
within convertible debt	(6,109)	_	_	_	(6,109)
Provision for loss on investments, net	_	_	(1,216)	_	(1,216)
Gain from conversion of LTS notes	_	_	8,121	_	8,121
Equity income from non-consolidated real estate					
businesses	_	_	16,243	_	16,243
Income from lawsuit settlement	_	_	20,000	_	20,000
Equity income in consolidated subsidiaries	111,400	_	_	(111,400)	
Management fee income	7,669	_	_	(7,669)	_
Other, net	(107)		32		(75)
Income before provision for income taxes	57,280	138,701	42,022	(111,400)	126,603
Income tax benefit (expense)	16,523	(52,604)	(16,719)		(52,800)
Net income	\$ 73,803	\$ 86,097	\$ 25,303	\$ (111,400)	\$ 73,803

## $\label{eq:VECTOR} \mbox{VECTOR GROUP LTD.} \\ \mbox{NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS} \mbox{$--$ (Continued)$}$

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Dollars in Thousands)

		Yea	r Ended December 31	, 2006	
		6.1	Subsidiary	0 "1 "	Consolidated
	Parent/ Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non- Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Vector Group Ltd.
Revenues	\$ —	\$506,252	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 506,252
Expenses:	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•	•	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Cost of goods sold	_	315,163	_	_	315,163
Operating, selling, administrative and general					
expenses	27,901	62,064	868	_	90,833
Management fee and overhead allocation expense	_	7,338	_	(7,338)	_
Gain on sale of assets	_	(2,210)	_	_	(2,210)
Restructuring and impairment charges	<u></u>	1,437	<u></u>	<u></u>	1,437
Operating income (loss)	(27,901)	122,460	(868)	7,338	101,029
Other income (expenses):					
Interest and dividend income	18,132	965	_	(10,097)	9,000
Interest expense	(33,206)	(14,667)	_	10,097	(37,776)
Changes in fair value of derivatives embedded					
within convertible debt	112	_	_	_	112
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(16,166)	_	_	_	(16,166)
Gain on investments, net	2,869	_	150	_	3,019
Equity income from non-consolidated real estate					
businesses	_	_	9,086	_	9,086
Equity income in consolidated subsidiaries	74,278	_	_	(74,278)	
Management fee and overhead allocation income	7,338	_	_	(7,338)	_
Other, net	<u> 131</u>		45		176
Income before provision for income taxes	25,587	108,758	8,413	(74,278)	68,480
Income tax benefit (expense)	17,125	(39,452)	(3,441)		(25,768)
Net income	\$ 42,712	\$ 69,306	\$ 4,972	\$ (74,278)	\$ 42,712

## $\label{eq:VECTOR} \mbox{VECTOR GROUP LTD.} \\ \mbox{NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS} \mbox{$--$ (Continued)$}$

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Dollars in Thousands)

		Yea	r Ended December 31	., 2005	
	Dans	Code of all and	Subsidiary	Consolidation	Consolidated
	Parent/ Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non- Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Vector Group Ltd.
Revenues	\$ —	\$478.427	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 478,427
Expenses:	•	¥ 0,	•	•	+ 1.0,121
Cost of goods sold	_	285,393	_	_	285,393
Operating, selling, administrative and general		,			,
expenses	23,248	75,084	15,716	_	114,048
Management fee and overhead allocation					
expense	_	7,078	_	(7,078)	_
Gain on sale of assets	_	(12,748)	_	_	(12,748)
Provision for loss on uncollectible receivable	_	_	2,750	_	2,750
Restructuring and impairment charges		(127)		<u></u>	(127)
Operating Income (loss)	(23,248)	123,747	(18,466)	7,078	89,111
Other income (expenses):					
Interest and dividend Income	24,666	935	2,964	(22,955)	5,610
Interest expense	(25,828)	(26,939)	_	22,955	(29,812)
Changes in fair value of derivatives					
embedded within convertible debt	3,082	_	_	_	3,082
Gain (loss) on investments, net	(15)	_	1,441	_	1,426
Provision for loss on investments	_	_	(433)	_	(433)
Gain from conversion of LTS notes	_	_	9,461	_	9,461
Equity in loss on operations of LTS	_	_	(299)	_	(299)
Equity income from non- consolidated real					
estate businesses	_	_	7,543	_	7,543
Equity income in consolidated subsidiaries	47,978	_	_	(47,978)	_
Management fee and overhead allocation					
income	7,078			(7,078)	_
Other, net			79		79
Income (loss) from continuing operations before					
provision for income taxes and minority interests	33,713	97,743	2,290	(47,978)	85,768
Income tax benefit (expense)	11,906	(50,139)	(2,981)	_	(41,214)
Minority interests			(1,969)		(1,969)
Income from continuing operations	45,619	47,604	(2,660)	(47,978)	42,585
Discontinued operations:					
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of					
minority interests and taxes	_	_	82	_	82
Gain (loss) on disposal of discontinued operations,			0.050		0.050
net of minority interest and taxes			2,952		2,952
Income from discontinued operations	<u> </u>		3,034	<u> </u>	3,034
Income before extraordinary item	45,619	47,604	374	(47,978)	45,619
Extraordinary item, unallocated negative goodwill	6,766		6,766	(6,766)	6,766
Net income	\$ 52,385	\$ 47,604	\$ 7,140	\$ (54,744)	\$ 52,385
				<del></del>	
	E 74				

# VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued) CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

		Year	Ended December 31	, 2007	
			Subsidiary		Consolidated
	Parent/ Issuer	Subsidiary	Non- Guarantors	Consolidating	Vector Group Ltd.
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$115,616	Guarantors \$ 80,376	\$ 30,549	Adjustments \$ (117,343)	\$ 109,198
Net cash provided by (asea in) operating activities	Ψ115,010	Ψ 00,570	<del>Ψ 30,343</del>	$\frac{\Psi(117,040)}{}$	Ψ 103,130
Cash flows from investing activities:					
Proceeds from sale of businesses and assets	_	917	_	_	917
Purchase of investment securities	(6,571)	_	_	_	(6,571)
Proceeds from sale or liquidation of long-term	( , ,				( , ,
investments	_	_	71	_	71
Purchase of long-term investments	(40,000)	_	(91)	_	(40,091)
(Increase) decrease in restricted assets	(521)	29	`—	_	(492)
Investments in non-consolidated real estate					
businesses	_	_	(750)	_	(750)
Investments in subsidiaries	(39,150)	_	· —	39,150	_
Distributions from non-consolidated real estate					
businesses	_	_	1,000	_	1,000
Receipt of repayment of notes receivable	4,000	_	_	(4,000)	_
Capital expenditures	_	(5,189)	_	_	(5,189)
Increase in cash surrender value of life					
insurance policies	(460)	(378)	_	_	(838)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(82,702)	(4,621)	230	35,150	(51,943)
Cash flows from financing activities:					
Proceeds from issuance of debt	165,000	9,576	_	_	174,576
Repayments of debt	_	(45,200)	_	4,000	(41,200)
Deferred financing charges	(9,863)	(122)	_	_	(9,985)
Borrowings under revolver	_	537,746	_	_	537,746
Repayments on revolver	_	(534,950)	_	_	(534,950)
Capital contributions received	_	39,150	_	(39,150)	_
Intercompany dividends paid		(86,536)	(30,807)	117,343	
Dividends and distributions on common stock	(99,249)	_	_	_	(99,249)
Proceeds from exercise of Vector options and					
warrants	5,100	_	_	_	5,100
Tax benefit of options exercised	2,055				2,055
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	63,043	(80,336)	(30,807)	82,193	34,093
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash					
equivalents	95,957	(4,581)	(28)	_	91,348
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	132,944	13,797	28		146,769
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$228,901	\$ 9,216	<del>\$</del> —	<del>\$</del> —	\$ 238,117
	Г 75	=			

# VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued) CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Year Ended December 31, 2006									
	Parent/ Issuer	Subsidiary Guarantors	Subsidiary Non- Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Consolidated Vector Group Ltd.					
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$137,372	\$ 76,515	\$ 6,415	\$ (174,287)	\$ 46,015					
Cash flows from investing activities:										
Proceeds from sale of businesses and assets	_	1,486	_	_	1,486					
Proceeds from sale or maturity of investment										
securities	29,725	_	682	_	30,407					
Purchase of investment securities	(19,706)	_	_	_	(19,706)					
Proceeds from sale or liquidation of long-term			200		000					
investments	(25,000)	_	326	_	326					
Purchase of long-term investments	(35,000)	(4.004)	(345)	_	(35,345)					
(Increase) decrease in restricted assets	94	(1,621)	_	_	(1,527)					
Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses			(0.950)		(0.0E0)					
Receipt of repayment of notes receivable	5,825	_	(9,850)	(5,825)	(9,850)					
Investments in subsidiaries		_	_		_					
Capital expenditures	(7,435)	(0 E30)	_	7,435	(0 EE0)					
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance	(19)	(9,539)			(9,558)					
policies	(520)	(378)	_		(898)					
policies	(320)	(370)			(030)					
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(27,036)	(10,052)	(9,187)	1,610	(44,665)					
Cash flows from financing activities:										
Proceeds from issuance of debt	110,000	8.146	_	_	118.146					
Repayments of debt	(63,143)	(15,607)	_	5,825	(72,925)					
Deferred financing charges	(5,180)	(100)	_	· <del>_</del>	(5,280)					
Borrowings under revolver	`′	514,739	_	_	514,739					
Repayments on revolver	_	(502,753)	_	_	(502,753)					
Dividends and distributions on common stock	(90,138)	(79,533)	(94,754)	174,287	(90,138)					
Capital contributions received		4,662	2,773	(7,435)	_					
Proceeds from exercise of options and warrants	2,571	_	_	` <u> </u>	2,571					
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(45,890)	(70,446)	(91,981)	172,677	(35,640)					
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash										
equivalents	64.446	(3,983)	(94,753)	_	(34,290)					
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	68,498	17,780	94,781	_	181,059					
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$132,944	\$ 13,797	\$ 28	<del>\$</del>	\$ 146,769					

# VECTOR GROUP LTD. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued) CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Year Ended December 31, 2005								
			Subsidiary	•	Consolidated				
	Parent/	Subsidiary	Non-	Consolidating	Vector Group				
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	<u>Issuer</u> \$ 88.282	Guarantors \$ 60.356	Guarantors \$ (6,352)	Adjustments \$ (74,097)	Ltd. \$ 68,189				
iver cash provided by (used iii) operating activities	φ 00,202	<del>φ 00,330</del>	<u>Φ (0,332)</u>	<u>Φ (74,097)</u>	φ 00,109				
Cash flows from investing activities:									
Proceeds from sale of businesses and assets		14,175		(57)	14,118				
Proceeds from sale or maturity of investment	<del>-</del>	14,175	_	(57)	14,110				
securities	4,329		3.161		7,490				
Purchase of investment securities	(4,213)		(500)	<del>_</del>	(4,713)				
Proceeds from sale or liquidation of long-term	(4,213)	_	(500)	_	(4,713)				
investments			48		48				
Purchase of long-term investments	_	_	(227)	_	(227)				
Purchase of LTS stock	_	_		_					
(Increase) decrease in restricted assets	— 841	(025)	(3,250)	_	(3,250)				
Investments in non- consolidated real estate	841	(825)	_	_	10				
			(C 2E0)		(6.2E0)				
businesses		_	(6,250)	_	(6,250)				
Distributions from non-consolidated real estate			5,500		E E00				
businesses	_	_		_	5,500				
Issuance of note receivable	2 100		(2,750)	(2.100)	(2,750)				
Receipt of repayment of notes receivable	3,100	_	_	(3,100)	(0.400)				
Costs associated with New Valley acquisitions	(2,422)	_		4.004	(2,422)				
Investment in subsidiaries	(4,221)	(0.550)	_	4,221	(4.0.005)				
Capital expenditures	(6,794)	(3,558)		57	(10,295)				
Cash flows from discontinued operations		<del></del> _	66,912		66,912				
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(9,380)	9,792	62,644	1,121	64,177				
Cash flows from financing activities:									
Proceeds from issuance of debt	50,444	397			50,841				
Repayments of debt	<u> </u>	(7,405)	_	3,100	(4,305)				
Deferred financing charges	(1,968)	(100)		_	(2,068)				
Borrowings under revolver	_	457,111	_	_	457,111				
Repayments on revolver	<del>-</del>	(457,127)		_	(457,127)				
Dividends and distributions on common stock	(70,252)	(81,097)	_	81,097	(70,252)				
Capital contributions received		4,221		(4,221)	_				
Proceeds from exercise of Vector options and									
warrants	3,626	_			3,626				
Proceeds from lawsuit settlement	_		7,000	(7,000)	_				
Other, net	64	(1)	13	_	76				
Cash flows from discontinued operations			(39,213)		(39,213)				
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(18,086)	(84,001)	(32,200)	72,976	(61,311)				
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash									
equivalents	60,816	(13,853)	24,092	_	71,055				
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	7,682	31,633	70,689	_	110,004				
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 68,498	\$ 17,780	\$ 94,781	<del>\$</del>	\$ 181,059				
	<del></del>	,	<u> </u>	<u>·</u>	<del>,</del>				

#### ${\bf SCHEDULE~II-VALUATION~AND~QUALIFYING~ACCOUNTS}$

<u>Description</u>	Balance at Beginning Charged to Costs and Expenses (Dollars in			<u>Deductions</u> thousands)		at	lance End Period	
Year Ended December 31, 2007								
Allowances for:								
Doubtful accounts	\$	55	\$	_	\$	4	\$	51
Cash discounts		556		18,470		18,957		69
Deferred tax valuation allowance		17,731		_		896	10	6,835
Sales returns		3,651		80		31		3,700
Total	\$	21,993	\$	18,550	\$	19,888	\$20	0,655
Year Ended December 31, 2006		<u> </u>					_	
Allowances for:								
Doubtful accounts	\$	105	\$	_	\$	50	\$	55
Cash discounts		369		22,093		21,906		556
Deferred tax valuation allowance		19,957		_		2,226	1	7,731
Sales returns		5,194		398		1,941		3,651
Total	\$	25,625	\$	22,491	\$	26,123	\$2	1,993
Year Ended December 31, 2005								
Allowances for:								
Doubtful accounts	\$	205	\$	_	\$	100	\$	105
Cash discounts		107		20,548		20,286		369
Deferred tax valuation allowance	:	98,805		_		78,848	19	9,957
Sales returns		6,030		509		1,345		5,194
Total	\$ 1	05,147	\$	21,057	\$1	.00,579	\$2	5,625

Liggett Group LLC and Subsidiaries Consolidated Financial Statements As of December 31, 2007 and 2006 and for each of the three years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

# Liggett Group LLC and Subsidiaries Index December 31, 2007 and 2006

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	Page(s) 1
Consolidated Financial Statements	
Consolidated Balance Sheets	2–3
Consolidated Statements of Operations	4
Consolidated Statement of Stockholder's Equity	5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	6–7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	8–42
Consolidated Financial Statement Schedule	
Schedule II — Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	43

#### Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and the Stockholder of Liggett Group LLC:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Liggett Group LLC and its subsidiaries (the "Company"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Vector Group, Ltd., at December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the accompanying index presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 6 and Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed the manner in which it accounts for defined benefit and other postretirement plans effective December 31, 2006 and the manner in which it accounts for share-based compensation in 2006. Also, as discussed in Note 7, the Company changed the manner in which it accounts for uncertain tax positions on January 1, 2007.

#### Is/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Raleigh, North Carolina February 29, 2008

#### Liggett Group LLC and Subsidiaries Consolidated Balance Sheets December 31, 2007 and 2006

(in thousands of dollars)

	2007	2006
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 641	\$ 101
Accounts receivable		
Trade, less allowances of \$107 and \$579, respectively	2,726	14,741
Related parties	2,884	6,504
Other	284	825
Inventories	82,427	82,738
Deferred taxes	333	515
Other current assets	1,786	868
Total current assets	91,081	106,292
Property, plant and equipment, net	43,976	47,661
Prepaid pension costs	42,084	20,933
Restricted assets	4,765	4,796
Due from related parties	5,757	6,807
Deferred taxes	883	951
Other assets	12,361	11,963
Total assets	\$200,907	\$199,403

#### Liggett Group LLC and Subsidiaries Consolidated Balance Sheets December 31, 2007 and 2006

(in thousands of dollars, except per share amounts)

Liabilities and Stockholder's Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 4,419	\$ 4,422
Revolving credit facility	14,782	11,986
Accounts payable — trade	4,497	4,781
Accrued promotional expenses	8,562	11,798
Income taxes payable	12,096	6,246
Other accrued taxes, principally excise taxes	5,315	9,877
Estimated allowance for sales returns	2,600	2,557
Settlement accruals	9,781	41,775
Deferred taxes	3,739	890
Other current liabilities	3,881	2,528
Total current liabilities	69,672	96,860
Long-term debt, less current portion	13,064	8,237
Non-current employee benefits	14,336	14,002
Deferred income taxes	11,321	10,393
Other long-term liabilities	8,160	3,922
Total liabilities	116,553	133,414
Commitments and contingencies (Note 11)		
Stockholder's equity:		
Redeemable preferred stock (par value \$1.00 per share; authorized 1,000 shares; no shares issued and outstanding)	_	_
Common stock (par value \$0.10 per share; authorized 2,000 shares; issued and outstanding 1,000		
shares)	_	_
Additional paid-in capital	69,453	69,453
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	3,528	(7,370)
Retained earnings	11,373	3,906
Total stockholder's equity	84,354	65,989
	\$200,907	\$199,403

2007

2006

#### Liggett Group LLC and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Operations Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005

(in thousands of dollars)

	2007	2006	2005
Revenues *	\$515,979	\$472,764	\$440,930
Expenses: Cost of goods sold	325,276	302,746	272,654
Operating, selling, administrative and general expenses	50,555	44,632	52,029
Management fees paid to Vector Group Ltd.	7,169	6,912	6,667
Gain on sale of assets	(443)	(2,217)	(12,748)
Restructuring and impairment	(78)	208	(114)
Operating income	133,500	120,483	122,442
Other income (expenses):			
Interest income	1,334	964	951
Interest expense	(1,075)	(1,411)	(899)
Income before income taxes	133,759	120,036	122,494
Income tax provision	(49,749)	(36,597)	(47,283)
Net income	\$ 84,010	\$ 83,439	\$ 75,211

Revenues and cost of goods sold include excise taxes of \$152,588, \$147,992, and \$135,792 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively.

#### Liggett Group LLC and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statement of Stockholder's Equity Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005

(in thousands of dollars, except share data)

	<u>Commo</u> Shares	Additional  Common stock paid-in  Shares Amount Capital		Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Retained Earnings/ (Deficit)	Total
Balance at January 1, 2005	1,000	<del>\$</del> —	\$110,114	\$ (11,245)	\$(34,602)	\$ 64,267
Net income	_	_	_	_	75,211	75,211
Change in accumulated minimum pension liability, net of taxes	_	_	_	300	_	300
Change in fair value of forward contracts, net of taxes	_	_	_	(676)	_	(676)
Total comprehensive income	_	_	_	_	_	74,835
Tax impact of option exercises	_	_	497	_	_	497
Impact of merger	_	_	_	_	(670)	(670)
Distributions			(41,158)		(39,939)	(81,097)
Balance at December 31, 2005	1,000	_	69,453	(11,621)	_	57,832
Net income	_	_	_	_	83,439	83,439
Pension related minimum liability adjustments, net of taxes	_	_	_	9,828	_	9,828
Change in fair value of forward contracts, net of taxes	_	_	_	254	_	254
Total comprehensive income	_	_	_	_	<del>-</del>	93,521
Adoption of SFAS No. 158	_	_	_	(5,831)	_	(5,831)
Distributions					(79,533)	(79,533)
Balance at December 31, 2006	1,000	_	69,453	(7,370)	3,906	65,989
Net income	_	_	_	_	84,010	84,010
Change in pension related amounts, net of taxes	_	_	_	10,877	_	10,877
Change in fair value of forward contracts, net of taxes	_	_	_	21	_	21
Total comprehensive income	_		_		_	94,908
Other	_	_	_	_	(10)	(10)
Distributions	_		_	_	(76,533)	(76,533)
Balance at December 31, 2007	1,000*	<u> </u>	\$ 69,453	\$ 3,528	\$ 11,373	\$ 84,354

<sup>\*</sup> Stock pledged as collateral for Liggett Group LLC's guarantee of the Parent Company's debt. See Note 1.

