\_\_\_\_\_

# Securities And Exchange Commission Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

JOINT QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 1998

BROOKE GROUP LTD. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

1-5759

51-0255124

(State or other jurisdiction of commission File Number (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.) incorporation or organization)

BGLS INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

33-93576

13-3593483

(Chata an other invisionistics of Commission File Number (T. D.C. Freeleyer Identification No.

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

Commission File Number

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

100 S.E. SECOND STREET MIAMI, FLORIDA 33131 305/579-8000

(Address, including zip code and telephone number, including area code, of the principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrants (1) have filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrants were required to file such reports), and (2) have been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. [ X ] Yes [ ] No

At November 13, 1998 Brooke Group Ltd. had 20,943,730 shares of common stock outstanding, and BGLS Inc. had 100 shares of common stock outstanding, all of which are held by Brooke Group Ltd.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

## BROOKE GROUP LTD. BGLS INC.

FORM 10-Q

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. BROOKE GROUP LTD./BGLS INC. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:	
Brooke Group Ltd. Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 1998 and December 31, 1997	2
BGLS Inc. Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 1998 and December 31, 1997	3
Brooke Group Ltd. Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 1998 and September 30, 1997	4
BGLS Inc. Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September, 1998 and September 30, 1997	5
Brooke Group Ltd. Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) for the nine months ended September 30, 1998	6
BGLS Inc. Consolidated Statement of Stockholder's Equity (Deficit) for the nine months ended September 30, 1998	7
Brooke Group Ltd. Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 1998 and September 30, 1997	8
BGLS Inc. Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 1998 and September 30, 1997	9
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	10
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	34
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	48
Item 2. CHANGES IN SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS	48
Item 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES	48
Item 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K	48
SIGNATURES	50

## Item 1. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

# BROOKE GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) (UNAUDITED)

	September 30, 1998	December 31, 1997
ASSETS: Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents. Accounts receivable - trade. Other receivables. Inventories. Other current assets.	\$ 6,390 10,858 1,277 47,560 6,522	\$ 4,754 10,462 1,239 39,312 12,218
Total current assets	72,607	67,985
Property, plant and equipment, at cost, less accumulated depreciation of \$32,938 and \$33,187	57,761	45,943
of \$20,401 and \$19,302 Other assets	319 10,643	2,610 9,922
Total assets	\$ 141,330 ======	\$ 126,460 ======
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT):		
Current liabilities:  Notes payable and current portion of long-term debt	\$177,461 19,618 1,578 1,405 27,534 11,059 10,006 22,401 	\$ 6,429 10,461 945 26,993 19,998 39,782 35,896  140,504 399,835
Noncurrent employee benefits	25,207 76,441	29,366 45,152
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity (deficit): Preferred Stock, par value \$1.00 per share, authorized 10,000,000 shares	0.00	
20,943,730 and 18,097,096 shares	2,094 122,010 (580,999) 14,397	1,850 88,290 (538,791) (5,607)
at cost  Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	(27,473)  (469,971)	(34,139)  (488,397)
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity (deficit)	\$ 141,330 ========	\$ 126,460 =======

# BGLS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) (UNAUDITED)

	September 30, 1998	December 31, 1997
ASSETS:		
Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 6,324 10,858 1,220 47,560 6,172	\$ 4,754 10,462 1,191 39,312 11,647
Total current assets	72,134	67,366
Property, plant and equipment, at cost, less accumulated depreciation of \$32,938 and \$32,760	57,735	45,775
\$20,401 and \$19,302 Other assets	319 9,537	2,610 13,165
Total assets	\$ 139,725 ======	\$ 128,916 ======
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY (DEFICIT):		
Current liabilities: Notes payable and current portion of long-term debt Accounts payable. Cash overdraft. Due to parent. Accrued promotional expenses. Accrued taxes payable. Accrued interest. Other accrued liabilities.  Total current liabilities.	\$ 177,127 19,486 1,405 34,981 27,534 11,059 10,006 21,776	\$ 6,212 10,336 891 22,951 26,993 19,998 39,782 34,312  161,475
Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations, less current portion  Noncurrent employee benefits	238,591 25,207 79,877	399,835 29,366 51,355
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholder's equity (deficit): Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share; 100 shares authorized, issued and outstanding	68,775 (593,429) 17,330	39,081 (550,339) (1,857)
Total stockholder's deficit	(507,324)	(513, 115)
Total liabilities and stockholder's equity (deficit)	\$ 139,725 ======	\$ 128,916 =======

# BROOKE GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) (UNAUDITED)

	Three Months Ended			nths Ended
	Sept. 30, 1998	Sept. 30, 1997	Sept. 30, 1998	Sept. 30, 1997
Revenues*  Cost of goods sold*	\$108,202 47,086	\$100,308 53,045	\$304,267 140,422	\$276,906 145,841
Gross profit Operating, selling and general expenses	61,116 48,115	47,263 40,498	163,845 130,241	131,065 117,535
Operating income	13,001	6,765	33,604	13,530
Other income (expenses):     Interest income	75 (20,138) (8,935) 707	431 (15,791) (6,984)	325 (60,561) (20,383) 2,025	1,682 (46,757) (21,335) 23,086 2,963 4,125
Other, net	233	(257)	(765)	(77)
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes (Benefit) provision for income taxes	(15,057) (2,447)	(15,836) (248)	(45,755) (1,135)	(22,783) 541
Loss from continuing operations	(12,610)	(15,588)	(44,620)	(23,324)
Discontinued operations: Gain on disposal of discontinued operations	3,208		3,208	
Net loss	\$ (9,402) ======	\$(15,588) ======	\$ (41,412) ======	\$ (23,324) ======
Basic and diluted common share data:				
Loss from continuing operations	\$(0.61) =====	\$(0.86) =====	\$(2.20) =====	\$(1.28)
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 0.15 =====	\$ ======	\$ 0.16 =====	===== \$ =====
Net loss applicable to common shares	\$(0.46) =====	\$(0.86) =====	\$(2.04) =====	\$(1.28) =====
Weighted average common shares outstanding	20,826,231	18,097,096 ======	20,250,199 ======	18,192,233 =======

<sup>\*</sup> Revenues and Cost of goods sold include federal excise taxes of \$20,244 and \$19,250 for the three months ended September 30, 1998 and 1997, respectively, and \$60,589 and \$55,263 for the nine months ended September 30, 1998 and 1997, respectively.

# BGLS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) (UNAUDITED)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Mon	ths Ended
	Sept. 30, 1998	Sept. 30, 1997	Sept. 30, 1998	Sept. 30, 1997
Revenues*  Cost of goods sold*	\$108,202 47,086	\$100,308 53,045	\$304,267 140,422	\$276,906 145,841
Gross profit	61,116	47,263	163,845	131,065
Operating, selling and general expenses	46,838	40,502	127,635	117,159
Operating income	14,278	6,761	36,210	13,906
Other income (expenses):     Interest income	65 (21,270) (8,935) 71	431 (16,750) (6,984)	184 (63,832) (20,883) 1,318 (930)	1,670 (49,542) (21,335) 27,663 2,963 (84)
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes (Benefit) provision for income taxes	(15,791) (2,447)	(16,799) (248)	(47,433) (1,135)	(24,759) 539
Loss from continuing operations	(13,344)	(16,551)	(46,298)	(25,298)
Discontinued operations: Gain on disposal of discontinued operations	3,208		3,208	
Net loss	\$(10,136) ======	\$(16,551) ======	\$(43,090) =====	\$(25,298) ======

<sup>\*</sup> Revenues and Cost of goods sold include federal excise taxes of \$20,244 and \$19,250 for the three months ended September 30, 1998 and 1997, respectively, and \$60,589 and \$55,263 for the nine months ended September 30, 1998 and 1997, respectively.

# BROOKE GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT) (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) (UNAUDITED)

	Common Stock Additional			Accumulated Other Comprehensive				
	Shares	Amount	Capital	Deficit	Stock	Other	Income	Total
Balance, December 31, 1997	18,097,096	\$1,850	\$ 88,290	\$(538,791)	\$(34,139)	\$(8,337)	\$ 2,730	\$(488,397)
Net loss				(41,412)				(41,412)
Issuance of options and warrants			24,442					24,442
Issuance of common stock	1,500,000	150	11,342					11,492
Effectiveness fee on debt	483,002	48	1,666		2,391			4,105
Issuance of treasury stock	863,632	46	319	(796)	4,275			3,844
Distributions on common stock (\$0.15 per share)			(4,589)					(4,589)
Amortization of deferred compensation			540			817		1,357
Unrealized holding gain on investment in New Valley							25,340	25,340
Effect of New Valley capital transactions							(6,153)	(6,153)
Balance, September 30, 1998	20,943,730	\$2,094	\$122,010	\$(580,999)	\$(27,473) =======	\$(7,520)	\$21,917	\$(469,971)

# BGLS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY (DEFICIT) (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) (UNAUDITED)

	Common Stock		Stock Additional Paid-In			Accumulated Other Comprehensive	
	Shares	Amount	Capital	Deficit	Other	Income	Total
Balance, December 31, 1997	. 100	\$	\$39,081	\$(550,339)	\$1,013	\$(2,870)	\$(513,115)
Net loss				(43,090)			(43,090)
Effectiveness fee on debt			2,442				2,442
Capital contribution of options and warrants			24,442				24,442
Payment of interest by parent			2,531				2,531
Amortization of deferred compensation.			279				279
Unrealized holding gain on investment In New Valley						25,340	25,340
Effect of New Valley capital transactions						(6,153)	(6,153)
Balance, September 30, 1998	. 100	\$ =====	\$68,775 =====	\$(593,429) ======	\$1,013 =====	\$16,317 ======	\$(507,324) ======

# BROOKE GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) (UNAUDITED)

	Nine Months Ended		
		September 30,	
Net cash used in operating activities	\$ (17,847) 	\$ (30,511)	
Cash flows from investing activities: Proceeds from sale of businesses and assets, net	2,377 (17,289)	43,091 (9,857)	
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(14,912)	33,234	
Cash flows from financing activities: Proceeds from debt	4,425 (1,520) 208,434 (210,050) 460 (3,055) 25,000 10,144	5,198 (10,323) 209,822 (202,881) 1,416 (5,535)	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	33,838	(2,303)	
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents  Net increase in cash and cash equivalents  Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	557 1,636 4,754  \$ 6,390	420 1,941  \$ 2,361	
Supplemental non-cash investing and financing activities:  Promissory note from New Valley	4,105 3,705 22,421	======= 33,500	

# BGLS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) (UNAUDITED)

	Nine Months Ended		
	1998	September 30, 1997	
Net cash used in operating activities	\$ (9,783)	\$ (36,989)	
Cash flows from investing activities: Proceeds from sale of businesses and assets, net	1,670 (17,289)	43,091 (9,857)	
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(15,619)	33,234	
Cash flows from financing activities: Proceeds from debt. Repayments of debt. Borrowings under revolver. Repayments on revolver. Increase in cash overdraft. Proceeds from participating loan.	3,950 (1,433) 208,434 (210,050) 514 25,000	4,723 (8,942) 209,822 (202,881) 1,416	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	26,415	4,138	
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents  Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	557 1,570 4,754	383 1,940	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 6,324 ======	\$ 2,323 ======	
Supplemental non-cash investing and financing activities:  Promissory note from New Valley	4,105 3,705 22,421	33,500	

BGLS INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

#### PRINCIPLES OF REPORTING

The consolidated financial statements of Brooke Group Ltd. (the "Company") include the consolidated statements of its wholly-owned subsidiary, BGLS Inc. ("BGLS"). The consolidated statements of BGLS include the accounts of Liggett Group Inc. ("Liggett"), Brooke (Overseas) Ltd. ("BGL"), New Valley Holdings, Inc. ("NV Holdings"), Liggett-Ducat Ltd. ("Liggett-Ducat") and other less significant subsidiaries. Liggett is engaged primarily in the manufacture and sale of cigarettes, principally in the United States. Liggett-Ducat is engaged in the manufacture and sale of cigarettes in Russia. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

The interim consolidated financial statements of the Company and BGLS are unaudited and, in the opinion of management, reflect all adjustments necessary (which are normal and recurring) to present fairly the Company's and BGLS' consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company's and BGLS' Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended, for the year ended December 31, 1997, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The consolidated results of operations for interim periods should not be regarded as necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the entire year.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Certain amounts in the 1997 consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the 1998 presentation.

#### LIQUIDITY:

The Company's sources of liquidity for 1998 include, among other things, additional public and/or private debt and equity financing, management fees and certain funds available from New Valley subject to limitations imposed by BGLS' indenture agreements. New Valley may acquire or seek to acquire additional operating businesses through merger, purchase of assets, stock acquisition or other means, or to make other investments, which may limit its ability to make such distributions. New Valley's ability to make such distributions is subject to risk and uncertainties attendant to its business. (Refer to Note 2.)

On January 30, 1998, Liggett obtained the consents of the required majority of the holders of Liggett's 11.50% Series B and 19.75% Series C Senior Secured Notes due 1999 (the "Liggett Notes") to various amendments to the Indenture governing the Liggett Notes. The amendments provided, among other things, for a deferral of the February 1, 1998 mandatory redemption of \$37,500 principal amount of the Liggett Notes to the date of final maturity, February 1, 1999. (Refer to Note 6.) At maturity, the Liggett Notes will require a principal payment of \$144,891. Liggett does not anticipate it will be able to generate sufficient cash from operations to make such payments. In addition, Liggett has a \$40,000 revolving credit facility expiring March 8, 1999 (the "Facility"), under which \$17,674 was outstanding at September 30, 1998. Accordingly, the Liggett Notes and the balance of the Facility have been reclassified to current liabilities. As of September 30, 1998, Liggett had net

BGLS INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) - (Continued)

capital and working capital deficiencies of \$183,268 and \$169,071, respectively. The current maturities of the Liggett Notes and the Facility of approximately \$162,500 contribute substantially to the working capital deficiency. If Liggett is unable to refinance or restructure the terms of the Liggett Notes or otherwise make all payments thereon, substantially all of the Liggett Notes and the Facility would be in default. In such event, Liggett may be forced to seek protection from creditors under applicable laws. Due to the many risks and uncertainties associated with the cigarette industry and the impact of tobacco litigation, there can be no assurance that Liggett will be able to meet its future earnings or cash flow goals. These matters raise substantial doubt about Liggett meeting its liquidity needs and its ability to continue as a going concern and may negatively impact the Company's liquidity.

BOL is in the process of constructing a new tobacco factory in Moscow, Russia currently scheduled to be operational in May 1999. The remaining construction costs and equipment required for the new factory will be financed primarily by equipment lease financing currently in place and bank or other loans. (Refer to Notes 2 and 3.)

In March 1998, the Company entered into an agreement with significant holders of the BGLS 15.75% Series B Senior Secured Notes (the "BGLS Notes") with respect to certain modifications to the terms of such debt. (Refer to Note 6.)

#### NET LOSS PER SHARE

Stock options, warrants and contingent shares (both vested and non-vested) at September 30, 1998 and 1997, respectively (see Note 7), were excluded from the calculation of diluted per share results presented because their effect was accretive. Accordingly, diluted net loss per common share is the same as basic net loss per common share.

#### NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In June 1997, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 130, "Reporting Comprehensive Income". SFAS No. 130, which the Company adopted in the first quarter of 1998, establishes standards for reporting and displaying comprehensive income and its components in a full set of general-purpose statements. For the Company, other components of stockholders' equity include such items as the Company's proportionate interest in New Valley's capital transactions and unrealized gains and losses on investment securities. The implementation of SFAS No. 130 in the first quarter 1998 did not have any material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

In June 1997, the FASB issued SFAS No. 131, "Disclosures About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information". SFAS No. 131 specifies revised guidelines for determining an entity's operating segments and the type and level of financial information to be disclosed. Management believes that the adoption of this pronouncement will not have a material effect on the Company's financial statement disclosures. SFAS No. 131 is initially effective for annual financial statements for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 1997.

In February 1998, SFAS No. 132, "Employers' Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits," was issued which revises required disclosures about pensions and postretirement benefit plans in order to facilitate financial analysis. Recognition or measurement issues are not addressed in the statement. SFAS No. 132 is effective for the Company for the year ended 1998. Management

BGLS INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) - (Continued)

believes that the adoption of this pronouncement will not have a material effect on the Company's financial statement disclosures.

#### INVESTMENT IN NEW VALLEY CORPORATION

At September 30, 1998 and December 31, 1997, the Company's investment in New Valley consisted of an approximate 42% voting interest. At September 30, 1998 and December 31, 1997, the Company owned 57.7% of the outstanding \$15.00 Class A Increasing Rate Cumulative Senior Preferred Shares (\$100 Liquidation Value), \$.01 par value (the "Class A Preferred Shares"), 9.0% of the outstanding \$3.00 Class B Cumulative Convertible Preferred Shares" (\$25 Liquidation Value), \$.10 par value (the "Class B Preferred Shares"), and 41.7% of New Valley's common shares, \$.01 par value (the "Common Shares").

The Class A Preferred Shares and the Class B Preferred Shares are accounted for as debt and equity securities, respectively, pursuant to the requirements of SFAS No. 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities", and are classified as available-for-sale. The Common Shares are accounted for pursuant to APB No. 18, "The Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock".

The Company determines the fair value of the Class A Preferred Shares and Class B Preferred Shares based on the quoted market price. Through September 30, 1996, earnings on the Class A Preferred Shares were comprised of dividends accrued during the period and the accretion of the difference between the Company's basis and their mandatory redemption price. During the quarter ended September 30, 1996, the decline in the market value of the Class A Preferred Shares, the dividend received on the Class A Preferred Shares, the dividend received on the Class A Preferred Shares and the Company's equity in losses incurred by New Valley caused the carrying value of the Company's investment in New Valley to be reduced to zero. Beginning in the fourth quarter of 1996, the Company suspended the recording of its earnings on the dividends accrued and the accretion of the difference between the Company's basis in the Class A Preferred Shares and their mandatory redemption price.

The Company's and BGLS' investment in New Valley at September 30, 1998 is summarized below:

		======	====	====
		\$35,388	\$	Θ
Common Shares	3,989,710	1,496	(33	,892)
Class B Preferred Shares	250,885	502		502
Class A Preferred Shares	618,326	\$33,390	\$ 33	, 390
	Shares	Value	Amoi	
	Number of	Fair	Carr	/ina

In November 1994, New Valley's First Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization, as amended ("Joint Plan"), was confirmed by order of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of New Jersey and on January 18, 1995, New Valley emerged from bankruptcy reorganization proceedings and completed substantially all distributions to creditors under the Joint Plan. Pursuant to the Joint Plan, among other things, the Class A Preferred Shares, the Class B Preferred Shares, the Common Shares and other equity interests were reinstated and retained all of their legal, equitable and contractual rights.

BGLS INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) - (Continued)

The Class A Preferred Shares of New Valley are required to be redeemed on January 1, 2003 for \$100.00 per share plus dividends accrued to the redemption date. The shares are redeemable, at any time, at the option of New Valley, at \$100.00 per share plus accrued dividends. The holders of Class A Preferred Shares are entitled to receive a quarterly dividend, as declared by the Board of Directors, payable at the rate of \$19.00 per annum. At September 30, 1998, the accrued and unpaid dividends arrearage was \$204,050 (\$190.44 per share).

Holders of the Class B Preferred Shares are entitled to receive a quarterly dividend, as declared by the Board, at a rate of \$3.00 per annum. At September 30, 1998, the accrued and unpaid dividends arrearage was \$158,907 (\$56.94 per share). No dividends on the Class B Preferred Shares have been declared since the fourth quarter of 1988.

Summarized financial information for New Valley as of September 30, 1998 and December 31, 1997 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 1998 and 1997 follows:

	September 30, 1998	December 31, 1997
Current accets primarily each and marketable		
Current assets, primarily cash and marketable securities	\$ 73,864	\$ 118,642
Non-current assets	177,017	322,749
Current liabilities	67,238	128, 128
Non-current liabilities	75,654	185,024
Redeemable preferred stock	300,711	258,638
Shareholders' deficit	(192,722)	(130,399)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Mon	ths Ended
	Sept. 30, 1998	Sept. 30, 1997	Sept. 30, 1998	Sept. 30, 1997
Revenues Costs and expenses	\$ 19,440 28,664 (8,739) 6,860 (22,622)	\$ 26,704 33,782 (6,574)	\$ 78,552 95,633 (15,458) 7,740 (67,051)	\$ 76,652 100,020 (21,944) (72,241)

(A) Considers all preferred accrued dividends, whether or not declared.

On January 31, 1997, New Valley acquired substantially all the common shares of BML from BOL for \$55,000. (Refer to Note 3.)

In February 1998, New Valley and Apollo Real Estate Investment Fund III, L.P. ("Apollo") organized Western Realty Development LLC ("Western Realty Ducat") to make real estate and other investments in Russia. In connection with the formation of Western Realty Ducat, New Valley agreed, among other things, to contribute the real estate assets of BML, including Ducat Place II and the site for Ducat Place III, to Western Realty Ducat and Apollo agreed to contribute up to \$58,750, including the investment in Western Realty Repin discussed below. Through September 30, 1998, Apollo had funded \$30,550 of its investment in Western Realty Ducat.

BGLS INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) - (Continued)

The ownership and voting interests in Western Realty Ducat will be held equally by Apollo and New Valley. Apollo will be entitled to a preference on distributions of cash from Western Realty Ducat to the extent of its investment (\$40,000), together with a 15% annual rate of return, and New Valley will then be entitled to a return of \$16,300 of BML-related expenses incurred and cash invested by New Valley since March 1, 1997, together with a 15% annual rate of return; subsequent distributions will be made 70% to New Valley and 30% to Apollo. Western Realty Ducat will be managed by a Board of Managers consisting of an equal number of representatives chosen by Apollo and New Valley. All material corporate transactions by Western Realty Ducat generally require the unanimous consent of the Board of Managers. Accordingly, New Valley has accounted for its non-controlling interest in Western Realty Ducat using the equity method of accounting.

New Valley recorded its basis in the investment in Western Realty Ducat in the amount of \$60,169 based on the carrying value of assets less liabilities transferred. There was no difference between the carrying value of the investment and New Valley's proportionate interest in the underlying value of net assets of Western Realty Ducat.

Western Realty Ducat will seek to make additional real estate and other investments in Russia. Western Realty Ducat has made a \$26,300 participating loan to, and payable out of a 30% profits interest in, a company organized by BOL which, among other things, acquired an interest in a new factory being constructed on the outskirts of Moscow by a subsidiary of BOL. (Refer to Note 3.)

In June 1998, New Valley and Apollo organized Western Realty Repin LLC ("Western Realty Repin") to make a \$25,000 participating loan (the "Repin Loan") to BML. The proceeds of the loan will be used by BML for the acquisition and preliminary development of two adjoining sites totaling 10.25 acres (the "Kremlin Sites") located in Moscow across the Moscow River from the Kremlin. BML, which is planning the development of a 1.1 million sq. ft. hotel, office, retail and residential complex on the Kremlin Sites, owned 94.6% of one site and 52% of the other site at September 30, 1998. Apollo will be entitled to a preference on distributions of cash from Western Realty Repin to the extent of its investment (\$18,750) together with a 20% annual rate of return, and New Valley will then be entitled to a return of its investment (\$6,250), together with a 20% annual rate of return; subsequent distributions will be made 50% to New Valley and 50% to Apollo. Western Realty Repin will be managed by a Board of Managers consisting of an equal number of representatives chosen by Apollo and New Valley. All material corporate transactions by Western Realty Repin will generally require the unanimous consent of the Board of Managers.

Through September 30, 1998, Western Realty Repin has advanced \$19,067 (of which \$14,300 was funded by Apollo) under the Repin Loan to BML. The Repin Loan, which bears no fixed interest, is payable only out of 100% of the distributions, if made, by the entities owning the Kremlin Sites to BML. Such distributions shall be applied first to pay the principal of the Repin Loan and then as contingent participating interest on the Repin Loan. Any rights of payment on the Repin Loan are subordinate to the rights of all other creditors of BML. BML used a portion of the proceeds to repay New Valley for certain expenditures on the Kremlin Sites previously incurred. The Repin Loan is due and payable upon the dissolution of BML and is collateralized by a pledge of New Valley's shares of BML.

As of September 30, 1998, BML had invested \$15,171 in the Kremlin sites and held \$809, in cash, which was restricted for future investment. In connection with the acquisition of its interest in one of the Kremlin Sites, BML has agreed with the City of Moscow to invest an additional \$6,000 in 1998 and \$22,000 in 1999 in the development of the property.

BGLS INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) - (Continued)

The development of Ducat Place III and the Kremlin Sites will require significant amounts of debt and other financing. New Valley is actively pursuing various financing alternatives on behalf of Western Realty Ducat and BML. However, in light of the recent economic turmoil in Russia, no assurance can be given that such financing will be available on acceptable terms. Failure to obtain sufficient capital for the projects would force Western Realty Ducat and BML to curtail or delay the planned development of Ducat Place III and the Kremlin Sites.

#### INVESTMENT IN BROOKE (OVERSEAS) LTD.

At September 30, 1998, BOL owned approximately 96% of the stock of Liggett-Ducat through its subsidiary, Western Tobacco Investments LLC ("Western Tobacco"), including shares of such stock acquired from Liggett in connection with Liggett's debt restructuring (refer to Note 6) and purchases of stock from other shareholders. (Refer to Note 6 for information concerning pledges of interests in Western Tobacco.)

Liggett-Ducat is in the process of constructing a new cigarette factory on the outskirts of Moscow which is currently scheduled to be operational in the second quarter 1999. Liggett-Ducat has entered into a construction contract for the plant. The remaining liability under that contract, as amended, at September 30, 1998 is approximately \$10,300. Equipment purchase agreements in place at September 30, 1998 total \$34,355, of which \$28,791 is being financed by the manufacturers.

Western Realty has made a \$26,300 participating loan to Western Tobacco which holds BOL's interests in Liggett-Ducat, as discussed above, and the industrial site and manufacturing facility being constructed by Liggett-Ducat on the outskirts of Moscow. The loan, which bears no fixed interest, is payable only out of 30% of distributions, if any, made by Western Tobacco to BOL. After the prior payment of debt service on loans to finance the construction of the new facility, 30% of distributions from Western Tobacco to BOL will be applied first to pay the principal of the loan and then as contingent participating interest on the loan. Any rights of payment on the loan are subordinate to the rights of all other creditors of Western Tobacco. The loan is classified in other long-term liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet at September 30, 1998. (Refer to Note 2.)

The performance of Liggett-Ducat's cigarette operations in Russia is affected by uncertainties in Russia which may include, among others, political or diplomatic developments, regional tensions, currency repatriation restrictions, foreign exchange fluctuations, inflation, and an undeveloped system of commercial laws and legislative reform relating to foreign ownership in Russia.

On January 31, 1997, BOL sold all its shares of BML to New Valley for \$21,500 in cash and a promissory note of \$33,500 payable \$21,500 on June 30, 1997 and \$12,000 on December 31, 1997 with interest at 9%. The note was paid in full as of December 31, 1997. The consideration received exceeded the carrying value of its investment in BML by \$43,700. The Company recognized a gain on the sale in 1997 in the amount of \$21,300. The remaining \$22,400 was deferred in recognition of the fact that the Company retains an interest in BML through its 42% equity ownership in New Valley and that a portion of the property sold (the site of the third phase of the Ducat Place real estate project being developed by BML, which is currently used by Liggett-Ducat for its existing cigarette factory), is subject to a put option held by New Valley. The option allows New Valley to put this site back to the Company at the greater of the appraised fair value of the property at the date of exercise or \$13,600, during the period Liggett-Ducat operates the factory on such site.

BROOKE GROUP LTD.

BGLS INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) - (Continued)

#### INVENTORIES 4.

Inventories consist of:

	September 30, 1998	December 31, 1997
Finished goods	\$17,008 2,886 22,662	\$13,273 1,976 24,495
Replacement parts and supplies	9,123	4,466
Inventories at current costLIFO adjustments	51,679 (4,119)	44,210 (4,898)
	\$47,560 =====	\$39,312 =====

At September 30, 1998, Liggett and Liggett-Ducat had leaf tobacco purchase commitments of approximately \$6,134 and \$8,599, respectively.

#### 5. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Property, plant and equipment consist of:

	September 30, 1998	December 31, 1997
Land and improvements	\$ 411	\$ 411
Buildings	6,042	6,521
Machinery and equipment	53,063	53,717
Leasehold improvements		302
Construction-in-progress	31,183	18,179
	90,699	79,130
Less accumulated depreciation	(32,938)	(33, 187)
	\$ 57,761	\$ 45,943
	=======	=======

BGLS INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) - (Continued)

#### NOTES PAYABLE, LONG-TERM DEBT AND OTHER OBLIGATIONS

Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations consist of:

	September 30, 1998	December 31, 1997
15.75% Series B Senior Secured Notes due 2001, net of unamortized discount of \$19,259 and \$1,511  Deferred interest on 15.75% Series B Senior Secured Notes due 2001	\$213,606 24,985 10,000 4,959	\$231,723 800 5,000 629
Liggett: 11.500% Senior Secured Series B Notes due 1999, net of unamortized discount of \$63 and \$206 Variable Rate Series C Senior Secured Notes due 1999 Revolving credit facility	112,549 32,279 17,674	112,406 32,279 23,427
Total notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations.	416,052	406,264
Less: Current maturities	177,461	6,429
Amount due after one year	\$238,591 ======	\$399,835 ======

The 14.500% Subordinated Debentures due 1998 in principal amount of \$800 were paid at maturity on April 1, 1998.

### STANDSTILL AGREEMENT - BGLS:

During negotiations with the holders of more than 83% of the BGLS Notes concerning certain modifications to the terms of such debt, BGLS entered into a standstill agreement with such holders on August 28, 1997. Pursuant to the standstill agreement, as amended, such holders agreed that they would be entitled to receive their portion of the July 31, 1997 interest payment on the BGLS Notes (in total, \$15,340) only after giving BGLS 20 days' notice but in any event by February 6, 1998.