#### Liggett Group LLC and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005

(in thousands of dollars)

	2007	2006	2005
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 84,010	\$ 83,439	\$ 75,211
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	7,129	6,838	7,517
Deferred income taxes	4,027	853	(248)
Gain on sale of assets	(443)	(2,217)	(12,748)
Prepaid pension costs	_	_	(1,975)
Restructuring and impairment charges	(78)	208	(114)
Cash payments on restructuring liabilities	(148)	(1,028)	(4,393)
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Trade accounts receivable	12,015	(3,080)	(9,162)
Related party receivable	4,670	1,568	1,143
Other receivables	541	(399)	157
Inventories	311	(20,306)	822
Income taxes payable	5,850	(9,225)	(5,535)
Other assets	(1,040)	47	(738)
Accounts payable, trade	(105)	1,202	(229)
Accrued expenses	(38,160)	17,119	4,961
Employee benefits	(9,950)	(5,803)	1
Other long-term liabilities	4,238	3,076	(290)
Change in book overdraft	<u>(179</u> )	759	
Net cash provided by operating activities	72,688	73,051	54,380
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment, net	926	2,496	16,093
Related party equipment purchases	_	_	(57)
Decrease (increase) in restricted assets	47	(1,585)	(825)
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance policies	(251)	(218)	` —
Capital expenditures	(3,878)	(8,818)	(2,955)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(3,156)	(8,125)	12,256

#### Liggett Group LLC and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (continued) Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005

(in thousands of dollars)

	2007	2006	2005
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Repayments of debt	(4,752)	(8,573)	(4,154)
Proceeds from the issuance of debt	9,576	7,922	_
Deferred finance charges	(79)	(100)	(100)
Borrowings under revolving credit facility	537,791	514,739	457,111
Repayments under revolving credit facility	(534,995)	(502,753)	(457,128)
Distributions	<u>(76,533</u> )	(79,533)	(81,097)
Net cash used in financing activities	(68,992)	(68,298)	(85,368)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	540	(3,372)	(18,732)
Cash and cash equivalents  Beginning of year	101	3,473	22,205
End of year	\$ 641	\$ 101	\$ 3,473
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information  Cash payments during the period for			
Interest	\$ 999	\$ 1,330	\$ 808
Income taxes	\$ 457	\$ 1,158	\$ 2,996
Tax sharing payments to Parent	\$ 47.190	\$ 46.275	\$ 4.590

#### Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities

• Liggett recorded \$10,877 (net of tax) and \$9,828 (net of tax) in other accumulated comprehensive income during 2007 and 2006, respectively, in relation to certain of its pension plans. (See Note 6.) In 2007, 2006 and 2005, Liggett recorded \$21 (net of taxes), \$254 (net of taxes) and (\$676) (net of taxes), respectively, in accumulated comprehensive income in relation to the change in fair value of forward contracts.

(in thousands of dollars)

#### 1. Basis of Presentation

Liggett Group LLC ("Liggett" or the "Company") is a wholly-owned subsidiary of VGR Holding LLC ("VGR"), all of whose capital stock is owned by Vector Group Ltd. ("Vector"). Liggett is engaged primarily in the manufacture and sale of discount cigarettes, principally in the United States. Certain management and administrative functions are performed by affiliates. (See Notes 12 and 13.) In 2005, Liggett changed its entity status to a limited liability corporation; thus changing its legal name from Liggett Group Inc. to Liggett Group LLC. Liggett's operations are performed by employees of its affiliate, Liggett Vector Brands Inc. ("LVB"), whose employees coordinate the Company's sales, marketing and manufacturing efforts along with certain support functions for all of Liggett's tobacco operations. LVB is a wholly-owned subsidiary of VGR.

Management believes the assumptions underlying the consolidated financial statements are reasonable. However, the consolidated financial statements included herein may not necessarily reflect the Company's results of operations, financial position and cash flows in the future or what its results of operations, financial position and cash flows would have been had the Company been a stand-alone company during the periods presented. Amounts due to and receivable from Vector, including related party debt, are shown separately on the balance sheets. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Vector and VGR are holding companies and as a result do not have any operating activities that generate revenues or cash flows. Accordingly, Vector relies on distributions from VGR and its other subsidiaries and investments and VGR relies on distributions from its other subsidiaries, including Liggett, in order to fund its operations and meet its obligations. Vector has certain debt outstanding which will require interest and principal payments over the terms of such debt. Interest and principal to service the debt is expected to be funded by Vector's cash and cash equivalents, investments, the operations of Vector's subsidiaries, including Liggett, and proceeds, if any, from Vector's future financings. During 2007, 2006 and 2005, Liggett made distributions of \$76,533, \$79,533 and \$81,097, respectively, to VGR

#### 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015

In August 2007, Vector sold \$165,000 of its 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015 (the "Senior Secured Notes") in a private offering to qualified institutional investors in accordance with Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. Vector intends to use the net proceeds of the issuance for general corporate purposes which may include working capital requirements, the financing of capital expenditures, future acquisitions, the repayment or refinancing of outstanding indebtedness, payment of dividends and distributions and the repurchase of all or any part of its outstanding debt obligations.

The Senior Secured Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a joint and several basis by all of the wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries of Vector that are engaged in the conduct of the Vector's cigarette businesses, including Liggett. Liggett's stock has been pledged as collateral for the guarantee of the Senior Secured Notes. Liggett's consolidated balance sheet, statement of operations and statement of stockholder's equity as of December 31, 2007 do not reflect any balances related to these notes as the debt is not acquisition related.

The Senior Secured Notes are due with a lump sum payment of \$165,000 in 2015. Annual interest charges are estimated to be approximately \$18,500 throughout the term of the debt. Liggett's cash flows from operations may be utilized to fund the interest and debt obligation of the Senior Secured Notes through dividend payments by Liggett to Vector.

#### **Additional Parent Company Notes**

As of December 31, 2007, Vector has debt with a principal amount of approximately \$221,864 in addition to the Senior Secured Notes of \$165,000 previously discussed. These notes are not guaranteed or collateralized by Liggett or its assets. This \$221,864 of debt is also not reflected in Liggett's consolidated financial statements because these obligations are not acquisition-related.

(in thousands of dollars)

In addition to the \$165,000 and \$221,864, the Company may have to fund certain deferred tax liabilities. (See Note 7.)

#### **General Corporate Expenses**

General corporate expense allocations represent costs related to corporate functions such as executive oversight, risk management, accounting, legal, investor relations, tax, other services and employee benefits and incentives Vector provides to the Company. The allocations are based on a reasonable estimation of Vector's overhead expenses based on the relative specific identification and the relative percentage of the Company's revenues and headcount to Vector's total cost. All of these allocations are reflected in fees paid to Vector Group Ltd. in the Company's consolidated statements of operations of \$7,169, \$6,912, and \$6,667 in 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively.

The Company and Vector considered these general corporate expense allocations to be a reasonable reflection of the utilization of services provided. The allocations may not, however, reflect the expense the Company would have incurred as a stand-alone company. Actual costs which may have been incurred if the Company had been a stand-alone company in 2007, 2006 and 2005 would depend on a number of factors, including how the Company chose to organize itself, what if any functions were outsourced or performed by LVB employees and strategic decisions made in areas such as infrastructure. However, the Company currently does not believe the difference between the cost allocations from Vector and the costs the Company would have incurred on a stand-alone basis would have a material impact on the Company's statements of operations, balance sheets or statements of cash flows for 2007, 2006, and 2005.

#### **Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

#### **Principles of Consolidation**

2.

The consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 include the accounts of Liggett and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, which included Eve Holdings Inc., Epic Holdings Inc., 100 Maple LLC and Liggett & Myers Holdings Inc. ("Liggett's Subsidiaries"). In addition to Liggett's Subsidiaries, the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2005 also include the accounts of Liggett's former wholly-owned subsidiary, Epic Holdings Inc., which was merged into Liggett on December 21, 2005.

#### **Estimates and Assumptions**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at December 31, 2007 and 2006 and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the three years then ended. Significant estimates subject to material changes in the near term include restructuring and impairment charges, deferred tax assets, allowance for doubtful accounts, promotional accruals, sales returns and allowances, settlement accruals, actuarial assumptions of pension plans, Master Settlement Agreement ("MSA") liabilities, the tobacco quota buy-out, inventory valuation accounts and litigation and defense costs. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### Cash and Cash Equivalents

The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents, restricted assets and short-term loans approximate their fair value. Management considers cash and cash equivalents to include cash on hand, amounts on deposit in banks, and highly liquid investments with maturity dates when

(in thousands of dollars)

purchased of three months or less. Bank deposits are held in several financial institutions. Those financial institutions are each insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") for deposits up to \$100. The carrying amount of bank deposits, including amounts classified as cash and cash equivalents, were approximately \$641 and \$101 at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Bank deposits of approximately \$230 and \$101 at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, are insured by the FDIC. The remaining net balance of approximately \$411 at December 31, 2007, was uninsured and uncollateralized.

#### **Inventories**

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market with cost determined using the last-in, first-out method. Although portions of leaf tobacco inventories may not be used or sold within one year because of the time required for aging, they are included in current assets, which is common practice in the cigarette industry. It is not practicable to determine the amount that will not be used or sold within one year.

In 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the "FASB") issued SFAS No. 151, "Inventory Costs". SFAS No. 151 requires that abnormal idle facility expense and spoilage, freight and handling costs be recognized as current period charges. In addition, SFAS No. 151 requires that allocation of fixed production overhead costs to inventories be based on the normal capacity of the production facility. The Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 151 prospectively on January 1, 2006, and the adoption did not have a material impact on its consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

#### **Restricted Assets**

Long-term restricted assets of \$4,765 and \$4,766 at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, consist of deposits associated with financed equipment, a bond collateralized by cash posted in connection with the appeal filed in an individual smoker case in 2005 and the long-term portion of an office lease letter of credit in 2006.

#### **Property, Plant and Equipment**

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost and depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets which are 20 years for buildings and four to ten years for machinery and equipment.

Expenditures for repairs and maintenance are charged to expense as incurred. The costs of major renewals and betterments are capitalized. The cost and related accumulated depreciation of property, plant and equipment are removed from the accounts upon retirement or other disposition and any resulting gain or loss is reflected in operations.

Under SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets", the Company is required to review long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Accordingly, when indicators of impairment are present, the Company evaluates the carrying value of property, plant and equipment against their related future undiscounted cash flows. If the carrying value is greater than such cash flows, then impairment is deemed to exist. The amount of any impairment is determined by comparing the long-lived asset's carrying value against its fair value, which is determined using discounted future cash flows.

(in thousands of dollars)

#### Other Assets

Other non-current assets include spare parts for property, plant and equipment of \$4,391 and \$4,267, net of reserves of \$1,175 and \$1,068, as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

The remaining balances of \$7,769 and \$7,526 at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, relate primarily to other receivables, prepaids, and deposits on financed equipment.

Included in other current assets are point-of-sale materials of \$925 and \$216 as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The remaining balances of \$861 and \$652 at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, relate to prepaid expenses and deposits.

Deferred financing charges of \$201 and \$170 as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, relate to the Company's revolving credit facility and have been recorded as other assets. The Company recognized amortization expense of \$49 in 2007 and \$137 in 2006 and 2005, respectively, related to deferred finance charges.

#### **Revenue Recognition**

Revenues from sales are recognized upon the shipment of finished goods when title and risk of loss have passed to the customer, there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, the sale price is determinable and collectibility is reasonably assured. The Company provides an allowance for expected sales returns, net of any related inventory cost recoveries. Certain sales incentives, including buydowns, are classified as reductions of net sales in accordance with the FASB's Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 01-9, "Accounting for Consideration Given by a Vendor to a Customer (Including a Reseller of the Vendor's Products)." In accordance with EITF Issue No. 06-3, "How Sales Taxes Should be Presented in the Income Statement (Gross versus Net)", the Company's accounting policy is to include federal excise taxes in revenues and cost of goods sold. Such revenues and cost of goods sold totaled \$152,588, \$147,992 and \$135,792 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Since the Company's primary line of business is tobacco, the Company's financial position and its results of operations and cash flows have been and could continue to be materially adversely affected by significant unit sales volume declines, litigation and defense costs, increased tobacco costs or reductions in the selling price of cigarettes in the near term.

#### **Shipping and Handling Fees and Costs**

Shipping and handling fees related to sales transactions are not billed to customers nor recorded as sales revenue. Shipping and handling costs, which were \$4,107, \$3,983 and \$3,667 for 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, are recorded in selling, general and administrative expenses.

#### **Advertising Costs**

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred and were \$1,186, \$896 and \$758 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively and are recorded as selling, general and administrative expenses.

#### **Research and Development Costs**

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred, and were \$952, \$1,011 and \$1,069 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

(in thousands of dollars)

#### **Stock-Based Compensation**

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company through an affiliate accounted for employee stock compensation plans under SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS No. 123R"), which requires companies to measure compensation cost for share-based payments at fair value.

Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for employee stock compensation plans under APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" with the intrinsic value-based method permitted by SFAS No. 123, and "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" as amended by SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation — Transition and Disclosure — an Amendment to FASB Statement No. 123." Accordingly, no compensation expense was recognized when the exercise price was equal to the market price of the underlying common stock on the date of grant for the year ended December 31, 2005. (See Note 10.)

#### **Employee Benefits**

LVB's employees participate in fully insured health and dental insurance plans. The Company maintains defined benefit retirement plans for substantially all of its retired employees. The Company sponsors a postretirement benefit plan and records an actuarially determined liability and charges operations for the estimated cost of postretirement benefits for eligible LVB employees and retirees.

The cost of providing retiree pension benefits, health care and life insurance benefits is actuarially determined and accrued over the service period of the active employee group. On September 29, 2006, SFAS No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans" was issued. SFAS No. 158 requires, among other things, the recognition of the funded status of each defined benefit pension plan, retiree health care and other postretirement benefit plans and postemployment benefit plans on the balance sheet. The Company adopted SFAS No. 158 as of December 31, 2006. (See Note 6.)

#### **Distributions and Dividends on Common Stock**

The Company records distributions on its common stock as dividends in its consolidated statement of stockholder's equity to the extent of retained earnings. Any amounts exceeding retained earnings are recorded as a reduction to additional paid-in-capital.

#### Reclassification

Certain amounts in 2005 have been reclassified to conform to the 2007 presentation, including reflecting the excess of distributions over net income to additional paid-in capital from accumulated deficit. Accordingly, the Company decreased its previously reported December 31, 2005 additional paid-in capital by \$41,158 to \$69,453 from \$110,611 and decreased the accumulated deficit in a like amount to a retained earnings position of \$0. The Company decreased its previously reported additional paid-in capital and accumulated deficit by the same amounts for December 31, 2006. These changes in classification do not affect previously reported assets, liabilities, total stockholder's equity, net income or operating cash flows.

#### **Income Taxes**

The Company adopted the FASB's Financial Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes (an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109)", on January 1, 2007 ("FIN 48"). FIN 48 requires an entity to recognize the financial statement impact of a tax position when it is

(in thousands of dollars)

more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination. If the tax position meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold, the tax effect is recognized at the largest amount of the benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. FIN 48 requires that a liability created for unrecognized deferred tax benefits shall be presented as a liability and not combined with deferred tax liabilities or assets.

Deferred taxes reflect the impact of temporary differences between the amounts of assets and liabilities recognized for financial reporting purposes and the amounts recognized for tax purposes as well as tax credit carryforwards and loss carryforwards. These deferred taxes are measured by applying currently enacted tax rates. A valuation allowance reduces deferred tax assets when it is deemed more likely than not that future taxable income will be insufficient to realize some portion or all of the deferred tax assets.

Liggett's U.S. income tax provision and related deferred income tax amounts are determined as if the Company filed tax returns on a stand alone basis. The Company's entities currently join in the filing of a consolidated U.S. tax return with Vector and its other U.S. subsidiaries.

#### **Legal Costs**

The Company records product liability legal expenses and other litigation costs as selling, general and administrative expenses as those costs are incurred. As discussed in Note 11, legal proceedings covering a wide range of matters are pending or threatened in various jurisdictions against Liggett.

Management is unable to make a reasonable estimate with respect to the amount or range of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome of pending smoking-related litigation or the costs of defending such cases, and the Company has not provided any amounts in its consolidated financial statements for unfavorable outcomes, if any. Litigation is subject to many uncertainties, and it is possible that the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows could be materially adversely affected by an unfavorable outcome in any such smoking-related litigation.

#### Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Other comprehensive income (loss) is a component of stockholder's equity and relates to pension related adjustments and the change in the estimated fair value of forward contracts.

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes, were as follows at December 31:

	2007	2006
Forward contracts adjustment, net of taxes of \$215 and \$226, respectively	\$ (324)	\$ (345)
Pension-related amounts, net of taxes of \$2,389 and \$4,245, respectively	3,852	(7,025)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 3,528	\$ (7,370)

(in thousands of dollars)

#### Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amount of borrowings outstanding under the variable rate revolving credit facility and other long-term debt is a reasonable estimate of fair value, based upon estimated current borrowing rates for loans with similar terms and maturities. The estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company could realize in a current market exchange.

The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair values.

		nber 31, 007	December 31, 2006			
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value		
Financial assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 641	\$ 641	\$ 101	\$ 101		
Restricted assets	4,765	4,765	4,796	4,796		
Financial liabilities						
Notes payable and long-term debt	32,265	31,956	24,645	23,648		

#### **New Accounting Pronouncements**

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements", which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurement. SFAS No. 157 clarifies that fair value should be based on assumptions that market participants would use when pricing an asset or liability and establishes a fair value hierarchy of three levels that prioritizes the information used to develop those assumptions. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets and the lowest priority to unobservable data. SFAS No. 157 requires fair value measurement to be separately disclosed by level within the fair value hierarchy. The provisions of SFAS No. 157 will become effective for us beginning January 1, 2008. Generally, the provisions of this statement are to be applied prospectively. Certain situations, however, require retrospective application as of the beginning of the year of adoption through the recognition of a cumulative effect of accounting change. In February 2008, the FASB Staff issued a Staff Position that will partially defer the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for one year for certain nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities and remove certain leasing transactions from the scope of SFAS No. 157. The Company has not completed its assessment of the impact of this standard on its consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities." SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, with early adoption permitted provided the entity also elects to apply the provisions of SFAS No. 157. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS No. 159 on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), a revised version of SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations." The revision is intended to simplify existing guidance and converge rulemaking under U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles with international accounting rules. This statement applies prospectively to business combinations where the acquisition date is

(in thousands of dollars)

on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. An entity may not apply it before that date.

#### 3. Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of trade receivables.

Liggett's customers are primarily candy and tobacco distributors, the military and large grocery, drug and convenience store chains. Excluding related parties, one customer accounted for approximately 10.4%, 13.2% and 14.5% of Liggett's revenues in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and accounts receivable of approximately \$26, \$10,603 and \$107 at December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Sales to this customer were primarily in the private label discount segment. Concentrations of credit risk with respect to trade receivables are generally limited due to the large number of customers, located primarily throughout the United States, comprising Liggett's customer base. Ongoing credit evaluations of customers' financial condition are performed and, generally, no collateral is required. Liggett maintains reserves for potential credit losses and such losses, in the aggregate, have generally not exceeded management's expectations.

#### 4. Inventories

Inventories consist of the following at December 31:

2007	2006
\$41,380	\$33,271
4,847	2,725
649	1,279
41,115	49,085
87,991	86,360
(5,564)	(3,622)
\$82,427	\$82,738
	\$41,380 4,847 649 41,115 87,991

The Company has a leaf inventory management program whereby, among other things, it is committed to purchase certain quantities of leaf tobacco. The purchase commitments are for quantities not in excess of anticipated requirements and are at prices, including carrying costs, established at the date of the commitment. Liggett had leaf tobacco purchase commitments of approximately \$12,421 as of December 31, 2007. During 2007, the Company entered into a single source supply agreement for fire safe cigarette paper through 2012. During 2007 and 2005, the effect of LIFO increments was to increase income by \$1,942 and \$924, respectively, and in 2006 the effect off LIFO layer liquidations was to decrease income by \$790.

The Company classifies the incremental prepaid cost of the MSA in ending inventory.

#### 5. Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment consists of the following at December 31:

(in thousands of dollars)

	2007	2006
Land and land improvements	\$ 1,418	\$ 1,418
Buildings	13,747	13,692
Construction-in-progress	1,151	498
Machinery and equipment	74,812	73,172
Property, plant and equipment	91,128	88,780
Less accumulated depreciation	_(47,152)	_(41,119)
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$ 43,976	\$ 47,661

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005 was \$7,080, \$6,665, and \$7,380, respectively. Future machinery and equipment purchase commitments at Liggett were \$3,657 at December 31, 2007.

During the year ended December 31, 2005, the Company entered into capital lease obligations of \$418 for machinery and equipment.

In December 2005, Liggett completed a sale for \$15,450 of its former manufacturing facility, research facility and offices in Durham, North Carolina. Liggett recorded a gain of \$7,706, net of income taxes of \$5,042, in connection with the sale.

In February 2001, Liggett sold a warehouse facility in a sale-leaseback arrangement which resulted in a deferred gain of \$1,139, to be amortized over the 15-year lease back term. The lease provided the owner an early termination option which was exercisable for \$1,500. The owner exercised that option in April 2006, and Liggett vacated the premises effective December 31, 2006. Effective December 31, 2006, Liggett recognized \$2,217 of income related to recognition of the unamortized portion of the original deferred gain on sale and early termination option payments received by Liggett from the owner.