On February 6, 1998, BGLS entered into a further amendment to the standstill agreement with AIF II, LP and an affiliated investment manager on behalf of a managed account (together, the "Apollo Holders"), who held approximately 41.8% of the \$232,864 principal amount of the BGLS Notes then outstanding, which extended the termination date of such agreement with respect to the Apollo Holders to March 2, 1998. Also on February 6, 1998, the holder of 41.9% of the BGLS Notes, who had previously been a party to the standstill agreement, was paid its pro rata share of the July 31, 1997 interest payment on the BGLS Notes. The Company also sold stock on January 16, 1998 to an affiliate of this holder in which it recorded an expense of \$2,531 for the first quarter 1998, representing the difference between the cost and fair market value of the shares sold. (Refer to Note 7.)

On March 2, 1998, the Company entered into an agreement with the Apollo Holders in which the Apollo Holders agreed to defer the payment of interest on the BGLS Notes held by them,

BGLS INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) - (Continued)

commencing with the interest payment that was due July 31, 1997, which they had previously agreed to defer, through the interest payment due July 31, 2000. The deferred interest payments together with interest compounded semi-annually thereon will be payable at final maturity of the BGLS Notes on January 31, 2001 or upon an event of default under the Indenture for the BGLS Notes. Accordingly, accrued interest as of March 2, 1998 was reclassified and included in long-term debt. In connection with the agreement, the Company pledged 50.1% of Western Tobacco to collateralize the BGLS Notes held by the Apollo Holders.

In connection with the March 2, 1998 agreement with the Apollo Holders, the Company issued to the Apollo Holders a five-year warrant to purchase 2,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$5.00 per share. The Apollo Holders were also issued a second warrant expiring October 31, 2004 to purchase an additional 2,150,000 shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$0.10 per share. The second warrant will become exercisable on October 31, 1999, and the Company will have the right under certain conditions prior to that date to substitute for that warrant a new warrant for 9.9% of the common stock of Liggett.

Based on the fair value of the equity instruments given to the holders of the debt, and the difference between the fair value of the modified debt and the carrying value of the debt held by the Apollo Holders prior to the transaction, no gain or loss was recorded on the transaction. The fair value of the equity instruments was estimated based on the Black-Scholes option pricing model and the following assumptions: volatility of 77%, risk-free interest rate of 6%, expected life of five to seven years and a dividend rate of 0%. Imputed interest of approximately \$23,000 is being accreted over the term of the modified debt based on its recorded fair value.

#### 15.75% SERIES B SENIOR SECURED NOTES DUE 2001

The Series B Notes are collateralized by substantially all of BGLS' assets, including a pledge of BGLS' equity interests in Liggett, BOL and NV Holdings as well as a pledge of all of the New Valley securities held by BGLS and NV Holdings. The BGLS Series B Notes Indenture contains certain covenants, which among other things, limit the ability of BGLS to make distributions to the Company to \$6,000 per year (\$12,000 if less than 50% of the Series B Notes remain outstanding), limit additional indebtedness of BGLS to \$10,000, limit guaranties of subsidiary indebtedness by BGLS to \$50,000, and restrict certain transactions with affiliates that exceed \$2,000 in any year subject to certain exceptions which include payments to the Company not to exceed \$6,500 per year for permitted operating expenses, payment of the Chairman's salary and bonus and certain other expenses, fees and payments. In addition, the Indenture contains certain restrictions on the ability of the Chairman and certain of his affiliates to enter into certain transactions with, and receive payments above specified levels from, New Valley. The Series B Notes may be redeemed, in whole or in part, through December 31, 1999, at a price of 101% of the principal amount and thereafter at 100%. Interest is payable at the rate of 15.75% per annum on January 31 and July 31 of each year.

### LIGGETT 11.50% SENIOR SECURED SERIES B NOTES DUE 1999:

On February 14, 1992, Liggett issued \$150,000 in Senior Secured Notes (the "Liggett Series B Notes"). Interest on the Liggett Series B Notes is payable semiannually on February 1 and August 1 at an annual rate of 11.50%. The Liggett Series B Notes and Series C Notes referred to below (collectively, the "Liggett Notes") required mandatory principal redemptions of \$7,500 on February 1 in each of the years 1993 through 1997 and \$37,500 on February 1, 1998 with the balance of the Liggett Notes due on February 1, 1999. In February 1997, \$7,500 of Liggett Series B Notes were purchased using the Facility and credited against the mandatory redemption requirements. The

BGLS INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) - (Continued)

transaction resulted in a net gain of \$2,963. The Liggett Notes are collateralized by substantially all of the assets of Liggett, excluding inventories and receivables. Eve Holdings Inc. is a guarantor for the Liggett Notes. The Liggett Notes may be redeemed, in whole or in part, at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount at the option of Liggett. The Liggett Notes contain restrictions on Liggett's ability to declare or pay cash dividends, incur additional debt, grant liens and enter into any new agreements with affiliates, among others.

On January 30, 1998, with the consent of the required majority of the holders of the Liggett Notes, Liggett entered into various amendments to the Indenture governing the Liggett Notes, which provided, among other things, for a deferral of the February 1, 1998 mandatory redemption payment of \$37,500 to the date of final maturity of the Liggett Notes on February 1, 1999. In connection with the consent to the deferral, the Company agreed to issue 483,002 shares of the Company's common stock to the holders of record on January 15, 1998 of the Liggett Notes. As a result of this transaction, Liggett recorded a deferred charge of \$4,105 during the first quarter of 1998 reflecting the fair value of the instruments issued. This deferred charge is being amortized over a period of one year. The Indenture under which the Liggett Notes are outstanding was also amended to prohibit, with limited exceptions, payments of dividends and incurrence of new debt by Liggett and to tighten restrictions on the disposition of proceeds of asset sales. The Company and BGLS also agreed to guarantee the payment, which was made by Liggett, of the August 1, 1998 interest payment on the Liggett Notes. In addition, Liggett noteholders were granted additional collateral in the form of a security interest in 16% of Western Tobacco.

On February 1, 1999, all of the Liggett Notes, in principal amount of \$144,891, will reach maturity. There are no refinancing or restructuring arrangements in place at this time for the notes and no assurances can be given in this regard. (Refer to Note 1.)

#### LIGGETT SERIES C VARIABLE RATE NOTES:

The Series C Notes have the same terms (other than interest rate, which is 19.75%) and stated maturity as the Liggett Series B Notes.

#### REVOLVING CREDIT FACILITY - LIGGETT:

On March 8, 1994, Liggett entered into the Facility for \$40,000 with a syndicate of commercial lenders. The Facility is collateralized by all inventories and receivables of Liggett. At September 30, 1998, \$8,091 was available under the Facility based on eligible collateral. Borrowings under the Facility, whose interest is calculated at a rate equal to 1.5% above the Philadelphia National Bank's prime rate, bear a rate of 10.0% at September 30, 1998, reduced to 9.75% and 9.50% in October and November 1998, respectively. The Facility requires Liggett's compliance with certain financial and other covenants, including restrictions on the payment of cash dividends and distributions by Liggett. In addition, the Facility, as amended April 8, 1998, imposes requirements with respect to Liggett's permitted maximum adjusted net worth (not to fall below a deficit of \$195,000 as computed in accordance with the agreement, this computation was \$179,149 at September 30, 1998) and net working capital deficiencies (not to fall below a deficit of \$17,000 as computed in accordance with the agreement, this computation was \$2,450 at September 30, 1998). The Facility, as amended, also provides that a default by Liggett or its subsidiaries under the March 1996 Settlements, March 1997 Settlements and March 1998 Settlements (all as defined below in Note 8) shall constitute an event of default under the Facility. In November 1997, the Facility was extended for an additional year until March 8, 1999.

BGLS INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) - (Continued)

On August 29, 1997, the Facility was amended to permit Liggett to borrow an additional \$6,000 which was used on that date in making the interest payment of \$9,700 due on August 1, 1997 to the holders of the Liggett Notes. BGLS guaranteed the additional \$6,000 advance under the Facility and collateralized the guarantee with \$6,000 in cash, deposited with Liggett's lender, \$3,000 of which was released in November, 1998. At September 30, 1998, this amount is classified in other assets on the consolidated balance sheet.

#### FORFIGN LOANS:

At September 30, 1998, Liggett-Ducat had one credit facility outstanding for \$10,000 with an interest rate of 21% which expires in May of 1999. On August 26, 1998, the interest rate over the remaining term of the facility increased to 25%.

#### 7. EQUITY

As of January 1, 1998, the Company granted to employees of the Company non-qualified stock options to purchase 42,500 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$5.00 per share. The options have a ten-year term and vest in six equal annual installments. The Company will recognize compensation expense of \$154 over the vesting period.

On January 16, 1998, the Company entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement in which High River Limited Partnership purchased 1,500,000 shares of the Company's common stock for \$9,000.

In connection with the March 2, 1998 agreement with the Apollo Holders, the Company issued warrants to purchase the Company's common stock. (Refer to Note 6.)

On March 12, 1998, the Company granted an option for 1,250,000 shares of the Company's common stock to a law firm that represents the Company and Liggett. On May 1, 1998 and April 1, 1999, options for 250,000 and 1,000,000 shares, respectively, of common stock were exercisable at \$17.50 per share. The option expired on March 31, 2003. The fair value of the equity instruments was estimated based on the Black-Scholes option pricing model and the following assumptions: volatility 77.6%, risk-free interest rate of 5.47%, expected life of two years and dividend rate of 0%. The Company recognized expense of \$1,495 in the second quarter of 1998. On October 12, 1998, the Company amended the option to reduce the exercise price from \$17.50 per share to \$6.00 per share and extended the initial exercise date on all 1,250,000 shares to April 1, 2000, subject to earlier exercise under certain circumstances. The expense at the initial grant date was \$3,063. Incremental expense incurred due to the modifications of the grant was \$2,050. At September 30, 1998, \$2,019 had been expensed and the remaining amount of \$3,095 will be recognized over the period of vesting.

During April and May 1998, the Company granted 10,000 shares of the Company's common stock to each of its three outside directors. Of these shares, 7,500 vested immediately and the remaining 22,500 shares will vest in three equal annual installments. The Company will recognize compensation expense of \$404 over the vesting period.

BGLS INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) - (Continued)

On October 15, 1998, shareholders of the Company approved the adoption of the 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "Plan"). The Plan, adopted on May 8, 1998, authorizes the granting of up to 5,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock through awards of stock options (which may include incentive stock options and/or nonqualified stock options), stock appreciation rights and shares of restricted Company common stock. All officers, employees and consultants of the Company and its subsidiaries are eligible to receive awards under the Plan.

On July 20, 1998, the Company granted a non-qualified stock option to each of Bennett S. LeBow, the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and Howard M. Lorber, a consultant to the Company (the "Option Holders"), pursuant to the Plan, which grants had been conditioned upon the approval of the Plan by the Company's stockholders. Under the options, Messrs. LeBow and Lorber have the right to purchase 2,500,000 shares and 500,000 shares, respectively, of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$9.75 per share (the fair market value of a share of common stock on the date of grant). The options have a ten-year term and become exercisable as to one-fourth of the aggregate shares covered thereby on each of the first four anniversaries of the date of grant. However, any then unexercisable portion of the option will immediately vest and become exercisable upon (i) the occurrence of a "Change in Control," or (ii) the termination of the Option Holder's employment or consulting arrangement with the Company due to death or disability.

The fair value of the equity instruments issued to the consultant was estimated based on the Black-Scholes option pricing model and the following assumptions: volatility of 82.18%, risk-free interest rate of 5.47%, expected option life of 10 years and dividend rate of 0%. The Company will recognize expense of \$3,260 over the vesting period.

On August 28, 1998, the Company granted 470,000 shares of its common stock as part of a performance fee to members of a law firm which represents the Company and Liggett. The shares generally are not transferable prior to September 1, 1999. The Company recognized an expense of \$1,686 in the third quarter 1998.

On October 15, 1998, the Company obtained shareholder approval to increase the number of authorized shares of the Company's common stock from 40,000,000 to 100,000,000 shares.

### B. CONTINGENCIES

### TOBACCO-RELATED LITIGATION:

OVERVIEW. Since 1954, Liggett and other United States cigarette manufacturers have been named as defendants in numerous direct and third-party actions predicated on the theory that cigarette manufacturers should be liable for damages from cancer and other adverse health effects alleged to have been caused by cigarette smoking or by exposure to secondary smoke (environmental tobacco smoke, "ETS") from cigarettes. These cases are reported hereinafter as though having been commenced against Liggett (without regard to whether such cases were actually commenced against the Company or Liggett). There has been a noteworthy increase in the number of cases commenced against Liggett and the other cigarette manufacturers. The cases generally fall into four categories: (i) smoking and health cases alleging personal injury brought on behalf of individual smokers ("Individual Actions"); (ii) smoking and health cases alleging personal injury and purporting to be brought on behalf of a class of plaintiffs ("Class Actions"); (iii) health care cost recovery actions

BGLS INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

OOLLARS IN THOUSANDS EYEDT DEP SHAPE AMOUNTS) - (Continues

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) - (Continued)

brought by state and local governments ("Attorney General Actions"); and (iv) health care cost recovery actions brought by third-party payors including asbestos manufacturers, unions and taxpayers ("Third-Party Payor Actions"). As new cases are commenced, defense costs and the risks attendant to the inherent unpredictability of litigation continue to increase. Liggett had been receiving assistance from others in the industry in defraying the costs and other burdens incurred in the defense of smoking and health litigation and related proceedings, which, for the most part, consisted of the payment of counsel fees and costs, but this assistance terminated in 1997. The future financial impact on the Company of the termination of this assistance and the effects of the tobacco litigation settlements discussed below is not quantifiable at this time. For the nine months ended September 30, 1998, Liggett incurred counsel fees and costs totaling approximately \$3,713, compared to \$3,287 for the comparable prior year period.

In June 1992, in an action entitled CIPOLLONE V. LIGGETT GROUP INC., ET AL., the United States Supreme Court issued an opinion concluding that The Federal Cigarette Labeling and Advertising Act did not preempt state common law damage claims but that The Public Health Cigarette Smoking Act of 1969 (the "1969 Act") did preempt certain, but not all, state common law damage claims. The decision bars plaintiffs from asserting claims that, after the effective date of the 1969 Act, the tobacco companies either failed to warn adequately of the claimed health risks of cigarette smoking or sought to neutralize those claimed risks in their advertising or promotion of cigarettes. Bills have been introduced in Congress on occasion to eliminate the federal preemption defense. Enactment of any federal legislation with such an effect could result in a significant increase in claims, liabilities, and litigation costs.

INDIVIDUAL ACTIONS. As of September 30, 1998, there were approximately 275 cases pending against Liggett, and in most cases the other tobacco companies, where individual plaintiffs allege injury resulting from cigarette smoking, addiction to cigarette smoking or exposure to ETS and seek compensatory and, in some cases, punitive damages. Of these, 90 were pending in the State of Florida, 88 in the State of New York, 23 in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and 19 in the State of Texas. The balance of individual cases was pending in 18 states. There are three individual cases pending where Liggett is the only named defendant.

The plaintiffs' allegations of liability in those cases in which individuals seek recovery for personal injuries allegedly caused by cigarette smoking are based on various theories of recovery, including negligence, gross negligence, special duty, voluntary undertaking, strict liability, fraud, misrepresentation, design defect, failure to warn, breach of express and implied warranties, conspiracy, aiding and abetting, concert of action, unjust enrichment, common law public nuisance, indemnity, market share liability and violations of deceptive trade practices laws, the Federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organization Act ("RICO") and antitrust statutes. In many of these cases, in addition to compensatory damages, plaintiffs also seek other forms of relief including disgorgement of profits and punitive damages. Defenses raised by defendants in these cases include lack of proximate cause, assumption of the risk, comparative fault and/or contributory negligence, lack of design defect, statute of limitations, equitable defenses such as "unclean hands" and lack of benefit, failure to state a claim and federal preemption.

CLASS ACTIONS. As of September 30, 1998, there were approximately 45 actions pending, for which either a class has been certified or plaintiffs are seeking class certification, where Liggett, among others, was a named defendant. Two of these cases, FLETCHER, ET AL. V. BROOKE GROUP LTD., ET AL. and WALKER, ET AL. V. LIGGETT GROUP INC., ET AL., have been settled by the Company, subject to court approval. These two settlements are more fully discussed below under the "Settlements" section.

BGLS INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) - (Continued)

In October 1991, an action entitled BROIN, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS INCORPORATED, ET AL., Circuit Court of the Eleventh Judicial District in and for Dade County, Florida, was filed against Liggett and others. This case was brought by plaintiffs on behalf of all flight attendants that worked or are presently working for airlines based in the United States and who never regularly smoked cigarettes but allege that they have been damaged by involuntary exposure to ETS. In October 1997, the other major tobacco companies settled this matter, which settlement provides for a release of the Company and Liggett. In February 1998, the Circuit Court approved the settlement; however, an objector filed a Notice of Appeal of the settlement in the Third District Court of Appeal.

In March 1994, an action entitled CASTANO, ET AL. V. THE AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY INC., ET AL., United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, was filed against Liggett and others. The class action complaint sought relief for a nationwide class of smokers based on their alleged addiction to nicotine. In February 1995, the District Court granted plaintiffs' motion for class certification (the "Class Certification Order").

In May 1996, the Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit reversed the Class Certification Order and instructed the District Court to dismiss the class complaint. The Fifth Circuit ruled that the District Court erred in its analysis of the class certification issues by failing to consider how variations in state law affect predominance of common questions and the superiority of the class action mechanism. The appeals panel also held that the District Court's predominance inquiry did not include consideration of how a trial on the merits in CASTANO would be conducted. The Fifth Circuit further ruled that the "addiction-as-injury" tort is immature and, accordingly, the District Court could not know whether common issues would be a "significant" portion of the individual trials. According to the Fifth Circuit's decision, any savings in judicial resources that class certification may bring about is speculative and would likely be overwhelmed by the procedural problems certification brings. Finally, the Fifth Circuit held that in order to make the class action manageable, the District Court would be forced to bifurcate issues in violation of the Seventh Amendment.

The extent of the impact of the CASTANO decision on tobacco-related class action litigation is still uncertain, although the decertification of the CASTANO class by the Fifth Circuit may preclude other federal courts from certifying a nationwide class action for trial purposes with respect to tobacco-related claims. The CASTANO decision has had to date, however, only limited effect with respect to courts' decisions regarding narrower tobacco-related classes or class actions brought in state rather than federal court. For example, since the Fifth Circuit's ruling, courts in New York, Louisiana and Maryland have certified "addiction-as-injury" class actions that covered only citizens in those states. Two class actions pending in state court in Florida have also been certified one of which, the BROIN case, was settled in 1997. The CASTANO decision has had no measurable impact on litigation brought by or on behalf of single individual claimants.

ATTORNEY GENERAL ACTIONS. As of September 30, 1998, 40 Attorney General Actions were filed against Liggett and the Company. As more fully discussed below, Liggett and the Company have settled 36 of these actions. In addition, the Company and Liggett have reached settlements with nine Attorneys General representing states, commonwealths or territories that have not commenced litigation against the Company or Liggett. In these proceedings, state and local government entities seek reimbursement for Medicaid and other health care expenditures allegedly caused by use of tobacco products. The claims asserted in these health care cost recovery actions vary. In most of these

BGLS INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) - (Continued)

cases, plaintiffs assert the equitable claim that the tobacco industry was "unjustly enriched" by plaintiffs' payment of health care costs allegedly attributable to smoking and seek reimbursement of those costs. Other claims made by some but not all plaintiffs include the equitable claim of indemnity, common law claims of negligence, strict liability, breach of express and implied warranty, violation of a voluntary undertaking or special duty, fraud, negligent misrepresentation, conspiracy, public nuisance, claims under state and federal statutes governing consumer fraud, antitrust, deceptive trade practices and false advertising, and claims under RICO.

THIRD-PARTY PAYOR ACTIONS. As of September 30, 1998, there were approximately 70 Third-Party Payor Actions pending. The claims in these cases are similar to those in the Attorney General Actions. In April 1998, a group known as the "Coalition for Tobacco Responsibility", which represents Blue Cross and Blue Shield Plans in more than 35 states, filed federal lawsuits against the industry seeking payment of health-care costs allegedly incurred as a result of cigarette smoking and ETS. The lawsuits were filed in Federal District Courts in New York, Chicago, and Seattle and seek billions of dollars in damages. The lawsuits allege conspiracy, fraud, misrepresentation, and violation of federal racketeering and anti-trust laws as well as other claims.

SETTLEMENTS. In March 1996, the Company and Liggett entered into an agreement, subject to court approval, to settle the CASTANO class action tobacco litigation. Under the CASTANO settlement agreement, upon final court approval of the settlement, the CASTANO class would be entitled to receive up to five percent of Liggett's pretax income (income before income taxes) each year (up to a maximum of \$50,000 per year) for the next 25 years, subject to certain reductions provided for in the agreement and a \$5,000 payment from Liggett if the Company or Liggett fail to consummate a merger or similar transaction with another non-settling tobacco company defendant within three years of the date of settlement. The Company and Liggett have the right to terminate the CASTANO settlement under certain circumstances. On March 14, 1996, the Company, the CASTANO Plaintiffs Legal Committee and the CASTANO plaintiffs entered into a letter agreement. According to the terms of the letter agreement, for the period ending nine months from the date of Final Approval (as defined in the letter), if granted, of the CASTANO settlement or, if earlier, the completion by the Company or Liggett of a combination with any defendant in CASTANO, except Philip Morris, the CASTANO plaintiffs and their counsel agree not to enter into any more favorable settlement agreement with any CASTANO defendant which would reduce the terms of the CASTANO settlement agreement. If the Castano plaintiffs or their counsel enter into any such settlement during this period, they shall pay the Company \$250,000 within 30 days of the more favorable agreement and offer the Company and Liggett the option to enter into a settlement on terms at least as favorable as those included in such other settlement. The letter agreement further provides that during the same time period, and if the CASTANO settlement agreement has not been earlier terminated by the Company in accordance with its terms, the Company and its affiliates will not enter into any business transaction with any third party which would cause the termination of the CASTANO settlement agreement. If the Company or its affiliates enter into any such transaction, then the CASTANO plaintiffs will be entitled to receive \$250,000 within 30 days from the transacting party. In May 1996, the CASTANO Plaintiffs Legal Committee filed a motion with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana seeking preliminary approval of the CASTANO settlement. In September 1996, shortly after the class was decertified, the CASTANO plaintiffs withdrew the motion for approval of the CASTANO settlement.

In March 1996, the Company and Liggett entered into a settlement of tobacco-related litigation with the Attorneys General of Florida, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Mississippi and West Virginia (the "March 1996 Settlements"). The March 1996 Settlements release the Company and Liggett from all

BGLS INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) - (Continued)

tobacco-related claims including claims for health care cost reimbursement and claims concerning sales of cigarettes to minors. Certain of the terms of the March 1996 Settlements are summarized below.

Under the March 1996 Settlements, the five settling states would share an initial payment by Liggett of \$5,000, payable over nine years and indexed and adjusted for inflation, provided that any unpaid amount will be due 60 days after either a default by Liggett in its payment obligations under the settlement or a merger or other similar transaction by the Company or Liggett with another defendant in the lawsuits. In addition, Liggett will be required to pay the settling states a percentage of Liggett's pretax income (income before income taxes) each year from the second through the twenty-fifth year. This annual percentage is 2.5% of Liggett's pretax income, subject to increase to 7.5% depending on the number of additional states joining the settlement. No additional states have joined this settlement to date. All of Liggett's payments are subject to certain reductions provided for in the agreement. Liggett has also agreed to pay to the settling states \$5,000 if the Company or Liggett fails to consummate a merger or other similar transaction with another defendant in the lawsuits within three years from the date of the March 1996 Settlement.

Settlement funds received by the Attorneys General will be used to reimburse the states for smoking-related health care costs. The Company and Liggett also have agreed to phase in compliance with certain of the proposed interim FDA regulations on the same basis as provided in the CASTANO settlement. The Company and Liggett have the right to terminate the March 1996 Settlements with respect to any settling state if any of the remaining defendants in the litigation succeed on the merits in that state's respective Attorney General action. The Company and Liggett may also terminate the March 1996 Settlements if they conclude that too many states have filed Attorney General actions and have not settled such cases with the Company and Liggett.

In March 1997, Liggett, the Company and the five settling states executed an addendum pursuant to which Liggett and the Company agreed to provide to the five settling states, among other things, the additional cooperation and compliance with advertising restrictions that is provided for in the March 1997 Settlements (discussed below). Also, pursuant to the addendum, the initial settling states agreed to use best efforts to ensure that in the event of a global tobacco settlement enacted through federal legislation or otherwise, Liggett's and the Company's financial obligations under such a global settlement would be no more onerous than under this settlement.

During 1997, Liggett and the Company entered into a comprehensive settlement of tobacco litigation through parallel agreements with the Attorneys General of 21 states and with a nationwide class of individuals and entities that allege smoking-related claims (settlements with these 21 Attorneys General and with the nationwide class are hereinafter referred to as the "March 1997 Settlements"). In March 1998, Liggett and the Company announced settlements with the Attorneys General of 15 states, the District of Columbia, Guam, Northern Mariana Islands and the U.S. Virgin Islands (the "March 1998 Settlements"). The foregoing settlements cover all smoking-related claims, including both addiction-based and tobacco injury claims against the Company and Liggett, brought by the Attorneys General and, upon court approval, the nationwide class.

The states, commonwealths and territories where settlements have been reached with Attorneys General are: Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Guam, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New

BGLS INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) - (Continued)

Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Dakota, Northern Mariana Islands, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Texas, Utah, U.S. Virgin Islands, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin and Wyoming. Other states have either recently filed health care cost recovery actions or indicated intentions to do so. Both Liggett and the Company will endeavor to resolve those actions on substantially the same terms and conditions as the March 1998 Settlements, however, there can be no assurance that any such settlements will be completed.

As mentioned above, in March 1997, Liggett, the Company and plaintiffs filed a mandatory class settlement agreement in an action entitled FLETCHER, ET AL. V. BROOKE GROUP LTD., ET AL., Circuit Court of Mobile County, Alabama, where the court granted preliminary approval and preliminary certification of the class, and in May 1997, a similar mandatory class settlement agreement was filed in an action entitled WALKER, ET AL. V. LIGGETT GROUP INC., ET AL., United States District Court, Southern District of West Virginia. On July 2, 1998, Liggett, the Company and plaintiffs filed an amended class action settlement agreement in FLETCHER. Pursuant to the amended agreement, Liggett is required to pay to the class 7.5% of Liggett's pre-tax income each year for 25 years, with a minimum annual payment guarantee of \$1,000 over the term of the agreement. The amended agreement does not set forth a formula with respect to the distribution of settlement proceeds to the class. On September 10, 1998, the Circuit Court held a hearing with respect to the parties' motion for reaffirmance of preliminary approval of the amended agreement. The court has not yet ruled on this motion. The Company anticipates that should the court in FLETCHER, after dissemination of notice to the class of the pending limited fund class action settlement and a full fairness hearing with respect thereto, issue a final order and judgment approving the settlement, such an order would preclude further prosecution by class members of tobacco-related claims against both Liggett and the Company. Under the Full Faith and Credit Act, a final judgment entered in a nationwide class action pending in a state court has a preclusive effect against any class member with respect to the claims settled and released. As the class definition in FLETCHER encompasses all persons in the United States who could claim injury as a result of cigarette smoking or ETS and any third-party payor claimants, it is anticipated that, upon final order and judgment, all such persons and third-party payor claimants would be barred from further prosecution of tobacco-related claims against Liggett and the Company.

In the FLETCHER action, it is anticipated that class members will be notified of the settlement and will have an opportunity to appear at a later court hearing. Effectiveness of the mandatory settlement is conditioned on final court approval of the settlement after a fairness hearing. There can be no assurance as to whether, or when, such court approval will be obtained.

The WALKER court also granted preliminary approval and preliminary certification of the nationwide class, however, in August 1997, the court vacated its preliminary certification of the settlement class, which decision is currently on appeal. The WALKER court relied on the Supreme Court's decision in AMCHEM PRODUCTS INC. V. WINDSOR in reaching its decision to vacate preliminary certification of the class. In AMCHEM, the Supreme Court affirmed a decision of the Third Circuit vacating the certification of a settlement class that involved asbestos-exposure claims. The Supreme Court held that the proposed settlement class did not meet the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for predominance of common issues and adequacy of representation. The Third Circuit had held that, although classes could be certified for settlement purposes, Rule 23's requirements had to be satisfied as if the case were going to be litigated. The Supreme Court agreed that the fairness and adequacy of the settlement are not pertinent to the predominance inquiry under Rule 23(b)(3), and thus, the proposed class must have sufficient unity so that absent class members can fairly be bound by decisions of class representatives.

BGLS INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) - (Continued)

After the AMCHEM opinion was issued by the Supreme Court in June 1997, objectors to Liggett's settlement in WALKER moved for decertification. Although Liggett's settlement in the WALKER action is a "limited fund" class action settlement proceeding under Rule 23(b)(1) and AMCHEM was a Rule 23(b)(3) case, the court in the WALKER action, nonetheless, decertified the WALKER class. Applying AMCHEM to the WALKER case, the District Court, in a decision issued in August 1997, determined that while plaintiffs in WALKER have a common interest in "maximizing the limited fund available from the defendants," there remained "substantial conflicts among class members relating to distribution of the fund and other key concerns" that made class certification inappropriate.

The AMCHEM decision's ultimate affect on the viability of both the WALKER and FLETCHER settlements remains uncertain given the Fifth Circuit's recent ruling reaffirming a limited fund class action settlement in IN RE ASBESTOS LITIGATION ("AHEARN"). In June 1997, the Supreme Court remanded AHEARN to the Fifth Circuit for consideration in light of AMCHEM. On remand, the Fifth Circuit made two decisive distinctions between AMCHEM and AHEARN. First, the AHEARN class action proceeded under Rule 23(b)(1) while AMCHEM was a Rule 23(b)(3) case, and second, in AHEARN, there was no allocation or difference in award, according to nature or severity of injury, as there was in AMCHEM. The Fifth Circuit concluded that all members of the class and all class representatives share common interests and none of the uncommon questions abounding in AMCHEM exist. On June 22, 1998, the Supreme Court granted certiorari to review the Fifth Circuit decision.

The remaining material terms of the March 1996 Settlements, the March 1997 Settlements and the March 1998 Settlements are described below.