During 2006, LVB (See Note 13), an affiliate of Liggett, recognized an impairment charge of \$324 associated with its decision to dispose of an asset to an unrelated third party. The impairment charge was expensed within the restructuring and impairment line on the income statement during 2006. The asset was sold in the fourth quarter of 2006.

#### 6. Employee Benefits Plans

#### **Defined Benefit Retirement Plans**

A subsidiary of Liggett sponsors three defined benefit pension plans, which cover LVB employees that were employed by Liggett prior to 1994. All defined benefit plans were frozen between 1993 and 1995. The benefit plans provide pension benefits for eligible employees based primarily on their compensation and length of service. Contributions are made to the pension plans in amounts necessary to meet the minimum funding requirements of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. The plans' assets and benefit obligations are measured on September 30 of each year.

(in thousands of dollars)

#### Computation of Defined Benefit and Postretirement Benefit Plan Liabilities

On September 29, 2006, SFAS No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans" was issued. SFAS No. 158 requires, among other things, the recognition of the funded status of each defined pension benefit plan, retiree health care and other postretirement benefit plans and postemployment benefit plans on the Company's consolidated balance sheet. Each overfunded plan is recognized as an asset and each underfunded plan is recognized as a liability. The initial impact of the standard due to unrecognized prior service costs or credit and net actuarial gains or losses as well as subsequent changes in the funded status is recognized as a component of accumulated comprehensive income (loss) in the Company's consolidated statement of stockholder's equity. Additional minimum pension liabilities ("AML") and related intangible assets are also derecognized upon the adoption of SFAS No. 158, which requires initial application for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2006.

The following table summarizes amounts in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) that are expected to be recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost (credit) for the year ended December 31, 2008.

 Benefit Pension
 Post - Retirement Plan
 Total

 Actuarial loss (gain)
 \$102
 \$(180)
 \$(78)

Defined

The following provides a reconciliation of benefit obligations, plan assets and the funded status of the pension plans and other postretirement benefits:

(in thousands of dollars)

Amortization of net loss (gain)

Net expense

					Pens	sion Benef	fits		Postretirement Benefits			Benefits	
				•	2007		2006		- 2	2007		2006	
Change in benefit obligation													
Benefit obligation at January 1				,	\$(141,415)		6(149,33		\$(1	.0,295)		\$(10,933	
Service cost					(439)		(41			(18)		(20	,
Interest cost					(7,832)	)	(8,04			(591)		(598	
Benefits paid					12,990		13,28	32		770		975	
Time contractual termination benefits					(632)	)	-	_		_		_	
Actuarial gain					4,149	_	3,10	<u>)2</u>		298		281	
Benefit obligation at December 31				;	\$(133,179)	) <u>\$</u>	6(141,41	<u>.5</u> )	\$ (	<u>(9,836</u> )		\$(10,295	)
Change in plan assets													
Fair value of plan assets at January 1				;	\$ 157,499	\$	156,01	.2	\$	_		\$ —	
Actual return on plan assets					24,597		14,32	20		_		_	
Contributions					359		44	19		770		975	
Benefits paid					(12,990)	_	(13,28	32)		(770)		(975	)
Fair value of plan assets at December 3	1				\$ 169,465	\$	157,49	9	\$			\$ —	
Funded status at December 31					\$ 36,286	\$	16,08	<u>84</u>	\$ (	(9,836)		\$(10,295	)
Amounts recognized in the balance sheet:													
Prepaid pension cost				;	\$ 42,084	\$	20,93	3	\$	_		\$ —	
Other accrued expenses					(530)	)	(34	19)		(768)		(793	)
Non-current employee benefit liabilities					(5,268)	)	(4,50	00)	(	(9,068)		(9,502	)
Net amounts recognized					\$ 36,286	\$	16,08		\$ (	(9,836)		\$(10,295	
<del></del>													
							Other						
-	2007		Pension B 2006	enefits	2005		20		ostretii	rement B 2006	enefits	2005	_
Actuarial assumptions	2007		2006		2005		20	07		2006		2005	
Discount rates — benefit obligation	6.25%		5.85%		5.6	68%	6 :	25%		5.85%	'n	5.689	1/6
Discount rates — service cost	5.85%		5.68%		4.25%-6.0			85%		5.68%		5.759	
Assumed rates of return on invested	0.0070		0.0070		112070 010	70	0.0	3070		0.007	9	0.10	U
assets	8.50%		8.50%		8.5	50%				_		_	
Salary increase assumptions	N/A	4	N/A		0.0	N/A	3.0	00%		3.00%	Ď	3.009	1/0
, a constant part 1													
										Other			
	_		Pens	ion Benefi					Postre	tirement			_
Coming and boundity and demines the		2007	Φ.	2006		2005		2007		2006	-	2005	
Service cost — benefits earned during the			\$			861	\$	18			20	\$ 27	
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation		7,832		8,043		8,219		591		5	98	613	j
Expected return on assets		(12,726)		(12,590)	(1	2,274)					_	<del>-</del>	
Time contractual termination benefits		632		_							_		-

Other

743

\$ (2,730)

1,486

\$ (2,293)

1,873

\$ (1,321)

(105)

504

(12)

606

(65)

\$ 575

<sup>\$350</sup> of this service cost amount represents the expected administrative expenses of the salaried and hourly pension plans
As of December 31, 2007, current year accumulated other comprehensive income, before income taxes, consist of the following:

(in thousands of dollars)

		rusi.	
	Defined Benefit	Retirement	
	Pension Plans	Benefits	Total
	2007	2007	2007
Prior year accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (11,926)	\$ 657	\$(11,269)
Amortization of gain (loss)	743	(105)	638
Net gain arising during the year	16,370	502	16,872
Current year accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 5,187	\$ 1,054	\$ 6,241

As of December 31, 2007, there was \$5,187 of items not yet recognized as a component of net periodic pension benefit, which consisted of future pension benefits associated with the amortization of net gains.

As of December 31, 2007, there was \$1,054 of items not yet recognized as a component of net periodic postretirement benefit, which consisted of future benefits associated with the amortization of net gains.

As of December 31, 2007, two of the Company's four defined benefit plans experienced accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets, for which the projected benefit obligation, accumulated benefit obligation and fair value of plan assets were \$32,485, \$32,485 and \$0, respectively. As of December 31, 2006, two of the Company's four defined benefit plans experienced accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets, for which the projected benefit obligation, accumulated benefit obligation and fair value of plan assets were \$26,897, \$26,897 and \$0, respectively.

Discount rates were determined by a quantitative analysis examining the prevailing prices of high quality bonds to determine an appropriate discount rate for measuring obligations under SFAS No. 87, "Employers' Accounting for Pensions" and SFAS No. 106, "Employers' Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions." The aforementioned analysis analyzes the cash flow from each of the Company's two qualified defined benefit plans as well as a separate analysis of the cash flows from the postretirement medical and life insurance plans sponsored by the Company. The aforementioned analyses then construct a hypothetical bond portfolio whose cash flow from coupons and maturities match the year-by-year, projected benefit cash flow from the respective pension or retiree health plans. The Company uses the lower discount rate derived from the two independent analyses in the computation of the benefit obligation and service cost for each respective retirement liability.

The Company considers input from its external advisors and historical returns in developing its expected rate of return on plan assets. The expected long-term rate of return is the weighted average of the target asset allocation of each individual asset class. The Company's actual 10-year annual rate of return on its pension plan assets was 6.7%, 8.2% and 8.3% for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Gains and losses result from changes in actuarial assumptions and from differences between assumed and actual experience, including, among other items, changes in discount rates and changes in actual returns on plan assets as compared to assumed returns. These gains and losses are only amortized to the extent that they exceed 10% of the greater of Projected Benefit Obligation and the fair value of assets. For the year ended December 31, 2007, Liggett used an

(in thousands of dollars)

eight-year period for its Hourly Plan and a five-year period for its Salaried Plan to amortize pension fund gains and losses on a straight line basis. Such amounts are reflected in the pension expense calculation beginning the year after the gains or losses occur. The amortization of deferred losses negatively impacts pension expense in the future.

Plan assets are invested employing multiple investment management firms. Managers within each asset class cover a range of investment styles and focus primarily on issue selection as a means to add value. Risk is controlled through a diversification among asset classes, managers, styles and securities. Risk is further controlled both at the manager and asset class level by assigning excess return and tracking error targets. Investment managers are monitored to evaluate performance against these benchmark indices and targets.

Allowable investment types include equity, investment grade fixed income, high yield fixed income, hedge funds and short term investments. The equity fund is comprised of common stocks and mutual funds of large, medium and small companies, which are predominantly U.S. based. The investment grade fixed income fund includes managed funds investing in fixed income securities issued or guaranteed by the U.S. government, or by its respective agencies, mortgage backed securities, including collateralized mortgage obligations, and corporate debt obligations. The high yield fixed income fund includes a fund which invests in non-investment grade corporate debt securities. The hedge funds invest in both equity, including common and preferred stock, and debt obligations, including convertible debentures, of private and public companies. The Company generally utilizes its short term investments, including interest-bearing cash, to pay benefits and to deploy in special situations.

The current target asset allocation percentage is 50% equity investments, 20% investment grade fixed income, 7% high yield fixed income, 15% alternative investments (including hedge funds and private equity funds) and 8% short-term investments, with a rebalancing range of approximately plus or minus 5% around the target asset allocations.

Liggett's defined benefit retirement plan allocations at December 31, 2007 and 2006, by asset category, were as follows:

	at	Plan Assets at December 31,	
	2007	2006	
Asset category			
Equity securities	52%	52%	
Investment grade fixed income securities	18%	18%	
High yield fixed income securities	8%	7%	
Hedge funds	13%	20%	
Short-term investments	9%	3%	
	100%	100%	

For 2007 measurement purposes, annual increases in Medicare Part B trends were assumed to equal rates between 0.9% and 4.5% between 2007 and 2016 and 5.0% after 2017. For 2006 measurement purposes, annual increases in Medicare Part B trends were assumed to equal rates between 0% and 11.0% between 2007 and 2016 and 5.0% after 2016.

(in thousands of dollars)

Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the health care plans. A 1% change in assumed health care cost trend rates would have the following effects:

	1% Increase	1% Decrease
Effect on total of service and interest cost components	\$ 12	\$ (11)
Effect on benefit obligation	\$199	\$(182)

To comply with ERISA's minimum funding requirements, the Company does not currently anticipate that it will be required to make any funding to the pension plans for the pension plan year beginning on January 1, 2008 and ending on December 31, 2008. Any additional funding obligation that the Company may have for subsequent years is contingent on several factors and is not reasonably estimable at this time.

Estimated future pension benefits payments are as follows:

2008	\$13,103
2009	\$12,733
2010	\$13,975
2011	\$12,101
2012	\$13,419
2013 - 2017	\$57,032

#### **Profit Sharing Plans**

The Company's allocated portion of expenses from the 401(k) plan sponsored by LVB were \$731, \$975 and \$853 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

#### 7. Income Taxes

Liggett's operations are included in the consolidated federal income tax return of its indirect parent, Vector. Pursuant to a tax allocation agreement amended in 1999, the amounts provided for as currently payable for federal income taxes are based on the Company's pretax income for financial reporting purposes. Accordingly, federal deferred income taxes which would normally be reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements are presented by Vector. The Company expenses and pays Vector its portion of the consolidated income tax expense in accordance with the tax allocation agreement.

(in thousands of dollars)

Historically, Liggett has paid Vector on a quarterly basis for its tax liabilities. While these payments have been made to the parent they may not have been formally remitted to the Internal Revenue Service and may still represent a liability at the Vector level. The largest Vector deferred tax liability at December 31, 2007 consists of approximately \$75,000 related to the Philip Morris brand transaction which originated in 1998 and 1999. Liggett may be required to fund future tax obligations that exist at the Vector level.

Temporary differences which give rise to a significant portion of deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

	De	2007 Deferred Tax		2006 eferred Tax
	Asset	Liability	Asset	Liability
Sales and product allowances	\$ 193	\$ —	\$ 220	\$ —
Inventories	91	1,369	103	890
Property, plant and equipment	_	1,282	_	1,255
Employee benefit plan accruals	893	1,686	909	785
Tobacco litigation settlements	_	2,370	192	_
Forward contracts	39	_	42	_
Deferral on Philip Morris brand transaction		8,353		8,353
Total deferred tax	\$ 1,216	\$15,060	\$ 1,466	\$11,283

Differences between the amounts provided for income taxes and amounts computed at the federal statutory tax rates are summarized as follows:

	2007	2006	2005
Income before income taxes	\$133,759	\$120,036	\$122,494
Federal income tax at statutory rate	46,817	42,013	42,855
State income taxes, net of federal tax benefit	6,303	5,604	4,191
Impact of IRS audit settlement and other	(3,371)	(11,020)	237
Income tax expense	\$ 49,749	\$ 36,597	\$ 47,283

(in thousands of dollars)

As a result of a settlement with the Internal Revenue Service, Liggett reduced, during 2006, the excess portion (\$11,500) of a previously established reserve in its consolidated financial statements, which resulted in a decrease in such amount in reported income tax expense in the consolidated statement of operations. This transaction related to where a subsidiary of Liggett contributed three of its premium cigarette brands to Trademarks LLC, a newly-formed limited liability company. In such transaction, Philip Morris acquired an option to purchase the remaining interest in Trademarks for a 90-day period commencing in December 2008, and Vector has an option to require Philip Morris to purchase the remaining interest for a 90-day period commencing in March 2010.

As of January 1, 2007, the Company adopted the provisions of FIN 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes (an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109)". The Company did not recognize any adjustment in the liability for unrecognized tax benefits as a result of the adoption of FIN 48 that impacted the January 1, 2007 accumulated deficit.

The following table summarizes the activity related to the unrecognized tax benefits:

Balance at January 1, 2007	\$ 6,805
Additions based on tax positions related to current year	_
Additions based on tax positions related to prior years	720
Reductions based on tax positions related to prior years	(95)
Settlements	_
Expirations of the statute of limitations	(3,227)
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 4,203

In the event the unrecognized tax benefits of \$4,203 at December 31, 2007 were recognized, such recognition would impact the annual effective tax rate. During 2007, the accrual for potential penalties and interest related to these unrecognized tax benefits was reduced by \$881, and in total, as of December 31, 2007, a liability for potential penalties and interest of \$1,903 has been recorded. The Company classifies all tax-related interest and penalties as income tax expense.

It is reasonably possible the Company may recognize up to approximately \$1,751 of currently unrecognized tax benefits over the next 12 months, pertaining primarily to expiration of statutes of limitations of positions reported on U.S. and state and local income tax returns. The Company files U.S. and state and local income tax returns in jurisdictions with varying statutes of limitations.

# 8. Long-Term Debt

The Company's carrying value of debt approximates the fair value of the debt. Long-term debt consists of the following:

(in thousands of dollars)

	2007	2006
Borrowings outstanding under revolving credit facility	\$ 14,782	\$ 11,986
Term loan outstanding under revolving credit facility	7,823	_
Equipment loans	9,660	12,659
	32,265	24,645
Less current portion	(19,201)	(16,408)
Amount due after one year	<u>\$ 13,064</u>	\$ 8,237
The following table sets forth the future principal payment obligations:		
Year Ending December 31,		
2008		\$19,201
2009		3,449
2010		2,071
2011		1,698
2012		5.846

# **Revolving Credit Facility**

The Company has a \$50,000 credit facility with Wachovia Bank, N.A. ("Wachovia") under which \$14,782 was outstanding at December 31, 2007. Availability as determined under the facility was approximately \$14,000 based on eligible collateral at December 31, 2007. The facility is collateralized by all inventories and receivables of the Company and a mortgage on the Company's manufacturing facility. The facility requires the Company's compliance with certain financial and other covenants including a restriction on the Company's ability to pay cash dividends unless the Company's borrowing availability, as defined, under the facility for the 30-day period prior to the payment of the dividend, and after giving effect to the dividend, is at least \$5,000 and no event of default has occurred under the agreement, including the Company's compliance with the covenants in the credit facility.

The term of the Wachovia facility expires on March 8, 2012, subject to automatic renewal for additional one-year periods unless a notice of termination is given by Wachovia or the Company at least 60 days prior to such date or the anniversary of such date. Prime rate loans under the facility bear interest at a rate equal to the prime rate of Wachovia with Eurodollar rate loans bearing interest at a rate of 2.0% above Wachovia's adjusted Eurodollar rate. The facility contains covenants that provide that the Company's earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, as defined under the facility, on a trailing twelve month basis, shall not be less than \$100,000 if the Company's excess availability, as defined, under the facility, is less than \$20,000. The covenants also require that annual capital expenditures, as defined under the facility (before a maximum carryover amount of \$2,500), shall not exceed \$10,000 during any fiscal year.

In August 2007, Wachovia made an \$8,000 term loan to 100 Maple LLC ("Maple"), a subsidiary of the Company, within the commitment under the existing credit facility. The \$8,000 term loan is collateralized by the existing collateral securing the credit facility, and is also collateralized by a lien on certain real property (the "Mebane Property") owned by Maple. The Mebane Property also secures the other obligations of the Company under the credit facility. The \$8,000 term loan did not

(in thousands of dollars)

increase the \$50,000 borrowing amount of the credit facility, but did increase the outstanding amounts under the credit facility by the amount of the term loan and proportionately reduces the maximum borrowing availability under the facility.

In August 2007, Liggett and Wachovia amended the credit facility to permit the guaranty of the Senior Secured Notes described below by each of Liggett and Maple and the pledging of certain assets of Liggett and Maple on a subordinated basis to secure their guarantees. The credit facility was amended to grant to Wachovia a blanket lien on all the assets of Liggett and Maple, excluding any equipment pledged to current or future purchase money or other financiers of such equipment and excluding any real property, other than the Mebane Property and other real property to the extent its value is in excess of \$5,000. In connection with the amendment, Wachovia, Liggett, Maple and the collateral agent for the holders of Vector's Senior Secured Notes entered into an intercreditor agreement, pursuant to which the liens of the collateral agent on the Liggett and Maple assets will be subordinated to the liens of Wachovia on the Liggett and Maple assets.

### **Equipment Loans**

In March 2002, Liggett purchased equipment for \$3,023 through the issuance of a note, payable in 30 monthly installments of \$62 and then 30 monthly installments of \$51. Interest is calculated at LIBOR plus 2.8%. The notes were paid in full during 2007.

In May 2002, Liggett purchased equipment for \$2,871 through the issuance of a note, payable in 30 monthly installments of \$59 and then 30 monthly installments of \$48. Interest is calculated at LIBOR plus 2.8%. The notes were paid in full during 2007.

In September 2002, Liggett purchased equipment for \$1,573 through the issuance of a note guaranteed by Vector, payable in 60 monthly installments of \$26 plus interest calculated at LIBOR plus 4.31%. The notes were paid in full during 2007.

In October 2005, Liggett purchased equipment for \$4,441 through a financing agreement payable in 24 installments of \$112 and then 24 installments of \$90. Interest is calculated at 4.89%. Liggett was required to provide a security deposit equal to 25% of the funded amount or \$1,110.

In December 2005, Liggett purchased equipment for \$2,273 through a financing agreement payable in 24 installments of \$58 and then 24 installments of \$46. Interest is calculated at 5.03%. Liggett was required to provide a security deposit equal to 25% of the funded amount or \$568.

In August 2006, Liggett purchased equipment for \$7,922 through a financing agreement payable in 30 installments of \$191 and then 30 installments of \$103. Interest is calculated at 5.15%. Liggett was required to provide a security deposit equal to 20% of the funded amount or \$1,584.

In May 2007, Liggett purchased equipment for \$1,576 through a financing agreement, payable in 60 installments of \$32. Interest is calculated at 7.99% per annum.

At December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company had approximately \$9,660 and \$12,659 outstanding under these equipment loans.

All equipment loans are collateralized by the equipment they finance.

(in thousands of dollars)

# Operating Leases

At December 31, 2007, the Company has operating leases for building space, vehicles and computer equipment. The future minimum lease payments are as follows:

	Lease nmitments
Year Ending December 31:	_
2008	\$ 267
2009	220
2010	148
2011 2012	81
2012	 14
	\$ 730

In addition to the above scheduled future minimum lease payments, Liggett expects to receive approximately \$2,652 in allocated lease expense over the next five years and thereafter from LVB, a wholly-owned subsidiary of VGR.

Rental expense for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005 amounted to approximately \$1,865, \$1,940, and \$1,560, respectively.

# 10. Stock Compensation

The Company's parent, Vector, offers stock option plans. As of December 31, 2007, there were approximately 4,925,000 shares available for issuance under Vector's Amended and Restated 1999 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "1999 Plan"). All employees of Vector's subsidiaries are eligible to receive grants under such plans. Liggett received an allocation of stock compensation expense from LVB of \$395, \$547, and \$33 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively. These amounts have been recorded in selling, general and administrative cost in the Company's consolidated statement of operations. A rollforward of option balances has not been included because Liggett had no employees at December 31, 2007. As of December 31, 2007 LVB had employees with 693,887 options outstanding.

Prior to January 1, 2006, Vector and its subsidiaries accounted for share-based compensation plans in accordance with the provisions of APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," as permitted by SFAS No. 123. Vector elected to use the intrinsic value method of accounting for employee and director share-based compensation expense for its non-compensatory employee and director stock option awards and did not recognize compensation expense for the issuance of options with an exercise price equal to the market price of the underlying common stock on the date of grant.

On January 1, 2006, Vector adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), which requires Vector to value unvested stock options granted prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) under the fair value method of accounting and expense this amount in the statement of operations over the stock options' remaining vesting period. Upon adoption, there was no cumulative adjustment for the impact of the

(in thousands of dollars)

change in accounting principles because the assumed forfeiture rate did not differ significantly from prior periods.

The fair value of option grants is estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The Black-Scholes option pricing model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options which have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including expected stock price characteristics which are significantly different from those of traded options, and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of stock-based compensation awards.

The assumptions used under the Black-Scholes option pricing model in computing fair value of options are based on the expected option life considering both the contractual term of the option and expected employee exercise behavior, the interest rate associated with U.S. Treasury issues with a remaining term equal to the expected option life and the expected volatility of Vector's common stock over the expected term of the option. There were no option grants during 2007. The assumptions used by Vector for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 were as follows:

	2006	2005
Risk-free interest rate	4.9% - 5.0%	4.57%
Expected volatility	38.17% - 40.52%	25.82%
Dividend yield	9.96% - 10.03%	7.82%
Expected holding period	6 - 6.75 years	10 years
Weighted average fair value	\$2.14-\$2.50	\$ 2.02

Awards of options to employees under Vector's stock compensation plans generally vest over periods ranging from four to five years and have a term of ten years from the date of grant. The expense related to stock option compensation included in the determination of net income for the year ended December 31, 2005 differs from that which would have been recognized if the fair value method had been applied to all awards since the original effective date of SFAS No. 123. Had the LVB elected to adopt the fair value approach as prescribed by SFAS No. 123, which charges earnings for the estimated fair value of stock options, the Company's pro forma net income for the year ended December 31, 2005 would have been as follows:

	2005
Net income	\$75,211
Add: stock option employee compensation expense included in reported net income, net of related tax effects	_
Deduct: total stock option employee compensation expense determined under the fair value method for all awards, net of	
related tax effects	(581)
Pro forma net income	\$74,630

In November 2005, the President of Liggett and LVB was awarded a restricted stock grant of 55,125 shares of Vector's common stock pursuant to the 1999 Plan. Pursuant to his restricted share agreement, one-fourth of the shares vested on November 1, 2006, with an

(in thousands of dollars)

additional one-fourth vesting on each of the three succeeding one-year anniversaries of the first vesting date through November 1, 2009. In the event his employment with Vector is terminated for any reason other than his death, his disability or a change of control (as defined in his restricted share agreement) of Vector, any remaining balance of the shares not previously vested will be forfeited by him. Vector recorded deferred compensation of \$1,018 representing the fair market value of the restricted shares on the date of grant. Vector recorded an expense of \$229, \$229 and \$33 associated with the grant for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, for Liggett's portion of this expense. These amounts have been recorded in selling, general and administrative cost in the Company's consolidated statement of operations.

# 1. Commitments and Contingencies

# **Tobacco-Related Litigation:**

#### Overview

Since 1954, Liggett and other United States cigarette manufacturers have been named as defendants in numerous direct, third-party and purported class actions predicated on the theory that cigarette manufacturers should be liable for damages alleged to have been caused by cigarette smoking or by exposure to secondary smoke from cigarettes. New cases continue to be commenced against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers. The cases generally fall into the following categories: (i) smoking and health cases alleging personal injury brought on behalf of individual plaintiffs ("Individual Actions"); (ii) smoking and health cases primarily alleging personal injury or seeking court-supervised programs for ongoing medical monitoring and purporting to be brought on behalf of a class of individual plaintiffs ("Class Actions"); (iii) health care cost recovery actions brought by various foreign and domestic governmental entities ("Governmental Actions"); and (iv) health care cost recovery actions brought by third-party payors including insurance companies, union health and welfare trust funds, asbestos manufacturers and others ("Third-Party Payor Actions"). As new cases are commenced, the costs associated with defending these cases and the risks relating to the inherent unpredictability of litigation continue to increase. The future financial impact of the risks and expenses of litigation and the effects of the tobacco litigation settlements discussed below are not quantifiable at this time. For the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, Liggett incurred legal expenses and other litigation costs totaling approximately \$7,800 and \$4,465, respectively.

### **Individual Actions**

As of February 22, 2008, there were approximately 59 individual cases pending against Liggett, where one or more individual plaintiffs allege injury resulting from cigarette smoking, addiction to cigarette smoking or exposure to secondary smoke and seek compensatory and, in some cases, punitive damages. In addition, there were approximately 1,600 *Engle* progeny cases (defined below) pending, in state and federal courts in Florida, and approximately 100 individual cases pending in West Virginia state court as part of a consolidated action. The following table lists the number of individual cases by state that are pending against Liggett (excluding Engle progeny cases and the cases consolidated in West Virginia) or its affiliates as of February 22, 2008:

(in thousands of dollars)

State	Number of Cases
Florida	13
Maryland	13
New York	11
Mississippi	10
Louisiana	5
Missouri	2
West Virginia	2
District of Columbia	1
Ohio	1
Pennsylvania	1

Of the individual cases listed above, there are currently three pending where Liggett is the only tobacco company defendant. In April 2004, in *Davis v. Liggett Group Inc.*, a Florida state court jury awarded compensatory damages of \$540 against Liggett. In addition, plaintiff's counsel was awarded legal fees of \$752. Liggett appealed both the verdict and the legal fees award. In October 2007, the Fourth District Court of Appeal affirmed the compensatory award. Liggett filed a motion for rehearing and/or certification which is currently pending before the appellate court. No amounts have been expensed for this matter. In March 2005, in *Ferlanti v. Liggett Group Inc.*, a Florida state court granted Liggett's motion for summary judgment. The plaintiff appealed and in June 2006, the appellate court reversed and remanded back to the trial court. The court granted leave to plaintiff to add a claim for punitive damages. Trial commenced on February 19, 2008 and, on February 22, 2008, the court declared a mistrial. There is no activity in the other remaining case where Liggett is the sole tobacco company defendant.

The plaintiffs' allegations of liability in those cases in which individuals seek recovery for injuries allegedly caused by cigarette smoking are based on various theories of recovery, including negligence, gross negligence, breach of special duty, strict liability, fraud, concealment, misrepresentation, design defect, failure to warn, breach of express and implied warranties, conspiracy, aiding and abetting, concert of action, unjust enrichment, common law public nuisance, property damage, invasion of privacy, mental anguish, emotional distress, disability, shock, indemnity and violations of deceptive trade practice laws, the federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act ("RICO"), state RICO statutes and antitrust statutes. In many of these cases, in addition to compensatory damages, plaintiffs also seek other forms of relief including treble/multiple damages, medical monitoring, disgorgement of profits and punitive damages. Although alleged damages often are not determinable from a complaint, and the law governing the pleading and calculation of damages varies from state to state and jurisdiction to jurisdiction, compensatory and punitive damages have been specifically pleaded in a number of cases, sometimes in amounts ranging into the hundreds of millions and even billions of dollars. Defenses raised by defendants in these cases include lack of proximate cause, assumption of the risk, comparative fault and/or contributory negligence, lack of design defect, statute of limitations, equitable defenses such as "unclean hands" and lack of benefit, failure to state a claim and federal preemption.

Jury awards representing material amounts of damages have been returned against other cigarette manufacturers in recent years. The awards in these individual actions are for both compensatory and punitive damages. Over the last several years, after conclusion of all appeals, damage awards have been paid to several individual plaintiffs, including an award of \$5,500 in compensatory damages, \$50,000 in punitive damages and \$27,000 in interest in a case against

(in thousands of dollars)

another cigarette manufacturer in 2006. There are several significant jury awards against other cigarette manufacturers which are currently on appeal.

Engle Progeny Cases. Pursuant to the Florida Supreme Court's July 2006 ruling in Engle v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., which decertified the class on a prospective basis, former class members had one year from January 11, 2007 in which to file individual lawsuits. In addition, some individuals who filed suit prior to January 11, 2007, and who claim they meet the conditions in Engle, are attempting to avail themselves of the Engle ruling. Lawsuits by individuals requesting the benefit of the Engle ruling, whether filed before or after the January 11, 2007 mandate, are referred to as the "Engle progeny cases." As of February 22, 2008, Liggett was served in approximately 1,600 Engle progeny cases in both state and federal courts in Florida. These cases include approximately 3,500 plaintiffs. Plaintiffs have 120 days to serve cases filed before the deadline, so the total number of cases could increase substantially. For further information on the Engle case, see "— Class Actions — Engle Case," below.

In addition to the *Engle* progeny cases, there are approximately 100 cases pending where individual plaintiffs seek to recover a portion of the monies certain cigarette manufactures, including Liggett, placed into escrow in an agreement with the now decertified *Engle* class. Entitlement to the escrowed monies will be determined by the court, but Liggett has no further obligation in these cases as Liggett's portion of the escrow funds has been previously paid and expensed.

# **Class Actions**

As of December 31, 2007, there were 11 actions pending for which either a class has been certified or plaintiffs are seeking class certification, where Liggett is a named defendant. Other cigarette manufacturers are also named. Many of these actions purport to constitute statewide class actions and were filed after May 1996 when the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, in *Castano v. American Tobacco Co.*, reversed a federal district court's certification of a purported nationwide class action on behalf of persons who were allegedly "addicted" to tobacco products.

Since the Fifth Circuit's *Castano* ruling, in *Scott v. American Tobacco Co., Inc.* (Liggett is not a defendant in this proceeding), a Louisiana court certified an "addiction-as-injury" class action that covered only citizens in that state. In May 2004, the *Scott* jury returned a verdict in the amount of \$591,000, plus prejudgment interest, on the class' claim for a smoking cessation program. In February 2007, the appellate court upheld \$279,000 of the \$591,000 verdict, finding that certain smokers were entitled to damages. The trial court's award of prejudgment interest was overturned by the appellate court and the case was remanded to the trial court. In February 2007, the defendants filed a motion for rehearing. Two other class actions, *Broin v. Philip Morris Companies Inc.*, (Liggett was dismissed from this case) and *Engle*, were certified in state court in Florida prior to the *Castano* decision.

Engle Case. In May 1994, Engle was filed against Liggett and others in Miami-Dade County, Florida. The class consisted of all Florida residents who, by November 21, 1996, "have suffered, presently suffer or have died from diseases and medical conditions caused by their addiction to cigarette smoking." In July 1999, after the conclusion of Phase I of the trial, the jury returned a verdict against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers on certain issues determined by the trial court to be "common" to the causes of action of the plaintiff class. The jury made several findings adverse to the defendants including that defendants' conduct "rose to a level that would permit a potential award or entitlement to punitive damages." Phase II of the trial was a causation and damages trial for three of the class plaintiffs and a punitive damages trial on a class-wide basis,

(in thousands of dollars)

before the same jury that returned the verdict in Phase I. In April 2000, the jury awarded compensatory damages of \$12,704 to the three class plaintiffs, to be reduced in proportion to the respective plaintiff's fault. In July 2000, the jury awarded approximately \$145,000,000 in punitive damages against all defendants, including \$790,000 against Liggett.

In May 2003, Florida's Third District Court of Appeal reversed the trial court's final judgment and remanded the case with instructions to decertify the class. The judgment in favor of one of the three class plaintiffs, in the amount of \$5,831, was overturned as time barred and the court found that Liggett was not liable to the other two class plaintiffs.

In July 2006, the Florida Supreme Court affirmed in part and reversed in part the May 2003 Third District Court of Appeal's decision. Among other things, the Florida Supreme Court affirmed the decision vacating the punitive damages award and held that the class should be decertified prospectively, but preserved several of the Phase I findings (including that: (i) smoking causes lung cancer, among other diseases; (ii) nicotine in cigarettes is addictive; (iii) defendants placed cigarettes on the market that were defective and unreasonably dangerous; (iv) the defendants concealed material information; (v) all defendants sold or supplied cigarettes that were defective; and (vi) all defendants were negligent) and allowed former class members to proceed to trial on individual liability issues (using the above findings) and compensatory and punitive damage issues, provided they commence their individual lawsuits within one year from January 11, 2007, the date of the court's mandate. In December 2006, the Florida Supreme Court added the finding that defendants sold or supplied cigarettes that, at the time of sale or supply, did not conform to the representations made by defendants. Class counsel filed motions for attorneys' fees and costs, which motions are pending. In May 2007, the defendants, including Liggett, filed a petition for writ of certiorari with the United States Supreme Court. The petition was denied in September 2007. In October 2007, defendants filed a petition for rehearing before the United States Supreme Court which was denied in November 2007. As of February 22, 2008, there were approximately 1,600 *Engle* progeny cases filed and served where Liggett (and other cigarette manufacturers) was named as a defendant. These cases include approximately 3,500 plaintiffs.

In June 2002, the jury in a Florida state court action entitled *Lukacs v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company*, awarded \$37,500 in compensatory damages in a case involving Liggett and two other cigarette manufacturers. In March 2003, the court reduced the amount of the compensatory damages to \$24,860. The jury found Liggett 50% responsible for the damages incurred by the plaintiff. The *Lukacs* case was the first case to be tried as an individual *Engle* class member suit following entry of final judgment by the *Engle* trial court. After the verdict was returned, the case was abated pending completion of the *Engle* appeal. After the issuance of the Florida Supreme Court's opinion discussed above, the plaintiff filed a motion requesting that the trial court enter partial final judgment, tax costs and attorneys' fees and schedule trial on the punitive damages claims. Defendants have opposed the relief sought by plaintiff on the grounds that the reversal by the Florida Supreme Court of the *Engle* Phase I finding on fraud mandates the reversal of the jury verdict and precludes the entry of final judgment in plaintiff's favor and, on January 28, 2008, filed a submission asking the court to set aside the verdict and dismiss the case. If the court enters judgment in plaintiff's favor, plaintiff contends that interest on the judgment accrues from the date of the verdict. Plaintiff has filed a motion seeking an award of attorneys' fees from Liggett based on its prior proposal for settlement. Oral argument was held in March 2007 and the parties are awaiting a decision. Liggett may be required to bond the amount of the judgment against it to perfect its appeal. In the event the court enters the judgment, Liggett intends to appeal.

Other Class Actions. Classes remain certified against Liggett in West Virginia (Blankenship), Kansas (Smith), New Mexico (Romero) and New York (Schwab). Blankenship is dormant. Smith

(in thousands of dollars)

and *Romero* are actions in which plaintiffs allege that cigarette manufacturers conspired to fix cigarette prices in violation of antitrust laws. Class certification was granted in *Smith v. Philip Morris* in November 2001. Discovery is ongoing. Class certification was granted in *Romero v. Philip Morris* in April 2003 and was affirmed by the New Mexico Supreme Court in February 2005. In June 2006, the trial court granted defendants' motions for summary judgment. Plaintiffs appealed to the New Mexico Court of Appeals. Briefing was completed in August 2007 and the parties are awaiting a decision.

Class action suits have been filed in a number of states against cigarette manufacturers, alleging, among other things, that the use of the terms "light" and "ultra light" constitutes unfair and deceptive trade practices, among other things. One such suit, *Schwab v. Philip Morris*, pending in federal court in New York since 2004, seeks to create a nationwide class of "light" cigarette smokers. The action asserts claims under RICO. The proposed class is seeking as much as \$200,000,000 in damages, which could be trebled under RICO. In November 2005, the court ruled that the plaintiffs would be permitted to calculate damages on an aggregate basis and use "fluid recovery" theories to allocate them among class members, if the class was certified. Fluid recovery would permit potential damages to be paid out in ways other than merely giving cash directly to plaintiffs, such as establishing a pool of money that could be used for public purposes. In September 2006, the court granted plaintiff's motion for class certification. In November 2006, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit granted the defendants' motions to stay the district court proceedings and for review of the class certification ruling. Oral argument was held in July 2007 and the parties are awaiting a decision. Liggett is a defendant in the *Schwab* case.

In June 1998, in *Cleary v. Philip Morris, Inc.*, a putative class action was brought in Illinois state court on behalf of persons who have allegedly been injured by (i) the defendants' purported conspiracy pursuant to which defendants allegedly concealed material facts regarding the addictive nature of nicotine; (ii) the defendants' alleged acts of targeting their advertising and marketing to minors; and (iii) the defendants' claimed breach of the public's right to defendants' compliance with laws prohibiting the distribution of cigarettes to minors. The plaintiffs request that the defendants be required to disgorge all profits unjustly received through their sale of cigarettes to plaintiffs, which in no event will be greater than \$75 each, inclusive of punitive damages, interest and costs. In July 2006, the plaintiffs filed a motion for class certification. A class certification hearing occurred in September 2007 and the parties are awaiting a decision. Merits discovery is stayed pending a ruling by the court. Liggett is a defendant in the *Cleary* case.

In April 2001, in *Brown v. The American Tobacco Co., Inc.,* a California state court granted in part plaintiffs' motion for class certification and certified a class comprised of adult residents of California who smoked at least one of defendants' cigarettes "during the applicable time period" and who were exposed to defendants' marketing and advertising activities in California. In March 2005, the court granted defendants' motion to decertify the class based on a recent change in California law. In October 2006, the plaintiffs filed a petition for review with the California Supreme Court, which was granted in November 2006. Oral argument has not yet been scheduled. Liggett is a defendant in the *Brown* case.

Although not technically a class action, in *In Re: Tobacco Litigation (Personal Injury Cases*), a West Virginia State court consolidated approximately 750 individual smoker actions that were pending prior to 2001 for trial of certain common issues. In January 2002, the court severed Liggett from the trial of the consolidated action. The consolidation was affirmed on appeal by the West Virginia Supreme Court. In February 2008, the United States Supreme Court denied the defendants' petition for writ of certiorari asking the Court to review the trial plan. It is estimated that Liggett could be a defendant in approximately 100 of the cases. In February 2008, the court

(in thousands of dollars)

granted defendants' motion to stay all proceedings pending United States Supreme Court review in Good v. Altria Group Inc.

Class certification motions are pending in a number of other cases and a number of orders denying class certification are on appeal. In addition to the cases described above, a number of class actions remain certified against other cigarette manufacturers.

### **Governmental Actions**

As of December 31, 2007, there were two Governmental Actions pending against Liggett, only one of which is active as to Liggett. The claims asserted in health care cost recovery actions vary. In these cases, the governmental entities typically assert equitable claims that the tobacco industry was "unjustly enriched" by their payment of health care costs allegedly attributable to smoking and seek reimbursement of those costs. Other claims made by some but not all plaintiffs include the equitable claim of indemnity, common law claims of negligence, strict liability, breach of express and implied warranty, breach of special duty, fraud, negligent misrepresentation, conspiracy, public nuisance, claims under state and federal statutes governing consumer fraud, antitrust, deceptive trade practices and false advertising, and claims under RICO.

DOJ Case. In September 1999, the United States government commenced litigation against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. The action sought to recover an unspecified amount of health care costs paid for and furnished, and to be paid for and furnished, by the federal government for lung cancer, heart disease, emphysema and other smoking-related illnesses allegedly caused by the fraudulent and tortious conduct of defendants, to restrain defendants and co-conspirators from engaging in alleged fraud and other allegedly unlawful conduct in the future, and to compel defendants to disgorge the proceeds of their unlawful conduct. The action asserted claims under three federal statutes, the Medical Care Recovery Act ("MCRA"), the Medicare Secondary Payer provisions of the Social Security Act ("MSP") and RICO. In September 2000, the court dismissed the government's claims based on MCRA and MSP. Trial of the case concluded in June 2005.

In August 2006, the trial court entered a Final Judgment and Remedial Order against each of the cigarette manufacturing defendants, except Liggett. The Final Judgment, among other things, ordered the following relief against the non-Liggett defendants: (i) the defendants are enjoined from committing any act of racketeering concerning the manufacturing, marketing, promotion, health consequences or sale of cigarettes in the United States; (ii) the defendants are enjoined from making any material false, misleading, or deceptive statement or representation concerning cigarettes that persuades people to purchase cigarettes; (iii) the defendants are enjoined from utilizing "lights", "low tar", "ultra lights", "mild", or "natural" descriptors, or conveying any other express or implied health messages in connection with the marketing or sale of cigarettes, domestically and internationally, as of January 1, 2007; (iv) the defendants must make certain corrective statements on their websites, and in television and print media advertisements; (v) the defendants must maintain internet document websites until 2016 with access to smoking and health related documents; (vi) the defendants must disclose all disaggregated marketing data to the government on a confidential basis; (vii) the defendants are not permitted to sell or otherwise transfer any of their cigarette brands, product formulas or businesses to any person or entity for domestic use without a court order, and unless the acquiring person or entity agrees to be bound by the terms of the Final Judgment; and (viii) the defendants must pay the appropriate costs incurred by the government in prosecuting the action, in an amount to be determined by the trial court.