Pursuant to each of the settlements, both the Company and Liggett agreed to cooperate fully with the Attorneys General and the nationwide class in their respective lawsuits against the tobacco industry. The Company and Liggett agreed to provide to these parties all relevant tobacco documents in their possession, other than those subject to claims of joint defense privilege, and to waive, subject to court order, certain attorney-client privileges and work product protections regarding Liggett's smoking-related documents to the extent Liggett and the Company can so waive these privileges and protections. The Attorneys General and the nationwide class agreed to keep Liggett's documents under protective order and, subject to final court approval, to limit their use to those actions brought by parties to the settlement agreements. Those documents that may be subject to a joint defense privilege with other tobacco companies will not be produced to the Attorneys General or the nationwide class, but will be, pursuant to court order, submitted to the appropriate court and placed under seal for possible IN CAMERA review. Additionally, under similar protective conditions, the Company and Liggett agreed to offer their employees for witness interviews and testimony at deposition and trial. Pursuant to the settlement agreements, Liggett also agreed to place an additional warning on its cigarette packaging stating that "Smoking is Addictive" and to issue a public statement, as requested by the Attorneys General. Liggett has commenced distribution of cigarette packaging, which displays the new warning label.

Pursuant to the March 1996 Settlements, any other tobacco company defendant, except Philip Morris, merging or combining with Liggett or the Company, prior to the third anniversary of the settlement, would receive certain settlement benefits, including limitations on potential liability. Pursuant to the agreement, any such combining tobacco company would be released from the lawsuits brought by the five initial settling states. Such combining tobacco company would be obligated to pay into the settlement fund within sixty days of becoming bound to the agreement

BGLS INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) - (Continued)

\$135,000, and make annual payments of 2.5% of the combining company's pre-tax income (but not less than \$30,000 per year). Such combining tobacco company would also have to comply with the advertising and access restrictions provided for in the agreement, and would have to withdraw their objections to the FDA rule.

Pursuant to the March 1997 Settlements, any other tobacco company defendant, except Philip Morris, merging or combining with Liggett or the Company, prior to the fourth anniversary of the settlements, would receive certain settlement benefits, including limitations on potential liability for affiliates not engaged in domestic tobacco operations and a waiver of any obligation to post a bond to appeal any future adverse judgment. In addition, within 120 days following any such combination, Liggett would be required to pay the settlement fund \$25,000. Under all settlements, the plaintiffs have agreed not to seek an injunction preventing a defendant tobacco company combining with Liggett or the Company from spinning off any affiliate which is not engaged in the domestic tobacco business.

Pursuant to the March 1998 Settlements, Liggett is required to pay each of the settling states and territories their relative share (based on the Medicaid population of each state over the total Medicaid population of the United States) of between 27.5% and 30% of Liggett's pre-tax income each year for 25 years, with a minimum payment guarantee of \$1,000 per state over the first nine years of the agreement. The aggregate payments required under the March 1996, March 1997 and March 1998 Settlements are \$45,000, of which \$3,639 has been paid as of September 30, 1998. The annual percentage is subject to increase, pro rata from 27.5% up to 30%, depending on the number of additional states joining the settlement. Pursuant to the "most favored nation" provisions under the March 1996 Settlement and the March 1997 Settlements, each of the states settling under those settlements could benefit from the economic terms of the March 1998 Settlements. In all settlements, Liggett agreed to phase-in compliance with certain proposed FDA regulations regarding smoking by children and adolescents, including a prohibition on the use of cartoon characters in tobacco advertising and limitations on the use of promotional materials and distribution of sample packages where minors are present. The March 1998 Settlements provide for additional restrictions and regulations on Liggett's advertising, including a prohibition on outdoor advertising and product advertising on the Internet and on payments for product placement in movies and television.

Under all settlements, the Company and Liggett are also entitled to "most favored nation" treatment in the event any settling Attorney General reaches a settlement with any other defendant tobacco company. Pursuant to the March 1996 and March 1997 Settlements, in the event of a global settlement involving federal legislation with any other defendant tobacco company, the settling Attorneys General agreed to use their "best efforts" to ensure that the Company and Liggett's liability under such legislation should be no more onerous than under those settlements. Under the March 1998 Settlements, the settling Attorneys General agreed to write letters to Congress and the President of the United States to ensure that the Company and Liggett's liability under any such legislation should be no more onerous than under this settlement.

The Company accrued approximately \$4,000 for the present value of the fixed payments under the March 1996 Settlements and \$16,902 for the present value of the fixed payments under the March 1998 Settlements. No additional amounts have been accrued because the Company cannot quantify the future costs of the settlements as the amounts Liggett must pay are based, in part, on

BGLS INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) - (Continued)

future operating results. Possible future payments based on a percentage of pretax income, and other contingent payments based on the occurrence of a business combination, will be expensed when considered probable.

Separately, the other tobacco companies negotiated settlements of the Attorney General Actions in Mississippi, Florida, Texas, and Minnesota and it has been widely publicized that the other companies have engaged in negotiations to settle with the Attorneys General of the remaining states.

Copies of the various settlement agreements are filed as exhibits to the Company's Form 10-K and the discussion herein is qualified in its entirety by reference thereto.

TRIALS. On July 6, 1998, trial commenced in the ENGLE, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS INCORPORATED, ET AL., case, a class action pending in Miami Dade County, Florida, brought on behalf of all Florida residents allegedly injured by smoking. There are several trial dates scheduled during 1999 for Third-Party Payor and Individual Actions; however, trial dates are subject to change.

OTHER RELATED MATTERS. In March 1997, RJR, Philip Morris, B&W and Lorillard obtained a temporary restraining order from a North Carolina state court preventing the Company and Liggett and their agents, employees, directors, officers and lawyers from turning over documents allegedly subject to the joint defense privilege in connection with the settlements, which restraining order was converted to a preliminary injunction by the court in April 1997. In March 1997, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas and state courts in Mississippi and Illinois each issued orders enjoining the other tobacco companies from interfering with Liggett's filing with the courts, under seal, those documents.

A grand jury investigation is being conducted by the office of the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of New York (the "Eastern District Investigation") regarding possible violations of criminal law relating to the activities of The Council for Tobacco Research - USA, Inc. (the "CTR"). Liggett was a sponsor of the CTR at one time. In May 1996, Liggett received a subpoena from a Federal grand jury sitting in the Eastern District of New York, to which Liggett has responded.

In March 1996, and in each of March, July, October and December 1997, the Company and/or Liggett received subpoenas from a Federal grand jury in connection with an investigation by the United States Department of Justice (the "DOJ Investigation") involving the industry's knowledge of: the health consequences of smoking cigarettes; the targeting of children by the industry; and the addictive nature of nicotine and the manipulation of nicotine by the industry. Liggett has responded to the March 1996, March 1997 and July 1997 subpoenas and is in the process of responding to the October and December 1997 subpoenas. The Company understands that the Eastern District Investigation and the DOJ Investigation essentially have been consolidated into one investigation conducted by the Department of Justice (the "DOJ"). The Company and Liggett are unable, at this time, to predict the outcome of this investigation.

On April 28, 1998, the Company announced that Liggett had reached an agreement with the DOJ to cooperate in both the Eastern District Investigation and the DOJ Investigation. The agreement does not constitute an admission of any wrongful behavior by Liggett. The DOJ has not provided immunity to Liggett and has full discretion to act or refrain from acting with respect to Liggett in the investigation.

BGLS INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) - (Continued)

In September 1998, Liggett received a subpoena from a federal grand jury in the Eastern District of Philadelphia investigating possible antitrust violations in connection with the purchase of tobacco by and for tobacco companies. Liggett is in the process of responding to this subpoena. Liggett and the Company are unable, at this time, to predict the outcome of this investigation.

Litigation is subject to many uncertainties, and it is possible that some of the aforementioned actions could be decided unfavorably against the Company or Liggett. An unfavorable outcome of a pending smoking and health case could encourage the commencement of additional similar litigation. The Company is unable to evaluate the effect of these developing matters on pending litigation or the possible commencement of additional litigation. The Company is also unable to make a meaningful estimate with respect to the amount of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome of many of the cases pending against the Company, because the complaints filed in these cases rarely detail alleged damages. Typically, the claims set forth in an individual's complaint against the tobacco industry pray for money damages in an amount to be determined by a jury, plus punitive damages and costs. These damage claims are typically stated as being for the minimum necessary to invoke the jurisdiction of the court.

Third-party payor claimants and others have set forth several additional variations on relief sought: funding of corrective public education campaigns relating to issues of smoking and health; funding for clinical smoking cessation programs; disgorgement of profits from sales of cigarettes; restitution; treble damages; and attorneys' fees. Nevertheless, no specific amounts are provided. It is understood that requested damages against the tobacco company defendants in these cases might be in the billions of dollars.

It is possible that the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operation and cash flow could be materially adversely affected by an unfavorable outcome in any such tobacco-related litigation.

Liggett has been involved in certain environmental proceedings, none of which, either individually or in the aggregate, rises to the level of materiality. Liggett's management believes that current operations are conducted in material compliance with all environmental laws and regulations. Management is unaware of any material environmental conditions affecting its existing facilities. Compliance with federal, state and local provisions regulating the discharge of materials into the environment, or otherwise relating to the protection of the environment, has not had a material effect on the capital expenditures, earnings or competitive position of Liggett.

There are several other proceedings, lawsuits and claims pending against the Company unrelated to smoking or tobacco product liability. Management is of the opinion that the liabilities, if any, ultimately resulting from such other proceedings, lawsuits and claims should not materially affect the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

### LEGISLATION AND REGULATION:

In January 1993, the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") released a report on the respiratory effect of ETS which concludes that ETS is a known human lung carcinogen in adults and in children, causes increased respiratory tract disease and middle ear disorders and increases the severity and frequency of asthma. In June 1993, the two largest of the major domestic cigarette

BGLS INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) - (Continued)

manufacturers, together with other segments of the tobacco and distribution industries, commenced a lawsuit against the EPA seeking a determination that the EPA did not have the statutory authority to regulate ETS, and that given the current body of scientific evidence and the EPA's failure to follow its own guidelines in making the determination, the EPA's classification of ETS was arbitrary and capricious. Whatever the outcome of this litigation, issuance of the report may encourage efforts to limit smoking in public areas. In July 1998, the court ruled that the EPA made procedural and scientific mistakes when it declared in its 1993 report that secondhand smoke caused as many as 3,000 cancer deaths a year among nonsmokers.

In April 1994, the United States Occupational Safety and Health Administration ("OSHA") issued a proposed rule that could ultimately ban smoking in the workplace. Hearings were completed during 1995. OSHA has not yet issued a final rule or a proposed revised rule. While the Company cannot predict the outcome, some form of federal regulation of smoking in workplaces may result.

In February 1996, the United States Trade representative issued an "advance notice of rule making" concerning how tobaccos imported under a previously established tobacco rate quota ("TRQ") should be allocated. Currently, tobacco imported under the TRQ is allocated on a "first-come, first-served" basis, meaning that entry is allowed on an open basis to those first requesting entry in the quota year. Others in the cigarette industry have suggested an "end-user licensing" system under which the right to import tobacco under the quota would be initially assigned based on domestic market share. Such an approach, if adopted, could have a material adverse effect on the Company and Liggett.

In August 1996, the FDA filed in the Federal Register a Final Rule (the "FDA Rule") classifying tobacco as a drug, asserting jurisdiction by the FDA over the manufacture and marketing of tobacco products and imposing restrictions on the sale, advertising and promotion of tobacco products. Litigation was commenced in the United States District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina challenging the legal authority of the FDA to assert such jurisdiction, as well as challenging the constitutionality of the rules. The court, after argument, granted plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment prohibiting the FDA from regulating or restricting the promotion and advertising of tobacco products and denied plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment on the issue of whether the FDA has the authority to regulate access to, and labeling of, tobacco products. The Fourth Circuit Court reversed the district court on appeal and on August 14, 1998 held that the FDA cannot regulate tobacco products because Congress had not given them the authority to do so. The Company and Liggett support the FDA Rule and have begun to phase in compliance with certain of the proposed interim FDA regulations. See discussions of the CASTANO and Attorney General Actions settlements above.

In August 1996, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts enacted legislation requiring tobacco companies to publish information regarding the ingredients in cigarettes and other tobacco products sold in that state. In December 1997, the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts enjoined this legislation from going into effect, however, in December 1997, Liggett began complying with this legislation by providing ingredient information to the Massachusetts Department of Public Health

As part of the budget agreement recently approved by Congress, federal excise taxes on a pack of cigarettes, which are currently 24 cents, would rise 10 cents in the year 2000 and 5 cents more in the year 2002. Additionally, the citizens of California recently voted in favor of a 50 cents per pack tax on cigarettes sold in that state.

BGLS INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) - (Continued)

PROPOSED RESOLUTION. In June 1997, Philip Morris Incorporated ("Philip Morris"), R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Company ("RJR"), B&W, Lorillard Tobacco Company ("Lorillard") and the United States Tobacco Company, along with the Attorneys General for the States of Arizona, Connecticut, Florida, Mississippi, New York and Washington and the CASTANO Plaintiffs' Litigation Committee executed a Memorandum of Understanding to support the adoption of federal legislation and necessary ancillary undertakings, incorporating the features described in a proposed resolution (the "Resolution"). The proposed Resolution mandates a total reformation and restructuring of how tobacco products are manufactured, marketed and distributed in the United States. (The proposed Resolution is discussed in

In a speech in September 1997, President Clinton called for federal legislation that, among other things, would raise cigarette prices by up to \$1.50 per pack. Since then, several bills have been introduced in Congress, including bills modeled after the proposed Resolution, that purport to propose legislation along these lines. The White House, Congress, and various public interest groups are currently reviewing the proposed Resolution along with other proposed federal tobacco legislation. Management is unable to predict whether the proposed Resolution or other federal legislation will be enacted or the form any such enactment might take. The present legislative and litigation environment is substantially uncertain and could have a material adverse effect on the business of the Company and Liggett.

the Company's 1997 Form 10-K/A No. 1.)

In addition to the foregoing, there have been a number of other restrictive regulatory actions, adverse political decisions and other unfavorable developments concerning cigarette smoking and the tobacco industry, the effects of which, at this time, the Company is not able to evaluate.

#### OTHER MATTERS:

In June 1993, the Company obtained expropriation and forced abandonment insurance coverage for its investment in its Ducat Place I real estate project in Moscow, Russia. Shortly thereafter, the Company submitted a Notice of Loss to the insurer, under and pursuant to the policy. The insurer denied the claim and, in July 1994, arbitration proceedings were commenced in the United Kingdom. In January 1997, the Company recognized a gain of \$4,125 in settlement of the dispute.

On or about March 13, 1997, a shareholder derivative suit was filed against New Valley, as a nominal defendant, its directors and the Company in the Delaware Chancery Court, by a shareholder of New Valley. The suit alleges that New Valley's purchase of the BML shares constituted a self-dealing transaction which involved the payment of excessive consideration by New Valley. The plaintiff seeks (i) a declaration that New Valley's directors breached their fiduciary duties, the Company aided and abetted such breaches and such parties are therefore liable to New Valley, and (ii) unspecified damages to be awarded to New Valley. The Company's time to respond to the complaint has not yet expired. The Company believes that the allegations are without merit. Although there can be no assurances, management is of the opinion, after consultation with counsel, that the ultimate resolution of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

On or about September 18, 1998, a lawsuit was commenced against the Company, New Valley, certain officers, directors and shareholders and others in the United States District Court, Southern District of Texas, Houston Division. The defendants have moved for a more definite statement and for an extension of time to answer the complaint.

BGLS INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) - (Continued)

#### RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

On January 31, 1997, New Valley entered into a stock purchase agreement with BOL pursuant to which New Valley acquired 10,483 shares of BML common stock (99.1%) for a purchase price of \$55,000, consisting of \$21,500 in cash and a \$33,500 promissory note with an interest rate of 9%. The note was paid in full in 1997. (Refer to Notes 3 and 8.)

In January 1998, the Company entered into an amendment to the Amended and Restated Consulting Agreement dated as of January 1, 1996 with a consultant who also serves as a director and President of New Valley. The amendment provides that the consultant is entitled on an annual basis to receive additional payments in an amount necessary to reimburse him, on an after-tax basis, for all applicable taxes incurred by him during the prior calendar year as a result of the grant to him, or vesting, of a 1994 award of 500,000 restricted shares of the Company's Common Stock and 1995 and 1996 awards of 500,000 and 1,000,000, respectively, options to acquire shares of the Company's common stock. The consultant received an additional consulting payment of \$425,000 in January 1998, which the consultant and the Company have agreed will constitute full satisfaction of the Company's obligations under the amendment with respect to 1997.

Effective May 1, 1998, a former officer of the Company entered into a consulting agreement in which the Company will pay him a total of \$2,254 in stock or cash in quarterly installments over a period of 6 years. The Company recognized the expense during the second quarter 1998.

In September 1998, New Valley made a one-year \$950 loan to BGLS which bears interest at 14% per annum.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

#### INTRODUCTION

The following discussion provides an assessment of the consolidated results of operations, capital resources and liquidity of Brooke Group Ltd. (the "Company") and its subsidiaries and should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto of the Company and BGLS Inc. ("BGLS") included elsewhere in this document. BGLS is a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of BGLS, Liggett Group Inc. ("Liggett"), Brooke (Overseas) Ltd. ("BOL"), New Valley Holdings, Inc. ("NV Holdings"), Liggett-Ducat Ltd. ("Liggett-Ducat") and other less significant subsidiaries. The Company holds an equity interest in New Valley Corporation ("New Valley") through NV Holdings.

The Company is a holding company for a number of businesses which it holds through its wholly-owned subsidiary BGLS. Accordingly, a separate Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for BGLS is not presented herein as it would not differ materially from the discussion of the Company's consolidated results of operations, capital resources and liquidity.

For purposes of this discussion and other consolidated financial reporting, the Company's significant business segment is tobacco in the United States and Russia for the nine months ended September 30, 1998 and September 30, 1997

#### RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

#### THE COMPANY

STANDSTILL AGREEMENT. On March 5, 1998, BGLS entered into an agreement (the "Standstill Agreement") with AIF II, L.P. and an affiliated investment manager on behalf of a managed account (the "Apollo Holders"), who together held approximately 41.8% of the \$232,864 principal amount of BGLS' 15.75% Senior Secured Notes due 2001 (the "BGLS Notes") then outstanding.

Pursuant to the terms of the Standstill Agreement, the Apollo Holders agreed to defer the payment of interest on the BGLS Notes held by them, commencing with the interest payment that was due July 31, 1997, which they had previously agreed to defer, through the interest payment due on July 31, 2000. The deferred interest payments will be payable at final maturity of the BGLS Notes on January 31, 2001 or upon an Event of Default under the Indenture for the BGLS Notes. In connection with the Standstill Agreement, the Company issued to the Apollo Holders a five-year warrant to purchase 2,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$5.00 per share. The Apollo Holders were also issued a second warrant expiring October 31, 2004 to purchase an additional 2,150,000 shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$0.10 per share. The second warrant will become exercisable on October 31, 1999 and the Company will have the right under certain conditions prior to that date to substitute for that warrant a new warrant for 9.9% of the common stock of Liggett.

On February 6, 1998, the holder of 41.9% of the BGLS Notes, who had previously been a party to the Standstill Agreement, was paid its pro-rata share of the July 31, 1997 interest payment on the BGLS Notes. On March 2, 1998, BGLS made the interest payment due on January 31, 1998 to all holders of the BGLS Notes other than the Apollo Holders.

SALE OF STOCK. On January 16, 1998, the Company entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement with High River Limited Partnership ("High River") in which High River purchased 1,500,000 shares of the Company's common stock for \$9,000.

#### LIGGETT

NOTES RESTRUCTURING. On January 30, 1998, with the consent of the required majority of the holders of the Liggett 11.50% Series B and 19.75% Series C Senior Secured Notes due 1999 (the "Liggett Notes"), Liggett entered into various amendments to the Indenture governing the Liggett Notes which provided, among other things, for a deferral of the February 1, 1998 mandatory redemption payment of \$37,500 to the date of final maturity of the Liggett Notes on February 1, 1999. In connection with the deferral, the Company agreed to issue 483,002 shares of the Company's common stock to the holders of record on January 15, 1998 of the Liggett Notes. The Indenture under which the Liggett Notes are outstanding was also amended to prohibit, with limited exceptions, payments of dividends and incurrence of new debt by Liggett and to tighten restrictions on the disposition of proceeds of asset sales.

#### NEW VALLEY

WESTERN REALTY DUCAT. In February 1998, New Valley and Apollo Real Estate Investment Fund III, L.P. ("Apollo") organized Western Realty Development LLC ("Western Realty Ducat") to make real estate and other investments in Russia. In connection with the formation of Western Realty Ducat, New Valley agreed, among other things, to contribute the real estate assets of Brookemil Ltd. ("BML") to Western Realty Ducat and Apollo agreed to contribute up to \$58,750, including the investment in Western Realty Repin discussed below. Western Realty Ducat will seek to make additional real estate and other investments in Russia. Western Realty Ducat has made a \$26,300 participating loan to, and payable out of a 30% profits interest in, a company organized by BOL which, among other things, acquired an interest in an industrial site and manufacturing facility being constructed on the outskirts of Moscow by a subsidiary of BOL.

THE KREMLIN SITES. BML is planning the development of two adjoining sites totaling 10.25 acres (the "Kremlin Sites") located in Moscow across the Moscow River from the Kremlin. BML, which is planning to develop a 1.1 million sq. ft. hotel, office, retail and residential complex on the Kremlin Sites, owned 94.6% of one site and 52% of the other site at September 30, 1998. In June 1998, New Valley and Apollo organized Western Realty Repin LLC to make a \$25,000 participating loan to BML. The proceeds of the loan will be used by BML for the acquisition and preliminary development of the Kremlin Sites, including the repayment to New Valley for certain expenditures on the Kremlin Sites previously incurred (see Note 3 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements).

### NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In June 1997, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 130, "Reporting Comprehensive Income". SFAS No. 130, which the Company adopted in the first quarter of 1998, establishes standards for reporting and displaying comprehensive income and its components in a full set of general-purpose statements. For the Company, other components of stockholders' equity include such items as the Company's proportionate interest in New Valley's capital transactions and unrealized gains and losses on investment securities. The implementation of SFAS No. 130 in the first quarter 1998 did not have any material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

In June 1997, the FASB issued SFAS No. 131, "Disclosures About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information". SFAS No. 131 specifies revised guidelines for determining an entity's operating segments and the type and level of financial information to be disclosed. Management believes that the adoption of this pronouncement will not have a material effect on the Company's financial statement disclosures. SFAS No. 131 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 1997, with earlier application encouraged.

In February 1998, SFAS No. 132, "Employers' Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits," was issued which revises required disclosures about pensions and postretirement benefit plans in order to facilitate financial analysis. Recognition or measurement issues are not addressed in the statement. SFAS No. 132 is effective for the Company for the year ended 1998. Management believes that the implementation of this pronouncement will not have a material effect on the Company's financial statement disclosures.

### YEAR 2000 COSTS

The Company utilizes management information systems and software technology that may be affected by Year 2000 issues throughout its operations. Liggett has evaluated the costs to implement century date change compliant systems conversions and is in the process of executing a planned conversion of its systems prior to the Year 2000. To date, the focus of Year 2000 compliance and verification efforts has been directed at the implementation of new customer service, inventory control and financial reporting systems at each of the three regional Strategic Business Units, part of the Company's reorganization which began in January 1997. In January of 1998, Liggett initiated a major conversion of factory accounting and information systems at its Durham production facility, with the assistance of outside consultants, to upgrades that have been successfully tested for Year 2000 compliance. This project is expected to be completed by the end of November.

All costs incurred to date are considered an integral part of the normal expenditures required for business systems enhancements and upgrades. It is anticipated that all factory, corporate, field sales and physical distribution systems will be completed in sufficient time to support Year 2000 compliance and verification.

Although such costs may be a factor in describing changes in operating profit in any given reporting period, the Company currently does not believe that the anticipated costs of Year 2000 systems conversions will have a material impact on its future consolidated results of operations. Based on the progress Liggett has made in addressing Year 2000 issues and its strategy and timetable to complete its compliance program, the Company does not foresee significant risks associated with its Year 2000 initiatives at this time. However, if the Company identifies any significant risks related to its Year 2000 compliance effort, or if its progress deviates from the projected timetable, Liggett will develop contingency plans it deems necessary to meet compliance deadlines at that time. Due to the interdependent nature of computer systems, the Company may be adversely impacted in the year 2000 depending on whether it or its vendors or customers have addressed this issue successfully.

# RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN THE CIGARETTE INDUSTRY

PRICING ACTIVITY. As of November 13, 1998, list price increases during 1998 amount to \$1.85 per carton. On January 23, 1998, Philip Morris and RJR announced a list price increase of \$.25 per carton. This action was matched by Liggett and the other manufacturers during the following week. On April 3, 1998, Philip Morris announced a second list price increase of \$.50 per carton. This action was matched by Liggett and the other manufacturers. On May 11, 1998, Philip Morris and RJR announced a third list price increase of \$.50 per carton on all brands. This action

was matched by Liggett and the other manufacturers during the following week. On July 31, 1998, Philip Morris announced a fourth list price increase of \$.60 per carton on all brands. This action was matched by Liggett and the other manufacturers during the following week.

LEGISLATION, REGULATION AND LITIGATION. The cigarette industry continues to be challenged on numerous fronts. New cases continue to be commenced against Liggett and the Company and other cigarette manufacturers. As of September 30, 1998, there were approximately 275 individual suits, 45 purported class actions and 110 state, municipality and other third-party payor health care reimbursement actions pending in the United States in which Liggett is a named defendant. As new cases are commenced, the costs associated with defending such cases and the risks attendant to the inherent unpredictability of litigation continue to increase. Recently, there have been a number of restrictive regulatory actions from various Federal administrative bodies, including the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") and the Food and Drug Administration ("FDA"), adverse political decisions and other unfavorable developments concerning cigarette smoking and the tobacco industry, including the commencement and certification of class actions and the commencement of Medicaid reimbursement suits by various states' Attorneys General. These developments generally receive widespread media attention. The Company is not able to evaluate the effect of these developing matters on pending litigation or the possible commencement of additional litigation, but it is possible that Company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows could be materially adversely affected by an ultimate unfavorable outcome in any of such pending litigation. (See Note 8 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements for a description of legislation, regulation and litigation.)

The plaintiffs' allegations of liability in those cases in which individuals seek recovery for personal injuries allegedly caused by cigarette smoking are based on various theories of recovery, including negligence, gross negligence, special duty, voluntary undertaking, strict liability, fraud, misrepresentation, design defect, failure to warn, breach of express and implied warranties, conspiracy, aiding and abetting concert of action, unjust enrichment, common law public nuisance, indemnity, market share liability, and violations of deceptive trade practices laws, RICO and antitrust statutes. In many of these cases, in addition to compensatory damages, plaintiffs also seek other forms of relief including disgorgement of profits and punitive damages. Defenses raised by defendants in these cases include lack of proximate cause, assumption of the risk, comparative fault and/or contributory negligence, lack of design defect, statutes of limitations or repose, equitable defenses such as "unclean hands" and lack of benefit, failure to state a claim and federal preemption.

The claims asserted in the health care cost recovery actions vary. In most of these cases, plaintiffs assert the equitable claim that the tobacco industry was "unjustly enriched" by plaintiffs' payment of health care costs allegedly attributable to smoking and seek reimbursement of those costs. Other claims made by some but not all plaintiffs include the equitable claim of indemnity, common law claims of negligence, strict liability, breach of express and implied warranty, violation of a voluntary undertaking or special duty, fraud, negligent misrepresentation, conspiracy, public nuisance, claims under state and federal statutes governing consumer fraud, antitrust, deceptive trade practices and false advertising, and claims under the RICO.

SETTLEMENTS. In March 1996, Liggett and the Company entered into an agreement to settle the CASTANO class action tobacco litigation and an agreement with the Attorneys General of West Virginia, Florida, Mississippi, Massachusetts and Louisiana to settle certain actions brought against Liggett and the Company by such states (the "March 1996 Settlements"). Liggett and the Company, while neither consenting to FDA jurisdiction nor waiving their objections thereto, agreed to withdraw their objections and opposition to the proposed FDA regulations and to phase in compliance with certain of the proposed interim FDA regulations.

Under the CASTANO settlement agreement, upon final court approval of the settlement, the CASTANO class would be entitled to receive up to 5% of Liggett's pretax income (income before income taxes) each year (up to a maximum of \$50,000 per year) for the next twenty-five years, subject to certain reductions provided for in the agreement, and a \$5,000 payment from Liggett if the Company or Liggett fails to consummate a merger or similar transaction with another non-settling tobacco company defendant within three years of the date of the settlement. The Company and Liggett have the right to terminate the CASTANO settlement under certain circumstances. On May 11, 1996, the CASTANO Plaintiffs Legal Committee filed a motion with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana seeking preliminary approval of the CASTANO settlement. On May 23, 1996, the Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit reversed the February 17, 1995 order of the District Court certifying the CASTANO suit as a nationwide class action and instructed the District Court to dismiss the class complaint. (For additional information concerning the Fifth Circuit's decision, see Note 8 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.) In September 1996, the CASTANO plaintiffs withdrew the motion for approval of the CASTANO settlement.

In March 1996, the Company, the CASTANO Plaintiffs Legal Committee and the CASTANO plaintiffs entered into a letter agreement. According to the terms of the letter agreement, for the period ending nine months from the date of Final Approval (if granted) of the CASTANO settlement or, if earlier, the completion by the Company or Liggett of a combination with any defendant in CASTANO, except Philip Morris, the CASTANO plaintiffs and their counsel agree not to enter into any more favorable settlement agreement with any CASTANO defendant which would reduce the terms of the CASTANO settlement agreement. If the CASTANO plaintiffs or their counsel enter into any such settlement during this period, they shall pay the Company \$250,000 within thirty days of the more favorable agreement and offer the Company and Liggett the option to enter into a settlement on terms at least as favorable as those included in such other settlement. The letter agreement further provides that during the same time period, and if the CASTANO settlement agreement has not been earlier terminated by the Company in accordance with its terms, the Company and its affiliates will not enter into any business transaction with any third party which would cause the termination of the CASTANO settlement agreement. If the Company or its affiliates enter into any such transaction, then the CASTANO plaintiffs will be entitled to receive \$250,000 within thirty days from the transacting party.