(in thousands of dollars)

No monetary damages were awarded other than the government's costs. In October 2006, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia stayed the Final Judgment pending appeal. The defendants filed amended notices of appeal in March 2007. The government acknowledged in its appellate brief that it was not appealing the district court's decision to award no remedy against Liggett. Therefore, although this case has been concluded as to Liggett, it is unclear what impact, if any, the Final Judgment will have on the cigarette industry as a whole. To the extent that the Final Judgment leads to a decline in industry-wide shipments of cigarettes in the United States or otherwise imposes regulations which adversely affect the industry, Liggett's sales volume, operating income and cash flows could be materially adversely affected.

In December 1998, in *City of St. Louis v. American Tobacco Company Inc.*, a case pending in Missouri state court, the City of St. Louis and approximately 50 hospitals brought suit against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers seeking recovery of costs expended by the hospitals on behalf of patients who suffer, or have suffered, from illnesses allegedly resulting from the use of cigarettes. In June 2005, the court granted defendants' motion for summary judgment as to claims for damages which accrued prior to November 16, 1993. The claims for damages which accrued after November 16, 1993 are pending. Discovery is ongoing. Trial is scheduled to commence in January 2010.

# **Third-Party Payor Actions**

As of December 31, 2007, there were two Third-Party Payor Actions pending against Liggett. Other cigarette manufacturers are also named. The Third-Party Payor Actions typically have been commenced by insurance companies, union health and welfare trust funds, asbestos manufacturers and others. In Third-Party Payor Actions, plaintiffs seek damages for: funding of corrective public education campaigns relating to issues of smoking and health; funding for clinical smoking cessation programs; disgorgement of profits from sales of cigarettes; restitution; treble damages; and attorneys' fees. Although no specific amounts are provided, it is understood that requested damages against cigarette manufacturers in these cases might be in the billions of dollars.

Several federal circuit courts of appeals and state appellate courts have ruled that Third-Party Payors did not have standing to bring lawsuits against cigarette manufacturers, relying primarily on grounds that plaintiffs' claims were too remote. The United States Supreme Court has refused to consider plaintiffs' appeals from the cases decided by five federal circuit courts of appeals.

In June 2005, the Jerusalem District Court in Israel added Liggett as a defendant in an action commenced in 1998 by the largest private insurer in that country, General Health Services, against the major United States cigarette manufacturers. The plaintiff seeks to recover the past and future value of the total expenditures for health care services provided to residents of Israel resulting from tobacco related diseases, court ordered interest for past expenditures from the date of filing the statement of claim, increased and/or punitive and/or exemplary damages and costs. The court ruled that, although Liggett had not sold product in Israel since at least 1978, it might still have liability for cigarettes sold prior to that time. Motions filed by the defendants are pending before the Israel Supreme Court seeking appeal from a lower court's decision granting leave to plaintiff for foreign service of process.

In August 2005, the United Seniors Association, Inc. filed a lawsuit in federal court in Massachusetts pursuant to the private cause of action provisions of the MSP seeking to recover for the Medicare program all expenditures on smoking-related diseases since August 1999. In August 2006, the court granted the defendants' motion to dismiss the complaint which was

(in thousands of dollars)

affirmed by the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit in August 2007. Plaintiff filed a petition for writ of certiorari with the United States Supreme Court which was denied on January 22, 2008.

# **Upcoming Trials**

There is one individual action in Mississippi state court, *McGee v. Philip Morris Inc.*, currently scheduled for trial on October 7, 2008. There are five individual actions in New York state court, where Liggett is a defendant along with other cigarette manufacturers, that may be set for trial in 2008, including *Hausrath v. Philip Morris Inc.*, which has been set for trial on September 8, 2008. There may be several other individual actions in Florida, where Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers are defendants, that may be set for trial in 2008. Trial dates are subject to change.

# MSA and Other State Settlement Agreements

In March 1996, March 1997 and March 1998, Liggett entered into settlements of smoking-related litigation with 45 states and territories. The settlements released Liggett from all smoking-related claims within those states and territories, including claims for health care cost reimbursement and claims concerning sales of cigarettes to minors.

In November 1998, Philip Morris, Brown & Williamson, R.J. Reynolds and Lorillard (the "Original Participating Manufacturers" or "OPMs") and Liggett (together with any other tobacco product manufacturer that becomes a signatory, the "Subsequent Participating Manufacturers" or "SPMs") (the OPMs and SPMs are hereinafter referred to jointly as the "Participating Manufacturers") entered into the Master Settlement Agreement (the "MSA") with 46 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, the United States Virgin Islands, American Samoa and the Northern Mariana Islands (collectively, the "Settling States") to settle the asserted and unasserted health care cost recovery and certain other claims of those Settling States. The MSA received final judicial approval in each Settling State.

In the Settling States, the MSA released Liggett from:

- all claims of the Settling States and their respective political subdivisions and other recipients of state health care funds, relating to: (i) past conduct arising out of the use, sale, distribution, manufacture, development, advertising and marketing of tobacco products; (ii) the health effects of, the exposure to, or research, statements or warnings about, tobacco products; and,
- (iii) all monetary claims of the Settling States and their respective subdivisions and other recipients of state health care funds, relating to future conduct arising out of the use of or exposure to, tobacco products that have been manufactured in the ordinary course of business.

The MSA restricts tobacco product advertising and marketing within the Settling States and otherwise restricts the activities of Participating Manufacturers. Among other things, the MSA prohibits the targeting of youth in the advertising, promotion or marketing of tobacco products; bans the use of cartoon characters in all tobacco advertising and promotion; limits each Participating Manufacturer to one tobacco brand name sponsorship during any 12-month period; bans all outdoor advertising, with certain limited exceptions; prohibits payments for tobacco

(in thousands of dollars)

product placement in various media; bans gift offers based on the purchase of tobacco products without sufficient proof that the intended recipient is an adult; prohibits Participating Manufacturers from licensing third parties to advertise tobacco brand names in any manner prohibited under the MSA; and prohibits Participating Manufacturers from using as a tobacco product brand name any nationally recognized non-tobacco brand or trade name or the names of sports teams, entertainment groups or individual celebrities.

The MSA also requires Participating Manufacturers to affirm corporate principles to comply with the MSA and to reduce underage usage of tobacco products and imposes restrictions on lobbying activities conducted on behalf of Participating Manufacturers.

Liggett has no payment obligations under the MSA except to the extent its market share exceeds a market share exemption of approximately 1.65% of total cigarettes sold in the United States. According to data from Management Science Associates, Inc., domestic shipments by Liggett accounted for approximately 1.8% of the total cigarettes shipped in the United States in 2005, 2.0% in 2006 and 2.2% in 2007. If Liggett's market share exceeds its respective market share exemption in a given year, then on April 15 of the following year, Liggett, as the case may be, would pay on each excess unit an amount equal (on a per-unit basis) to that due by the OPMs for that year. In April 2005, Liggett paid \$20,982 for its 2004 MSA obligations. In April 2006, Liggett paid \$10,637 for its 2005 MSA obligations. In April 2007, Liggett paid \$34,545 for its 2006 MSA obligations. Liggett has expensed \$44,406 for its estimated MSA obligations for 2007, as part of cost of goods sold. Liggett prepaid \$32,000 of this amount in 2007 and anticipates paying another \$1,000 in April 2008, after withholding certain disputed amounts.

In March 2008, Liggett received the preliminary bill for its 2007 MSA obligation. The bill, adjusted for certain disputed amounts, was approximately \$1,100 lower than the amount accrued at December 31, 2007, due to higher than expected industry volume.

Adjusting for the preliminary bill, Liggett's 2007 estimated expense for its MSA obligation is \$43,286 rather than the \$44,406 recorded for the year ended December 31, 2007. This adjustment will be recorded in 2008 as a reduction of MSA expense recorded in costs of goods sold

Under the payment provisions of the MSA, the Participating Manufacturers are required to pay a base annual amount of \$9,000,000 in 2008 and each year thereafter (subject to applicable adjustments, offsets and reductions). These annual payments are allocated based on unit volume of domestic cigarette shipments. The payment obligations under the MSA are the several, and not joint, obligations of each Participating Manufacturer and are not the responsibility of any parent or affiliate of a Participating Manufacturer.

# Certain MSA Disputes

In 2005, the independent auditor under the MSA calculated that Liggett owed \$28,668 for its 2004 sales. In April 2005, Liggett paid \$11,678 and disputed the balance, as permitted by the MSA. Liggett subsequently paid \$9,304 of the disputed amount, although Liggett continues to dispute that this amount is owed. This \$9,304 relates to an adjustment to its 2003 payment obligation claimed by Liggett for the market share loss to non-participating manufacturers, which is known as the "NPM Adjustment." At December 31, 2007, included in "Other assets" on the company's balance sheet, was a noncurrent receivable of \$6,513 relating to such amount. The remaining balance in dispute of \$7,686 is comprised of \$5,318 claimed for a 2004 NPM Adjustment and

(in thousands of dollars)

\$2,368 relating to the independent auditor's retroactive change from "gross" to "net" units in calculating MSA payments, which Liggett contends is improper, as discussed below. From its April 2006 payment, Liggett withheld approximately \$1,600 claimed for the 2005 NPM Adjustment and \$2,612 relating to the retroactive change from "gross" to "net" units. Liggett withheld approximately \$4,200 from its April 2007 payments related to the 2006 NPM Adjustment and approximately \$3,736 relating to the retroactive change from "gross" to "net" units.

The following amounts have not been expensed in the accompanying consolidated financial statements as they relate to Liggett's claim for an NPM adjustment: \$6,513 for 2003, \$3,789 for 2004 and \$800 for 2005.

NPM Adjustment. In March 2006, an economic consulting firm selected pursuant to the MSA rendered its final and non-appealable decision that the MSA was a "significant factor contributing to" the loss of market share of Participating Manufacturers for 2003. The economic consulting firm rendered the same decision with respect to 2004 and 2005. As a result, the manufacturers are entitled to potential NPM Adjustments to their 2003, 2004 and 2005 MSA payments. A Settling State that has diligently enforced its qualifying escrow statute in the year in question may be able to avoid application of the NPM Adjustment to the payments made by the manufacturers for the benefit of that state or territory.

Since April 2006, notwithstanding provisions in the MSA requiring arbitration, litigation has been commenced in 49 Settling States over the issue of whether the application of the NPM Adjustment for 2003 is to be determined through litigation or arbitration. These actions relate to the potential NPM Adjustment for 2003, which the independent auditor under the MSA previously determined to be as much as \$1,200,000 for all Participating Manufacturers. To date, 47 of 48 courts that have decided the issue have ruled that the 2003 NPM Adjustment dispute is arbitrable and 34 of those decisions are final. In Louisiana, Participating Manufacturers have appealed the court's decision that the dispute was not arbitrable. There can be no assurance that Liggett will receive any adjustment as a result of these proceedings.

Gross v. Net Calculations. In October 2004, the independent auditor notified Liggett and all other Participating Manufacturers that their payment obligations under the MSA, dating from the agreement's execution in late 1998, had been recalculated using "net" unit amounts, rather than "gross" unit amounts (which had been used since 1999). The change in the method of calculation could, among other things, require additional MSA payments by Liggett of approximately \$14,200, plus interest, for 2001 through 2006, require an additional payment of approximately \$3,300 for 2007 and require additional amounts in future periods because the proposed change from "gross" to "net" units would serve to lower Liggett's market share exemption under the MSA.

Liggett has objected to this retroactive change and has disputed the change in methodology. Liggett contends that the retroactive change from using "gross" unit amounts to "net" unit amounts is impermissible for several reasons, including:

- use of "net" unit amounts is not required by the MSA (as reflected by, among other things, the use of "gross" unit amounts through 2005);
- such a change is not authorized without the consent of affected parties to the MSA;
- the MSA provides for four-year time limitation periods for revisiting calculations and determinations, which precludes recalculating Liggett's 1997 Market Share (and thus, Liggett's market share exemption); and

(in thousands of dollars)

Liggett and others have relied upon the calculations based on "gross" unit amounts since 1998.

No amounts have been expensed or accrued in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for any potential liability relating to the "gross" versus "net" dispute.

Litigation Challenging the MSA. In litigation pending in federal court in New York, certain importers of cigarettes allege that the MSA and certain related New York statutes violate federal antitrust and constitutional law. The United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit has held that plaintiffs have stated a claim for relief on antitrust grounds. In September 2004, the court denied plaintiffs' motion to preliminarily enjoin the MSA and certain related New York statutes, but the court issued a preliminary injunction against an amendment repealing the "allocable share" provision of the New York escrow statute. The parties' motions for summary judgment are pending. Additionally, in another proceeding pending in New York federal court, plaintiffs seek to enjoin the statutes enacted by New York and other states in connection with the MSA on the grounds that the statutes violate the Commerce Clause of the United States Constitution and federal antitrust laws. In September 2005, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit held that plaintiffs stated a claim for relief and that the New York federal court had jurisdiction over the other defendant states. In October 2006, the United States Supreme Court denied the petition of the attorneys general for writ of certiorari. Similar challenges to the MSA and MSA-related state statutes are pending in Kentucky, Arkansas, Kansas, Louisiana, Tennessee and Oklahoma. Liggett and the other cigarette manufacturers are not defendants in these cases.

Other State Settlements. The MSA replaces Liggett's prior settlements with all states and territories except for Florida, Mississippi, Texas and Minnesota. Each of these four states, prior to the effective date of the MSA, negotiated and executed settlement agreements with each of the other major tobacco companies, separate from those settlements reached previously with Liggett. Liggett's agreements with these states remain in full force and effect, and Liggett made various payments to these states during 1996, 1997 and 1998 under the agreements. These states' settlement agreements with Liggett contained most favored nation provisions which could reduce Liggett's payment obligations based on subsequent settlements or resolutions by those states with certain other tobacco companies. Beginning in 1999, Liggett determined that, based on each of these four states' settlements or resolutions with United States Tobacco Company, Liggett's payment obligations to those states had been eliminated. With respect to all non-economic obligations under the previous settlements, Liggett believes it is entitled to the most favorable provisions as between the MSA and each state's respective settlement with the other major tobacco companies. Therefore, Liggett's non-economic obligations to all states and territories are now defined by the

In 2003, in order to resolve any potential issues with Minnesota as to Liggett's ongoing economic settlement obligations, Liggett negotiated a \$100 a year payment to Minnesota, to be paid any year cigarettes manufactured by Liggett are sold in that state. In 2004, the Attorneys General for Florida, Mississippi and Texas advised Liggett that they believed that Liggett had failed to make all required payments under the respective settlement agreements with these states for the period 1998 through 2003 and that additional payments may be due for 2004 and subsequent years. Liggett believes these allegations are without merit, based, among other things, on the language of the most favored nation provisions of the settlement agreements. In December 2004, Florida offered to settle all amounts allegedly owed by Liggett for the period through 2003 for the sum of \$13,500. In March 2005, Florida reaffirmed its December 2004 offer to settle and provided Liggett with a 60 day notice to cure the alleged defaults. Liggett offered Florida \$2,500 in a lump sum to settle all alleged obligations through December 31, 2006 and \$100 per year thereafter in any year

(in thousands of dollars)

in which cigarettes manufactured by Liggett are sold in Florida, to resolve all alleged future obligations under the settlement agreement. In November 2004, Mississippi offered to settle all amounts allegedly owed by Liggett for the period through 2003 for the sum of \$6,500. In April 2005, Mississippi reaffirmed its November 2004 offer to settle and provided Liggett with a 60 day notice to cure the alleged defaults. No specific monetary demand has been made by Texas.

Except for \$2,500 accrued at December 31, 2007, in connection with the foregoing matters, no other amounts have been accrued in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for any additional amounts that may be payable by Liggett under the settlement agreements with Florida, Mississippi and Texas. There can be no assurance that Liggett will resolve these matters or that Liggett will not be required to make additional material payments, which payments could adversely affect its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Management is not able to predict the outcome of the litigation pending or threatened against Liggett. Litigation is subject to many uncertainties. For example, in July 2006, the Florida Supreme Court affirmed in part and reversed in part the May 2003 intermediate appellate court decision in the Engle case. Although the Florida Supreme Court affirmed the decision to decertify the class on a prospective basis and the order vacating the punitive damages award, the court upheld certain of the trial court's Phase I determinations. In June 2002, the jury in the Lukacs case, an individual case brought under the third phase of the Engle case, awarded \$37,500 (subsequently reduced by the court to \$24,860) of compensatory damages against Liggett and two other defendants and found Liggett 50% responsible for the damages. The plaintiff filed a motion for the trial court to enter partial final judgment, tax costs and attorneys' fees, and schedule trial on the punitive damages claim. Oral argument on the motion occurred in March 2007 and the parties are awaiting a decision. Liggett may be required to bond the amount of the judgment entered against it to perfect its appeal. In April 2004, a jury in a Florida state court action awarded compensatory damages of approximately \$540 against Liggett in an individual action. In addition, plaintiff's counsel was awarded legal fees of \$752. Liggett appealed both the verdict and the legal fees award. In October 2007, the Fourth District Court of Appeal affirmed the compensatory award. Liggett filed a motion for rehearing and/or certification. It is possible that additional cases could be decided unfavorably against Liggett. As a result of the Engle decision, approximately 1,600 cases has been filed against Liggett. These cases include approximately 3,500 plaintiffs. Although the deadline for filing Engle progeny cases has passed, plaintiffs have 120 days to serve their complaints so it is possible that the number of cases could increase substantially. Liggett may enter into discussions in an attempt to settle particular cases if it believes it is appropriate to do so.

Management cannot predict the cash requirements related to any future defense costs, settlements or judgments, including cash required to bond any appeals, and there is a risk that those requirements will not be able to be met. An unfavorable outcome of a pending smoking and health case could encourage the commencement of additional similar litigation. Management is unable to make a reasonable estimate with respect to the amount or range of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome of the cases pending against Liggett or the costs of defending such cases and as a result has not provided any amounts in its consolidated financial statements for unfavorable outcomes. The complaints filed in these cases rarely detail alleged damages. Typically, the claims set forth in an individual's complaint against the tobacco industry seek money damages in an amount to be determined by a jury, plus punitive damages and costs.

The tobacco industry is subject to a wide range of laws and regulations regarding the marketing, sale, taxation and use of tobacco products imposed by local, state and federal governments. There have been a number of restrictive regulatory actions, adverse legislative and political decisions and other unfavorable developments concerning cigarette smoking and the tobacco industry.

(in thousands of dollars)

These developments may negatively affect the perception of potential triers of fact with respect to the tobacco industry, possibly to the detriment of certain pending litigation, and may prompt the commencement of additional similar litigation or legislation.

It is possible that Liggett's financial position, results of operations or cash flows could be materially adversely affected by an unfavorable outcome in any such smoking-related litigation.

Liggett's management is unaware of any material environmental conditions affecting its existing facilities. Liggett's management believes that current operations are conducted in material compliance with all environmental laws and regulations and other laws and regulations governing cigarette manufacturers. Compliance with federal, state and local provisions regulating the discharge of materials into the environment, or otherwise relating to the protection of the environment, has not had a material effect on the capital expenditures, results of operations or competitive position of Liggett.

### Other Litigation:

In October 2005, Lorillard Tobacco Company advised Liggett that it believed that certain styles of Liggett's Grand Prix brand cigarettes created a likelihood of confusion among consumers with Lorillard's Newport cigarette brand because of similarities in packaging. In December 2006, Lorillard commenced an action in the United States District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina seeking, among other things: an injunction against Liggett's sale of certain brand styles of Grand Prix; an order directing the recall of the relevant brand styles; an accounting of profits for the relevant brand styles; treble damages; and interest, attorneys' fees and costs. In January 2008, the parties resolved the litigation.

# Other Matters:

There are several other proceedings, lawsuits and claims pending against Liggett unrelated to tobacco or tobacco product liability. Management is of the opinion that the liabilities, if any, ultimately resulting from such other proceedings, lawsuits and claims should not materially affect Liggett's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

### 12. Related Party Transactions

Liggett is a party to an agreement dated February 26, 1991, as amended June 30, 2001, with Vector to provide various management and administrative services to Liggett in consideration for an annual management fee of \$900 paid in monthly installments and annual overhead reimbursements of \$864 paid in monthly installments. The charges for services under this agreement amounted to \$1,764 in 2007, 2006 and 2005.

In addition, Liggett has entered into an annually renewable Corporate Services Agreement with VGR wherein VGR agreed to provide corporate services to Liggett at an annual fee paid in monthly installments. Corporate services provided by VGR under this agreement include the provision of administrative services related to Liggett's participation in its parent company's multi-employer benefit plan, external publication of financial results, preparation of consolidated financial statements and tax returns and such other administrative and managerial services as may be reasonably requested by Liggett. The charges for services rendered under the agreement amounted to \$5,405 in 2007, \$5,148 in 2006 and \$4,903 in 2005.

(in thousands of dollars)

Liggett leased equipment from a subsidiary of Vector during 2005 and 2006 for \$50 per month. The total charge for this lease was \$500 and \$600, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, which was recorded in cost of goods sold.

Liggett is party to a tax sharing agreement with Vector and certain other entities pursuant to which Liggett will pay taxes on an estimated basis to Vector as if it were filing a separate company tax return, except that the agreement effectively limits the ability of Liggett to carry back losses for refunds. Liggett is entitled to recoup overpayments in a given year out of future payments due under the agreement and is required to fund underpayments.

Liggett and Vector Tobacco Inc. ("Vector Tobacco"), a company affiliated by common ownership, are parties to a services agreement whereby Liggett provides advisory, administrative, and other services as requested by Vector Tobacco. Under the terms of the agreement, Liggett is reimbursed by Vector Tobacco for costs incurred. During 2005, Liggett also leased its former research, manufacturing, and office facilities to Vector Tobacco. Liggett recognized \$191 in 2005 for these services and recorded this income as a reduction in its selling, general and administrative expenses. The research building was sold in December 2005, at which time Vector Tobacco began paying rent to the new non-affiliated owner in mid-December.

In total, during 2007, 2006, and 2005, Liggett received approximately \$0, \$156, and \$301, respectively, for items such as machinery and equipment, rent and utilities, certain raw materials, marketing costs and advisory and administrative services from Vector Tobacco.

On January 1, 2004 Liggett entered into a contract manufacturing agreement with Vector Tobacco whereby Liggett agreed to provide handling, storage, manufacturing, preparation, record-keeping, remittance of federal excise tax payments, processing of returns and other services relating to the manufacture of Vector Tobacco brands. The contract manufacturing agreement expired December 31, 2005, but is automatically renewed for a successive one year term unless otherwise terminated by either party. Pricing is set forth in the manufacturing agreement based on previously determined standard costs and invoices are sent to Vector Tobacco monthly. In 2007, 2006 and 2005, Liggett manufactured approximately 1.3 billion units of Vector Tobacco brands and realized \$32,845, \$38,529 and \$34,890, respectively, in net receipts from these sales and \$1,028, \$1,190 and \$1,153, respectively, in gross profit from the manufacturing agreement.

As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, Liggett has a total receivable due from Vector Tobacco (including amounts due under the manufacturing agreement) totaling \$3,250 and \$2,945, respectively. This overall net receivable position is relating to the manufacturing agreement between Liggett and Vector Tobacco in 2006 and 2007. This overall net receivable position includes \$240 and \$1,414 at December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively, relating to the manufacturing agreement.

As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, Liggett had a payable due to VGR of \$0 and \$56, respectively. The \$56 balance has been netted within related party receivables on Liggett's consolidated balance sheet.

The remaining related party receivable balances of \$5,390 and \$10,310 at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, relate primarily to transactions with Liggett's affiliate, LVB.

(in thousands of dollars)

LVB coordinates and executes the sales, marketing and manufacturing efforts along with certain support functions for all of Vector's tobacco operations. In conjunction with the duties performed at LVB, a portion of sales, marketing, manufacturing, distribution, and administrative expenses have been allocated to Liggett. During 2007, 2006 and 2005, Liggett expensed \$53,346, \$51,344 and \$50,862, respectively, for services provided by LVB of which \$(78), \$(116) and \$(114), respectively, related to restructuring costs. The remaining expenses have been classified as selling, general and administrative (\$30,771, \$28,516 and \$29,025 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively) and cost of goods sold (\$22,653, \$22,944 and \$21,951 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively).

### 13. Restructuring

	Severance and Benefits	Asset Impairment, Contract Termination, and Exit Costs	Totals
Balance at December 31, 2004	\$ 3,291	\$ 3,124	\$ 6,415
Restructuring charges Utilized in 2005	(49) (2,596)	(65) (1,797)	(114) (4,393)
Balance at December 31, 2005	646	1,262	1,908
Restructuring charges	(93)	(23)	(116)
Utilized in 2006	(553)	(475)	(1,028)
Balance at December 31, 2006	<u> </u>	764	764
Restructuring charges Utilized in 2007	_ 	(78) (148)	(78) (148)
Balance at December 31, 2007	<u>\$</u>	\$ 538	<u>\$ 538</u>

# Liggett Vector Brands Restructurings

During April 2004, LVB adopted a restructuring plan in its continuing effort to adjust the cost structure of the business and improve operating efficiency. As part of the plan, LVB eliminated 83 positions and consolidated operations, subletting its New York office space and relocating several employees.

On October 6, 2004, Vector announced an additional plan to further restructure the operations of LVB, its sales, marketing and distribution agent for its Liggett and Vector Tobacco subsidiaries. LVB has realigned its sales force and adjusted its business model to more efficiently serve its chain and independent accounts nationwide. LVB is seeking to expand the portfolio of private and control label partner brands by utilizing a pricing strategy that offers long-term list price stability for customers. In connection with the restructuring, the Company eliminated approximately 330 full-time positions and 135 part-time positions as of December 15, 2004.

# Schedule II — Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

	Balance at Beginning of Period	Additions Charged to Costs and Expenses (in thousand	<u>Deductions</u> ds of dollars)	Balance at End of Period
Description		,	,	
Year ended December 31, 2007				
Allowance for:				
Doubtful accounts	\$ 50	\$ 13	\$ 17	\$ 46
Cash discounts	529	16,015	16,483	61
Sales returns	2,557	62	19	2,600
Total	\$ 3,136	\$ 16,090	\$ 16,519	\$ 2,707
Year ended December 31, 2006 Allowance for:    Doubtful accounts    Cash discounts    Sales returns    Total	\$ 100 329 3,596 \$ 4.025	\$ 65 18,995 398 \$ 10,458	\$ 115 18,795 1,437 \$ 20,347	\$ 50 529 2,557 \$ 3 136
Year ended December 31, 2005	<u>\$ 4,025</u>	<u>\$ 19,458</u>	<u>\$ 20,347</u>	<u>\$ 3,136</u>
Allowance for:				
Doubtful accounts	\$ 200	\$ 1	\$ 101	\$ 100
Cash discounts	104	17,907	17,682	329
Sales returns	3,758	509	<u>671</u>	3,596
Total	\$ 4,062	\$ 18,417	\$ 18,454	\$ 4,025

Vector Tobacco Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Financial Statements As of December 31, 2007 and 2006 and for each of the three years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

# Vector Tobacco Inc. and Subsidiaries Index

December	31,	2007	and	2006
----------	-----	------	-----	------

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	Page(s) 1
Consolidated Financial Statements	
Consolidated Balance Sheets	2–3
Consolidated Statements of Operations	4
Consolidated Statements of Stockholder's Equity (Deficit)	5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	6–7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	8–26
Consolidated Financial Statement Schedule	
Schedule II — Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	27

### Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and the Stockholder of Vector Tobacco Inc.:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Vector Tobacco Inc. and its subsidiaries (the "Company"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Vector Group Ltd., at December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the accompanying index presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Notes 10 and Note 18 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed the manner in which it accounts for defined benefit and other postretirement plans effective December 31, 2006 and the manner in which it accounts for share-based compensation in 2006. Also, as discussed in Note 11, the Company changed the manner in which it accounts for uncertain tax positions on January 1, 2007.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP Raleigh, North Carolina February 29, 2008

# Vector Tobacco Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Balance Sheets December 31, 2007 and 2006

(in thousands of dollars)

	2007	2006
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,654	\$ 4,579
Accounts receivable — trade, less allowances of \$13 and \$33 respectively	387	739
Due from related parties	_	8
Inventories, net	4,830	9,101
Other current assets	137	115
Total current assets	8,008	14,542
Property, plant and equipment, net	100	80
Intangible asset	107,511	107,511
Other assets	604	416
Total assets	\$116,223	\$122,549

# Vector Tobacco Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Balance Sheets December 31, 2007 and 2006

(in thousands of dollars, except per share amounts)

	2007	2006
Liabilities and Stockholder's Equity (Deficit)		
Current liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ —	\$ 35,000
Revolving demand promissory note — parent	_	111,771
Accounts payable — trade	6	15
Due to related parties	6,320	5,248
Accrued promotional expenses	649	729
Estimated allowance for sales returns	1,100	1,094
Settlement accruals	260	5,632
Other	1,706	3,146
Total current liabilities	10,041	162,635
Non-current employee benefits	614	432
Deferred income taxes	16,266	13,410
Other long-term liabilities	1,771	457
Total liabilities	28,692	176,934
Commitments and contingencies (Note 14)		
Stockholder's equity (deficit):		
Common stock (\$1 par value per share; 1,000 shares authorized; 100 shares issued and outstanding)	_	_
Additional paid-in capital	415,067	280,671
Accumulated other comprehensive income	312	303
Accumulated deficit	(327,848)	(335,359)
Total stockholder's equity (deficit)	87,531	(54,385)
Total liabilities and stockholder's equity	\$ 116.223	\$ 122,549

# Vector Tobacco Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Operations Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005

(in thousands of dollars)

	2007	2006	2005
Revenues *	\$72,296	\$ 72,017	\$ 72,387
Expenses:			
Cost of goods sold	44,757	48,486	44,407
Selling, general and administrative	6,801	6,348	9,321
Fees paid to Vector Group Ltd.	500	426	411
Restructuring and impairment charges		1,709	(13)
Research and development	4,240	6,739	9,020
Operating income	15,998	8,309	9,241
Other income (expense):			
Interest income	72	_	5
Interest expense	(5,704)	(12,375)	(25,247)
Income (loss) before income taxes	10,366	(4,066)	(16,001)
Income tax provision	(2,855)	(2,855)	(2,855)
Net income (loss)	\$ 7,511	\$ (6,921)	\$(18,856)

<sup>\*</sup> Revenues and cost of goods sold include excise taxes of \$23,681, \$25,621, and \$24,846 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively.

# Vector Tobacco Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Stockholder's Equity (Deficit) Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005

(in thousands of dollars)

	Commo		Additional Paid-In	Accumulated Other Comprehensive	Accumulated	Total Stockholder's
- 1	Shares	Amount	Capital	Income	Deficit (200 500)	Equity (Deficit)
Balance as of December 31, 2004	100	\$ —	\$ 61,539	\$ 118	\$ (309,582)	\$ (247,925)
Capital contributions	_	_	218,897	_	_	218,897
Amortization of deferred compensation	_	_	103	_	_	103
Net loss				<del>_</del>	(18,856)	(18,856)
Balance as of December 31, 2005	100	_	280,539	118	(328,438)	(47,781)
Amortization of deferred compensation			132			132
Accumulated other comprehensive income	_	_	_	185	_	185
Net loss				<u></u>	(6,921)	(6,921)
Balance as of December 31, 2006	100	_	280,671	303	(335,359)	(54,385)
Capital contributions			144,396			144,396
Accumulated other comprehensive			,	_		,
income	_	_		9	_	9
Distributions	_	_	(10,000)	_	_	(10,000)
Net income					7,511	7,511
Balance as of December 31, 2007	100*	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$415,067</u>	\$ 312	\$ (327,848)	\$ 87,531

<sup>\*</sup> Stock pledged as collateral for Vector Tobacco's guarantee of the Parent Company's debt. See Note 2

# Vector Tobacco Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005

(in thousands of dollars)

	2007	2006	2005
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income (loss)	\$ 7,511	\$ (6,921)	\$(18,856)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	55	254	605
Deferred taxes	2,855	2,855	2,855
Non-cash stock-based compensation		132	103
Net loss on disposal of equipment	_	_	6
Non-cash interest expense	1,627	10,096	22,956
Restructuring and impairment charges	_	2,599	(13)
Cash payments on restructuring liabilities	(700)	_	(360)
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	352	317	(1,056)
Inventories	4,271	(3,863)	4,337
Other assets	(22)	125	668
Accounts payable	(9)	(177)	(88)
Due to/from related parties	1,080	1,858	(9,426)
Accrued expenses	(6,180)	3,095	(254)
Change in book overdraft	(5)	(29)	14
Employee benefits	182	172	(411)
Other long-term liabilities	1,311	37	420
Net cash provided by operating activities	12,328	10,550	1,500
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Capital expenditures	(58)	(31)	(11)
Proceeds from sales of equipment to related parties	_	_	57
Increase in restricted assets	_	(8)	_
Purchase of trademark	_	_	(25)
(Increase) decrease in cash surrender value of life insurance policies	(195)	(122)	168
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(253)	(161)	189
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Repayments of notes payable	(4,000)	(5,825)	(3,100)
Distributions	(10,000)	` —	
Net cash used in financing activities	(14,000)	(5,825)	(3,100)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(1,925)	4,564	(1,411)
Cash and cash equivalents	( , ,	,	( , ,
Beginning of period	4,579	15	1,426
End of period	\$ 2,654	\$ 4,579	\$ 15

Vector Tobacco Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005

(in thousands of dollars)

# Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities

- Vector Tobacco Inc. ("Vector Tobacco") recorded \$9 and \$185 in other accumulated comprehensive income during 2007 and 2006, respectively, in relation to certain pension plans.
- Vector Tobacco recorded non-cash capital contributions from VGR Holding LLC ("VGR") in the amount of \$109,396 and \$218,897 during the years ended December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2005, respectively, to retire the revolving credit facility balance.
- Vector Tobacco recorded a non-cash capital contribution from VGR in the amount of \$35,000 during the year ended December 31, 2007 for the payment of a note on behalf of Vector Tobacco.

(in thousands of dollars)

# 1. Background

Vector Tobacco Inc. ("Vector Tobacco" or the "Company"), is a wholly-owned subsidiary of VGR Holding LLC ("VGR"), which in turn is wholly owned by Vector Group Ltd. ("Vector"). The Company is engaged in the development and marketing of low nicotine and nicotine-free cigarette products, the development of reduced risk cigarette products, and the manufacturing and sale of other discount cigarettes in the United States. Certain management and administrative functions are performed by affiliates. (See Note 16.)

In January 2003, Vector Tobacco introduced QUEST, the Company's brand of low nicotine and nicotine-free cigarette products. QUEST brand cigarettes are currently marketed as premium cigarettes to permit adult smokers, who wish to continue smoking, to gradually reduce their intake of nicotine. The products are not labeled or advertised for smoking cessation and Vector Tobacco makes no claims that QUEST is safer than other cigarette products.

Vector Tobacco is also engaged in the sale of conventional cigarettes through its USA, Silver Eagle, Eagle and Meridian brands.

The Vector Tobacco brands are marketed by the sales representatives of Liggett Vector Brands Inc. ("LVB"), which coordinates the sales, marketing and manufacturing efforts along with certain support functions for all of Vector Tobacco's tobacco operations. Liggett Group LLC ("Liggett"), an affiliate of Vector Tobacco, manufactures all of Vector Tobacco's cigarette brands under contract at Liggett's Mebane, North Carolina manufacturing facility.

# 2. Liquidity

Vector Tobacco's new product initiatives are subject to substantial risks, uncertainties and contingencies which include, without limitation, the challenges inherent in new product development initiatives, the ability to raise capital and manage the growth of the Company's business, potential disputes concerning Vector Tobacco's intellectual property, intellectual property of third parties, potential extensive government regulation or prohibition, uncertainty regarding pending legislation providing for FDA regulation of cigarettes, third party allegations that Vector Tobacco products are unlawful or bear deceptive or unsubstantiated product claims, potential delays in obtaining tobacco, other raw materials and any technology needed to produce Vector Tobacco's products, market acceptance of Vector Tobacco's products, competition from companies with greater resources and the dependence on key employees.

At December 31, 2007, the Company had stockholder's equity of \$87,531. Since inception, the Company's operations have been predominantly funded by Vector. In 2007, VGR contributed \$144,396 to Vector Tobacco's capital, \$35,000 of which related to the final payment on the note payable issued in connection with the April 2002 purchase of The Medallion Company Inc. ("Medallion") and \$109,396 related to the retirement of an intercompany promissory note.

Vector and VGR are holding companies and as a result do not have any operating activities that generate revenues or cash flows. Accordingly, Vector relies on distributions from VGR and its other subsidiaries and investments and VGR relies on distributions from its other subsidiaries, including Vector Tobacco, in order to fund its operations and meet its obligations. Vector has certain debt outstanding which will require interest and principal payments over the terms of such debt. Interest and principal to service the debt is expected to be funded by Vector's cash and cash equivalents, investments, the operations of Vector's subsidiaries, including Vector Tobacco, and proceeds, if any, from Vector's future financings. During 2007, Vector Tobacco made a distribution of \$10,000 to VGR. Vector's outstanding indebtedness at December 31, 2007 is discussed below.

# 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015

In August 2007, Vector sold \$165,000 of its 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015 (the "Senior Secured Notes") in a private offering to qualified institutional investors in accordance with Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. Vector intends to use the net proceeds of the issuance for general corporate purposes which may include working capital requirements, the financing of capital expenditures, future

(in thousands of dollars)

acquisitions, the repayment or refinancing of outstanding indebtedness, payment of dividends and distributions and the repurchase of all or any part of its outstanding debt obligations.

The Senior Secured Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a joint and several basis by all of the wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries of Vector that are engaged in the conduct of the Vector's cigarette businesses, including Vector Tobacco. Vector Tobacco's stock has been pledged as collateral for the guarantee of the Senior Secured Notes. Vector Tobacco's consolidated balance sheet, statement of operations and statement of stockholder's equity (deficit) as of December 31, 2007 do not reflect any balances related to these notes as the debt is not acquisition related.

The Senior Secured Notes are due with a lump sum payment of \$165,000 in 2015. Annual interest charges are estimated to be approximately \$18,500 throughout the term of the debt. Vector Tobacco's cash flows from operations may be utilized to fund the interest and debt obligation of the Senior Secured Notes through dividend payments by Vector Tobacco.

# **Additional Parent Company Notes**

As of December 31, 2007, Vector has debt with a principal amount of approximately \$221,864 in addition to the Senior Secured Notes of \$165,000 previously discussed. (See Note 9.) These notes are not guaranteed or collateralized by Vector Tobacco or its assets. This \$221,864 of debt is also not reflected in Vector Tobacco's consolidated financial statements because these obligations are not acquisition-related.

# Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

### **Basis of Presentation**

Management believes the assumptions underlying the consolidated financial statements are reasonable. However, the consolidated financial statements included herein may not necessarily reflect the Company's results of operations, financial position and cash flows in the future or what its results of operations, financial position and cash flows would have been had the Company been a stand-alone company during the periods presented. Amounts due to and receivable from Vector, including related party debt, are shown separately on the balance sheets. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

# **General Corporate Expenses**

General corporate expense allocations represent costs related to corporate functions such as executive oversight, risk management, accounting, legal, investor relations, tax, other services and employee benefits and incentives Vector provides to the Company. The allocations are based on a reasonable estimation of Vector's overhead expenses based on the relative specific identification and the relative percentage of the Company's revenues and headcount to Vector's total cost. These allocations are reflected in fees paid to Vector in the Company's consolidated statements of operations in \$500, \$426, and \$411 in 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively.

The Company and Vector consider these general corporate expense allocations to be a reasonable reflection of the utilization of services provided. The allocations may not, however, reflect the expense the Company would have incurred as a stand-alone company. Actual costs which may have been incurred if the Company had been a stand-alone company in 2005, 2006 and 2007 would depend on a number of factors, including how the Company chose to organize itself, what, if any, functions were

(in thousands of dollars)

outsourced or performed by LVB employees and strategic decisions made in areas such as infrastructure. However, the Company currently does not believe the difference between the cost allocations from Vector and the costs the Company would have incurred on a stand-alone basis would have a material impact on the Company's consolidated statements of operations, balance sheets or statements of cash flows for 2005, 2006, and 2007.

### **Principles of Consolidation**

These consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Vector Tobacco and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Vector Tobacco Ltd. (Bermuda), VT Roxboro LLC, and VT Real Estate Ltd. as well as the accounts of Vector Research LLC, an affiliated entity performing research for developing low nicotine and nicotine-free cigarette and reduced risk tobacco products. Vector Tobacco has consolidated Vector Research LLC under the provisions of the Financial Accounting Standards Board's ("FASB") Interpretation No. 46(R) ("FIN 46(R)"), "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities". The consolidated financial statements exclude VT Aviation LLC as Vector consolidates this entity as its primary beneficiary. In 2006, VT Roxboro LLC was merged into VT Real Estate Ltd. In 2007, VT Real Estate Ltd. was dissolved.