Under the Attorneys General settlement, the five states would share an initial payment by Liggett of \$5,000 (\$1,000 of which was paid in March 1996, with the balance payable over nine years and indexed and adjusted for inflation), provided that any unpaid amount will be due 60 days after either a default by Liggett in its payment obligations under the settlement or a merger or other similar transaction by the Company or Liggett with another defendant in the lawsuits. In addition, Liggett will be required to pay the states a percentage of Liggett's pretax income (income before income taxes) each year from the second through the twenty-fifth year. This annual percentage is 2-1/2% of Liggett's pretax income, subject to increase to 7-1/2% depending on the number of additional states joining the settlement. No additional states have joined this settlement to date. All of Liggett's payments are subject to certain reductions provided for in the agreement. Liggett has also agreed to pay to the states \$5,000 if the Company or Liggett fails to consummate a merger or other similar transaction with another defendant in the lawsuits within three years of the date of the settlement.

In 1997, Liggett and the Company entered into a comprehensive settlement of tobacco litigation through parallel agreements with the Attorneys General of 21 states and with a nationwide class of individuals and entities that allege smoking-related claims (collectively, the "March 1997 Settlements"). In March 1998, the Company and Liggett entered into additional settlements with the Attorneys General of 15 states, the District of Columbia, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands and the U. S. Virgin Islands (the "March 1998 Settlements"). The foregoing settlements cover all smoking-related claims, including both addiction-based and tobacco injury claims against the Company and Liggett brought by the states and, upon court approval, the nationwide class.

In March 1997, Liggett, the Company and plaintiffs filed a mandatory class settlement agreement in an action entitled FLETCHER, ET AL. V. BROOKE GROUP LTD., ET AL., Circuit Court of Mobile County, Alabama, where the court granted preliminary approval and preliminary certification of the class, and in May 1997, a similar mandatory class settlement agreement was filed in an action entitled WALKER, ET AL. V. LIGGETT GROUP INC., ET al., United States District Court, Southern District of West Virginia. On July 2, 1998, the Company and plaintiffs filed an amended class action settlement agreement in FLETCHER. Pursuant to the amended agreement, Liggett is required to pay to the class 7.5% of Liggett's pre-tax income each year for 25 years, with a minimum annual payment guarantee of \$1,000 over the term of the agreement. The amended agreement does not set forth a formula with respect to the distribution of settlement proceeds to the class. On September 10, 1998, the Circuit Court held a hearing with respect to the parties' motion for reaffirmance of preliminary approval of the amended agreement. The court has not yet ruled on this motion. The WALKER court also granted preliminary approval and preliminary certification of the nationwide class; however, on August 5, 1997, the court vacated its preliminary certification of the settlement class, which decision is currently on appeal.

In the FLETCHER action, it is anticipated that class members will be notified of the settlement and will have an opportunity to appear at a later court hearing. Effectiveness of the mandatory settlement is conditioned on final court approval of the settlement after a fairness hearing. There can be no assurance as to whether or when court approval will be obtained. (For additional information concerning the FLETCHER action, see Note 8 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.)

Under the March 1998 Settlements, Liggett is required to pay each of the settling states and territories their relative share (based on the Medicaid population of each state over the total Medicaid population of the United States) of between 27.5% and 30% of Liggett's pre-tax income each year for 25 years, with a minimum payment guarantee of \$1,000 per state over the first nine years of the agreement. The annual percentage is subject to increase, pro rata from 27.5% up to 30%, depending on the number of additional states joining the settlement. Pursuant to the "most favored nation" provisions under the March 1996 and March 1997 Settlements, each of the states settling under those settlements could benefit from the economic terms of the March 1998 Settlements.

The Company accrued approximately \$4,000 for the present value of the fixed payments under the March 1996 Settlements and \$16,902 for the present value of the fixed payments under the March 1998 Settlements. No additional amounts have been accrued because the Company cannot quantify the future costs of the settlements as the amounts Liggett must pay are based, in part, on future operating results. Possible future payments based on a percentage of pretax income, and other contingent payments based on the occurrence of a business combination, will be expensed when considered probable. (See the discussions of the tobacco litigation settlements appearing in Note 8 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.)

Separately, the other tobacco companies negotiated settlements of the Attorney General Actions in Mississippi, Florida, Texas and Minnesota and it has been widely publicized that the other companies have engaged in negotiations to settle with the Attorneys General of the remaining states.

OTHER MATTERS. On June 20, 1997, Philip Morris, RJR, B&W, Lorillard and the United States Tobacco Company, along with the Attorneys General for the States of Arizona, Connecticut, Florida Mississippi, New York and Washington and the CASTANO Plaintiffs' Litigation Committee executed a Memorandum of Understanding to support the adoption of federal legislation and necessary ancillary undertakings, incorporating the features described in a proposed resolution. The proposed

resolution mandates a total reformation and restructuring of how tobacco products are manufactured, marketed and distributed in the United States. (For additional information concerning the proposed resolution, see Note 8 of the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.) The White House, Congress and various public interest groups are currently reviewing the proposed resolution along with other proposed federal tobacco legislation. Management is unable to predict the ultimate effect, if any, of the enactment of legislation adopting the proposed resolution. Management is also unable to predict the ultimate content of any such legislation. However, adoption of any such legislation could have a material adverse effect on the business of the Company and Liggett.

### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

	REVENUES THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,		OPERATING INCOME THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,		REVENUES NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,		OPERATING INCOME NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,	
	1998	1997	1998	1997	1998	1997	1998	1997
Liggett	\$ 85,630	\$ 79,368	\$ 8,685	\$4,581	\$234,654	\$223,811	\$23,830	\$ 9,380
Liggett-Ducat	22,572	20,940	2,200	1,398	69,613	53,095	9,679	3,012
Other .			2,116	786			95	1,138
Total	\$108,202 ======	\$100,308 ======	\$13,001 =====	\$6,765 =====	\$304,267 ======	\$276,906 =====	\$33,604 =====	\$13,530 ======

THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 1998 COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 1997.

REVENUES. Total revenues were \$108,202 for the three months ended September 30, 1998 compared to \$100,308 for the three months ended September 30, 1997. This 7.9% increase in revenues was due to a \$6,262 or 7.9% increase in revenues at Liggett and an increase of \$1,632 or 7.8% in revenues at Liggett-Ducat. The increases in revenues in both the premium and discount segments at Liggett were due to price increases of \$15,723 (see "Recent Developments in the Cigarette Industry - Pricing Activity") partially offset by an 11.7% decrease in Liggett's unit sales volume (188.6 million units) accounting for \$9,264 in volume variance and an unfavorable product mix of \$197. The decline in premium and discount unit sales volume was due to certain competitors continuing leveraging rebate programs tied to their products and increased promotional activity by certain other manufacturers.

Premium sales at Liggett for the three months ended September 30, 1998 amounted to \$26,986 and represented 31.5% of Liggett's total revenues, compared to \$26,867 and 33.9% of total sales for the same period in 1997. In the premium segment, revenues increased by 0.4% (\$119) over the three months ended September 30, 1998, compared to the same period in 1997, due to price increases of \$4,010, partially offset by a 14.5% decline in unit sales volume (64.6 million units) accounting for \$3,891 in volume variance.

Discount sales at Liggett (comprising the brand categories of branded discount, private label, control label, generic, international and contract manufacturing) for the three months ended September 30, 1998 amounted to \$58,644 and represented 68.5% of Liggett's total revenues, compared to \$52,561 and 66.1% of total sales for the same period in 1997. In the discount segment, revenues increased by 11.7% (\$6,143) over the three months ended September 30, 1998, compared to the same period in 1997, due to price increases of \$11,713 partially offset by a 10.6% decline in unit sales volume (approximately 124.0 million units) accounting for \$5,566 in volume variance and an unfavorable product mix among the discount brand categories of \$4. For the three months ended September 30, 1998, fixed manufacturing costs on a basis comparable to the same period in 1997 were \$384 higher, with costs per thousand units increasing \$0.63 per thousand.

Net sales at Liggett-Ducat for the three months ended September 30, 1998 increased 7.9% (\$1,632) over the same period in 1997 due primarily to an increase of 33.4% (\$6,998) in unit sales volume (1,240.6 million units) and favorable product mix of 1.9% (\$400). This increase was partially offset by price decreases of 27.5% (\$5,766) due to devaluation of the Russian currency.

GROSS PROFIT. Gross profit was \$61,116 for the three months ended September 30, 1998 compared to \$47,263 for the three months ended September 30, 1997, an increase of \$13,853 when compared to the same period last year, reflecting an increase in gross profit at Liggett of \$10,850 and an increase at Liggett-Ducat of \$3,002 for the three months ended September 30, 1998 compared to the same period in the prior year.

In the three months ended September 30, 1998, Liggett's premium and discount brands contributed 30% and 59%, respectively, to the Company's overall gross profit. Over the same period in 1997, Liggett's premium and discount brands contributed 35% and 57%, respectively, to total Company gross profit. Liggett-Ducat contributed 11% to the Company's overall gross profit for the three months ended September 30, 1998 compared to 8.0% in the same period in 1997.

Gross profit at Liggett of \$54,247 for the three months ended September 30, 1998 increased due primarily to the price increases discussed above. (See "Recent Developments in the Cigarette Industry - Pricing Activity".) As a percent of revenues (excluding federal excise taxes), gross profit at Liggett increased to 78.9% for the three months ended September 30, 1998 compared to 72.2% for the same period in 1997, with gross profit for the premium segment at 80.8% and 76.5% in the third quarter of 1998 and 1997, respectively, and gross profit for the discount segment at 78.0% and 69.8% in the third quarter of 1998 and 1997, respectively. This increase is the result of the September 1997, January 1998, April 1998, May 1998 and August 1998 list price increases and improved production variances. These increases were partially offset by increased tobacco costs at Liggett due to a reduction in the average discount available to the Company from leaf tobacco dealers on tobacco purchased under prior years' purchase commitments.

As a percent of revenues (excluding Russian excise taxes), gross profit at Liggett-Ducat increased to 35.0% for the three months ended September 30, 1998 compared to 21.2% in the same period in 1997.

EXPENSES. Operating, selling and general expenses were \$48,115 for the three months ended September 30, 1998 compared to \$40,498 for the same period last year due to increases in expenses at Liggett of \$6,841 and at Liggett-Ducat of \$1,186 and higher expenses at corporate resulting from non-cash charges for consulting services. Selling, general and administrative expenses at Liggett were \$45,562 for the three months ended September 30, 1998 compared to \$38,721 for the same period for the prior year. This increase in expense was due primarily to \$4,059 in higher promotional and marketing costs as well as higher legal expenses, including non-cash stock-based expense of \$2,019 at Liggett, partially offset by reductions in systems development costs of \$648 and reduced administrative costs.

OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE). Interest expense was \$20,138 for the three months ended September 30, 1998 compared to \$15,791 for the same period last year, an increase of \$4,347 primarily due to the debt restructuring at BGLS and Liggett. (See Note 6 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.) Additional interest expense of \$821 at Liggett-Ducat and BOL was reported for the three months ended September 30, 1998, compared with the same period in 1997.

Equity in earnings of affiliate was a loss of \$8,935 for the three months ended September 30, 1998 compared to a loss of \$6,984 for the three months ended September 30, 1997 and relates in both periods to New Valley's net loss applicable to common shares of \$22,622 and \$24,141, respectively.

For the three months ended September 30, 1998, the losses from continuing operations were partially offset by the income from discontinued operations at New Valley of \$3,208.

NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 1998 COMPARED TO NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 1997.

REVENUES. Total revenues were \$304,267 for the nine months ended September 30, 1998 compared to \$276,906 for the nine months ended September 30, 1997. This 9.9% increase in revenues was due to a \$10,843 or 4.8% increase in revenues at Liggett and an increase of \$16,740 or 31.7% at Liggett-Ducat. Revenues at Liggett increased in both the premium and discount segments due to price increases of \$33,760 (see "Recent Developments in the Cigarette Industry-Pricing Activity") and improved product mix of \$470 offset by a 10.4% decline in unit sales volume (486.8 million units) accounting for \$23,387 in volume variance. The decline in premium and discount unit sales volume was due to certain competitors continuing leveraging rebate programs tied to their products and increased promotional activity by certain other manufacturers.

Premium sales at Liggett for the nine months ended September 30, 1998 amounted to \$76,898 and represented 32.8% of Liggett's total revenues, compared to \$74,780 and 33.4% of total sales for the same period in 1997. In the premium segment, revenues grew by 2.8% (\$2,118) due to price increases of \$9,189 offset by a 9.5% decline in unit sales volume (119.3 million units) accounting for \$7,071 in volume variance over the nine months ended September 30, 1998, compared to the same period in 1997.

Liggett's discount sales (comprising the brand categories of branded discount, private label, control label, generic, international and contract manufacturing) over this period amounted to \$157,756 and represented 67.2% of Liggett's total revenues, compared to \$149,031 and 66.6% of total sales for the same period in 1997. In the discount segment, revenues grew by 5.9% (\$8,725) over the nine months ended September 30, 1998, compared to the same period in 1997, due to price increases of \$24,751 and improved product mix among the discount brand categories of \$277 offset by a 10.8% decline in unit sales volume (367.5 million units) accounting for \$16,123 in volume variance. For the nine months ended September 30, 1998, fixed manufacturing costs on a basis comparable to the same period in 1997 were \$929 lower, and costs per thousand units decreased \$0.01 per thousand.

The increase in tobacco revenues at Liggett-Ducat is attributable to increased unit sales volume of 35.9% (\$18,962) and a favorable product mix of 0.5% (\$262). This increase was slightly offset by price decreases of 4.7% (\$2,485) due to devaluation of the Russian currency.

GROSS PROFIT. Gross profit was \$163,845 for the nine months ended September 30, 1998 compared to \$131,065 for the nine months ended September 30, 1997, an increase of \$32,780 or 25.0% when compared to the same period last year, due to price increases at Liggett and volume and price increases at Liggett-Ducat discussed above.

Liggett's premium and discount brands contributed 31% and 57%, respectively, to the Company's overall gross profit for the nine months ended September 30, 1998 compared to the same period in 1997 during which Liggett's premium and discount brands contributed 35% and 58%, respectively. Liggett-Ducat contributed 12% to total Company gross profit for the nine months ended September 30, 1998 compared to 7% in the same period in 1997.

Gross profit at Liggett for the nine months ended September 30, 1998 was \$143,968 compared to \$121,367 for the same period in the prior year. Liggett's gross profit as a percentage of its revenues (excluding federal excise taxes) for the period increased to 77.4% compared to 72.0% in the same period in the prior year. Gross profit for the premium segment was 79.8% and

76.3%, respectively, in the nine months ended September 30 of 1998 and 1997, respectively, and gross profit for the discount segment was 76.1% and 69.7% in 1998 and 1997, respectively. These increases are the result of the March 1997, September 1997, January 1998, April 1998, May 1998 and August 1998 list price increases and improved production variances. See "Recent Developments in the Cigarette Industry - Pricing Activity". These increases were partially offset by increased tobacco costs at Liggett due to a reduction in the average discount available to Liggett from leaf tobacco dealers on tobacco purchased under prior years' purchase commitments.

As a percentage of revenues (excluding Russian excise taxes), gross profit at Liggett-Ducat increased to 33.3% for the nine months ended September 30, 1998 compared to 20.4% in the same period in 1997.

EXPENSES. Operating, selling, general and administrative expenses were \$130,241 for the nine months ended September 30, 1998 compared to \$117,535 for the same period last year. The increase of \$12,706 is due primarily to \$8,055 in higher spending for promotional and marketing programs, higher legal expenses of \$5,042 including non-cash stock-based expense of \$2,019 at Liggett. Such expenses were partially offset by the absence of the 1997 restructuring expenses which had included systems development costs of \$3,541, and the absence of severance payments made in the comparable prior period.

OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE). Interest expense was \$60,561 for the nine months ended September 30, 1998 compared to \$46,757 for the same period last year. The increase in interest expense was primarily due to charges for debt restructuring of approximately \$9,000 at corporate and \$3,800 at Liggett as well as an increase of \$1,315 at BOL and Liggett-Ducat offset by lower interest expense due to lower outstanding balances on the revolving credit facility at Liggett.

Equity in earnings of affiliate was a loss of \$20,383 for the nine months ended September 30, 1998 compared to a loss of \$21,335 for the nine months ended September 30, 1997 and relates to the decline in market value of the Class A Preferred Shares and to New Valley's net loss applicable to common shares of \$67,051 in 1998 compared to its net loss of \$72,241 in 1997. The loss is offset by income from New Valley's discontinued operations of \$3,208 for the nine months ended September 30, 1998.

For the nine months ended September 30, 1998, a gain on the sale of various assets of \$2,025 is compared to the gain of \$23,086 for the same period in 1997 which included the sale of the BML shares and surplus realty at Liggett, and proceeds from a legal settlement. See Notes 3 and 8 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

## CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY

Net cash and cash equivalents increased \$1,636 and \$420 for the nine months ended September 30, 1998 and September 30, 1997, respectively. Net cash used in operating activities was \$17,847 and \$30,511 for the nine months ended September 30, 1998 and 1997, respectively. Net cash used in the 1998 period was \$12,664 lower than in the same period in 1997, primarily due to a reduction in cash interest payments of approximately \$7,000 at BGLS and an increase in net income at Liggett over the prior year of approximately \$4,200. For the nine months ended September 30, 1997, net cash used in operations was due to a decrease in accounts payable and accrued expenses of approximately \$21,600, and an increase in notes receivable of \$32,500 due to the sale of the BML shares partially offset by a decrease in receivables at Liggett, equity in loss of affiliate of \$14,400 and the impact of the deferred gain on the sale of the BML shares of approximately \$22,000.

Cash used in investing activities of \$14,912 compares to cash provided of \$33,234 for the periods ended September 30, 1998 and 1997, respectively. In 1998, capital expenditures of

\$17,289, principally at Liggett-Ducat for construction of the new factory in Russia were partially offset by proceeds from sales of equipment. In 1997, proceeds included cash of \$43,000 received in the sale of the BML shares to New Valley partially offset by capital expenditures of \$9,857 at Liggett-Ducat, BOL and Liggett.

Cash provided by financing activities was \$33,838 for the nine months ended September 30, 1998 as compared with cash used in financing activities of \$2,303 for the nine months ended September 30, 1997. Proceeds in the 1998 period include proceeds from the participating loan made by Western Realty Ducat of \$25,000, cash of \$10,144 received from the sale of common stock and exercise of stock options, proceeds from notes payable at BOL and corporate of \$4,425 and an increase in cash overdraft at Liggett. Such proceeds were offset by net repayments of \$1,616 on credit facilities at Liggett and Liggett-Ducat and distributions on common stock of \$3,055. Distributions of common stock for the third quarter 1998 were paid on October 2, 1998. Proceeds from financing activities in 1997 include net borrowings under credit facilities at BOL and Liggett of \$7,849. These proceeds were offset by repayments on debt including principally the required repurchase of \$7,500 face amount of Liggett bonds on February 1, 1997 at a net gain of \$2,963. Distributions on common stock of \$4,102 in the 1997 period include distributions declared in the fourth quarter 1996 which were paid in January 1997 and distributions declared and paid in the first two quarters of 1997.

LIGGETT. Liggett had a net capital deficiency of \$183,268 at September 30, 1998 and is highly leveraged. In addition, the Liggett Notes mature on February 1, 1999 and the revolving credit facility (the "Facility") expires on March 8, 1999. Due to the many risks and uncertainties associated with the cigarette industry, the impact of recent tobacco litigation settlements (see "Recent Developments in the Cigarette Industry - Legislation and Litigation") and increased tobacco costs, there can be no assurance that Liggett will be able to meet its future earnings goals. Consequently, Liggett could be in violation its debt covenants, including covenants limiting the maximum permitted adjusted net worth and net working capital deficiencies, and if its lenders were to exercise acceleration rights under the Facility or the Liggett Notes' Indenture or refuse to lend under the Facility, Liggett would not be able to satisfy such demands or its working capital requirements. (See below for additional information concerning these covenants.)

The Liggett Series B Notes (\$150,000) and Liggett C Notes (\$32,279) issued in 1992 and in 1994, respectively, pay interest semiannually at an annual rate of 11.5% and 19.75%, respectively. The Liggett Notes required mandatory principal redemptions of \$7,500 on February 1 in each of the years 1993 through 1997 and \$37,500 on February 1, 1998 with the balance of the Liggett Notes due on February 1, 1999 (see below). The Liggett Notes are collateralized by substantially all of the assets of Liggett, excluding accounts receivable and inventory. Eve is guarantor for the Liggett Notes. The Liggett Notes may be redeemed, in whole or in part, at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount, at the option of Liggett. The Liggett Notes contain restrictions on Liggett's ability to declare or pay cash dividends, incur additional debt, grant liens and enter into any new agreements with affiliates, among others.

On January 30, 1998, Liggett obtained the consents of the required majority of the holders of the Liggett Notes to various amendments to the Indenture governing the Liggett Notes. The amendments provide, among other things, for a deferral of the February 1, 1998 mandatory redemption of \$37,500 principal amount of the Liggett Notes to the date of final maturity, February 1, 1999. In addition, the amendments prohibit, with limited exceptions, payments of dividends and incurrence of new debt by Liggett and tighten restrictions on the disposition of proceeds of asset sales. The interest payment on the Liggett Notes, which was paid on August 1, 1998, was guaranteed by the Company and BGLS. (Refer to Note 6 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.)

At maturity, the Liggett Notes will require a principal payment of \$144,891. Liggett does not anticipate it will be able to generate sufficient cash from operations to make such payments. In addition, Liggett also has a \$40,000 Facility expiring March 8, 1999, under which \$17,674 was outstanding at September 30, 1998. While Liggett's management currently intends to seek to refinance and/or restructure with Liggett's note holders the maturity requirements on the Liggett Notes and to extend the Facility, there are no refinancing or restructuring arrangements for the notes or commitments to extend the Facility at this time, and no assurances can be given in this regard. If Liggett is unable to refinance or restructure the terms of the Liggett Notes or otherwise make all payments thereon, the Liggett Notes and the Facility would be in default and holders of such debt could accelerate the maturity of such debt. In such event, Liggett may be forced to seek protection from creditors under applicable laws. (Refer to Note 1 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.)

On August 29, 1997, the Facility was amended to permit Liggett to borrow an additional \$6,000 which was used on that date in making the interest payment of \$9,700 due on August 1, 1997 to the holders of the Liggett Notes. BGLS guaranteed the additional \$6,000 advance under the Facility and collateralized the guarantee with \$6,000 in cash, deposited with Liggett's lender, \$3,000 of which was released in November 1998. At September 30, 1998, the amount of \$6,000 was classified in other assets on the Company's balance sheet. Availability under the Facility was approximately \$8,091 based on eligible collateral at September 30, 1998. The Facility is collateralized by all inventories and receivables of Liggett. Borrowings under the Facility, whose interest is calculated at a rate equal to 1.5% above Philadelphia National Bank's (the indirect parent of Congress Financial Corporation, the lead lender) prime rate, bear a rate of 10.0% at September 30, 1998, which was reduced to 9.75% and 9.50% in October and November 1998, respectively. The Facility contains certain financial covenants similar to those contained in the Liggett Notes' Indenture including restrictions on Liggett's ability to declare or pay cash dividends, incur additional debt, grant liens and enter into any new agreements with affiliates, among others. In addition, the Facility, as amended on April 8, 1998, imposes requirements with respect to Liggett's adjusted net worth (not to fall below a deficit of \$195,000 as computed in accordance with the agreement, this computation was \$179,149 at September 30, 1998) and working capital (not to fall below a deficit of \$17,000 as computed in accordance with the agreement, this computation was \$2,450 at September 30, 1998). At September 30, 1998, Liggett was in compliance with all covenants under the Facility. The Facility, as amended, also provides that a default by Liggett under the March 1996 Settlements, March 1997 Settlements and March 1998 Settlements shall constitute an event of default under the Facility.

Liggett (and, in certain cases, the Company) and other United States cigarette manufacturers have been named as defendants in a number of direct and third-party actions (and purported class actions) predicated on the theory that they should be liable for damages from cancer and other adverse health effects alleged to have been caused by cigarette smoking or by exposure to so-called secondary smoke (environmental tobacco smoke) from cigarettes.

The Company believes, and has been so advised by counsel handling the respective cases, that the Company and Liggett have a number of valid defenses to the claim or claims asserted against them. Litigation is subject to many uncertainties, and it is possible that some of these actions could be decided unfavorably. An unfavorable outcome of a pending smoking and health case could encourage the commencement of additional similar litigation. Recently, there have been a number of adverse regulatory, political and other developments concerning cigarette smoking and the tobacco industry, including the commencement of the purported class actions referred to above. These developments generally receive widespread media attention. Neither the Company nor Liggett is able to evaluate the effect of these developing matters on pending litigation or the possible commencement of additional litigation. (See "Recent Development in the Cigarette Industry - Legislation, Regulation and Litigation" and "--Settlements" above and Note 8 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.)

The Company is unable to make a meaningful estimate of the amount or range of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome of the cases pending against the Company and Liggett. It is possible that the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows could be materially affected by an ultimate unfavorable outcome in any such pending litigation.

BGLS. On March 5, 1998, BGLS entered into the Standstill Agreement whereby the Apollo Holders agreed to the deferral of interest payments, commencing with the interest payment due July 31, 1997 through the interest payment due July 31, 2000. (See "Recent Developments - The Company - Standstill Agreement".) At September 30, 1998, the carrying value of BGLS' long-term debt (net of unamortized discount of \$19,259) was approximately \$238,591, based on modification of the terms of the debt with the Apollo Holders.

The 14.500% Subordinated Debentures due 1998 in principal amount of \$800 were paid at maturity on April 1, 1998.

Liggett-Ducat is building a new cigarette factory on the outskirts of Moscow. The new factory, which will utilize Western cigarette making technology and have a capacity of 30 billion units per year, will produce American and international blend cigarettes, as well as traditional Russian cigarettes. Western Realty Ducat has made a \$26,300 participating loan to, and payable out of a 30% profits interest, in a company organized by BOL, Western Tobacco, which holds BOL's interests in Liggett-Ducat and the new manufacturing facility. (See "Recent Developments - New Valley" and Note 3 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.) In addition, BOL has entered into equipment purchases of approximately \$35,400, of which \$28,800 will be financed over five years beginning in 1998. The Company is a guarantor of one of the purchases for which the remaining obligation is approximately \$7,000.

THE COMPANY. The Company has remaining scheduled debt maturities of approximately \$1,807 due in the year 1998. Liggett has a payment due on the Liggett Notes at maturity on February 1, 1999 of approximately \$145,000 and the Facility expires on March 8, 1999. The Company believes that it will continue to meet its liquidity requirements through 1998, although the BGLS Notes Indenture limits the amount of restricted payments BGLS is permitted to make to the Company during the calendar year. At September 30, 1998, the remaining amount available through December 31, 1998 in the Restricted Payment Basket related to BGLS' payment of dividends to the Company (as defined by the BGLS Notes Indenture) is \$11,086. Company expenditures remaining in 1998 at September 30, 1998 include dividends on the Company's shares (currently at an annual rate of approximately \$6,100) and corporate expense. Third quarter distributions on common stock were made on October 2, 1998 in the amount of \$1,534. The Company anticipates funding 1998 current operations and long-term growth with the proceeds from public and/or private debt and equity financing, management fees and other payments from subsidiaries of approximately \$3,600 and distributions from New Valley. New Valley may acquire or seek to acquire additional operating businesses through merger, purchase of assets, stock acquisition or other means, or to make other investments, which may limit its ability to make such distributions.

# SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The Company and its representatives may from time to time make oral or written "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Reform Act of 1995 (the "Reform Act"), including any statements that may be contained in the foregoing discussion in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations", in this report and in other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission and in its reports to shareholders, which reflect management's current views with respect to future events and financial performance. These forward-looking statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties and, in connection with the

"safe-harbor" provisions of the Reform Act, the Company is hereby identifying important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statement made by or on behalf of the Company. Liggett continues to be subject to risk factors endemic to the domestic tobacco industry including, without limitation, health concerns relating to the use of tobacco products and exposure to ETS, legislation, including tax increases, governmental regulation, privately imposed smoking restrictions, governmental and grand jury investigations and litigation. Each of the Company's operating subsidiaries, namely Liggett and Liggett-Ducat, are subject to intense competition, changes in consumer preferences, the effects of changing prices for its raw materials and local economic conditions. Furthermore, the performance of Liggett-Ducat's operations in Russia are affected by uncertainties in Russia which include, among others, political or diplomatic developments, regional tensions, currency repatriation restrictions, foreign exchange fluctuations, inflation, and an undeveloped system of commercial laws and legislative reform relating to foreign ownership in Russia. Liggett has a high degree of leverage and substantial near-term debt service requirements, including a payment at maturity of the Liggett Notes of \$144,891 on February 1, 1999, as well as a significant net worth deficiency and working capital deficiency, and is highly dependent upon its revolving credit facility which currently expires in March 1999. In addition, the Company has a high degree of leverage and substantial recent losses from continuing operations. The Indenture for the BGLS Notes provides for, among other things, the restriction of certain affiliated transactions between the Company and its affiliates, as well as for certain restrictions on the use of future distributions received from New Valley. Due to such uncertainties and risks, readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date on which such statements are made. The Company does not undertake to update any forward-looking statement that may be made from time to time by or on behalf of the Company.

#### PART II

### OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Reference is made to Note 8, incorporated herein by reference, to the Consolidated Financial Statements of Brooke Group Ltd. and BGLS Inc. (collectively, the "Companies") included elsewhere in this report on Form 10-Q which contains a general description of certain legal proceedings to which the Company and/or BGLS or their subsidiaries are a party and certain related matters. Reference is also made to Exhibit 99.1 for additional information regarding the pending material legal proceedings to which the Company, BGLS and/or Liggett are party. A copy of Exhibit 99.1 will be furnished to security holders of the Company and its subsidiaries without charge upon written request to the Company at its principal executive offices, 100 S.E. Second St., Miami, Florida 33131, Attn. Investor Relations.

### Item 2. CHANGES IN SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

No securities of the Company which were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), have been issued or sold by the Company during the three months ended September 30, 1998, except as follows: On August 28, 1998, the Company awarded to four members of a law firm that represents the Company and Liggett 470,000 shares of its common stock.