### **Estimates and Assumptions**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2007, and 2006, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005. Significant estimates subject to material changes in the near term include restructuring and impairment charges, promotional accruals, inventory reserves, allowances for doubtful accounts and allowances for sales returns, Master Settlement Agreement ("MSA") liabilities, the tobacco quota buy-out, and litigation and defense costs. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

# **Cash and Cash Equivalents**

The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents, restricted assets and short-term loans approximate their fair value. Management considers cash and cash equivalents to include cash on hand, amounts on deposit in banks, and highly liquid investments with maturity dates when purchased of three months or less. Bank deposits are held in several financial institutions. Those financial institutions are each insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") for deposits up to \$100. The carrying amount of bank deposits, including amounts classified as cash and cash equivalents, were approximately \$2,654 and \$4,579 at December 31, 2007, and 2006 respectively. Bank deposits of approximately \$100 at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, are insured by the FDIC.

#### **Accounts Receivable**

Accounts receivable-trade are recorded at their net realizable value. The allowance for doubtful accounts and cash discounts was \$13 and \$33 at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

# **Inventories**

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market with cost determined using the first-in, first-out ("FIFO") method. Although portions of leaf tobacco inventories may not be used or sold within one year because of the time required for aging, they are included in current assets, which is common practice in the cigarette industry. It is not practicable to determine the amount that will not be used or sold within one year.

The Company estimates an inventory reserve for excess quantities and obsolete items based on specific identification and historical write-offs, taking into account future demand and market

(in thousands of dollars)

conditions. During the fourth quarter of 2006, the Company recognized a non-cash charge of \$890 to adjust the carrying value of excess inventory.

# Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost and are depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets which are three to fifteen years for machinery and equipment. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the term of the respective lease or the estimated useful life of improvements whichever is shorter.

Expenditures for repairs and maintenance are charged to expense as incurred. The costs of major renewals and betterments are capitalized. The cost and related accumulated depreciation of property, plant and equipment are removed from the accounts upon retirement or other disposition and any resulting gain or loss is reflected in operations.

Under SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets", the Company is required to review long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Accordingly, when indicators of impairment are present, the Company evaluates the carrying value of property, plant and equipment against their related future undiscounted cash flows. If the carrying value exceeds such cash flows, then impairment is deemed to exist. The amount of any impairment is determined by comparing the long-lived assets' carrying value against its fair value, which is determined using discounted future cash flows.

# **Intangible Asset**

The Company is required to conduct an annual review of intangible assets for potential impairment including the intangible asset of \$107,511, which is not subject to amortization due to its indefinite useful life. This intangible asset relates to the exemption of Medallion, acquired in April 2002, under the MSA agreement, which states payments under the MSA continue in perpetuity. (See Note 4.) As a result, the Company believes it will realize the benefit of the exemption for the foreseeable future.

Other intangible assets, included in other assets, consisting of trademarks and patent rights, are amortized using the straight-line method over 10-12 years and had a net book value of \$53 and \$60 at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The amortization expense related to the intangible assets was \$7, \$110, and \$128 in 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively. In connection with the December 2006 restructuring of Vector Research, the Company recorded an impairment charge of approximately \$650 related to a patent, which is included as a component of "Restructuring and impairment charges" in the Company's consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2006.

# **Revenue Recognition**

Revenues from sales are recognized upon shipment of finished goods when title and risk of loss have passed to the customer, there is pervasive evidence of an arrangement, the sales price is determinable and collectibility is reasonably assured. The Company provides for expected sales returns. Certain sales incentives, including buydowns, are classified as reductions of net sales in accordance with the FASB's Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 01-9, "Accounting for Consideration Given by a Vendor to a Customer (Including a Reseller of the Vendor's Products)." In accordance with EITF Issue No. 06-3, "How Sales Taxes Should be Presented in the Income Statement (Gross versus Net)", the Company's accounting policy is to include federal excise taxes in revenues and cost of goods sold. Such revenues and cost of goods sold totaled \$23,681, \$25,621, and \$24,846 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively. Since the Company's primary line of business is tobacco, the Company's financial position and its results of operations and cash flows have been and

(in thousands of dollars)

could continue to be materially adversely affected by significant unit sales volume declines, litigation and defense costs, increased tobacco costs or reductions in the selling price of cigarettes in the near term.

# **Shipping and Handling Fees and Costs**

Shipping and handling fees related to sales transactions are not billed to customers nor recorded as sales revenue. Shipping and handling costs, which were \$634, \$687, and \$671 in 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively, are recorded as selling, general and administrative expenses.

# Advertising

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred and were \$3, \$29, and \$25 for they years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and are recorded as selling, general and administrative expenses.

### **Research and Development Costs**

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred. Expenditures by Vector Tobacco for research and development activities were \$4,240, \$6,739, and \$9,020 in 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively.

# **Stock-Based Compensation**

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company through an affiliate accounted for employee stock compensation plans under SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS No. 123R"), which requires companies to measure compensation cost for share-based payments at fair value.

Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for employee stock compensation plans under APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" with the intrinsic value-based method permitted by SFAS No. 123, and "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" as amended by SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation — Transition and Disclosure — an Amendment to FASB Statement No. 123." Accordingly, no compensation expense was recognized when the exercise price was equal to the market price of the underlying common stock on the grant date for the year ended December 31, 2005. (See Note 18.)

# **Employee Benefits**

Employees of LVB, an affiliate, perform services for Vector Tobacco and associated expenses, including benefits, of such employees are allocated to Vector Tobacco. A senior executive of Vector Research LLC participates in the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan ("SERP") sponsored by Vector and such expenses are allocated from Vector to Vector Tobacco.

### **Income Taxes**

The Company adopted FIN 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes (an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109)", on January 1, 2007. FIN 48 requires an entity to recognize the financial statement impact of a tax position when it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination. If the tax position meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold, the tax effect is recognized at the largest amount of the benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. FIN 48 requires that a liability created for unrecognized deferred tax benefits shall be presented as a liability and not combined with deferred tax liabilities or assets.

Deferred taxes reflect the impact of temporary differences between the amounts of assets and liabilities recognized for financial reporting purposes and the amounts recognized for tax purposes as well as tax

(in thousands of dollars)

credit carryforwards and loss carryforwards. These deferred taxes are measured by applying currently enacted tax rates. A valuation allowance reduces deferred tax assets when it is deemed more likely than not that future taxable income will be insufficient to realize some portion or all of the deferred tax assets.

Vector Tobacco's U.S. income tax provision and related deferred income tax amounts are determined as if the Company filed tax returns on a stand alone basis. The Company's entities currently join in the filing of a consolidated U.S. tax return with Vector and its other U.S. subsidiaries.

#### **Legal Costs**

The Company records litigation costs as selling, general and administrative expenses as those costs are incurred.

Litigation is subject to many uncertainties, and it is possible that the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows could be materially adversely affected by an unfavorable outcome in any such smoking-related litigation.

#### Distributions and dividends on common stock

The Company records distributions on its common stock as dividends in its consolidated statement of stockholder's equity (deficit) to the extent of retained earnings. Any amounts exceeding retained earnings are recorded as a reduction to additional paid-in-capital.

#### **Comprehensive Income**

Other comprehensive income is a component of stockholder's equity (deficit) and relates to pension related adjustments.

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income were as follows at December 31:

Pension related amounts 2007 2006 \$312 \$303

## Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amount of borrowings outstanding under the variable rate revolving credit facility and other long-term debt is a reasonable estimate of fair value, based upon estimated current borrowing rates for loans with similar terms and maturities. The estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company could realize in a current market exchange. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair values.

(in thousands of dollars)

	December	December 31, 2007		er 31, 2006
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Financial assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$2,654	\$2,654	\$ 4,579	\$ 4,579
Financial liabilities:				
Notes payable and long-term debt	_	_	146,771	146,176

#### **New Accounting Pronouncements**

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements", which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurement. SFAS No. 157 clarifies that fair value should be based on assumptions that market participants would use when pricing an asset or liability and establishes a fair value hierarchy of three levels that prioritizes the information used to develop those assumptions. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets and the lowest priority to unobservable data. SFAS No. 157 requires fair value measurement to be separately disclosed by level within the fair value hierarchy. The provisions of SFAS No. 157 will become effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2008. Generally, the provisions of this statement are to be applied prospectively. Certain situations, however, require retrospective application as of the beginning of the year of adoption through the recognition of a cumulative effect of accounting change. Such retrospective application is required for financial instruments, including derivatives and certain hybrid instruments with limitations on initial gains or losses under EITF Issue No. 02-03, "Issues Involved in Accounting for Derivative Contracts Held for Trading Purposes and Contracts Involved in Energy Trading and Risk Management Activities". The Company has not completed its assessment of the impact of this standard on its consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities." SFAS No. 159 permits entities to elect to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, with early adoption permitted provided the entity also elects to apply the provisions of SFAS No. 157. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS No. 159 on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), a revised version of SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations." The revision is intended to simplify existing guidance and converge rulemaking under U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles with international accounting rules. This statement applies prospectively to business combinations where the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. An entity may not apply it before that date.

## 4. Medallion

On April 1, 2002, an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Vector acquired the stock of Medallion and certain related assets from Medallion's principal stockholder. The total purchase price consisted of \$50,000 in cash and \$60,000 in promissory notes, which were guaranteed by Vector and Liggett. Subsequently, and on the same day, the acquiring Vector subsidiary and Vector Tobacco were merged into Medallion, and Medallion was renamed Vector Tobacco Inc. As a result of these transactions, Vector Tobacco became the obligor for \$60,000 of promissory notes. (See Note 9.) Vector made the final acquisition payment of \$35,000 on April 2, 2007 on behalf of Vector Tobacco. The Company has accounted for the Vector payment of \$35,000 as a capital contribution during 2007.

(in thousands of dollars)

Medallion, formerly a discount cigarette manufacturer headquartered in Richmond, Virginia, is a participant in the MSA agreement between the state Attorneys General and the tobacco industry. Medallion has no payment obligations under the MSA agreement except to the extent its market share exceeds approximately 0.28% of total cigarettes sold in the United States (approximately 1.0 billion cigarettes).

### 5. Inventories

Inventories consist of the following at December 31:

	2007	2006
Leaf tobacco	\$ 122	\$ 92
Work-in-process	61	70
Finished goods	4,647	8,939
Total inventories, net	\$ 4,830	\$ 9,101

Leaf tobacco at December 31, 2007 includes costs related to tobacco purchased by the Company from third party tobacco dealers and tobacco grown under contract with independent farmers. There were no leaf tobacco purchase commitments at Vector Tobacco as of December 31, 2007. During 2007, the Company entered into a single source supply agreement for fire safe cigarette paper through 2012.

There was no genetically modified tobacco included in the Company's leaf tobacco inventory as of December 31, 2007. In connection with the Company's decision in November 2006 to discontinue the genetics operation of Vector Research and not pursue, at this time, FDA approval of QUEST as a smoking cessation aide, the Company recognized a non-cash charge of \$890 to adjust the carrying value of excess genetically modified QUEST leaf tobacco inventory in 2006. The charge was recorded in cost of goods sold for the year ended December 31, 2006.

The Company capitalizes the incremental prepaid cost of the MSA agreement in ending inventory.

Since January 1, 2004 all of Vector Tobacco's products have been manufactured under a contract manufacturing agreement by Liggett at Liggett's manufacturing facility in Mebane, North Carolina. (See Note 16.)

## Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment consist of the following at December 31:

	2007	2006
Buildings and improvements	\$ 47	\$ 24
Construction-in-progress	_	2
Machinery and equipment	2,017	1,970
Property, plant and equipment	2,064	1,996
Less accumulated depreciation	(1,964)	(1,916)
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$ 100	\$ 80

(in thousands of dollars)

Depreciation expense was \$48, \$144, and \$477 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively. There were no commitments to purchase machinery and equipment at December 31, 2007.

#### 7. Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist of the following at December 31:

Indefinite useful live intangible asset

**2007 2006** \$107,511

In connection with the acquisition of Medallion, the Company allocated a portion of the total purchase price of \$110,000 to Medallion's exemption under the MSA agreement. (See Note 4.) As provided in SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Intangible Assets", which was adopted by the Company on January 1, 2002, this intangible asset was deemed to have an indefinite useful life and is tested for impairment annually or more frequently when indicators of impairment are present. The annual test was performed in the fourth quarter of 2007, 2006, and 2005, resulting in no impairment.

Other intangible assets, included in other assets, consisting of trademarks and patent rights, which are amortized using the straight-line method over 10-12 years and had a net book value of \$53 and \$60 at December 31, 2007, and 2006, respectively. In connection with the December 2006 restructuring of Vector Research, the Company recorded an impairment charge of approximately \$650, which is included as a component of "Restructuring and impairment charges" in the Company's consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2006. Amortization expense associated with trademarks and patents totaled \$7 in 2007, \$110 (excluding impairment) in 2006, and \$128 in 2005. It is expected that amortization expense on existing trademark agreements will be \$8 per year for the next five years.

## 8. Revolving Demand Promissory Note - Parent

Vector Tobacco had a revolving demand promissory note with VGR and Vector. This credit facility, as amended, provided for borrowings up to \$350,000. The principal amount was payable in full upon thirty days notice of demand. Interest accrued on the unpaid principal balance at the prime rate plus 1% (8.25% as of December 31, 2007) and was added to the principal balance on the first day of each month. Accrued interest was payable upon any prepayment of the principal or upon demand. The loan was revolving and Vector Tobacco had the right to repay a portion of the loan from time to time and thereafter seek to borrow additional funds.

The Company incurred interest expense of \$5,127, \$10,096 and \$22,956 in 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively. During 2007, the Company made a \$3,500 interest payment, and in 2007, 2006, and 2005 the Company incurred non-cash interest expense of \$1,627, \$10,096, and \$22,956, respectively, which increased the balance of the revolving demand promissory note due to parent.

In June 2007, Vector contributed its revolving demand promissory note (with an outstanding balance of \$109,396) to Vector Tobacco by cancelling the note. The transaction was recorded as a capital contribution to the Company. In December 2005, VGR contributed \$218,897 of the revolving demand promissory note leaving a balance of \$107,500 at December 31, 2005. At December 31, 2006, the balance on the revolving demand promissory note was \$111,771.

(in thousands of dollars)

#### 9. Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt consists of the following at December 31:

Note payable-Medallion acquisition	\$ 35,000
	35,000
Less current maturities	(35,000)
Amount due after one year	\$ —

2006

## **Notes Payable for Medallion Acquisition**

On April 1, 2002 as a result of the Medallion transaction described in Note 4, the Company became obligor on two promissory notes totaling \$60,000. The first note for \$25,000 bore interest at 9% and was paid off at a rate of \$3,125 per quarter commencing June 30, 2002 and continuing through March 31, 2004. The second note for \$35,000 bore interest at 6.5% payable semi-annually. The entire principal was retired on April 2, 2007.

## 10. Employee Benefit Plans

The Company's portion of the 401(k) plan expenses sponsored by LVB, for entities in the affiliate's controlled group, were \$29, \$63, and \$85 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively.

#### **Defined Benefit Retirement Plans**

During 2007, 2006, and 2005, a certain senior executive of Vector Research LLC also participated in the SERP sponsored by Vector. The Company expensed \$191, \$172, and \$411 in relation to the Vector SERP plan during 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively.

#### 11. Income Taxes

Vector Tobacco's income tax provision and related deferred income tax amounts are determined as if the Company filed tax returns on a stand alone basis. The Company and its subsidiaries currently join in the filing of a consolidated tax return with Vector and its other subsidiaries.

Vector Tobacco's operations are included in consolidated federal and state income tax returns of its indirect parent, Vector. At December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company had \$97,540 and \$100,827 of unrecognized net deferred tax assets, comprised principally of net operating loss carryforwards, available to offset future taxable income for federal and state income tax purposes, respectively. A valuation allowance has been provided against these deferred tax assets as it is presently deemed more likely than not that the benefit of such net tax assets will not be utilized. The Company currently has an indefinite lived intangible asset of \$107,511 that is not amortized. Therefore, the reversal of deferred tax liabilities related to this intangible asset is not considered a source of future taxable income in assessing the realization of deferred tax assets. The Company continues to evaluate the realizability of its net deferred tax assets and its estimate is subject to change.

The Company's parent, VGR, participates in a tax sharing agreement with Vector in which VGR remits tax payments to Vector based on the consolidated taxable income of VGR and its subsidiaries (the "VGR Group"). Under the tax sharing agreement, each member of the VGR Group whose tax liability is reduced by a net operating loss or credit of another member is treated as paying such member for the use of such benefit. However, the member providing such benefit does not receive credit until it is

## Vector Tobacco Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

(in thousands of dollars)

able to use the benefit on a separate company basis, rather than when the benefit is actually used by the VGR Group. Because Vector Tobacco could not use the benefit of its federal net operating losses on a separate company basis, VGR did not allocate tax benefits to Vector Tobacco as of December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005. Consequently, no income tax benefit was recorded for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005.

Temporary differences which give rise to a significant portion of deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

	2007 Deferred Tax			2006 Deferred Tax				
	Α	Asset Liability		Asset		Liab	oility	
Sales and product allowances	\$	441	\$	_	\$	407	\$	_
Inventories		21		_		27		_
Property, plant and equipment		_		4		12		_
Compensation, benefits and related items		460		_		466		_
Amortization of intangibles		_	16,	266		_	13	,410
Restructuring		25		_		238		_
Settlement payments		_	1,8	848		_		_
Net operating losses	13	39,787		_	1	38,663		_
Valuation allowance	(13	38,882)		_	(1	39,813)		_
Reclassifications		(1,852)	(1,	852)				
Total deferred taxes	\$	_	\$16,	266	\$	_	\$13	,410

Differences between the amounts provided for income taxes and amounts computed at the federal statutory tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005 are summarized as follows:

	2007	2006	2005
Income (loss) before income tax provision	\$10,366	\$ (4,066)	\$(16,001)
Federal income tax expense (benefit) at statutory rate	\$ 3,628	\$ (1,423)	\$ (5,600)
Decreases resulting from:			
State income tax provision (benefit) at statutory rate, net of federal income tax expense			
(benefit)	488	(192)	(754)
Other changes due to changes in deferred state income tax assets	(330)	(1,978)	(714)
Other, net	_	55	51
Change in valuation allowance, net	(931)	6,393	9,872
Total income tax provision	\$ 2,855	\$ 2,855	\$ 2,855

As of January 1, 2007, the Company adopted the provisions of FIN 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes (an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109)". The Company did not recognize any adjustment in the liability for unrecognized tax benefits as a result of the adoption of FIN 48 that impacted the January 1, 2007 accumulated deficit.

(in thousands of dollars)

There were no unrecognized tax benefits at January 1, 2007 and December 31, 2007, respectively. The Company classifies all tax-related interest and penalties as income tax expense.

#### 12. Operating Leases

As of December 31, 2007, the Company has operating leases with terms greater than one year for building space, vehicles and office equipment. The future minimum lease payments are as follows:

		Lease
Year Ending December 31:	<u>C</u>	ommitments
2008	\$	307
2009	_	289
Total	\$	596

In addition to the above scheduled future minimum lease payments, Vector Tobacco expects to receive approximately \$295 in allocated rental expense over the next five years and thereafter from LVB. (See Note 16.)

Rental expense for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005 was \$349, \$934, and \$972, respectively.

## 13. Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of trade receivables and cash and cash equivalents.

Vector Tobacco's customers are primarily candy and tobacco distributors, and large grocery, drug and convenience store chains. Three customers accounted for approximately 32%, 17% and 15%, respectively of gross sales in 2007. Three customers accounted for approximately 27%, 17% and 14%, respectively, of gross sales in 2006. Two customers accounted for approximately 33% and 16%, respectively, of gross sales in 2005. Concentrations of credit risk with respect to trade receivables are limited due to the number of customers comprising the Company's customer base and the frequency of orders by these customers. Vector Tobacco's largest single customer represented approximately 48% of net accounts receivable at December 31, 2007 and 21% at December 31, 2006. This customer had no outstanding balance at December 31, 2005. Ongoing credit evaluations of customers' financial condition are performed and, generally, no collateral is required. The Company maintains reserves for potential credit losses and such losses, in the aggregate, have generally not exceeded management's estimates.

The Company maintains cash deposits and money market accounts with major banks which from time to time may exceed federally insured limits. The Company periodically assesses the financial condition of the institutions and believes that the risk of loss is minimal.

(in thousands of dollars)

## 14. Contingencies

## **Smoking-Related Litigation**

#### Overview

Since 1954, United States cigarette manufacturers have been named as defendants in numerous direct and third-party actions predicated on the theory that cigarette manufacturers should be liable for damages alleged to have been caused by cigarette smoking or by exposure to secondary smoke from cigarettes. Although, new cases continue to be commenced against certain cigarette manufacturers, including Vector Tobacco's affiliate, Liggett, Vector Tobacco has not been named as a defendant in any such actions.