The foregoing transactions were effected in reliance on the exemption from registration afforded by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act or did not involve a "sale" under the Securities Act.

## Item 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

As of September 30, 1998, New Valley Corporation, the Companies' affiliate, had the following respective accrued and unpaid dividend arrearages on its 1,071,462 outstanding shares of \$15.00 Class A Increasing Rate Cumulative Senior Preferred Shares (\$100 Liquidation Value), \$.01 par value per share (the "Class A Shares") and 2,790,776 outstanding shares of \$3.00 Class B Cumulative Convertible Preferred Shares (\$25 Liquidation Value), \$.10 par value per share (the "Class B Shares): (1) \$204.1 million or \$190.44 per Class A Share; and (2) \$158.9 million or \$56.94 per Class B Share.

# Item 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

- (a) EXHIBITS
- 3.1 Certificate of Amendment of the Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company.
- \*10.1 Brooke Group Ltd. 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to the Appendix to the Company's Proxy Statement dated September 15, 1998, Commission File No. 1-5759).

*10.2	Stock Option Agreement, dated July 20, 1998, between the Company and Bennett S. LeBow (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 6 in the amendment no. 5 to the Schedule 13D filed by Bennett S. LeBow on October 16, 1998 with respect to the common stock of the Company).				
10.3	Stock Option Agreement, dated July 20, 1998, between the Company and Howard M. Lorber.				
10.4	Amended and Restated Stock Option Agreement, dated as of October 12, 1998, by and between the Company and Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman LLP, Marc E. Kasowitz and Daniel R. Benson.				
27.1	Brooke Group Ltd.'s Financial Data Schedule (for SEC use only).				
27.2	BGLS Inc.'s Financial Data Schedule (for SEC use only).				
99.1	Material Legal Proceedings.				
99.2	Liggett Group Inc.'s Interim Consolidated Financial Statements for the quarterly periods ended September 30, 1998 and 1997.				
99.3	New Valley Corporation's Interim Consolidated Financial Statements for the quarterly periods ended September 30, 1998 and 1997.				
99.4	Brooke (Overseas) Ltd.'s Interim Consolidated Financial Statements for the quarterly periods ended September 30, 1998 and 1997.				
99.5	New Valley Holdings, Inc.'s Interim Consolidated Financial Statements for the quarterly periods ended September 30, 1998 and 1997.				

(b) REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

None.

# SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

BROOKE GROUP LTD. (REGISTRANT)

By: /s/ Joselynn D. Van Siclen
Joselynn D. Van Siclen
Vice President and Chief
Financial Officer

Date: November 13, 1998

BGLS INC. (REGISTRANT)

By: /s/ Joselynn D. Van Siclen
Joselynn D. Van Siclen
Vice President and Chief
Financial Officer

Date: November 13, 1998

### CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENT

ΤO

THE RESTATED CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION

0F

BROOKE GROUP LTD.

-----

Brooke Group Ltd., a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware (the "Corporation"), hereby certifies as follows:

1. By unanimous written consent dated August 17, 1998, the Board of Directors of the Corporation adopted a resolution proposing and declaring advisable the following amendment to the Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Corporation (the "Certificate of Incorporation"):

RESOLVED, that it is advisable for the Corporation's Certificate of Incorporation to be amended as follows:

The first paragraph of Article FOURTH of the Certificate of Incorporation be amended to read in its entirety as follows:

FOURTH: The total number of shares of capital stock which the Corporation shall have authority to issue is 100,000,000 shares of Common Stock, par value \$.10 per share (the "New Common Stock"), and 10,000,000 shares of Preferred Stock, par value \$1.00 per share.

2. The Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation effected by this Certificate was duly authorized at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders held on October 15, 1998, by the holders of a majority of the outstanding capital stock of the Corporation entitled to vote thereon, after first having been declared advisable by the Board of Directors of the Corporation, all in accordance with the provisions of Section 242 of the Delaware General Corporation Law.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Brooke Group Ltd. has caused this Certificate to be signed by Richard J. Lampen, its Executive Vice President, who hereby acknowledges under penalties of perjury that the facts herein stated are true and that this Certificate is the Corporation's act and deed, this 15th day of October, 1998.

### BROOKE GROUP LTD. 100 S.E. SECOND STREET, 32ND FLOOR MIAMI, FLORIDA 33131

July 20, 1998

Mr. Howard M. Lorber 70 East Sunrise Highway Suite 411 Valley Stream, New York 11581

Dear Mr. Lorber:

We are pleased to inform you that Brooke Group Ltd. (the "Company") has granted you a nonqualified option (the "Option") to purchase 500,000 shares of the Company's common stock, par value \$.10 per share (the "Common Stock"), at a purchase price of \$9.75 per share, subject to adjustment (any of the underlying shares of Common Stock to be issued upon exercise of the Option are referred to hereinafter as the "Shares"), pursuant to the Company's 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan, as may be and is in effect and as amended from time to time (the "Plan"). This agreement is conditioned upon the approval of the Plan by the Company's stockholders and is subject in all respects to the terms and provisions of the Plan, all of which terms and provisions are made a part of and incorporated in this agreement as if they were each expressly set forth herein. In the event of any conflict between the terms of this agreement and the terms of the Plan, the terms of the Plan shall control.

1. The Option may be exercised on or prior to the tenth anniversary of the date of grant (after which date the Option will, to the extent not previously exercised, expire), provided the Option shall only vest and become exercisable as to one-fourth of the aggregate shares covered thereby on each of the first four anniversaries of the date of this agreement. However, any then unexercisable portion of the Option shall immediately vest and become exercisable upon (i) the occurrence of a "Change in Control" as defined in Section 6(f) of the Employment Agreement dated as of January 1, 1995, as amended as of January 1, 1996, by and between you and New Valley Corporation, regardless of whether the Employment Agreement is then in effect (the "Employment Agreement"), other than any Change in Control arising by reason of a testamentary bequest by Bennett S. LeBow to or for the benefit of his surviving spouse of any or all securities of the Company or of New Valley Corporation beneficially owned by him as of the date of death, so long as, following the bequest, the event referenced in Section 6(f)(ii) of the

2 Mr. Howard M. Lorber July 20, 1998 Page 2

Employment Agreement shall not have occurred, or (ii) the termination of your consulting arrangement with the Company due to death or Disability (as defined in Section 2.8 of the Plan).

2. The Option, from and after the date it vests and becomes exercisable pursuant to Section 1 hereof, may be exercised in whole or in part by delivering to the Company a written notice of exercise in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A, specifying the number of the Shares to be purchased and the purchase price therefor, together with payment of the purchase price of the Shares to be purchased. The purchase price is to be paid in cash or by delivering shares of Common Stock already owned by you for at least six months and having a fair market value on the date of exercise equal to the purchase price of the Option being exercised, or a combination of such shares and cash.

In addition, payment of the purchase price of the Shares to be purchased may also be made by delivering a properly executed notice to the Company, together with a copy of the irrevocable instructions to a broker to deliver promptly to the Company the amount of sale or loan proceeds necessary to pay the purchase price, and, if required, the amount of any federal, state or local withholding taxes.

No Shares shall be issued until full payment therefor has been made. You shall have all of the rights of a stockholder of the Company holding the Common Stock that is subject to the Option (including, if applicable, the right to vote the Shares and the right to receive dividends thereon), when you have given written notice of exercise, have paid in full for such Shares and, if requested, have given the certificate described in Section 9 hereof.

- 3. In the event your consulting arrangement with the Company is terminated for any reason, the Option shall forthwith terminate, provided that you may exercise any then unexercised portion of the Option then vested and exercisable pursuant to Section 1 hereof at any time prior to the earlier of nine months after the termination of your consulting arrangement (one year in the event of death or Disability), or the expiration of the Option.
- 4. The Option is not transferable except (i) by will or the applicable laws of descent and distribution, (ii) as a gift to a foundation, charity or other not-for-profit organization, or (iii) for transfers to your family members or trusts or other entities whose beneficiaries are your family members, provided that such transfer is being made for estate, tax and/or personal planning purposes.
- 5. In the event of your death or Disability, the Option may be exercised by your personal representative or representatives, or by the person or persons to whom your rights under the Option shall pass by will or by the applicable laws of descent and distribution, within the one year period following termination due to death or Disability.

- 6. In the event of any change in capitalization affecting the Common Stock of the Company, including, without limitation, a stock dividend or other distribution, stock split, reverse stock split, recapitalization, consolidation, subdivision, split-up, spin-off, split-off, combination or exchange of shares or other form of reorganization or recapitalization, or any other change affecting the Common Stock, the aggregate number of shares of Common Stock covered by the Option and the exercise price per share of Common Stock subject to the Option shall be proportionately adjusted by the Company.
- 7. The grant of the Option does not confer on you any right to continue as a consultant or employee of the Company or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates or interfere in any way with the right of the Company or its subsidiaries or affiliates to terminate the term of your consulting arrangement or employment.
- 8. The Company shall require as a condition to the exercise of any portion of the Option that you pay to the Company, or make other arrangements regarding the payment of, any federal state or local taxes required by law to be withheld as a result of such exercise.
- 9. Unless at the time of the exercise of any portion of the Option a registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Act"), is in effect as to the Shares, the Shares shall be acquired for investment and not for sale or distribution, and if the Company so requests, upon any exercise of the Option, in whole or in part, you agree to execute and deliver to the Company a reasonable certificate to such effect.
- 10. You understand and acknowledge that: (i) any Shares purchased by you upon exercise of the Option may be required to be held indefinitely unless such Shares are subsequently registered under the Act or an exemption from such registration is available; (ii) any sales of such Shares made in reliance upon Rule 144 promulgated under the Act may be made only in accordance with the terms and conditions of that Rule (which, under certain circumstances, restrict the number of shares which may be sold and the manner in which shares may be sold); (iii) certificates for Shares to be issued to you hereunder shall bear a legend to the effect that the Shares have not been registered under the Act and that the Shares may not be sold, hypothecated or otherwise transferred in the absence of an effective registration statement under the Act relating thereto or an opinion of counsel satisfactory to the Company that such registration is not required; and (iv) the Company shall place an appropriate "stop transfer" order with its transfer agent with respect to such Shares.
- 11. The Company represents and warrants to you as follows: (i) this agreement and the grant of the Option hereunder have been authorized by all necessary corporate action by the Company and this letter agreement is a valid and binding agreement of the Company enforceable against the Company in accordance with its terms; (ii) the grant of the Option to you on the terms

4 Mr. Howard M. Lorber July 20, 1998 Page 4

set forth herein will be exempt from the provisions of Section 16(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, pursuant to Rule 16b-3(d) thereunder; (iii) the Company will obtain, at its expense, any regulatory approvals necessary or advisable in connection with the grant of the Option or the issuance of the Shares; and (iv) the Company currently has reserved and available, and will continue to have reserved and available during the term of the Option, sufficient authorized and issued shares of its Common Stock for issuance upon exercise of the Option.

- 12. Promptly following the date hereof, the Company shall use its best efforts to file and keep in effect a Registration Statement on Form S-8, Form S-3 or other applicable form to register under the Act the Shares issuable to you upon exercise of the Option and the resale thereof by you.
- 13. This letter agreement contains all the understandings between the Company and you pertaining to the matters referred to herein, and supercedes all undertakings and agreements, whether oral or in writing, previously entered into by the Company and you with respect hereto. No provision of this letter agreement may be amended or waived unless such amendment or waiver is agreed to in writing signed by you and a duly authorized officer of the Company. No waiver by the Company or you of any breach by the other party hereto of any condition or provision of this letter agreement to be performed by such other party shall be deemed a waiver of a similar or dissimilar condition or provision at the same time, any prior time or any subsequent time. If any provision of this letter agreement or the application of any such provision to any party or circumstances shall be determined by any court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid and unenforceable to any extent, the remainder of this letter agreement or the application of such provision to such person or circumstances other than those to which it is so determined to be invalid and unenforceable, shall not be affected thereby, and each provision hereof shall be validated and shall be enforced to the fullest extent permitted by law. This letter agreement will be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Delaware, without regard to its conflicts of laws principles. This letter agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

5 Mr. Howard M. Lorber July 20, 1998 Page 5

Would you kindly evidence your acceptance of the Option and your agreement to comply with the provisions hereof by executing this letter agreement in the space provided below.

Very truly yours,

BROOKE GROUP LTD.

By: /s/ Richard J. Lampen
Richard J. Lampen
Executive Vice President

AGREED TO AND ACCEPTED:

Brooke Group Ltd. 100 S. E. Second Street, 32nd Floor Miami, Florida 33131						
Gentlemen:						
Notice is hereby given of my election to pur Common Stock, \$.10 par value (the "Shares"), of Brook \$9.75 per Share, pursuant to the provisions of the Study 20, 1998. Enclosed in payment for the Shares is	ke Group Ltd., at a price of tock option granted to me on					
// my check in the amount of $\_$	·					
\$, such value be:	// Shares having a total value of \$, such value being based on the closing price(s) of the Shares on the date hereof.					
The following information is supplied for us registering the Shares purchased hereby:	se in issuing and					
Number of Certificates and Denominations						
Name						
Address						
Social Security No.  Dated:	Very truly yours,					

Howard M. Lorber

### BROOKE GROUP LTD.

## AMENDED AND RESTATED STOCK OPTION AGREEMENT

THIS AMENDED AND RESTATED STOCK OPTION AGREEMENT (the "Agreement") is made and entered into as of the 12th day of October, 1998, by and between BROOKE GROUP LTD., a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), and KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP, a New York limited liability partnership, Marc E. Kasowitz and Daniel R. Benson (collectively, the "Holder").

WHEREAS, the Company desires to issue, and the Holder desires to receive, a nonqualified non-transferable option to purchase shares of the Common Stock, \$.10 par value, of the Company (the "Common Stock"), pursuant to the terms described herein;

WHEREAS, the parties entered into that certain Stock Option Agreement dated as of March 12, 1998 (the "First Agreement"); and

 $\mbox{\sc WHEREAS},$  the parties have agreed to amend and restate the terms of the First Agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the terms and conditions contained herein and other good and valuable consideration the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, and intending to be legally bound hereby, the parties agree as follows:

1. GRANT OF OPTION. The Company hereby grants to the Holder an option (the "Option") to purchase 1,250,000 shares of Common Stock, in accordance with the terms hereof, at an option price of \$6.00 per share, commencing on the earlier of: (i) a Change of Control (as defined below), (ii) April 1, 2000 or (iii) the first date, if any, after the date hereof when the average closing price for a share of the Common Stock during the preceding 10 trading day period is \$17.50 per share or more. The Option will expire at the close of business on March 31, 2003.

For purposes of this Agreement, a "Change of Control" shall occur if or upon the occurrence of:

(i) Any "Person" (as the term person is used for purposes of Section 13(d) or 14(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) acquires "Beneficial Ownership" (within the meaning of Rule 13d-3 promulgated under the Exchange Act) of any securities of the Company which generally entitles the holder thereof to vote for the election of directors of the Company (the "Voting Securities"), which, when added to the Voting Securities then "Beneficially Owned" by such person, would result in such Person "Beneficially Owning" forty percent (40%) or more of the combined voting power

of the Company's then outstanding Voting Securities; provided, however, that for purposes of this paragraph (i), a Person shall not be deemed to have made an acquisition of Voting Securities if such Person: (a) acquires Voting Securities as a result of a stock split, stock dividend or other corporate restructuring in which all stockholders of the class of such Voting Securities are treated on a pro rata basis: (b) acquires the Voting Securities directly from the Company; (c) becomes the Beneficial Owner of more than the permitted percentage of Voting Securities solely as a result of the acquisition of Voting Securities by the Company which, by reducing the number of Voting Securities outstanding, increases the proportional number of shares Beneficially Owned by such Person; (d) is the Company or any corporation or other Person of which a majority of its voting power or its equity securities or equity interest is owned directly or indirectly by the Company (a "Controlled Entity"); or (e) acquires Voting Securities in connection with a "Non-Control Transaction" (as defined in paragraph (iii) below); or

(ii) The individuals who, as of October 12, 1998, are members of the Board (the "Incumbent Board") cease for any reason to constitute at least two-thirds of the Incumbent Board; provided, however, that if either the election of any new director or the nomination for election of any new director was approved by a vote of more than two-thirds of the Incumbent Board, such new director shall be considered as a member of the Incumbent Board; provided further, however, that no individual shall be considered a member of the Incumbent Board if such individual initially assumed office as a result of either an actual or threatened "Election Contest" (as described in Rule 14a-11 promulgated under the Exchange Act) or other actual or threatened solicitation of proxies or consents by or on behalf of a Person other than the Board (a "Proxy Contest"), including by reason of any agreement intended to avoid or settle any Election Contest or Proxy Contest; or

# (iii) Shareholder approval of:

(a) A merger, consolidation or reorganization involving the Company (a "Business Combination"), unless

(1) the stockholders of the Company immediately before the Business Combination, own, directly or indirectly immediately following the Business Combination, at least fifty-one percent (51%) of the combined voting power of the outstanding Voting Securities of the corporation resulting from the Business Combination (the "Surviving Corporation") in substantially the same proportion as their ownership of the Voting Securities immediately before the Business Combination, and

(2) the individuals who were members of the Incumbent Board immediately prior to the execution of the agreement providing for the Business Combination constitute at least a majority of the members of the Board of Directors of the Surviving Corporation, and

(3) no Person (other than the Company or any Controlled Entity, a trustee or other fiduciary holding securities under one or more employee benefit plans or arrangements (or any trust forming a part thereof) maintained by the Company, the Surviving Corporation or any Controlled Entity, or any Person who, immediately prior to the Business Combination, had Beneficial Ownership of forty percent (40%) or more of the then outstanding Voting Securities) has Beneficial Ownership of forty percent (40%) or more of the combined voting power of the Surviving Corporation's then outstanding voting securities (a transaction described in this subparagraph (a) shall be referred to as a "Non-Control Transaction");

 $\hbox{ (b) A complete liquidation or dissolution of } \\$ 

(c) The sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company to any Person (other than a transfer to a Controlled Entity).

Notwithstanding the foregoing, (x) a Change in Control shall not be deemed to occur solely because forty percent (40%) or more of the then outstanding Voting Securities is Beneficially Owned by (A) a trustee or other fiduciary holding securities under one or more employee benefit plans or arrangements (or any trust forming a part thereof) maintained by the Company or any Controlled Entity or (B) any corporation which, immediately prior to its acquisition of such interest, is owned directly or indirectly by the stockholders of the Company in the same proportion as their ownership of stock in the Company immediately prior to such acquisition; and (y) a Change of Control shall not be deemed to occur by reason of a testamentary bequest by Bennett S. LeBow to or for the benefit of his surviving spouse of any or all securities of the Company beneficially owned by him as of his date of death.

- 2. ACCEPTANCE OF GRANT OF OPTION. The Holder accepts the grant of the Option confirmed hereby and agrees to be bound by the terms and conditions of this Agreement.
- 3. INVESTMENT PURPOSE. The Holder represents to the Company that the Holder is acquiring the Option and any securities which may be acquired pursuant to the Option for investment and not with a view to or for sale in connection with any distribution thereof. The Holder represents that it will not sell or transfer any securities acquired pursuant to the Option in violation of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Act"), or the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder. Without limiting the scope of the foregoing representation and warranty, the Holder agrees that it will not sell or transfer any such securities unless either (a) a registration statement under the Act shall be in effect with respect to such securities and the Holder shall comply with the provisions of the Act in connection with the sale of such securities; or (b) the Holder has, prior to any transfer or attempt to transfer such securities, obtained an opinion of counsel satisfactory to the Company, stating that such transfer may be effected without registration of such securities under the Act.
- 4. LEGEND. The Holder of the Option agrees that the Company may place a legend reflecting the provisions of Section 3 on each certificate evidencing any securities delivered to the Holder pursuant to the Option and the Company may place an appropriate "stop transfer" order with its transfer agent with respect to such securities.

- 5. RECAPITALIZATION, ETC. In the event of any change in the outstanding Common Stock by reason of a stock dividend, recapitalization, merger, consolidation, split-up, subdivision, combination or exchange of shares, or the like, the aggregate number and kind of shares subject to the Option and the exercise price thereof shall be proportionately adjusted by the Company.
- 6. REGISTRATION. The Company agrees to use its best efforts to file and keep effective during the entire term of the Option, a Registration Statement on Form S-8, Form S-1 or other applicable form with respect to the shares of Common Stock issuable upon the exercise of the Option.
- 7. PROCEDURE FOR EXERCISE OF OPTION. The Option may be exercised only by (a) delivery by the Holder to the Company of written notice of exercise and (b) surrender of this Agreement to the Company. Each exercise notice must set forth the number of shares of Common Stock for which the Option is exercised and must be dated and signed on behalf of the Holder by both Marc E. Kasowitz and Daniel R. Benson. Upon partial exercise hereof, a new Agreement containing the same provisions as this Agreement shall be issued by the Company to the Holder for the number of shares of Common Stock with respect to which the Option shall not have been exercised.

Subject to the last paragraph of this Section 7, the exercise is not effective until the Company receives payment of the full option price for the number of shares of Common Stock for which the Option is exercised. The option price shall be paid to the Company in full in cash.

 $\hbox{ The date of exercise of the Option is the date on which notice of exercise and payment of the option price are received by the Company. } \\$ 

- 8. ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATES. Subject to Section 7 above and this Section 8, the Company will issue, without transfer or issue tax or other incidental expense, a certificate or certificates representing the number of shares of Common Stock for which the Option is exercised as soon as practicable after the date of exercise. Unless otherwise directed, the certificate(s) will be registered in the name of the person exercising the Option and delivered to such person.
- $9.\ \text{NON-TRANSFERABILITY OF OPTION.}$  Without the prior written consent of the Company, the Option is not transferable.
- 10. BINDING EFFECT. This Agreement shall be binding upon the successors and assigns of the Company and inure to and be binding upon the legal representatives, heirs and legatees of the Holder.

- 11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT. This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the parties and supersedes all prior agreements, including the First Agreement, and any understandings, whether oral or written, between the parties with respect to the subject matter of this Agreement.
- 12. AMENDMENT. This Agreement may be amended only a written instrument signed by the Company and the Holders.
- 13. GOVERNING LAW. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of New York.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Company and the Holder have executed this Agreement as of the date first written above.

BROOKE GROUP LTD.

KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP

By: /s/ Bennett S. Lebow

Name: Bennett S. LeBow

Title: Chairman, President and
Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Marc E. Kasowitz

Name: Marc E. Kasowitz Title: Managing Partner

By: /s/ Daniel R. Benson -----

Name: Daniel R. Benson Title: Partner

/s/ Marc E. Kasowitz

Marc E. Kasowitz

/s/ Daniel R. Benson

Daniel R. Benson

```
5
0000059440
BROOKE GROUP LTD.
1,000
```

```
3-M0S
         DEC-31-1998
JAN-01-1998
                            6,324
0
                SEP-30-1998
                      12,078
0
47,560
                  72,134 57,735
         32,938
139,725
303,374
                    32,938
                             238,591
                  0
                                 0
                                    0
                        (507, 324)
139,725
                             304,267
                 304,267
304,267
140,422
19,811
         140,4

19,811

0

(63,832)

(47,433)

(1,135)

(46,298)

3,208

0

(43,090)

0
```

#### ATTORNEY GENERAL ACTIONS

COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO, ET AL. V. BROWN & WILLIAMSON, ET AL., Case No. 97-1910 (JAF), USDC, District Court of Puerto Rico (case filed on June 27, 1997). This case brought on behalf of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico seeks compensatory and injunctive relief for damages incurred by the Commonwealth in paying for the medicaid expenses of indigent smokers.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA V. BROWN & WILLIAMSON, ET AL., Case No. 97-CP-40-1686, Court of Common Pleas, Richland County (case filed on May 12, 1997). This case brought on behalf of the State of South Carolina seeks compensatory and injunctive relief for damages incurred by the state in paying for the medicaid expenses of indigent smokers. This case is presently stayed pending the outcome of 1 debate concerning national tobacco policy.

STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 98-65, Circuit Court of 6th Circuit, Hughes County (case filed on February 23, 1998). This case brought on behalf of the State of South Dakota seeks compensatory and injunctive relief for damages incurred by the state in paying for the medicaid expenses of indigent smokers. This case is presently stayed pending the outcome of debate concerning national tobacco policy.

STATE OF VERMONT V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 744-97CnC, Chittenden County Superior Court (case filed on May 29, 1997). This case brought on behalf of the State of Vermont seeks compensatory and injunctive relief for damages incurred by the state in paying for the medicaid expenses of indigent smokers. This case has a trial date of November 13, 1999.

# CLASS ACTIONS

FLETCHER, ET AL. V. BROOKE GROUP, LTD., ET AL., Case No. CV-97-913, Circuit Court of Mobile County, Alabama (case filed on March 20, 1997). Nationwide class certified and limited fund class action settlement preliminarily approved with respect to Liggett and the Company on March 20, 1997. On July 2, 1998, the parties filed an amended class action settlement agreement. The parties' motion for reaffirmance of preliminary approval is pending.

HANSEN, ET AL. V. THE AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY, ET AL., Case No. LR-C-96-881, USDC, Eastern District of Arkansas (case filed on April 4, 1997). This "addiction-as-injury" putative class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated addicted smokers resident in Arkansas. Plaintiffs filed a motion for class certification on September 15, 1997, which motion remains pending.

BROWN, ET AL. V. THE AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY, ET AL., Case No. 711400, Superior Court of San Diego, California (case filed on October 1, 1997). This personal injury class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated injured smokers resident in California. No motion for class certification has been brought by plaintiff.

FINELLI, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 96-04348, DC, Superior Court of District of Columbia. Liggett is named as a defendant in this putative class action, but has not been served.

REED, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 96-05070, DC, Superior Court of District of Columbia (case filed on June 21, 1996). This "addiction-as-injury" putative class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated addicted smokers resident in the District of Columbia. On August 18, 1997, the court issued an order declining to certify the class.

BROIN, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 91-49738 CA 22, FL, Circuit Court Dade County (case filed on October 31, 1991). This action brought on behalf of all flight attendants that have been injured by exposure to environmental tobacco smoke was certified as a class action on December 12, 1994. This case was settled with respect to all defendants on October 10, 1997, which settlement was finally approved by the court on February 3, 1998. A notice of appeal is currently pending.

ENGLE, ET AL. V. R.J. REYNOLDS, ET AL., Case No. 94-08273 CA 20, FL, Circuit Court, Dade County (case filed on May 5, 1994). This personal injury class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated injured smokers resident in Florida. The case was certified as a class action on October 31, 1994, and trial commenced on July 6, 1998.

PETERSON, ET AL. V. THE AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY, ET AL., Case No. 97-0490-02, First Circuit Court, Honolulu, Hawaii (case filed on February 6, 1997). This "addiction-as-injury" putative class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated addicted smokers resident in Hawaii.

CLAY, ET AL. V. THE AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY, ET AL., Case No. 97-4167-JPG, USDC, Southern District of Illinois (case filed on May 22, 1997). This "addiction-as-injury" putative class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated addicted smokers resident in 34 states. No motion for class certification has been brought by plaintiff.

CLEARY, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS, INC., ET AL., Case No. 98 L06427 Circuit Court of Cook County, IL (case filed on June 11, 1998). This personal injury class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated smokers resident in Illinois. No motion for class certification has yet been brought by plaintiff.

NORTON, ET AL. V. R.J. REYNOLDS, ET AL., Case No. 48-D01-9605-CP-0271, Superior Court, Madison County, Indiana (case filed on May 3, 1996). This personal injury class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated injured smokers resident in Indiana. No motion for class certification has been brought by plaintiff.

BRAMMER, ET AL. V. R.J. REYNOLDS, ET AL., Case No. 4-97-CV-10461, USDC, Southern District of Iowa, (case filed on June 30, 1997). This "addiction-as-injury" putative class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated addicted smokers resident in Iowa. No motion for class certification has been brought by plaintiff.

EMIG, ET AL. V. THE AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY, ET AL., Case No. 97-1121-MLB, USDC, District of Kansas (case filed on April 11, 1997). This "addiction-as-injury" putative class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated addicted smokers resident in Kansas. Plaintiff's motion for class certification currently is pending.

CASTANO, ET AL. V. THE AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY, ET AL., Case No. 95-30725, USDC, Eastern District of Louisiana (case filed on March 29, 1994). This case was certified as a class action by the district court on February 17, 1995. This case was settled by Liggett and Brooke on March 12, 1996. The class was decertified by the Fifth Circuit in May 1996. Plaintiffs' motion for approval of the settlement was withdrawn on September 6, 1996.

GRANIER, ET AL. V. THE AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY, ET AL., USDC, Eastern District of Louisiana (case filed on September 29, 1994). This case currently is stayed pursuant to a decision in CASTANO.

YOUNG, ET AL. V. THE AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY, ET AL., Case No. 2:97-CV-03851, Civil District Court, Parish of Orleans, Louisiana (case filed on November 12, 1997). This personal injury class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated injured smokers resident in Louisiana. No motion for class certification has been brought by plaintiff.

RICHARDSON, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 96145050/CL212596, Circuit Court, Baltimore City, Maryland (case filed on May 29, 1996). This "addiction-as-injury" putative class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated addicted smokers resident in Maryland. This class action was certified by the court on January 28, 1998, which certification decision presently is on appeal. Trial is set for September 15, 1999.

GEIGER, ET AL. V. THE AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY, ET AL., Index No. 10657/97, Supreme Court, Queens County, New York (case filed on January 12, 1997). This personal injury class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated injured smokers resident in New York. The case was certified as a class action on May 1, 1997. The trial court is currently reconsidering class certification in light of the Appellate Division's July 16, 1998 Order.

NWANZE, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 97-CIV-7344, USDC, Southern District of New York (case filed on October 17, 1997). This action is brought on behalf of all prisoners nationwide that have been injured by exposure to environmental tobacco smoke. No motion for class certification has been brought by plaintiff.

CHAMBERLAIN, ET AL. V. THE AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY, Case No. 1:96CV2005, USDC, Northern District of Ohio (case filed on August 20, 1997). This "addiction-as-injury" putative class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated addicted smokers resident in Ohio. To date, no motion for class certification has been filed by plaintiff.