## **Master Settlement Agreement**

In November 1998, Philip Morris Incorporated, Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corporation, R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company and Lorillard Tobacco Company (collectively, the "Original Participating Manufacturers" or "OPMs") (together with the OPMs and any other tobacco product manufacturer that becomes a signatory, the "Subsequent Participating Manufacturers" or "SPMs"), (the OPMs and SPMs are hereinafter referred to jointly as the "Participating Manufacturers") entered into the Master Settlement Agreement (the "MSA") with 46 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, the United States Virgin Islands, American Samoa and the Northern Marianas (collectively, the "Settling States") to settle the asserted and unasserted health care cost recovery and certain other claims of those Settling States. The MSA received final judicial approval in each Settling States. In February 1999, Medallion (n/k/a Vector Tobacco) became a subsequent participating manufacturer under the MSA.

In the settling jurisdictions, the MSA released Vector Tobacco from:

- all claims of the Settling States and their respective political subdivisions and other recipients of state health care funds, relating to:

   (i) past conduct arising out of the use, sale, distribution, manufacture, development, advertising and marketing of tobacco products;
   (ii) the health effects of, the exposure to, or research, statements or warnings about, tobacco products; and
- (iii) all monetary claims of the Settling States and their respective subdivisions and other recipients of state health care funds, relating to future conduct arising out of the use or exposure to, tobacco products that have been manufactured in the ordinary course of business.

The MSA restricts tobacco product advertising and marketing within the Settling States and otherwise restricts the activities of Participating Manufacturers. Among other things, the MSA prohibits the targeting of youth in the advertising, promotion or marketing of tobacco products; bans the use of cartoon characters in all tobacco advertising and promotion; limits each Participating Manufacturer to one tobacco brand name sponsorship during any 12-month period; bans all outdoor advertising, with certain limited exceptions; prohibits payments for tobacco product placement in various media; bans gift offers based on the purchase of tobacco products without sufficient proof that the intended recipient is an adult; prohibits Participating Manufacturers from licensing third parties to advertise tobacco brand names in any manner prohibited under the MSA; and prohibits Participating Manufacturers from using as a tobacco product brand name any nationally recognized non-tobacco brand or trade name or the names of sports teams, entertainment groups or individual celebrities.

The MSA also requires Participating Manufacturers to affirm corporate principles to comply with the MSA and to reduce underage usage of tobacco products and imposes requirements applicable to lobbying activities conducted on behalf of Participating Manufacturers.

(in thousands of dollars)

Vector Tobacco has no payment obligations under the MSA except to the extent its market share exceeds a base amount of approximately 0.28% of total cigarettes sold in the United States (approximately 1.0 billion cigarettes in 2007). On April 15 of any year following a year in which Vector Tobacco's market share exceeds its base share, Vector Tobacco will pay on each excess unit an amount equal (on a per-unit basis) to that due by the OPMs for that year, subject to applicable adjustments, offsets and reductions based upon, among other things, the volume of cigarettes sold by Vector Tobacco, its relative market share and inflation. Since relative market shares are based on cigarette shipments, the best estimate of the allocation of charges under the MSA is recorded in cost of goods sold as the products are shipped. Adjustments are recorded in the period that the change becomes probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated. Vector Tobacco does not pay MSA payments on sales of the QUEST 3 product as the Company believes that QUEST 3 does not fall within the definition of a cigarette under the MSA. For the payment years, 2005 and 2006, (relating to Vector Tobacco's 2004 and 2005 MSA obligations), the Company had no payment obligations under the MSA. Vector Tobacco has accrued \$260, \$5,633, and \$337 for its estimated MSA obligations as of December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively. In April 2007 Vector Tobacco paid \$4,199 for its 2006 MSA obligation. Vector Tobacco has expensed \$4,350 for its estimated MSA obligation for 2007 as part of cost of goods sold. Vector Tobacco prepaid \$2,500 of its 2007 MSA obligation and does not anticipate paying any additional amount in 2008 relating to the 2007 MSA obligation.

In March 2008, Vector Tobacco received the preliminary bill for its 2007 MSA obligation. The bill, adjusted for certain disputed amounts was approximately \$154 lower than the amount accrued at December 31, 2007, due to higher than expected industry volume.

Adjusting for the preliminary bill, Vector Tobacco's 2007 estimated expense for its MSA obligation is \$4,196 rather than the \$4,350 recorded for the year ended December 31, 2007. This adjustment will be recorded in 2008 as a reduction of MSA expense recorded in cost of goods sold.

Under the payment provisions of the MSA, the Participating Manufacturers are required to pay a base annual amount of \$9,000,000 in 2008 and each year thereafter (subject to applicable adjustments, offsets and reductions). These annual payments are allocated based on unit volume of domestic cigarette shipments. The payment obligations under the MSA are the several, and not joint, obligations of each Participating Manufacturer and are not the responsibility of any parent or affiliate of a Participating Manufacturer.

In March 2006, an independent economic consulting firm selected pursuant to the MSA rendered its final and non-appealable decision that the MSA was a "significant factor contributing to" the loss of market share of Participating Manufacturers for 2003. The economic consulting firm rendered the same decision with respect to 2004 and 2005. As a result, the manufacturers are entitled to a potential NPM Adjustment to their 2003, 2004, and 2005 MSA payments. A Settling State that has diligently enforced its qualifying escrow statute in that year may be able to avoid application of the NPM Adjustment to the payments made by the manufacturers for the benefit of that state or territory.

Since April 2006, notwithstanding provisions in the MSA requiring arbitration, litigation has been commenced in 49 Settling States over the issue of whether the application of the NPM Adjustment for 2003 is to be determined through litigation or arbitration. These actions relate to the potential NPM Adjustment for 2003, which the Independent Auditor under the MSA previously determined to be as much as \$1.2 billion. To date, 47 of 48 courts that have decided the issue have ruled that the 2003 NPM Adjustment dispute is arbitrable and 34 of those decisions are final. In Louisiana, the Participating Manufacturers have appealed the court's decision that the dispute was not arbitrable. There can be no assurance that Vector Tobacco will receive any adjustments as a result of these proceedings.

(in thousands of dollars)

Vector Tobacco has withheld from payment \$1,434 and \$337 from its estimated 2006 and 2005 MSA obligations, respectively. These amounts have been fully accrued except \$185 in accumulated interest.

#### Other Matters

Vector Tobacco's management is unaware of any material environmental conditions affecting its existing facilities. Vector Tobacco's management believes that current operations are conducted in material compliance with all environmental laws and regulations and other laws and regulations governing cigarette manufacturers. Compliance with federal, state and local provisions regulating the discharge of materials into the environment, or otherwise relating to the protection of the environment, has not had a material effect on the capital expenditures, earnings or competitive position of Vector Tobacco. Vector Tobacco's management is also unaware of any other claims that would materially affect the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In February 2008 an arbitration panel ruled on certain matters related to outstanding royalty payments, legal fees and licensing agreements between Vector Tobacco and a third party. The awards were fully accrued at December 31, 2007.

### 15. Legislation and Regulation

Federal or state regulators may object to Vector Tobacco's low nicotine and nicotine-free cigarette products and reduced risk cigarette products as unlawful or allege they bear deceptive or unsubstantiated product claims, and seek the removal of the products from the marketplace, or significant changes to advertising. Allegations by federal or state regulators, public health organizations and other tobacco manufacturers that Vector Tobacco's products are unlawful, or that its public statements or advertising contain misleading or unsubstantiated health claims or product comparisons, may result in litigation or governmental proceedings. Vector Tobacco's business may become subject to extensive domestic and international governmental regulation. Various proposals have been made for federal, state and international legislation to regulate cigarette manufacturers generally, and reduced constituent cigarettes specifically. It is possible that laws and regulations may be adopted covering issues like the manufacture, sale, distribution, advertising and labeling of tobacco products as well as any express or implied health claims associated with reduced risk and low nicotine and nicotine-free cigarette products and the use of genetically modified tobacco. A system of regulation by agencies like the FDA, the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") or the United States Department of Agriculture may be established. The FTC has expressed interest in the regulation of tobacco products which bear reduced carcinogen claims. The ultimate outcome of any of the foregoing cannot be predicted, but any of the foregoing could have a material adverse impact on the Company.

Vector Tobacco's management believes that it is in compliance in all material respects with the laws regulating cigarette manufacturers.

## 16. Related Party Transactions

In October 2002, the sales and marketing functions of Liggett and Vector Tobacco were combined into LVB. LVB coordinates and executes the sales, marketing and manufacturing efforts along with certain support functions for all of the Company's tobacco operations. In conjunction with the duties performed at LVB, a portion of its sales, marketing, manufacturing, distribution, and administrative expenses have been allocated to the Company. During 2007, 2006 and 2005, Vector Tobacco expensed \$4,989, \$3,155 and \$3,212, respectively, for services provided by LVB of which \$(13) in 2005 related to restructuring costs. The remaining expenses have been classified as selling, general and

(in thousands of dollars)

administrative (\$4,989, \$3,155 and \$3,225 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively).

In 2006 Vector Tobacco entered into an agreement with Vector to provide various management and administrative services to Vector Tobacco in consideration for an annual management fee. The charges for services under this agreement amounted to \$500, \$426 and \$411 in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Vector Tobacco records compensation expense and contributed capital for certain costs allocated to it by Vector. Such costs are associated with Vector non-qualified stock options issued to Vector Tobacco employees. Vector Tobacco recognized compensation expense within selling, general and administrative expenses of \$0, \$129 and \$108 under this arrangement during 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Vector Tobacco and Liggett are parties to a services agreement whereby Liggett provides advisory, administrative and other services as requested by Vector Tobacco. Under the terms of the agreement, Vector Tobacco is obligated to reimburse Liggett for costs incurred. During 2005, Vector Tobacco also leased Liggett's former research, manufacturing, and office facilities. Vector Tobacco expensed \$191 in 2005 for these services and recorded this expense as selling, general and administrative expenses. During 2006 and 2005, Vector Tobacco paid Liggett approximately \$156 and \$191, respectively, for items such as machinery and equipment, rent and utilities, certain raw materials, marketing costs and advisory and administrative services under this services agreement.

On January 1, 2004 Vector Tobacco entered into a contract manufacturing agreement with Liggett whereby Liggett agreed to provide handling, storage, manufacturing, preparation, record-keeping, remittance of federal excise tax payments, processing of returns and other services relating to the manufacture of Vector Tobacco brands. The manufacturing agreement expired December 31, 2005, but is automatically renewed for a successive one-year term unless otherwise terminated by either party. Pricing is set forth in the manufacturing agreement based on previously determined standard costs and invoices are sent to Vector Tobacco monthly. In 2007, 2006 and 2005, Vector Tobacco purchased approximately 1.2 billion, 1.3 billion and 1.3 billion units, respectively, from Liggett and paid \$32,845, \$38,529 and \$34,890, respectively, which included profit of \$1,028, \$1,190 and \$1,153, respectively, to Liggett.

Vector Tobacco incurred additional expenses of approximately \$3,570, \$3,387, and \$3,178 in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, for transactions with VGR and Vector, which primarily included reimbursement of amounts paid on behalf of Vector Tobacco and interest payments on the revolving demand promissory note.

Vector Tobacco has a related party payable to Liggett of \$240 and \$1,414 at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, relating to the manufacturing agreement.

Related party receivables for each year ended consisted of the following:

Vector \$\frac{2006}{\$}\$

Related party payables for each year ended consisted of the following:

(in thousands of dollars)

	2007	2006
Liggett Group LLC	\$ 2,998	\$ 2,696
Liggett Vector Brands	3,322	2,552
	\$ 6,320	\$ 5,248

### 17. Restructuring

	Severance and Benefits	Asset Impairment Contract Termination, and Exit Cost	<u>Totals</u>
Balance as of December 31, 2004	\$ 467	\$ 52	\$ 519
Restructuring charges	_	<del></del>	_
Utilized in 2005	(329)	(31)	(360)
Balance as of December 31, 2005	138	21	159
Restructuring charges	484	2,115	2,599
Utilized in 2006	(134)	(1,854)	(1,988)
Balance as of December 31, 2006	488	282	770
Restructuring charges	_	_	
Utilized in 2007	(418)	(282)	(700)
Balance as of December 31, 2007	\$ 70	\$ —	\$ 70

In October 2003, Vector announced that it would close its Timberlake, North Carolina cigarette manufacturing facility in order to reduce excess tobacco production capacity and improve operating efficiencies company-wide. Production of the QUEST line of low nicotine and nicotine-free cigarettes, as well as production of Vector Tobacco's other cigarette brands, was moved to Liggett's manufacturing facility in Mebane, North Carolina.

In November 2006, Vector's Board of Directors determined to discontinue the genetics operation of Vector Tobacco and not to pursue, at this time, FDA approval of QUEST as a smoking cessation aid, due to the projected significant additional time and expense involved in seeking such approval. In connection with this decision, the Company eliminated 12 full-time positions effective December 31, 2006. In addition, the Company terminated certain license agreements associated with the genetics operation. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Vector Tobacco is continuing its dialogue with the FDA with respect to the prospects for phase III trials. Vector Tobacco will continue to evaluate whether to proceed with phase III trials.

As a result of these actions, the Company recognized pre-tax restructuring and inventory impairment charges of approximately \$2,599 during the fourth quarter of 2006. The restructuring charges include \$484 relating to employee severance and benefit costs, \$273 for contract termination and other associated costs, approximately \$952 for asset impairment and \$890 in inventory write-offs. Approximately \$1,842 of these charges represents non-cash items.

## 18. Stock Compensation

The Company's parent, Vector, offers stock option plans. As of December 31, 2007, there were approximately 4,925,000 shares available for issuance under Vector's Amended and Restated 1999

(in thousands of dollars)

Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "1999 Plan"). All employees of Vector's subsidiaries are eligible to receive grants under such plans. Vector Tobacco was allocated stock compensation expense from LVB of \$15, \$168, and \$103 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively. These amounts have been recorded in selling, general and administrative cost in the Company's consolidated statement of operations. A rollforward of option balances has not been included because Vector Tobacco had no employees at December 31, 2007. As of December 31, 2007 LVB has employees with 693,887 options outstanding.

Prior to January 1, 2006, Vector and its subsidiaries accounted for share-based compensation plans in accordance with the provisions of APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," as permitted by SFAS No. 123. Vector elected to use the intrinsic value method of accounting for employee and director share-based compensation expense for its non-compensatory employee and director stock option awards and did not recognize compensation expense for the issuance of options with an exercise price equal to the market price of the underlying common stock on the date of grant.

On January 1, 2006, Vector adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), which requires Vector to value unvested stock options granted prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) under the fair value method of accounting and expense this amount in the statement of operations over the stock options' remaining vesting period. Upon adoption, there was no cumulative adjustment for the impact of the change in accounting principles because the assumed forfeiture rate did not differ significantly from prior periods.

The fair value of option grants is estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The Black-Scholes option pricing model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options which have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including expected stock price characteristics which are significantly different from those of traded options, and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of stock-based compensation awards.

The assumptions used under the Black-Scholes option pricing model in computing fair value of options are based on the expected option life considering both the contractual term of the option and expected employee exercise behavior, the interest rate associated with U.S. Treasury issues with a remaining term equal to the expected option life and the expected volatility of Vector's common stock over the expected term of the option. There were no option grants during 2007. The assumptions used by Vector for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 were as follows:

	2006	2005
Risk-free interest rate	4.9%-5.0%	4.57%
Expected volatility	38.17%- 40.52%	25.82%
Dividend yield	9.96%-10.03%	7.82%
Expected holding period	6-6.75 years	10 years
Weighted average fair value	\$2.14- \$2.50	\$ 2.02

Awards of options to employees under the Vector's stock compensation plans generally vest over periods ranging from four to five years and have a term of ten years from the date of grant. The expense related to stock option compensation included in the determination of net income for the year ended December 31, 2005 differs from that which would have been recognized if the fair value method

(in thousands of dollars)

had been applied to all awards since the original effective date of SFAS No. 123. Had Vector elected to adopt the fair value approach as prescribed by SFAS No. 123, which charges earnings for the estimated fair value of stock options, its pro forma net income for the year ended December 31, 2005 would have been as follows:

	2003
Net loss	\$(18,856)
Deduct: stock options employee compensation expense not included in reported net income	(190)
Pro forma net loss	<u>\$(19,046)</u>

2005

In November 2005, the President of Liggett and Liggett Vector Brands was awarded a restricted stock grant of 55,125 shares of Vector's common stock pursuant to the 1999 Plan. Pursuant to his restricted share agreement, one-fourth of the shares vested on November 1, 2006, with an additional one-fourth vesting on each of the three succeeding one-year anniversaries of the first vesting date through November 1, 2009. In the event his employment with Vector is terminated for any reason other than his death, his disability or a change of control (as defined in his restricted share agreement) of Vector, any remaining balance of the shares not previously vested will be forfeited by him. Vector recorded deferred compensation of \$1,018 representing the fair market value of the restricted shares on the date of grant. Vector Tobacco recorded an expense of \$25, \$25 and \$4 associated with the grant for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. These amounts have been recorded in selling, general and administrative cost in the Company's consolidated statement of operations.

## ${\bf Schedule\ II-Valuation\ and\ Qualifying\ Accounts}$

	Balance a Beginnin of Period	g Costs a Expens	d to and	Balance at End of Period
Description				
Year ended December 31, 2007				
Allowance for:				
Doubtful accounts	\$	5 \$	_ \$ <u>_</u>	\$ 5
Cash discounts	_	28 2,4		8
Deferred tax valuation allowance	139,81		31) —	138,882
Sales returns	1,09	<u> </u>	<u>18</u> <u>12</u>	1,100
Total	\$140,94	<u>\$ 1,5</u>	<u>\$ 2,487</u>	<u>\$139,995</u>
Year ended December 31, 2006				
Allowance for:				
Doubtful accounts	\$	5 \$	_ \$ _	\$ 5
Cash discounts	۷	10 3,1	93 3,205	28
Deferred tax valuation allowance	133,42			139,813
Sales returns	1,59	9	<b>—</b> 505	1,094
Total	\$135,06	\$ 9,5	86 \$ 3,710	\$140,940
Varianded Basember 04, 0005				
Year ended December 31, 2005				
Allowance for:	Φ.	г ф	ф	ф г
Doubtful accounts	\$	5 \$ 3.2	_ \$ _	\$ 5
Cash discounts	100 5	-,-		40
Deferred tax valuation allowance	123,54			133,420
Sales returns	2,27		70 1,143	1,599
Total	<u>\$125,82</u>	28 \$ 13,5	<u>\$ 4,314</u>	\$135,064

# CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statements on Form S-8 (Nos. 333-59210, 333-71596, 333-118113 and 333-130406) and on Form S-3 (Nos. 333-46055, 33-38869, 333-45377, 333-56873, 333-62156, 333-69294, 333-82212, 333-121502, 333-121504, 333-125077, 333-131393, 333-135816, 333-135962 and 333-137093) of our report dated February 29, 2008, except with respect to our opinion on the consolidated financial statements insofar as it relates to the condensed consolidating financial information, as included in Note 22, as to which the date is April 4, 2008, relating to the financial statements, financial statement schedule and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, which appears in this Current Report on Form 8-K/A.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Miami, Florida April 4, 2008

# CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statements on Form S-8 (Nos. 333-59210, 333-71596, 333-118113 and 333-130406) and on Form S-3 (Nos. 333-46055, 33-38869, 333-45377, 333-56873, 333-62156, 333-69294, 333-82212, 333-121502, 333-121504, 333-125077, 333-131393, 333-135816, 333-135962 and 333-137093) of Vector Group Ltd. of our report dated February 29, 2008 relating to the financial statements and financial statement schedule of Liggett Group Ltd. which appears in this Current Report on Form 8-K/A of Vector Group Ltd.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Raleigh, North Carolina April 4, 2008

# CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statements on Form S-8 (Nos. 333-59210, 333-71596, 333-118113 and 333-130406) and on Form S-3 (Nos. 333-46055, 33-38869, 333-45377, 333-56873, 333-62156, 333-69294, 333-82212, 333-121502, 333-121504, 333-125077, 333-131393, 333-135816, 333-135962 and 333-137093) of Vector Group Ltd. of our report dated February 29, 2008 relating to the financial statements and financial statement schedule of Vector Tobacco Inc., which appears in this Current Report on Form 8-K/A of Vector Group Ltd.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Raleigh, North Carolina April 4, 2008

#### ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

## **Conclusions Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report, and, based on their evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that these controls and procedures are effective.

#### Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in *Internal Control* — *Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on our evaluation under the framework in *Internal Control* — *Integrated Framework*, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2007

The effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered certified public accounting firm, as stated in their report, which is included herein.

#### **Material Changes in Internal Control**

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.