BARNES, ET AL. V. THE AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY, ET AL., Case No. 96-5903, USDC, Eastern District of Pennsylvania (case filed on August 8, 1996). This "addiction-as-injury" putative class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated addicted smokers resident in Pennsylvania. The district court decertified the class in this case on October 17, 1997. Plaintiffs' appeal of decertification is pending.

AKSAMIT, ET AL. V. BROWN & WILLIAMSON, ET AL., Case No. 6:97-3636-21, SC, USDC, Dist. of South Carolina, Greenville Division (case filed on November 24, 1997). This "addiction-as-injury" putative class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated addicted smokers resident in South Carolina. To date, no motion for class certification has been filed by plaintiff.

NEWBORN, ET AL. V. BROWN & WILLIAMSON, ET AL., Case No. 97-2938 GV, USDC, Western District of Tennessee (case filed on October 1, 1997). This personal injury class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated injured smokers resident in Tennessee. No motion for class certification has been brought by plaintiff.

MASON, ET AL. V. THE AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY, ET AL., Case No. 7-97CV-293-X, USDC, Northern District of Texas (case filed on December 23, 1997). This "addiction-as-injury" putative class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated addicted smokers resident in Texas. No motion for class certification has been brought by plaintiff.

HERRERA, ET AL. V. THE AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY, ET AL., Case No. 2:98-CV-00126, USDC, District of Utah (case filed on January 28, 1998). This personal injury class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated injured smokers resident in Utah. No motion for class certification has been brought by plaintiff.

JACKSON ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS INC., Case No. 980901634PI, 3rd Judicial Court, Salt Lake City County, Utah (case filed on March 10, 1998). This personal injury class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated injured smokers resident in Utah. No motion for class certification has been brought by plaintiff.

INGLE, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 97-C-21-S, Circuit Court of McDowell County, West Virginia (case filed on February 4, 1997). This personal injury class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated injured smokers resident in West Virginia. No motion for class certification has been brought by plaintiff.

MCCUNE, ET AL. V. THE AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY, ET AL., Case No. 97-C-204, Circuit Court of Kanawha County, West Virginia (case filed on January 30, 1997). This "addiction-as-injury" putative class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated addicted smokers resident in West Virginia. No motion for class certification has been brought by plaintiff.

WALKER, ET AL. V. LIGGETT GROUP INC., ET AL., Case No. 2:97-0102, USDC, Southern District of West Virginia (case filed on February 12, 1997). Nationwide class certified and limited fund class action settlement preliminarily approved with respect to Liggett and Brooke Group on May 15, 1997. Class decertified and preliminary approval of settlement withdrawn by order of district court on August 5, 1997, which order currently is on appeal to the Fourth Circuit.

INSOLIA, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 97-CV-230-J, Rock County Circuit Court, Wisconsin (case filed on April 4, 1997). This personal injury class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated injured smokers resident in Wisconsin. Plaintiffs' motion for class certification is currently pending.

PARSONS, ET AL. V. LIGGETT GROUP INC., ET AL., Case No. 98-C-388, Circuit Court, Kanawha County, West Virginia (case filed on February 27, 1998). This personal injury class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated injured smokers resident in West Virginia. No motion for class certification has been brought by plaintiff.

BAKER, ET AL. V. THE AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY, ET AL., Case No. 97-703444-NP, Wayne County Circuit Court, Michigan (case filed on February 4, 1997). This "addiction-as-injury" putative class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated addicted smokers resident in Michigan. No motion for class certification has been brought by plaintiff.

TAYLOR, TERRY, ET AL. V. THE AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY, ET AL., Case No. 97-715975, Wayne County Circuit Court, Michigan (case filed on July 28, 1997). This personal injury class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated injured smokers resident in Michigan. No motion for class certification has been brought by plaintiff.

WHITE, HENRY LEE, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 5:97-CV-91BRS, Chancery Court of Jefferson County, Mississippi (case filed on April 24, 1997). This personal injury class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated injured smokers resident in Mississippi. No motion for class certification has been brought by plaintiff.

BADILLO, ET AL. V. THE AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY, ET AL., Case No. CV-N-97-573-HMD (RAM), USDC, District of Nevada (case filed on November 4, 1997). This action is brought on behalf of all Nevada casino workers that have been injured by exposure to environmental tobacco smoke. No motion for class certification has been brought by plaintiff.

DIENNO, VITO AND MARTIN N. HALLMAN, ET AL. V. LIGGETT GROUP INC., ET AL., Case No. unknown, District Court, Clark County, Nevada (case filed on December 22, 1997). This action is brought on behalf of all Nevada casino workers that have been injured by exposure to environmental tobacco smoke. No motion for class certification has been brought by plaintiff.

SELCER, ET AL. V. R.J. REYNOLDS, ET AL., Case No. CV-S-97-00334-PMP (RLH), USDC, District of Nevada (case filed on September 3, 1997). This personal injury class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated injured smokers resident in Nevada. No motion for class certification has been brought by plaintiff.

PISCITELLO, HELEN, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS INC., ET AL., Case No. 98-CIV-4613, Superior Court, Middlesex County, New Jersey (case filed on March 6, 1998). This personal injury class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated injured smokers resident in New Jersey. No motion for class certification has been brought by plaintiff.

AVALLONE, ET AL V. THE AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY, ET AL., Case No. MID-L-4883-98, Superior Court of NJ, Middlesex County (case filed on May 5, 1998). This personal injury class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated smokers resident in New Jersey. No motion for class certification has yet been brought by plaintiff.

WOODS, DELISA, ET AL. V. THE AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY, ET AL., Case No. 97-CVS-7869, General Court of Justice, Superior Ct. Div., North Carolina (case filed on July 10, 1997). This personal injury class action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated injured smokers resident in North Carolina.

DANIELS, CA, San Diego Superior Court (case filed 8/13/98).

SMOKERS FOR FAIRNESS, LLC, CA, San Diego Superior Court (case filed 9/25/98).

LANDRV, LA, Parish of East Baton Rouge (case filed 5/19/98).

TOBACCO CONSUMERS GROUP NO. 1, MA, Superior Court (case filed 8/4/98.

TOBACCO CONSUMERS GROUP NO. 2, MA, Superior Court (case filed 8/3/98.

TOBACCO CONSUMERS GROUP NO. 3, MA, Superior Court (case filed 8/5/98.

TOBACCO CONSUMERS GROUP NO. 4, MA, Superior Court (case filed 8/12/98.

TOBACCO CONSUMERS GROUP NO. 5, MA, Superior Court (case filed 9/17/98).

THOMAS, EZELL, MS, Jefferson County (case filed 10/9/98).

## THIRD-PARTY PAYOR ACTIONS

CITY OF BIRMINGHAM, ET AL. V. THE AMERICAN TOBACCO CO., ET AL., Case No. CV97-081, Greene County, Alabama, Circuit Court (case filed on 5/28/97). City of Birmingham seeks to recover money damages resulting from payment by the City to hospitals and other medical providers on behalf of their employees for tobacco-related disease and death. The City's amended complaint was dismissed by the court on March 4, 1998, holding that, under the common law of Alabama, the City lacked standing to recover damages from alleged third-party tortfeasors for amounts paid on behalf of the plaintiffs' injured employees. The court has, however, permitted the City to amend its complaint to bring a claim under an Alabama statute which, the court held, provided a limited authority to recover such damages under certain circumstances.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES V. R.J.REYNOLDS, ET AL., Case No. 707651, Superior Court of San Diego (case filed on 8/5/97). County seeks to obtain declaratory and equitable relief and restitution as well as to recover money damages resulting from payment by the County for tobacco-related medical treatment for its citizens and health insurance for its employees. Trial is scheduled for February 5, 1999.

ELLIS, ON BEHALF OF THE GENERAL PUBLIC V. R.J. REYNOLDS, ET AL., Case No. 00706458, Superior Court of San Diego (case filed on 12/13/96). Plaintiffs, two individuals, seek equitable and injunctive relief for damages incurred by the State of California in paying for the expenses of indigent smokers.

COUNTY OF COOK V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 97L04550, Circuit Court, Cook County (case filed on 7/21/97). County of Cook seeks to obtain declaratory and equitable relief and restitution as well as to recover money damages resulting from payment by the County for tobacco-related medical treatment for its citizens and health insurance for its employees.

CITY OF NEW YORK, ET AL. V. THE TOBACCO INSTITUTE, ET AL., Case No. 97-CIV-0904, Supreme Court of New York, New York County (case filed on 10/17/96). City of New York seeks to obtain declaratory and equitable relief and restitution as well as to recover money damages resulting from payment by the City for tobacco-related medical treatment for its citizens and health insurance for its employees.

STATE OF TENNESSEE V. THE AMERICAN TOBACCO CO., ET AL., Case No. 12,263, Monroe County Chancery Court (case filed on 5/7/97). Individual seeks equitable and injunctive relief for damages incurred by the State of Tennessee in paying for the expenses of indigent smokers.

THE CROW CREEK SIOUX TRIBE V. THE AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY, ET AL., Case No. CV 97-09-082, Tribal Court of The Crow Creek Sioux Tribe (case filed on 9/26/97). Indian tribe seeks equitable and injunctive relief for damages incurred by the tribe in paying for the expenses of indigent smokers.

THE REPUBLIC OF MARSHALL ISLANDS V. THE AMERICAN TOBACCO CO., ET AL., Case No. 1997-261, Republic of the Marshall Islands, The High Court (case filed on 10/30/97). Republic seeks equitable and injunctive relief for damages incurred by the Republic in paying for the expenses of indigent smokers.

STATIONARY ENGINEERS LOCAL 39 HEALTH & WELFARE TRUST FUND V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. C-97-1519-DLJ, USDC, Northern District of California (case filed on 4/25/97). Health and Welfare Trust Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

STEAMFITTERS LOCAL UNION NO. 614 HEALTH AND WELFARE FUND V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 92260-2, Circuit Court for 30th Judicial District at Memphis (case filed on 1/7/98). Union Health and Welfare Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

NORTHWEST LABORERS-EMPLOYERS HEALTH & SECURITY TRUST FUND, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. C97-849-WD, WA, USDC, Western District (case filed on 6/26/97). Health and Welfare Trust Fund seeks economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

IRON WORKERS LOCAL UNION NO.17 INSURANCE FUND, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 1:97CV 1422, USDC, Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Div. (case filed on 5/20/97). Union Insurance Trust Fund seeks economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses. A trial is scheduled for 2/22/09

RHODE ISLAND LABORERS' HEALTH & WELFARE FUND V. THE AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY, ET AL., Case No. 97-500L, USDC, District of Rhode Island (case filed on 10/24/97). Union Health and Welfare Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

TEAMSTERS UNION NO. 142, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 71C019709CP01281, USDC, Northern District of Indiana (case filed on 9/15/97). Union seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Union Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

KENTUCKY LABORERS DISTRICT COUNCIL HEALTH & WELFARE TRUST FUND V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No.3-97-394, USDC, Western District of Kentucky (case filed on 6/20/97). Health and Welfare Trust Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Trust Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

ARK-LA-MISS LABORERS WELFARE FUND, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 97-1944, USDC, Eastern District of Louisiana (case filed on 6/20/97). Welfare Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

NEW JERSEY CARPENTERS HEALTH FUND, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 97-3421, USDC, District of New Jersey (case filed on 10/7/97). Health Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

LABORERS' LOCAL 17 HEALTH BENEFIT FUND, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 97-CIV-4550, USDC, Southern District of New York (case filed on 7/17/97). Health Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

CONNECTICUT PIPE TRADES HEALTH FUND, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 397CV01305CT, USDC, District of Connecticut (case filed on 7/17/97). Health Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

CENTRAL ILLINOIS LABORERS HEALTH & WELFARE TRUST FUND, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 97-L516, USDC, Southern District of Illinois (case filed on 5/22/97). Laborers' Union Health Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses. A trial is scheduled for November, 1998.

LABORERS' AND OPERATING ENGINEERS UTILITY AGREEMENT V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. CIV97-1406 PHX, USDC, District of Arizona (case filed on 7/29/97). Union Health and Welfare Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

ARKANSAS CARPENTERS HEALTH & WELFARE FUND V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. LR-C-97-0754, USDC, Eastern District of Arkansas (case filed on 9/4/97). Union's Health and Welfare Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

WEST VIRGINIA LABORERS' PENSION TRUST FUND V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 397-0708, USDC, Southern District of West Virginia (case filed on 8/27/97). Laborers' Health and Welfare Trust Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses. A trial is scheduled for June 6, 2000.

WEST VIRGINIA - OHIO VALLEY AREA I.B.E.W., ET AL. V. LIGGETT GROUP INC., ET AL., Case No. 97-C-2135, USDC, Southern District of West Virginia (case filed on 9/19/97). Health and Welfare Trust Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses. A trial is scheduled for March 7, 2000.

MASSACHUSETTS LABORERS' HEALTH & WELFARE FUND, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. C.A. 97-2892G, Superior Court, Suffolk County (case filed on 6/2/97). Health and Welfare Trust Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

B.A.C. LOCAL NO.32 INSURANCE TRUST FUND, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 97-75675MI, USDC, Eastern District of Michigan (case filed on 11/18/97). Health Trust Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

OPERATING ENGINEERS LOCAL 324 HEALTH CARE FUND, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS, INC., ET AL., Case No. 598--CV-60020, Circuit Court, Wayne County (case filed on 3/9/98). Health and Welfare Trust Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

NEW MEXICO AND WEST TEXAS MULTI-CRAFT HEALTH AND WELFARE TRUST FUND, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. CV97 0009118NM, Second Judicial District Court, Bernalillo County (case filed on 1/29/98). Health Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

CENTRAL STATES JOINT BOARD HEALTH & WELFARE FUND V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 97L12855, USDC, Northern District of Illinois (case filed on 10/30/97). Health and Welfare Trust Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS, LOCAL 734 HEALTH & WELFARE TRUST FUND V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 97L12852, USDC, Northern District of Illinois (case filed on 10/30/97). Health and Welfare Trust Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

CARPENTERS & JOINERS WELFARE FUND, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 60,633-001, USDC, District of Minnesota (case filed on 12/31/97). Health and Welfare Trust Plan seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

UNITED FEDERATION OF TEACHERS WELFARE FUND, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 97-CIV-4676, USDC, Southern District of New York (case filed on 7/17/97). Health and Welfare Trust Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

UNITED FOOD AND COMMERCIAL WORKERS UNIONS, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. CV-97-1340, Circuit Court of Tuscaloosa, Alabama (case filed on 11/13/97). Health and Welfare Trust Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

DAY CARE COUNCIL-LOCAL 205 D.C. 1707 WELFARE FUND V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 97-CIV-606240, USDC, Southern District of New York (case filed on 12/4/97). Health and Welfare Trust Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

EASTERN STATES HEALTH AND WELFARE FUND, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 97-CIV-7346, USDC, Southern District of New York (case filed on 7/28/97). Health and Welfare Trust Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

IBEW LOCAL 25 HEALTH AND BENEFIT FUND V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 97-CIV-9400, USDC, Southern District of New York (case filed on 11/25/97). Health and Welfare Trust Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

IBEW LOCAL 363 WELFARE FUND V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 97-CIV-9396, USDC, Southern District of New York (case filed on 11/25/97). Health and Welfare Trust Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

LOCAL 1199 HOME CARE INDUSTRY BENEFIT FUND V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 97-606249, USDC, Southern District of New York (case filed on 12/4/97). Health and Welfare Trust Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

LOCAL 1199 NATIONAL BENEFIT FUND FOR HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES EMPLOYEES V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 97-606-241, USDC, Southern District of New York (case filed on 12/4/97). Health and Welfare Trust Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

LOCAL 138, 138A & 138B INTERNATIONAL UNION OF OPERATING ENGINEERS WELFARE FUND V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 97-CIV-9402, USDC, Southern District of New York (case filed on 11/25/97). Health and Welfare Trust Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

LOCAL 840 INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS HEALTH & INSURANCE FUND V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 97-CIV-9398, USDC, Southern District of New York (case filed on 11/25/97). Health and Welfare Trust Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

LONG ISLAND REGIONAL COUNCIL OF CARPENTERS WELFAREOCAL 840 INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS HEALTH & INSURANCE FUND V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 97-CIV-9397, USDC, Southern District of New York (case filed on 11/25/97). Health and Welfare Trust Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

PUERTO RICAN ILGWU HEALTH & WELFARE FUND V. PHILIP MORRIS, ET AL., Case No. 97-CIV-8462, USDC, Southern District of New York (case filed on 11/25/97). Health and Welfare Trust Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants and benefactors suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

FIBREBOARD CORPORATION, ET AL. V. THE AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY, ET AL., Case No. 791919-8, CA, Superior Court of Alameda (case filed on 11/10/97). Asbestos company seeks reimbursement for damages paid to asbestos victims for medical and other relief, which damages allegedly are attributable to the tobacco companies.

KEENE CREDITORS TRUST V. BROWN & WILLIAMSON TOBACCO CORP., ET AL., Case no. 606479/97, Supreme Court of New York, New York County (case filed on 12/19/97). Asbestos company seeks reimbursement for damages paid to asbestos victims for medical and other relief, which damages allegedly are attributable to the tobacco companies.

CONWED CORP., ET AL. V. R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO CO., ET AL., Case No. Cl-98-3620, District Court, Ramsey County, Minnesota (case filed on April 9, 1998). Employer seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by employer to provide medical treatment to its employees suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

NAT'L ASBESTOS WORKERS MEDICAL FUND, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS INC., ET AL., CV-98-1492, USDC, Eastern District of New York (case filed on March 23, 1998). Health and Welfare Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

MILWAUKEE CARPENTERS' DISTRICT COUNCIL HEALTH FUND, ET AL, V. PHILIP MORRIS INC., ET AL., 98CV002394, Circuit Court, Milwaukee County, Wisconsin (case filed on March 30, 1998). Health and Welfare Fund seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by Fund to provide medical treatment to its participants suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

WILLIAMS & DRAKE CO., ET AL. V. AMERICAN TOBACCO CO., ET AL., Case No. 98-553, USDC, Western District of Pennsylvania (case filed on March 23, 1998). Employer seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by employer to provide medical treatment to its employees suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF NEW JERSEY, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS INC., ET AL., CV 98-3287, USDC, Eastern District of New York (case filed April 29, 1998). Health insurer seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by insurer to provide medical treatment to its members suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

ARKANSAS BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS INC., ET AL., Case No. 98 C 2612, USDC, Northern District of Illinois (case filed April 29, 1998). Health insurer seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by insurer to provide medical treatment to its members suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

REGENCE BLUESHIELD, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS INC., ET AL., Case No. C98-0559R, USDC, Western District of Washington (case filed April 29, 1998). Health insurer seeks injunctive relief and economic reimbursement to recover moneys expended by insurer to provide medical treatment to its members suffering from smoking-related illnesses.

NEWSPAPER PERIODICAL DRIVERS LOCAL 921, CA, Superior Court, San Mateo County (case filed 4/15/98).

NORTHERN CALIFORNIA GENERAL TEAMSTERS SECURITY FUND, CA, Superior Court, Alameda County (case filed 5/98).

NORTHERN CALIFORNIA PLASTERERS HEALTH & WELFARE FUND, CA, Superior Court, San Francisco (case filed 5/98).

CONSTRUCTION LABORERS OF GREATER ST. LOUIS WELFARE FUND, MO, USDC,. Eastern District (case filed 10/20/98).

CONTRACTORS LABORERS. TEAMSTERS & ENGINEERS HEALTH & WELFARE PLAN, NE, USDC, District of NE (case filed 8/11/98).

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, CA, Superior Court, San Francisco (case filed 8/5/98).

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, CA, Superior Court, Los Angeles County (case filed 7/14/98).

PIPE TRADES DISTRICT COUNCIL NO. 36 HWTF, CA, Superior Court, Alameda County (case filed 4/16/98).

SAN FRANCISCO NEWSPAPER PUBLISHERS AND NORTHERN CALIFORNIA NEWSPAPER GUILD HWTF, CA, Superior Court, San Francisco (case filed 4/17/98).

SIGN, PICTORIAL AND DISPLAY INDUSTRY WELFARE FUND, CA, Superior Court, San Francisco County (case filed 4/16/98).

TEAMSTERS BENEFIT TRUST, CA, Superior Court, Alameda County (case filed 4/15/98).

UA LOCAL NO 159 HWTF, CA, Superior Court, Alameda County (case filed 4/15/98).

UA LOCAL NO. 343 HWTF, CA, Superior Court (case filed 4/98).

UA LOCAL NO. 393 HWTF, CA, Superior Court, Alameda County (case filed 5/98).

UA LOCAL NO. 467 HWTF, CA, Superior Court (case filed 4/98).

HOLLAND, D.C., USDC (case filed 7/9/98).

SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION HWTF, D.C., USDC (case filed 3/19/98).

GROUP HEALTH PLAN, MN, USDC (case filed 3/13/98).

UTAH LABORERS' HWTF, UT, USDC (case filed 6/11/98).

### INDIVIDUAL ACTIONS

(The following is a list of actions by the named individual plaintiffs pending against Liggett and, except as other wise noted, other tobacco companies. The actions have been brought in state court, except as otherwise noted.)

CROZIER, AL, USDC (case filed on August 2, 1996). Case is pending.

CORDOVA, CA, San Diego County. Trial begins February 5, 1999.

STERN, CA, Monterey County (case filed on April 28, 1997). Case is pending.

ADAMS, FL, Broward County (case filed on April 10,1997). Case is pending.

ALLMAN, FL, Volusia County (case filed on June 2, 1997). Case is pending.

ALTIERI, FL, Orange County, (case filed on August 12, 1997). Case is pending.

ARMAND, FL, Volusia County (case filed on July 9, 1997). Case is pending. ATCHESON, FL, Volusia County (case filed on July 29, 1997). Case is pending. ATKINS, FL, Orange County (case filed on September 16, 1997). Case is pending. BAILEY, FL, Dade County (case filed on August 18, 1997). Case is pending. BARTLEY, FL, Broward County (case filed on June 21, 1997). Case is pending. BLAIR, FL, Volusia County (case filed on July 29, 1997). Case is pending. BLANK, FL, Broward County (case filed on April 10, 1997). Case is pending. BOUCHARD, FL, Bouchard County (case filed on June 2, 1997). Case is pending. BRONSTEIN, FL, Broward County (case filed on June 10, 1997). Case is pending. BROWN, FL, Orange County (case filed on September 16, 1997). Case is pending. BURNS, FL, Broward County (case filed on April 3, 1998). Case is pending. CHAMBERLAIN, FL, Duval County Circuit Court (case filed on March 4, 1998). Case is pending. CLARK, FL, Dade County (case filed on July 18, 1995). Case is pending. Liggett is the only named defendant. DAVIS, FL, Broward County (case filed on July 21, 1997). Case is pending. DAVISON, FL, Broward County (case filed on June 10, 1997). Case is pending. DE LA TORRE, FL, Broward County (case filed on July 21, 1997). Case is pending. DELL, FL, Seminole County (case filed on July 29, 1997). Case is pending.

DICK, FL, Orange County (case filed on August 21, 1997). Case is pending.

DILL, FL, Broward County (case filed on April 10, 1997). Case is pending.

DOYLE, Joseph, FL, Flagler County (case filed on September 16, 1997). Case is pending.

DRISCOLL, FL, Seminole County (case filed on July 29, 1997). Case is pending.

FERGUSON, FL, Volusia County, (case filed on October 10, 1997). Case is pending.

FISCHETTI, FL, Orange County (case filed on November 17, 1997). Case is pending.

GARRETSON, FL, Volusia County (case filed on October 22, 1996). Case is pending. GATTO, FL, Citrus County (case filed on October 14, 1997). Case is pending.

FLAKS, FL, Broward County (case filed on June 10, 1997). Case is pending.

GOLDBERG, FL, Broward County (case filed on June 10, 1997). Case is pending.

 ${\tt GONZALEZ}, {\tt FL}, {\tt Hillsborough}$  County (case filed on January 2, 1996). Case is pending.

GRAY, FL, Dade County (case filed on October 15, 1997). Case is pending.

HABIB, FL, Volusia County (case filed on July 10, 1997). Case is pending.

HALEN, FL, Palm Beach County (case filed on June 19, 1996). Case is pending.

HARRIS, FL, Broward County (case filed on July 21, 1997). Case is pending.

HART, FL, Broward County (case filed on June 10, 1997). Case is pending.

HAYES, FL, Volusia County (case filed on June 30, 1997). Case is pending.

HENIN, FL, Dade County (case filed on December 26, 1997). Case is pending.

HENNING, FL, Broward County (case filed on July 21, 1997). Case is pending.

HIRTH, FL, Dade County (case filed in 1996). Case is pending.

HITCHENS, FL, Broward County (case filed on June 10, 1997). Case is pending.

HUMPAL, FL, Volusia County (case filed on June 30, 1997). Case is pending.

JOHNSON, FL, Duval County (case filed on November 30, 1995). Case is pending.

KALOUSTIAN, FL, Hillsborough County (case filed August 28, 1995). Case is pending.

KRUEGER, FL, USDC, Middle Dist. (case filed August 30, 1996). Case is pending.

LAPPIN, FL, Volusia (case filed June 2, 1997). Case is pending.

LASCHKE, FL, Pinellas County (case filed December 20, 1996). Case is pending.

LASS, FL, Duval County (case filed December 23, 1996). Case is pending.

LEHMAN, FL, Volusia County (case filed on June 2, 1997). Case is pending.

LEOMBRUNO, FL, Orange County (case filed on September 16, 1997). Case is pending.

LEVINE, FL, Palm Beach County (case filed on July 24, 1996). Case is pending.

LOBLEY, FL, Seminole County (case filed on July 29, 1997). Case is pending.

LUSTIG, FL, Broward County (case filed on July 21, 1997). Case is pending.

MAGLIARISI, FL, Broward County (case filed on June 11, 1997). Case is pending.

MANLEY, FL, Broward County (case filed on April 3, 1998). Case is pending. MCMAHON, FL, Polk County (case filed on April 29, 1997). Case is pending. MEAGHER, FL, Orange County (case filed on May 22, 1997). Case is pending. MECKLER, FL, Duval County (case filed July 10, 1997). Case is pending. MULLIN, FL, Dade County (case filed November 7, 1995). Case is pending. MULLINS, FL, Orange County (case filed September 16, 1997). Case is pending. O'ROURKE, FL, Volusia County (case filed June 2, 1997). Case is pending. PEREZ, FL, USDC, Middle Dist. (case filed August 20, 1996). Case is pending. PHILLIPS, FL, Volusia County (case filed on May 27, 1997). Case is pending. PIPOLO, FL, Broward County (case filed on April 10, 1997). Case is pending. POYTHRESS, FL, Volusia County (case filed on May 5, 1997). Case is pending. RAUCH, FL, Broward County (case filed July 21, 1997). Case is pending. RAWLS, FL, Duval County (case filed March 6, 1997). Case is pending. REILLY, FL, Lake County (case filed October 22, 1997). Case is pending. RIX, FL, Duval County (case filed April 29, 1996). Case is pending. SAS, FL, Pinellas County (case filed on June 2, 1997). Case is pending. SHAW, FL, Broward County (case filed on June 10, 1997). Case is pending. SHIRA, FL, Orange County (case filed on May 30, 1997). Case is pending. SPOTTS, FL, Volusia County (case filed on September 16, 1997). Case is pending. SPRAGUE, FL, Dade County (case filed July 28, 1995). Case is pending. STAFFORD, FL, Pinellas County (case filed November 14, 1997). Case is pending. STEWART, FL, Lake County (case filed September 16, 1997). Case is pending. STRICKLAND, FL, Dade County (case filed January 8, 1998). Case is pending. SWANK-REICH, FL, Broward County (case filed June 10, 1997). Case is pending. THOMAS, FL, Broward County (case filed June 10, 1997). Case is pending. THOMSON, Barry, FL, Flagler County (case filed September 2, 1997). Case is pending.

THOMSON, Eileen, FL, Broward County (case filed July 21, 1997). Case is pending.

UFFNER, FL, Dade County (case filed April 29, 1996). Case is pending.

UFFNER, FL, Broward County case filed December 31, 1996). Case is pending.

VENTURA, FL, Dade County (case filed on April 3, 1998). Case is pending.

WASHINGTON, FL, Volusia County (case filed September 16, 1997). Case is pending.

WEIFFENBACH, FL, USDC, Tampa Dist. (case filed August 30, 1996) Case is pending.

WISCH, FL, Broward County (case filed June 10, 1997). Case is pending.

YOUNG, FL, Duval County (case filed November 30, 1995). Case is pending.

ALBERT, GA, USDC, Middle Dist. (case filed January 24, 1997). Liggett has not yet been served.

BROWN-JONES, GA, Richmond County (case filed January 13, 1998). Case is pending.

DALEY, IL, USDC, Northern Dist. (case filed on August 13, 1997). Case is pending.

BADON, LA, USDC, Western Dist. (case filed on December 29, 1997). Case is pending.

BIRD, LA, Jefferson Parish (case filed April 10, 1997). Case is pending.

BRAKEL, LA, USDC, Eastern Dist. (case filed August 30, 1996). Case is pending.

HEBERT, LA, Calcasieu Parish (case filed May 8, 1996). Case is pending.

HIGGINS, LA, Orleans Parish (case filed June 1, 1996). Case is pending.

OSER, LA, Orleans Parish (case filed May 27, 1997). Case is pending.

PICARD, LA, USDC, Eastern Dist. (case filed March 24, 1995). Case is pending.

PITRE, LA, East Baton Rouge Parish (case filed August 7, 1992). Case is pending.

BLYTHE, MS, Jackson County (case filed August 23, 1996). Case is pending.

BUTLER, MS, Jones County (case filed on May 12, 1994). Case is pending.

EVANS, MS, Jasper County (case filed June 10, 1997). Case is pending.

MURPHY, NV, USDC (case filed January 6, 1998). Case is pending.

RIVENBURGH, NV, USDC (case filed January 6, 1998). Case is pending.

ULRICH, NV, USDC (case filed January 6, 1998). Case is pending.

- HAINES, NJ, USDC (case filed February 2, 1994). Case is pending.
- ALTMAN, NY, Supreme Court, New York County (December 16, 1997). Case is pending.
- ANDERSON, NY, Supreme Court, Kings County (case filed November 13, 1997). Case is pending.
- BELLOWS, NY, Supreme Court, New York County (case filed November 26, 1997). Case is pending.
- CAIAZZO, NY, Supreme Court, Richmond County (case filed October 27, 1997). Case is pending.
- CAMERON, NY, Supreme Court, Nassau County (case filed July 18, 1997). Case is pending.
- CARLL, NY, Supreme Court, New York County (case filed August 12, 1997). Case is pending.
- CAVANAGH, NY, Supreme Court, Richmond County (case filed April 23, 1997). Case is pending.
- COLLINS, NY, Supreme Court, Westchester County (case filed July 2, 1997). Case is pending.
- CONDON, NY, Supreme Court, New York County (case filed February 4, 1997). Case is pending.
- CRANE, NY, USDC, Southern Dist. (case filed March 6, 1997). Case is pending.
- CREECH, NY, Supreme Court, Richmond County (case filed January 14, 1997). Case is pending.
- CRESSER, NY, Supreme Court, Kings County (case filed October 4, 1996). Case is pending.
- DA SILVA, NY, Supreme Court, New York County (case filed January 14, 1997). Case is pending.
- DOUGHERTY, NY, Supreme Court, Suffolk County (case filed April 18, 1997). Case is pending.
- $\mathsf{DZAK},\ \mathsf{NY},\ \mathsf{Supreme}\ \mathsf{Court},\ \mathsf{Queens}\ \mathsf{County}\ (\mathsf{case}\ \mathsf{filed}\ \mathsf{December}\ \mathsf{2},\ \mathsf{1996}).\ \mathsf{Case}\ \mathsf{is}\ \mathsf{pending}.$
- EVANS, NY, Supreme Court, Kings County (case filed August 23, 1996). Case is pending.
- FALISE, NY, USDC, Eastern District (case filed November 31, 1997). Case is pending.
- FINK, NY, Supreme Court, New York County (case filed April 25, 1997). Case is pending.
- GOLDEN, NY, Supreme Court, New York County (case filed August 11, 1997). Case is pending.
- GRECO, NY, Supreme Court, Queens County (case filed July 18, 1997). Case is pending.
- GRUDER, NY, Supreme Court, New York County (case filed December 8, 1997). Case is pending.
- GUILLOTEAU, NY, Supreme Court, Kings County (case filed November 26, 1997). Case is pending.
- HANSEN, NY, Supreme Court, Suffolk County (case filed in April 12, 1997). Case is pending.
- HELLEN, NY, Supreme Court, Kings County (case filed August 23, 1996). Case is pending.
- INZERILLA, NY, Supreme Court, Queens County (case filed July 16, 1996). Case is pending.

JAUST, NY, Supreme Court, New York County (case filed October 14, 1997). Case is pending.

 ${\sf JULIANO}$ , NY, Supreme Court, Richmond County (case filed August 12, 1996). Case is pending.

KEENAN, NY, Supreme Court, New York County (case filed October 6, 1997) Case is pending.

KESTENBAUM, NY, Supreme Court, New York County (case filed June 4, 1997). Case is pending.

KNUTSEN, NY, Supreme Court, Kings County (case filed April 25, 1997). Case is pending.

KOTLYAR, NY, Supreme Court, Queens County (case filed November 26, 1997). Case is pending.

KRISTICH, NY, Supreme Court, Suffolk County (case filed October 12, 1997). Case is pending.

LABROILA, NY, Supreme Court, Suffolk County (case filed July 20, 1997). Case is pending.

LEHMAN, NY, Supreme Court, New York County (case filed August 11, 1997). Case is pending.

LEIBSTEIN, NY, Supreme Court, Nassau County (case filed July 25, 1997). Case is pending.

LEIDERMAN, NY, Supreme Court, Kings County (case filed July 23, 1997). Case is pending.

LENNON, NY, Supreme Court, New York County (case filed November 19, 1997). Case is pending.

LEVINSON, NY, Supreme Court, Kings County (case filed 1997). Case is pending.

LIEN, NY, Supreme Court, Suffolk County (case filed 1997). Case is pending.

LITKE, NY, Supreme Court, Kings County (case filed May 1, 1997). Case is pending.

LOMBARDO, NY, Supreme Court, Nassau County (case filed 1997). Case is pending.

LONG, NY, Supreme Court, Bronx County (case filed October 22, 1997). Case is pending.

LOPARDO, NY, Supreme Court, Nassau County (case filed October 27, 1997). Case is pending.

LUCCA, NY, Supreme Court, Kings County (case filed January 27, 1997). Case is pending.

LYNCH, NY, Supreme Court, New York County (case filed October 22, 1997). Case is pending.

MAISONET, NY, Supreme Court, Kings County (case filed 1997). Case is pending.

MARGOLIN, NY, Supreme Court, New York County (case filed November 22, 1996). Case is pending.

MARTIN, NY, Supreme Court, Queens County (case filed July 18, 1997). Case is pending.

MCGUINNESS, NY, Supreme Court, New York County (case filed July 28, 1997). Case is pending.

MCLANE, NY, Supreme Court, Richmond County (case filed 1997). Case is pending.

MEDNICK, NY, Supreme Court, Kings County (case filed September 19, 1997). Case is pending.

MISHK, NY, Supreme Court, New York County (case filed May 1, 1997). Case is pending.

NEWELL, NY, Supreme Court, New York County (case filed November 19, 1997). Case is pending.

NOCIFORO, NY, Supreme Court, Suffolk County (case filed July 12, 1996). Case is pending.

ORNSTEIN, NY, Supreme Court, New York County (case filed September 29, 1997). Case is pending.

PAW, NY, US Court of Appeals (case filed 1997). Case is pending.

PEREZ, NY, Supreme Court, Kings County (case filed August 26, 1997). Case is pending.

PERRI, NY, Supreme Court, Nassau County (case filed November 24, 1997). Case is pending.

PICCIONE, NY, Supreme Court, Kings County (case filed October 27, 1997). Case is pending.

PORTNOY, NY, Supreme Court, Suffolk County (case filed July 16, 1996). Case is pending.

REITANO, NY, Supreme Court, Kings County (case filed August 22, 1996). Case is pending.

RINALDI, NY, Supreme Court, Kings County (case filed December 11, 1996). Case is pending.

ROSE, NY, Supreme Court, New York County (case filed December 18, 1996). Case is pending.

ROSEFF, NY, Supreme Court, New York County (case filed December 10, 1997). Case is pending.

RUBINOBITZ, NY, Supreme Court, Nassau County (case filed 1997). Case is pending.

SCHULHOFF, NY, Supreme Court, Queens County (case filed November 21, 1997). Case is pending.

SCHWARTZ, IRWIN, NY, Supreme Court, Nassau County (case filed 1997). Case is pending.

SCHWARTZ, PEARL, NY, Supreme Court, Kings County (case filed December 2, 1996). Case is pending.

SENZER, NY, Supreme Court, Queens County (case filed 1997). Case is pending.

SHAPIRO, NY, Supreme Court, New York County (case filed July 21, 1996). Case is pending.

 ${\sf SIEGEL},\ {\sf NY},\ {\sf Supreme}\ {\sf Court},\ {\sf Kings}\ {\sf County}\ ({\sf case}\ {\sf filed}\ {\sf October}\ {\sf 8,}\ {\sf 1996}).$  Case is pending.

SMITH, NY, Supreme Court, Queens County (case filed September 19, 1997). Case is pending.

 $\mathsf{SOLA},\ \mathsf{NY},\ \mathsf{Supreme}\ \mathsf{Court},\ \mathsf{Bronx}\ \mathsf{County}\ (\mathsf{case}\ \mathsf{filed}\ \mathsf{on}\ \mathsf{July}\ \mathsf{16},\ \mathsf{1996}).\ \mathsf{Case}\ \mathsf{is}\ \mathsf{pending}.$ 

SPRUNG, NY, Supreme Court, Kings County (case filed 1997). Case is pending.

STANDISH, NY, Supreme Court, Bronx County (case filed July 28, 1997). Case is pending.

STERN, NY, USDC, Southern Dist. (case filed January 29, 1997). Case is pending.

VALENTIN, NY, Supreme Court, Queens County (case filed September 16, 1997). Case is pending.

WALGREEN, NY, Supreme Court, New York County (case filed 1997). Case is pending.

WERNER, NY, Supreme Court, Queens County (case filed December 12, 1997). Case is pending.

ZARUDSKY, NY, Supreme Court, New York County (case filed 1997). Case is pending.

ZIMMERMAN, NY, Supreme Court of NY, Queens County (case filed 1997). Case is pending.

 ${\tt ZUZALSKI},\ {\tt NY},\ {\tt Supreme}\ {\tt Court}\ {\tt of}\ {\tt NY},\ {\tt Queens}\ {\tt County}\ ({\tt April}\ 3,\ {\tt 1997}).$  Case is pending.

TOMPKIN, OH, USDC, Northern Dist. (case filed July 25, 1994). Trial is scheduled for August 31, 1998.

HALL, PA, USDC, Middle District of Pennsylvania (case filed on February 9, 1998). Case is pending.

NICOLO, RI, USDC (case filed September 24, 1996). Case is pending.

PERRY, TN, Knox County (case filed July 20, 1995). Case is pending.

ADAMS, TX, Harris County (case filed April 30, 1996). Case is pending.

BLANCHARD, TX, Galveston County (case filed July 31, 1992). Case is dormant.

BUSH, TX, USDC, Eastern Dist. (case filed September 22, 1997). Case is pending.

COLE, TX, USDC, Eastern Dist. (case filed May 12, 1997). Case is pending.

COLUNGA, TX, Nueces County (case filed April 17, 1997). Case is pending.

DIESTE, TX, USDC, Eastern Dist. (case filed November 3, 1997) Case is pending.

GOSSETT, TX, Cameron County (case filed November 14, 1996). Liggett has not yet been served.

HALE, TX, Hidalgo County (case filed January 30, 1997). Case is pending.

HAMILTON, TX, USDC, Southern Dist. (case filed February 26, 1997). Case is pending.

HARRIS, TX, Nueces County (case filed December 27, 1996). Case is pending.

LUNA, TX, USDC, Southern Dist. (case filed February 18, 1997). Case is pending.

MCLEAN, TX, USDC, Eastern Dist. (case filed August 30, 1996). Case is pending.

MIRELES, TX, Nueces County (case filed February 14, 1997). Case is pending.

MISELL, TX, Nueces County (case filed January 3, 1997). Case is pending.

RAMIREZ, TX, USDC, Southern Dist. (case filed December 23, 1996). Case is pending.

ROGERS, TX, Jefferson County (case filed February 28, 1995). Case is pending.

ROLAND, TX, Nueces County, third party complaint filed against Liggett on Jaunary 12, 1998. Case is pending.

SANCHEZ, TX, USDC, Southern Dist. (case filed July 22, 1997). Trial is scheduled for January 4, 1999.

THOMPSON, TX, Nueces County (case filed on December 15, 1997). Case is pending.

WEINGARTEN, VT, USDC (case filed July 19, 1997). Trial is scheduled for December 31, 1998. Liggett is the only named defendant.

BALL, WV, USDC, Southern District of West Virginia (case filed on April 28, 1998). Case is pending.

 ${\tt HISSOM},~{\tt kV},~{\tt Kanawha}$  County (case filed September 13, 1997). Trial is scheduled for January 4, 1999.

HUFFMAN, WV, Kanawha County (case filed February 13, 1998). Case is pending.

MORRIS, WV, Kanawha County (case filed March 3, 1998). Liggett has not yet been served.

RUSSELL, WV, USDC, Southern District of West Virginia (case filed on April 28, 1998). Case is pending.

SMITH, AL, Tuscaloosa County (case filed on July 9, 1998). Case is pending.

SPRINGER, AR, Eastern Dist. (case filed on July 19, 1998). Case is pending

DUECKER, FL, Duval County (case filed on July 5, 1998). Case is pending.

RACCA, LA Parish of Cameron (case filed on July 16, 1998). Case is pending.

COLLIER, MS, Southern Dist. (case filed on June 5, 1998). Case is pending.

ROBISON, OH, Northern Dist. (case filed on June 12, 1998). Case is pending.

TABB, PA, Eastern (case filed on Juen 23, 1998). Case is pending.

WILLIAMS, PA, Eastern Dist. (case filed on May 29, 1996). Case is pending.

LITTLE, SC, USDC, (case filed on June 26, 1998). Case is pending.

MITCHELL, TX, Western (case filed on June 17, 1998). Case is pending.

FERRELL, WV, Southern Dist. (case filed on May 21, 1998). Case is pending.

ROBINSON, CA, Superior Court, San Francisco (case filed 7/23/98), pending.

ROVAI, CA, Superior Court. San Francisco (case filed 7/23/98), pending.

SELLERS, CA, Superior Court, San Francisco (case filed 7/23/39), pending

COWART, FL, Duval County (case filed 3/16/98), pending [Liggett is only named defendant].

EASTMAN, FL Hillsborough County (case filed 3/11/98), pending.

STROHMETZ, FL, Duval County (case filed 7/16/98), pending.

GRONBERG, IA, Black Hawk County (case filed 3/30/98) pending.

KOBOLD, IA, Polk County (case filed 9/15/98), pending.

BAKOIAN, ESTATE OF MYDA, MA, Superior Court (case filed 6/22/98), pending BRANDANO, MA, Superior Court, Commonwealth of Massachusetts (case filed 8/25/98, pending. CAMERON, MA, Superior Court, Commonwealth of Massachusetts (case filed 8/3/98), pending.

CARMICHAEL-FOLEV, MA; Superior Court Commonwealth of Massachusetts (case filed 7/17/98), pending.

CURTIS, MA, Superior Court, Commonwealth of Massachusetts(case filed 8/27/98), pending.

DUNN, MA, Superior Court, Commonwealth of Massachusetts (case filed 8/24/98), pending.

FEENEY, MA, Superior Court, Commonwealth of Massachusetts (case filed 7/15/98), pending.

FRANCIS, MA, Superior Court, Commonwealth of Massachusetts (case filed 7/5/98), pending.

GORDON, MA, Superior Court, Commonwealth of Massachusetts (case filed 8/10/98), pending.

HARB, MA. Superior Court, Commonwealth of Massachusetts (case filed 9/10/98), pending.

HISCOCK, MA, Superior Court, Commonwealth of Massachusetts (case filed 7/15/98), pending.

JONES, MA, Superior Court, Commonwealth of Massachusetts (case filed 8/1/98), pending.

MAJENZA, MA, Superior Court, Commonwealth of Massachusetts (case filed 8/25/98), pending.

MCKENNEY, MA, Superior Court, Commonwealth of Massachusetts (case filed 7/27/98), pending.

MULCHAY, MA, Superior Court, Commonwealth of Massachusetts (case filed 9/5/98), pending.

REEDY. ESTATE OF MARIE, MA, Superior Court, Commonwealth of Massachusetts (case filed 8/13/98), pending.

SERRAINO, MA, Superior Court, Commonwealth of Massachusetts (case filed 8/10/98), pending.

TENERILLO, MA, Superior Court, Commonwealth of Massachusetts (case filed 7/14/98), pending.

VARNEY, MA, Superior Court, Commonwealth of Massachusetts (case filed 9/9/98), pending.

WAJDA, MA, Superior Court, Commonwealth of Massachusetts (case filed 7/17/98), pending.

WHITING, MA, Superior Court, Commonwealth of Massachusetts (case filed 9/4/98), pending.

WOODS, ESTATE OF HELEN, MA, Superior Court, Commonwealth of Massachusetts (complaint 9/14/98), pending.

WOOD, JOSEPH, MA. Superior Court, Commonwealth of Massachusetts (complaint 9/14/98), pending.

CLARK. ESTATE OF WYATT, MS, Hinds (case filed 2/17/98), Liggett has not yet been served.

ROSE, MS. USDC, Northern District (case filed 7/30/98), pending.

GALLUP, NV, USDC, Northern District (case filed 5/21/98), pending.

ARNETT, NY, New York County (case filed 5/29/98), pending.

CANAAN, NY, New York County (served 5/21/98), pending.

LOHN, NY, New York County (case filed 3/26/98), pending.

MAGNUS, NY, USDC, Eastern District (case filed 5/6/98), pending.

O'HARA, NY, New York County (case tiled 2/23/98), pending.

ALLEN, WV, Kanawha County (case filed 10/1/98), pending.

ANDERSON, WV, Kanawha County (case filed 7/31/98), pending.

NEWKIRK, WV, Kanawha County (case filed 7/22/98), pending.

1 Exhibit 99.2

LIGGETT GROUP INC.

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 1998

PART I
ITEM 1.

## INDEX

	PAGE
FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
LIGGETT GROUP INC.:	
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 1998 and December 31, 1997	. 3
months ended September 30, 1998 and 1997  Consolidated Statement of Stockholder's Equity (Deficit) for	. 5
the nine months ended September 30, 1998	. 6
ended September 30, 1998 and 1997	
EVE HOLDINGS INC.:	
Balance Sheets as of September 30, 1998 and December 31, 1997	. 24
September 30, 1998 and 1997	. 25
1998 and 1997	
Notes to Financial Statements	. 27

# PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

# ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

# LIGGETT GROUP INC.

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

	Sept	tember 30, 1998		ember 31, 1997
ASSETS				
Current assets: Accounts receivable: Trade, less allowances of \$1,295 and \$1,062, respectively Other	\$	10,731 879	\$	9,572 743
Inventories		29,676		35,057
Other current assets		1,535		738
Total current assets		42,821		46,110
Property, plant and equipment, at cost, less accumulated depreciation of \$30,339 and \$29,452, respectively		16,422		17,756
Intangible assets, at cost, less accumulated amortization of \$20,401 and \$19,111, respectively		319		1,609
Other assets and deferred charges, at cost, less accumulated amortization of \$13,217 and \$9,000, respectively		2,116		3,000
Total assets	\$ ==:	61,678 ======	\$ ===	68,475

(continued)

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Continued) (Unaudited) (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

	September 30, 1998	December 31, 1997
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY (DEFICIT)		
Current liabilities:		
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$ 162,502	\$ 28
Cash overdraft	1,405	891
Accounts payable, principally trade	4,095	6,413
Promotional	27,534	26,993
Taxes, principally excise taxes	970	3,643
Estimated allowance for sales returns	4,750	4,750
Interest	3,234	8,070
Settlement accruals	2,085	4,030
Other	5,317 	8,834
Total current liabilities	211,892	63,652
Long-term debt, less current maturities		168,112
Non-current employee benefits and other liabilities	10,895	11,168
Other long-term liabilities	22,159	18,400
Commitments and contingencies (Notes 5 and 8)		
Stockholder's equity (deficit):  Redeemable preferred stock (par value \$1.00 per share; authorized 1,000 shares; no shares issued and outstanding)  Common stock (par value \$0.10 per share; authorized 2,000 shares; issued and outstanding 1,000 shares)		
and contributed capital	56,861 (240,129)	50,218 (243,075)
Total stockholder's deficit	(183, 268)	(192,857)
Total liabilities and stockholder's equity (deficit)	\$ 61,678 ======	\$ 68,475 ======

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited) (Dollars in thousands)

Three Months Ended Nine Months Ended September 30, September 30, 1998 1997 1998 1997 -----Net sales\* ..... \$ 85,630 \$ 79,368 \$ 234,654 \$ 223,811 31,383 35,971 91,286 102,444 Cost of sales\* ..... Gross profit ..... 54,247 43,397 143,368 121,367 Selling, general and administrative expenses ...... 45,562 38,721 117,657 110,061 1,881 Settlement charges ..... 95 1,926 Restructuring ..... Operating income ..... 8,685 4,581 23,830 9,380 Other income (expense): 60 (7,270) (5,950)(21,704) (17,920)321 506 Sale of assets ..... (18)(302) 818 3,692 Retirement of debt ..... 2,963 2 (1) 2 Miscellaneous, net ..... (14) Net income (loss) ..... 1,399 (1,351)2,946 (1,333)

<sup>\*</sup>Net sales and cost of sales include federal excise taxes of 16,889, 19,250, 49,365, and 55,263, respectively.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY (DEFICIT) (Unaudited) (Dollars in thousands)

	Common Stock and Contributed Accumulated Capital Deficit		Total Stockholder's Deficit	
Balance at December 31, 1997	\$ 50,218	\$(243,075)	\$(192,857)	
Net income	3,705 4,105 (1,167)	2,946   	2,946 3,705 4,105 (1,167)	
Balance at September 30, 1998	\$ 56,861 ======	\$(240,129) ======	\$(183,268) =======	

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited) (Dollars in thousands)

	September 30,	
	1998	1997
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income (loss)	\$ 2,946	\$ (1,333)
Depreciation and amortization	5,137 (818)	5,180 (3,692)
Gain on retirement of notes Effectiveness fee on debt	2,737	(2,963)
Non-cash stock-based expense  Deferred finance charges and debt discount written off	3,705 	130
Equity in income of affiliate	(4.005)	(506)
Accounts receivable	(1,295) 5,381	8,271 12,329
Accounts payable	(4,581) (10,169)	(6,864) (13,526)
Non-current employee benefits Other, net	(515) 3,205	(434) (1,336)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	5,733	(4,744)
Cash flows from investing activities: Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment	1,155	4,589
Capital expenditures	(1,182)	(1,282) (2,200)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(27)	1,107
Cash flows from financing activities: Repayments of long-term debt	(28)	(4,721)
Borrowings under revolving credit facility	196,188 (201,941)	209,822 (202,880)
Deferred finance charges Increase in cash overdraft	(439) 514	1,416
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(5,706)	3,637
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	0	0
Cash and cash equivalents: Beginning of period	0	0
End of period	\$ 0 ======	\$ 0
Supplemental cash flow information:		
. Cash payments during the period for:		
Interest Income taxes	\$ 20,921 \$ 162	\$ 22,616 \$ 118

Nine Months Ended

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

## THE COMPANY

Liggett Group Inc. ("Liggett" or the "Company") is a wholly-owned subsidiary of BGLS Inc. ("BGLS"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Brooke Group Ltd. ("BGL"). Liggett is engaged primarily in the manufacture and sale of cigarettes, principally in the United States. Certain management and administrative functions are performed by affiliates. (See Note 9.)

The consolidated financial statements included herein are unaudited and, in the opinion of management, reflect all adjustments necessary (which are normal and recurring) to present fairly the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows. The December 31, 1997 balance sheet has been derived from audited financial statements. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended, for the year ended December 31, 1997, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The results of operations for interim periods should not be regarded as necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the entire year.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. Liggett had a net capital deficiency of \$183,268 as of September 30, 1998, is highly leveraged and has substantial near-term debt service requirements. (See Note 7.) Due to the many risks and uncertainties associated with the cigarette industry and the impact of tobacco litigation (see Note 8), there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to meet its future earnings or cash flow goals. Consequently, the Company could be in violation of its debt covenants, including covenants limiting the maximum permitted net worth and working capital deficiencies, and if its lenders were to exercise acceleration rights under its revolving credit facility (the "Facility") or the indenture for its Senior Secured Notes (the "Liggett Notes") or refuse to lend under the Facility, the Company would not be able to satisfy such demands or its working capital requirements.

The Liggett Notes mature on February 1, 1999 and the Facility expires on March 9, 1999. Accordingly, as of September 30, 1998, the current maturities of the Liggett Notes of \$144,828 (net of unamortized discount) and of the Facility of \$17,674 contribute substantially to the working capital deficit of \$169,071.

On January 30, 1998, the Company obtained the consents of the required majority of the holders of the Liggett Notes to various amendments to the Indenture governing the Liggett Notes. The amendments provided, among other things, for a deferral of the February 1, 1998 mandatory redemption of \$37,500 principal amount of the Liggett Notes to the date of final maturity, February 1, 1999. (Refer to Note 7.) At maturity, the Liggett Notes will require a principal payment of \$144,891. The Company does not anticipate it will be able to generate sufficient cash from operations to make such payments. In addition, the Company has a \$40,000 Facility expiring March 8, 1999 under which \$17,674 was outstanding at September 30, 1998. While management currently intends to seek to refinance and/or restructure with the Company's note holders the maturity requirements on the Liggett Notes and to extend the Facility, there are no refinancing or restructuring arrangements for the notes or commitments to extend the Facility at this time, and no assurances can be given in this regard. If the Company is unable to refinance or restructure the terms of the Liggett Notes or otherwise make all payments thereon, the Liggett Notes and the Facility would be in default and holders of such debt could accelerate the maturity of such debt. In such event, Liggett may be forced to seek protection from creditors under applicable laws. These matters raise substantial doubt about

the Company meeting its liquidity needs and its ability to continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

YEAR 2000 COSTS. Liggett utilizes management information systems and software technology that may be affected by Year 2000 issues throughout its operations. The Company has evaluated the costs to implement century date change compliant systems conversions and is in the process of executing a planned conversion of its systems prior to the year 2000. To date, the focus of Year 2000 compliance and verification efforts has been directed at the implementation of new customer service, inventory control and financial reporting systems at each of the three regional Strategic Business Units, part of the Company's reorganization which began in January 1997. In January of 1998, Liggett initiated a major conversion of factory accounting and information systems at its Durham production facility, with the assistance of outside consultants, to upgrades that have been successfully tested for Year 2000 compliance. This project is expected to be completed by the end of November.

All costs incurred to date are considered an integral part of the normal expenditures required for business systems enhancements and upgrades. It is anticipated that all factory, corporate, field sales and physical distribution systems will be completed in sufficient time to support Year 2000 compliance and verification.

Although such costs may be a factor in describing changes in operating profit in any given reporting period, the Company currently does not believe that the anticipated costs of Year 2000 systems conversions will have a material impact on its future consolidated results of operations. Based on the progress Liggett has made in addressing Year 2000 issues and its strategy and timetable to complete its compliance program, the Company does not foresee significant risks associated with its Year 2000 initiatives at this time. However, if the Company identifies any significant risks related to its Year 2000 compliance effort, or if its progress deviates from the projected timetable, Liggett will develop contingency plans it deems necessary to meet compliance deadlines at that time. Due to the interdependent nature of computer systems, the Company may be adversely impacted in the year 2000 depending on whether it or its vendors or customers have addressed this issue successfully.

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS. The Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 130, "Reporting Comprehensive Income" ("SFAS No. 130"), for the year ending December 31, 1998. SFAS No. 130 requires the Company to display an amount representing the total comprehensive income for the period in a financial statement which is displayed with the same prominence as other financial statements. The Company has no items of other comprehensive income in any period presented and therefore is not required to report comprehensive income.

The Company will adopt Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 131, "Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information" ("SFAS No. 131"), for the year ending December 31, 1998. SFAS No. 131 requires the Company to report certain information about operating segments in complete sets of financial statements and in condensed financial statements of interim period issued to shareholders. It also establishes standards for related disclosures about products and services, geographic areas and major customers. The Company does not expect this new pronouncement to have a significant impact on the financial statements.

The Company will adopt Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 132, "Employers' Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits" ("SFAS No. 132"), for the year ending December 31, 1998. SFAS No. 132 standardizes the disclosure requirements for pensions and other postretirement benefits to the extent practicable, requires additional information and changes in the benefit obligations and fair values of plan assets that will facilitate financial analysis, and eliminates certain disclosures that are no longer useful. The Company has not yet determined the impact of this pronouncement.

## 2. ESTIMATES AND ASSUMPTIONS

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses. Significant estimates subject to material changes in the near term include allowance for doubtful accounts, sales returns and allowances, actuarial assumptions of pension plans and litigation and defense costs. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

## PER SHARE DATA

All of the Company's common shares (1,000 shares, issued and outstanding for all periods presented herein) are owned by BGLS. Accordingly, earnings and dividends per share data are not presented in these consolidated financial statements.

## 4. SALE OF ASSETS

On March 11, 1997, Liggett sold to Blue Devil Ventures, a North Carolina limited liability partnership, certain surplus realty in Durham, North Carolina, for a sale price of \$2,200. A gain of \$1,147 was recognized, net of costs required to prepare the properties for sale and selling costs. (See Note 9 for sales to affiliates.)

## 5. INVENTORIES

Inventories consist of the following:

	September 30, 1998	December 31, 1997
Finished goods	\$ 13,183 2,658 14,626 3,328	\$ 13,273 1,926 21,211 3,545
Inventories at current cost	33,795	39,955
LIFO adjustment	(4,119)	(4,898)
Inventories at LIFO cost	\$ 29,676 ======	\$ 35,057 ======

The Company has a leaf inventory management program whereby, among other things, it is committed to purchase certain quantities of leaf tobacco. The purchase commitments are for quantities not in excess of anticipated requirements and are at prices, including carrying costs, established at the date of the commitment. Liggett had leaf tobacco purchase commitments of approximately \$6,134 at September 30, 1998.

## 6. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Property, plant and equipment consists of the following:

	September 30 1998	December 31, 1997	
Land and improvements	\$ 411 6,015 40,335	\$ 411 6,228 40,569	
Property, plant and equipment	46,761	47,208	
Less accumulated depreciation	(30,339)	(29,452)	
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$ 16,422 ======	\$ 17,756 ======	

#### LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt consists of the following:

	September 30, 1998	December 31, 1997
11.5% Senior Secured Notes due February 1, 1999, net of unamortized discount of \$63 and \$206, respectively	\$ 112,549	<b>\$</b> 112,406
Variable Rate Series C Senior Secured Notes due February 1, 1999	32,279	32,279
facility	17,674 	23,427 28
	162,502	168,140
Current portion	(162,502)	(28)
Amount due after one year	\$ =======	\$ 168,112 =======

### SENIOR SECURED NOTES

On February 14, 1992, Liggett issued \$150,000 in Senior Secured Notes (the "Series B Notes"). Interest on the Series B Notes is payable semiannually on February 1 and August 1 at an annual rate of 11.5%. The Series B Notes and Series C Notes referred to below (collectively, the "Liggett Notes") required mandatory principal redemptions of \$7,500 on February 1 in each of the years 1993 through 1997 and \$37,500 on February 1, 1998 with the balance of the Liggett Notes due on February 1, 1999. In February 1997, \$7,500 of the Series B Notes were purchased using revolver availability and credited against the mandatory redemption requirements. The transaction resulted in a net gain of \$2,963. The Liggett Notes are collateralized by substantially all of the assets of the Company, excluding inventories and receivables. Eve is a guarantor for the Notes. The Liggett Notes may be redeemed, in whole or in part, at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount at the option of the Company. The Liggett Notes contain restrictions on Liggett's ability to declare or pay cash dividends, incur additional debt, grant liens and enter into any new agreements with affiliates, among others.

The Series C Notes, issued in 1994, have the same terms (other than interest rate) and stated maturity as the Series B Notes. The Series C Notes bore a 16.5% interest rate, which was reset on February 1, 1995 to 19.75%.

On January 30, 1998, with the consent of the required majority of the holders of the Liggett Notes, Liggett entered into various amendments to the Indenture governing the Liggett Notes, which provided, among other things, for a deferral of the February 1, 1998 mandatory redemption payment of \$37,500 to the date of final maturity of the Liggett Notes on February 1, 1999. In connection with the deferral, BGL agreed to issue 483,002 shares of BGL's common stock to the holders of record on January 15, 1998 of the Liggett Notes. As a result of this transaction, the Company recorded a non-cash deferred charge of approximately \$4,100 during the first quarter of 1998 reflecting the fair value of the instruments issued. This deferred charge is being amortized as an adjustment to interest expense over a period of one year. As of September 30, 1998, \$2,737 had been expensed. The Indenture under which the Liggett Notes are outstanding was also amended to prohibit, with limited exceptions, payments of dividends and incurrence of new debt by Liggett and to tighten restrictions on the disposition of proceeds of asset sales. BGL and BGLS also agreed to guarantee the payment by Liggett of the August 1, 1998 interest payment, which was made by Liggett, on the Liggett Notes and to subordinate, until repayment in full of all amounts outstanding in respect of the Liggett Notes, their reimbursement rights with respect to the guarantee of borrowings under the Facility made in connection with the Company's August 1, 1997 interest installment

and any future advances in connection with the guarantee of the August 1, 1998 interest payment. In consideration of the contribution of the BGL common stock, the waiver of certain management and other fees, the guarantee of the interest payments and subordination of certain reimbursement rights, the Company transferred its ownership interest in, and options to acquire additional shares of stock of, Liggett-Ducat Ltd. ("Liggett-Ducat") to Brooke (Overseas) Ltd. ("BOL"), a subsidiary of BGLS. The Company accounted for the transfer of its ownership interest in, and options to acquire additional shares of stock of, Liggett-Ducat to BOL as a capital distribution to BGLS. Based on the carrying value of the investment at January 30, 1998, the capital distribution is approximately \$1,167. In addition, the Liggett Noteholders were granted additional collateral in the form of a security interest in 16% of the stock of Liggett-Ducat or a successor entity held by BOL.

On February 1, 1999, all of the Liggett Notes, totaling \$144,891, will reach maturity. There are no refinancing or restructuring arrangements in place at this time for the notes and no assurances can be given in this regard. (Refer to Note 1.)

## REVOLVING CREDIT FACILITY

On March 8, 1994, Liggett entered into the Facility under which it can borrow up to \$40,000 (depending on the amount of eligible inventory and receivables as determined by the lenders) from a syndicate of commercial lenders. Availability under the Facility was approximately \$8,091 based upon eligible collateral at September 30, 1998. The Facility is collateralized by all inventories and receivables of the Company. Borrowings under the Facility are charged interest calculated at a rate equal to 1.5% above Philadelphia National Bank's (the indirect parent of Congress Financial Corporation, the lead lender) prime rate. As of September 30, 1998, Liggett's interest rate was 10.0%, reduced to 9.75% on October 1st, and to 9.50% on November 1st, 1998. The Facility contains certain financial covenants similar to those contained in the Liggett Notes Indenture, including restrictions on Liggett's ability to declare or pay cash dividends, incur additional debt, grant liens and enter into any new agreements with affiliates, among others. In addition, the Facility, as amended April 8, 1998, imposes requirements with respect to the Company's adjusted net worth (not to fall below a deficit of \$195,000 as computed in accordance with the agreement, this computation was \$179,149 at September 30, 1998) and working capital (not to fall below a deficit of \$17,000 as computed in accordance with the agreement, this computation was \$2,450 at September 30, 1998). The Facility, as amended, also provides that a default by Liggett or its subsidiaries under the March 1996 Settlements, March 1997 Settlements and March 1998 Settlements (all as defined below in Note 8) shall constitute an event of default under the Facility.

In November 1997, the Facility was extended until March 8, 1999. For information concerning Liggett's substantial near-term debt service requirements and other related matters, see Note 1.

## 8. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

## TOBACCO-RELATED LITIGATION:

OVERVIEW. Since 1954, Liggett and other United States cigarette manufacturers have been named as defendants in numerous direct and third-party actions predicated on the theory that cigarette manufacturers should be liable for damages from cancer and other adverse health effects alleged to have been caused by cigarette smoking or by exposure to secondary smoke (environmental tobacco smoke, "ETS") from cigarettes. These cases are reported hereinafter as though having been commenced against Liggett (without regard to whether such cases were actually commenced against Liggett or BGL). There has been a noteworthy increase in the number of cases commenced against Liggett and the other cigarette manufacturers. The cases generally fall into four categories: (i) smoking and health cases alleging personal injury brought on behalf of individual smokers ("Individual Actions"), (ii) smoking and health cases alleging personal injury and purporting to be brought on behalf of a class of plaintiffs ("Class Actions"), (iii) health care cost recovery actions brought by state and local governments ("Attorneys General

Actions") and (iv) health care cost recovery actions brought by third-party payors including asbestos manufacturers, unions and taxpayers ("Third-Party Payor Actions"). As new cases are commenced, defense costs and the risks attendant to the inherent unpredictability of litigation continue to increase. Liggett had been receiving assistance from others in the industry in defraying the costs and other burdens incurred in the defense of smoking and health litigation and related proceedings, which, for the most part, consisted of the payment of counsel fees and costs, but this assistance terminated in 1997. The future financial impact on Liggett of the termination of this assistance and the effects of the tobacco litigation settlements discussed below is not quantifiable at this time. For the nine months ended September 30, 1998, Liggett incurred counsel fees and costs totaling approximately \$3,713, compared to \$3,287 for the comparable prior year period.

In June 1992, in an action entitled CIPOLLONE V. LIGGETT GROUP INC., ET AL., the United States Supreme Court issued an opinion concluding that The Federal Cigarette Labeling and Advertising Act did not preempt state common law damage claims but that The Public Health Cigarette Smoking Act of 1969 (the "1969 Act") did preempt certain, but not all, state common law damage claims. The decision bars plaintiffs from asserting claims that, after the effective date of the 1969 Act, the tobacco companies either failed to warn adequately of the claimed health risks of cigarette smoking or sought to neutralize those claimed risks in their advertising or promotion of cigarettes. Bills have been introduced in Congress on occasion to eliminate the federal preemption defense. Enactment of any federal legislation with such an effect could result in a significant increase in claims, liabilities and litigation costs.

INDIVIDUAL ACTIONS. As of September 30, 1998, there were approximately 275 cases pending against Liggett, and in most cases the other tobacco companies, where individual plaintiffs allege injury resulting from cigarette smoking, addiction to cigarette smoking or exposure to ETS and seek compensatory and, in some cases, punitive damages. Of these, 90 were pending in the State of Florida, 88 in the State of New York, 23 in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and 19 in the State of Texas. The balance of individual cases were pending in 18 states. There are three individual cases pending where Liggett is the only named defendant.

The plaintiffs' allegations of liability in those cases in which individuals seek recovery for personal injuries allegedly caused by cigarette smoking are based on various theories of recovery, including negligence, gross negligence, special duty, voluntary undertaking, strict liability, fraud, misrepresentation, design defect, failure to warn, breach of express and implied warranties, conspiracy, aiding and abetting, concert of action, unjust enrichment, common law public nuisance, indemnity, market share liability, and violations of deceptive trade practices laws, the Federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organization Act ("RICO") and antitrust statutes. In many of these cases, in addition to compensatory damages, plaintiffs also seek other forms of relief including disgorgement of profits and punitive damages. Defenses raised by defendants in these cases include lack of proximate cause, assumption of the risk, comparative fault and/or contributory negligence, lack of design defect, statute of limitations, equitable defenses such as "unclean hands" and lack of benefit, failure to state a claim and federal preemption.

CLASS ACTIONS. As of September 30, 1998, there were approximately 45 actions pending, for which either a class has been certified or plaintiffs are seeking class certification, where Liggett, among others, was a named defendant. Two of these cases, FLETCHER, ET AL. V. BROOKE GROUP LTD., ET AL. and WALKER, ET AL. V. LIGGETT GROUP INC., ET AL. have been settled by Liggett, subject to court approval. These two settlements are more fully discussed below under the "Settlements" section.

In October 1991, an action entitled BROIN, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS INCORPORATED, ET AL., Circuit Court of the Eleventh Judicial District in and for Dade County, Florida, was filed against Liggett and others. This case was brought by plaintiffs on behalf of all flight attendants that worked or are presently working for airlines based in the United States and who never regularly smoked cigarettes but allege that they have been damaged by involuntary exposure to ETS. In October 1997, the other major tobacco companies settled this matter which settlement provides for a release of Liggett and BGL. In February 1998, the Circuit Court

approved the settlement; however, an objector filed a Notice of Appeal of the settlement in the Third District Court of Appeal.

In March 1994, an action entitled CASTANO, ET AL. V. THE AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY INC., ET AL., United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, was filed against Liggett and others. The class action complaint sought relief for a nationwide class of smokers based on their alleged addiction to nicotine. In February 1995, the District Court granted plaintiffs' motion for class certification (the "Class Certification Order").

In May 1996, the Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit reversed the Class Certification Order and instructed the District Court to dismiss the class complaint. The Fifth Circuit ruled that the District Court erred in its analysis of the class certification issues by failing to consider how variations in state law affect predominance of common questions and the superiority of the class action mechanism. The appeals panel also held that the District Court's predominance inquiry did not include consideration of how a trial on the merits in CASTANO would be conducted. The Fifth Circuit further ruled that the "addiction-as-injury" tort is immature and, accordingly, the District Court could not know whether common issues would be a "significant" portion of the individual trials. According to the Fifth Circuit, any savings in judicial resources that class certification may bring about is speculative and would likely be overwhelmed by the procedural problems certification brings. Finally, the Fifth Circuit held that in order to make the class action manageable, the District Court would be forced to bifurcate issues in violation of the Seventh Amendment.

The extent of the impact of the CASTANO decision on tobacco-related class action litigation is still uncertain, although the decertification of the CASTANO class by the Fifth Circuit may preclude any federal court from certifying a nationwide class action for trial purposes with respect to tobacco-related claims. The CASTANO decision has had, however, only limited effect with respect to courts' decisions regarding narrower tobacco-related classes or class actions brought in state rather than federal court. For example, since the Fifth Circuit's ruling, courts in New York, Louisiana and Maryland have certified "addiction-as-injury" class actions that covered only citizens in those states. Two class actions pending in state court in Florida have also been certified, one of which, the BROIN case, was settled in 1997. The CASTANO decision has had no measurable impact on litigation brought by or on behalf of single individual claimants.

ATTORNEY GENERAL ACTIONS. As of September 30, 1998, 40 Attorney General Actions were filed against Liggett and BGL. As more fully discussed below, Liggett and BGL have settled 36 of these actions. In addition, Liggett has reached settlements with nine Attorneys General representing states, commonwealths or territories that have not yet commenced litigation against Liggett or BGL. In these proceedings, state and local government entities seek reimbursement for Medicaid and other health care expenditures allegedly caused by use of tobacco products. The claims asserted in these health care cost recovery actions vary. In most of these cases, plaintiffs assert the equitable claim that the tobacco industry was "unjustly enriched" by plaintiffs' payment of health care costs allegedly attributable to smoking and seek reimbursement of those costs. Other claims made by some but not all plaintiffs include the equitable claim of indemnity, common law claims of negligence, strict liability, breach of express and implied warranty, violation of a voluntary undertaking or special duty, fraud, negligent misrepresentation, conspiracy, public nuisance, claims under state and federal statutes governing consumer fraud, antitrust, deceptive trade practices and false advertising, and claims under RICO.

THIRD-PARTY PAYOR ACTIONS. As of September 30, 1998, there were approximately 70 Third-Party Payor Actions pending. The claims in these cases are similar to those in the Attorney General Actions. In April 1998, a group known as the "Coalition for Tobacco Responsibility", which represents Blue Cross and Blue Shield Plans in more than 35 states, filed federal lawsuits against the industry seeking payment of health-care costs allegedly incurred as a result of cigarette smoking and ETS. The lawsuits were filed in Federal District Courts in New York, Chicago and Seattle and seek billions of dollars in damages. The lawsuits allege conspiracy, fraud, misrepresentation, and violation of federal racketeering and anti-trust laws as well as other claims.

SETTLEMENTS. In March, 1996, Liggett and BGL entered into an agreement, subject to court approval, to settle the CASTANO class action tobacco litigation. Under the CASTANO settlement agreement, upon final court approval of the settlement, the CASTANO class would be entitled to receive up to five percent of Liggett's pretax income (income before income taxes) each year (up to a maximum of \$50,000 per year) for the next 25 years, subject to certain reductions provided for in the agreement and a \$5,000 payment from Liggett if Liggett or BGL fail to consummate a merger or similar transaction with another non-settling tobacco company defendant within three years of the date of settlement. Liggett and BGL have the right to terminate the CASTANO settlement under certain circumstances. On March 14, 1996, Liggett, the CASTANO Plaintiffs Legal Committee and the CASTANO plaintiffs entered into a letter agreement. According to the terms of the letter agreement, for the period ending nine months from the date of Final Approval (as defined in the letter), of the CASTANO settlement or, if earlier, the completion by Liggett or BGL of a combination with any defendant in CASTANO, except Philip Morris, the CASTANO plaintiffs and their counsel agree not to enter into any more favorable settlement agreement with any CASTANO defendant which would reduce the terms of the CASTANO settlement agreement. If the CASTANO plaintiffs or their counsel enter into any such settlement during this period, they shall pay Liggett \$250,000 within 30 days of the more favorable agreement and offer Liggett and BGL the option to enter into a settlement on terms at least as favorable as those included in such other settlement. The letter agreement further provides that during the same time period, and if the CASTANO settlement agreement has not been earlier terminated by Liggett in accordance with its terms, Liggett and its affiliates will not enter into any business transaction with any third party which would cause the termination of the CASTANO settlement agreement. If Liggett or its affiliates enter into any such transaction, then the CASTANO plaintiffs will be entitled to receive \$250,000 within 30 days from the transacting party. In May 1996, the CASTANO Plaintiffs Legal Committee filed a motion with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana seeking preliminary approval of the CASTANO settlement. In September 1996, shortly after the class was decertified, the CASTANO plaintiffs withdrew the motion for approval of the CASTANO settlement.

In March 1996, Liggett and BGL entered into a settlement of tobacco-related litigation with the Attorneys General of Florida, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Mississippi and West Virginia (the "March 1996 Settlements"). The March 1996 Settlements release Liggett and BGL from all tobacco-related claims including claims for health case cost reimbursement and claims concerning sales of cigarettes to minors. Certain of the terms of the March 1996 Settlements are summarized below.

Under the March 1996 Settlements, the five settling states would share an initial payment by Liggett of \$5,000, payable over nine years and indexed and adjusted for inflation, provided that any unpaid amount will be due 60 days after either a default by Liggett in its payment obligations under the settlement or a merger or other similar transaction by Liggett or BGL with another defendant in the lawsuits. In addition, Liggett will be required to pay the settling states a percentage of Liggett's pretax income (income before income taxes) each year from the second through the twenty-fifth year. This annual percentage is 2.5% of Liggett's pretax income, subject to increase to 7.5% depending on the number of additional states joining the settlement. No additional states have joined this settlement to date. All of Liggett's payments are subject to certain reductions provided for in the agreement. Liggett has also agreed to pay to the settling states \$5,000 if Liggett or BGL fails to consummate a merger or other similar transaction with another defendant in the lawsuits within three years from the date of the March 1996 Settlements.

Settlement funds received by the Attorneys General will be used to reimburse the states for smoking-related health care costs. Liggett and BGL also have agreed to phase in compliance with certain of the proposed interim FDA regulations on the same basis as provided in the CASTANO settlement. Liggett and BGL have the right to terminate the March 1996 Settlements with respect to any settling state if any of the remaining defendants in the litigation succeed on the merits in that state's respective Attorney General action. Liggett and BGL may also terminate the March 1996 Settlements if they conclude that too many states have filed Attorney General actions and have not settled such cases with Liggett and BGL.

In March 1997, Liggett, BGL and the five settling states executed an addendum pursuant to which Liggett and BGL agreed to provide to the five settling states, among other things, the additional cooperation and compliance with advertising restrictions that is provided for in the March 1997 Settlements (discussed below). Also, pursuant to the addendum, the initial settling states agreed to use best efforts to ensure that in the event of a global tobacco settlement enacted through federal legislation or otherwise, Liggett's and BGL's financial obligations under such a global settlement would be no more onerous than under this settlement.

During 1997, Liggett and BGL entered into a comprehensive settlement of tobacco litigation through parallel agreements with the Attorneys General of 21 states and with a nationwide class of individuals and entities that allege smoking-related claims (settlements with these 21 Attorneys General and with the nationwide class are hereinafter referred to as the "March 1997 Settlements"). In March 1998, Liggett and BGL announced settlements with the Attorneys General of 15 states, the District of Columbia, Guam, Northern Mariana Islands and the U.S. Virgin Islands (the "March 1998 Settlements"). The foregoing settlements cover all smoking-related claims, including both addiction-based and tobacco injury claims against Liggett and BGL, brought by the Attorneys General and, upon court approval, the nationwide class.

The states, commonwealths and territories where settlements have been reached with Attorneys General are: Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Guam, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Dakota, Northern Mariana Islands, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Texas, Utah, U.S. Virgin Islands, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin and Wyoming. Other states have either recently filed health care cost recovery actions or indicated intentions to do so. Both Liggett and BGL will endeavor to resolve those actions on substantially the same terms and conditions as the March 1998 Settlements, however, there can be no assurance that any such settlements will be completed.

As mentioned above, in March 1997, Liggett, BGL and plaintiffs filed a mandatory class settlement agreement in an action entitled FLETCHER, ET AL. V. BROOKE GROUP LTD., ET AL., Circuit Court of Mobile County, Alabama, where the court granted preliminary approval and preliminary certification of the class, and in May 1997, a similar mandatory class settlement agreement was filed in an action entitled WALKER, ET AL. V. LIGGETT GROUP INC., ET AL., United States District Court, Southern District of West Virginia. On July 2, 1998, Liggett, BGL and plaintiffs filed an amended class action settlement agreement in FLETCHER. Pursuant to the amended agreement, Liggett is required to pay to the class 7.5% of Liggett's pre-tax income each year for 25 years, with a minimum annual payment guarantee of \$1,000 over the term of the agreement. The amended agreement does not set forth a formula with respect to the distribution of settlement proceeds to the class. On September 10, 1998, the Circuit Court held a hearing with respect to the parties' motion for reaffirmance of preliminary approval of the amended agreement. The court has not yet ruled on this motion. The Company anticipates that should the court in FLETCHER, after dissemination of notice to the class of the pending limited fund class action settlement and a full fairness hearing with respect thereto, issue a final order and judgment approving the settlement, such an order would preclude further prosecution by class members of tobacco-related claims against Liggett and BGL. Under the Full Faith and Credit Act, a final judgment entered in a nationwide class action pending in a state court has a preclusive effect against any class member with respect to the claims settled and released in the nationwide class action. As the class definition in FLETCHER encompasses all persons in the United States who could claim injury as a result of cigarette smoking or ETS and any third-party payor claimants, it is anticipated that, upon final order and judgment, all such persons and third-party payor claimants would be barred from further prosecution of tobacco-related claims against Liggett and BGL.

In the FLETCHER action, it is anticipated that class members will be notified of the settlement and will have an opportunity to appear at a later court hearing. Effectiveness of the mandatory settlement is

conditioned on final court approval of the settlement after a fairness hearing. There can be no assurance as to whether, or when, such court approval will be obtained.

The WALKER court also granted preliminary approval and preliminary certification of the nationwide class; however, on August 5, 1997, the court vacated its preliminary certification of the settlement class, which decision is currently on appeal. The WALKER court relied on the Supreme Court's decision in AMCHEM PRODUCTS INC. V. WINDSOR in reaching its decision. In AMCHEM, the Supreme Court affirmed a decision of the Third Circuit vacating the certification of a settlement class that involved asbestos-exposure claims. The Supreme Court held that the proposed settlement class did not meet the requirements for Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for predominance of common issues and adequacy of representation. The Third Circuit had held that, although classes could be certified for settlement purposes only, Rule 23's requirements had to be satisfied as if the case were going to be litigated. The Supreme Court agreed that the fairness and adequacy of the settlement are not pertinent to the predominance inquiry under Rule 23(b)(3), and thus, the proposed class must have sufficient unity so that absent class members can fairly be bound by decisions of class representatives.

After the AMCHEM opinion was issued by the Supreme Court on June 25, 1997, objectors to Liggett's settlement in WALKER moved for decertification. Although Liggett's settlements, particularly in the WALKER action, are "limited fund" class action settlements proceeding under Rule 23(b)(1), and AMCHEM was a Rule 23(b)(3) case, the court in the WALKER action, nonetheless, decertified the WALKER class. Applying AMCHEM to the WALKER case, the District Court, in a decision issued on August 5, 1997, determined that while plaintiffs in WALKER have a common interest in "maximizing the limited fund available from the defendants," there remained "substantial conflicts among class members relating to distribution of the fund and other key concerns" that made class certification inappropriate.

The AMCHEM decision's ultimate effect on the viability of the WALKER and FLETCHER settlements remains uncertain given the Fifth Circuit's recent ruling reaffirming the limited fund class action settlement in IN RE ASBESTOS LITIGATION ("AHEARN"). In June 1997, the Supreme Court remanded AHEARN to the Fifth Circuit for consideration in light of AMCHEM. On remand, the Fifth Circuit made two decisive distinctions between AMCHEM and AHEARN. First, the AHEARN class action proceeded under Rule 23(b)(1) while AMCHEM was a Rule 23(b)(3) case and second, in AHEARN, there was no allocation or difference in award, according to nature or severity of injury, as there was in AMCHEM. The Fifth Circuit concluded that all members of the class and all class representatives share common interests and none of the uncommon questions abounding in AMCHEM exist. On June 22, 1998, the Supreme Court granted certiorari to review the Fifth Circuit decision.

The remaining material terms of the March 1996 Settlements, the March 1997 Settlements and the March 1998 Settlements are described below.

Pursuant to each of the settlements, both Liggett and BGL agreed to cooperate fully with the Attorneys General and the nationwide class in their respective lawsuits against the tobacco industry. Liggett and BGL agreed to provide to these parties all relevant tobacco documents in their possession, other than those subject to claims of joint defense privilege, and to waive, subject to court order, certain attorney-client privileges and work product protections regarding Liggett's smoking-related documents to the extent Liggett and BGL can so waive these privileges and protections. The Attorneys General and the nationwide class agreed to keep Liggett's documents under protective order and, subject to final court approval, to limit their use to those actions brought by parties to the settlement agreements. Those documents that may be subject to a joint defense privilege with other tobacco companies will not be produced to the Attorneys General or the nationwide class, but will be, pursuant to court order, submitted to the appropriate court and placed under seal for possible IN CAMERA review. Additionally, under similar protective conditions, Liggett and BGL agreed to offer their employees for witness interviews and testimony at deposition and trial. Pursuant to both settlement agreements, Liggett also agreed to place an additional warning on its cigarette packaging stating that "Smoking is Addictive" and to issue a public statement, as requested by the Attorneys General. Liggett has commenced distribution of cigarette packaging which displays the new warning label.

Pursuant to the March 1996 Settlements, any other tobacco company defendant, except Philip Morris, merging or combining with Liggett or BGL, prior to the third anniversary of the settlement, would receive certain settlement benefits, including limitations on potential liability. Pursuant to the agreement, any such combining tobacco company would be released from the lawsuits brought by the five initial settling states. Such combining tobacco company would be obligated to pay into the settlement fund within sixty days of becoming bound to the agreement \$135,000, and make annual payments of 2.5% of the combining company's pre-tax income (but not less than \$30,000 per year). Such combining tobacco company would also have to comply with the advertising and access restrictions provided for in the agreement, and would have to withdraw their objections to the FDA rule.

Pursuant to the March 1997 Settlements, any other tobacco company defendant, except Philip Morris, merging or combining with Liggett or BGL, prior to the fourth anniversary of the settlements, would receive certain settlement benefits, including limitations on potential liability for affiliates not engaged in domestic tobacco operations and a waiver of any obligation to post a bond to appeal any future adverse judgment. In addition, within 120 days following any such combination, Liggett would be required to pay the settlement fund \$25,000. Under all settlements, the plaintiffs have agreed not to seek an injunction preventing a defendant tobacco company combining with Liggett or BGL from spinning off any affiliate which is not engaged in the domestic tobacco business.

Pursuant to the March 1998 Settlements, Liggett is required to pay each of settling states and territories their relative share (based on the Medicaid population of each state over the total Medicaid population of the United States) of between 27.5% and 30% of Liggett's pre-tax income each year for 25 years, with a minimum payment guarantee of \$1,000 per state over the first nine years of the agreement. The aggregate payments required under the March 1996, March 1997 and March 1998 Settlements are \$45,000, of which \$3,639 was paid as of September 30, 1998. The liability for the settlements has been recorded in the Company's financial statements using a discount rate of 18%. The annual percentage is subject to increase, pro rata from 27.5% up to 30%, depending on the number of additional states joining the settlement. Pursuant to the "most favored nation" provisions under the March 1996 and March 1997 Settlements, each of the states settling under those settlements could benefit from the economic terms of the March 1998 Settlements. In all settlements, Liggett agreed to phase-in compliance with certain proposed FDA regulations regarding smoking by children and adolescents, including a prohibition on the use of cartoon characters in tobacco advertising and limitations on the use of promotional materials and distribution of sample packages where minors are present. The March 1998 Settlements provide for additional restrictions and regulations on Liggett's advertising, including a prohibition on outdoor advertising and product advertising on the Internet and on payments for product placement in movies and television.

Under all settlements, Liggett and BGL are also entitled to "most favored nation" treatment in the event any settling Attorney General reaches a settlement with any other defendant tobacco company. Pursuant to the March 1996 and March 1997 Settlements, in the event of a global settlement involving federal legislation with any other defendant tobacco company, the settling Attorneys General agreed to use their "best efforts" to ensure that Liggett's and BGL's liability under such legislation should be no more onerous than under those settlements. Under the March 1998 Settlements, the settling Attorneys General agreed to write letters to Congress and the President of the United States to ensure that Liggett's and BGL's liability under any such legislation should be more onerous than under this settlement.

Liggett accrued approximately \$4,000 for the present value of the fixed payments under the March 1996 Settlements and \$16,902 for the present value of the fixed payments under the March 1998 Settlements. No additional amounts have been accrued because Liggett cannot quantify the future costs of the settlements as the amounts Liggett must pay are based, in part, on future operating results. Possible future payments based on a percentage of pretax income, and other contingent payments based on the occurrence of a business combination, will be expensed when considered probable.

Separately, the other tobacco companies negotiated settlements of the Attorney General Actions in Mississippi, Florida, Texas, and Minnesota, and it has been widely publicized that the other companies have engaged in negotiations to settle with the Attorneys General of the remaining states.

Copies of the various settlement agreements are filed as exhibits to Liggett's Form 10-K and the discussion herein is qualified in its entirety by reference thereto.

TRIALS. On July 6, 1998, trial commenced in the ENGLE, ET AL. V. PHILIP MORRIS INCORPORATED, ET AL. case, a class action pending in Miami Dade County, Florida, brought on behalf of all Florida residents allegedly injured by smoking. There are several trial dates scheduled during 1999 for Third-Party Payor and Individual Actions; however, trial dates are subject to change.

OTHER RELATED MATTERS. In March 1997, RJR, Philip Morris, B&W and Lorillard obtained a temporary restraining order from a North Carolina state court preventing Liggett and BGL and their agents, employees, directors, officers and lawyers from turning over documents allegedly subject to the joint defense privilege in connection with the settlements, which restraining order was converted to a preliminary injunction by the court in April 1997. In March 1997, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas and state courts in Mississippi and Illinois each issued orders enjoining the other tobacco companies from interfering with Liggett's filing with the courts, under seal, those documents.

A grand jury investigation is being conducted by the office of the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of New York (the "Eastern District Investigation") regarding possible violations of criminal law relating to the activities of The Council for Tobacco Research - USA, Inc. (the "CTR"). Liggett was a sponsor of the CTR at one time. In May 1996, Liggett received a subpoena from a Federal grand jury sitting in the Eastern District of New York, to which Liggett has responded.

In March 1996, and in each of March, July, October and December 1997, Liggett and/or BGL received subpoenas from a Federal grand jury in connection with an investigation by the United States Department of Justice (the "DOJ Investigation") involving the industry's knowledge of the health consequences of smoking cigarettes; the targeting of children by the industry and the addictive nature of nicotine and the manipulation of nicotine by the industry. Liggett has responded to the March 1996, March 1997 and July 1997 subpoenas and is in the process of responding to the October and December 1997 subpoenas. Liggett understands that the Eastern District Investigation and the DOJ Investigation essentially have been consolidated into one investigation conducted by the Department of Justice (the "DOJ"). Liggett and BGL are unable, at this time, to predict the outcome of this investigation.

On April 28, 1998, BGL announced that Liggett had reached an agreement with the United States Department of Justice to cooperate in both the Eastern District Investigation and the DOJ Investigation. The agreement does not constitute an admission of any wrongful behavior by Liggett. The DOJ has not provided immunity to Liggett and has full discretion to act or refrain from acting with respect to Liggett in the investigation.

In September 1998, Liggett received a subpoena from a federal grand jury in the Eastern District of Philadelphia investigating possible antitrust violations in connection with the purchase of tobacco by and for tobacco companies. Liggett is in the process of responding to this subpoena. Liggett and BGL are unable, at this time, to predict the outcome of this investigation.

Litigation is subject to many uncertainties, and it is possible that some of the aforementioned actions could be decided unfavorably against Liggett or BGL. An unfavorable outcome of a pending smoking and health case could encourage the commencement of additional similar litigation. Liggett is unable to evaluate the effect of these developing matters on pending litigation or the possible commencement of additional litigation. Liggett is also unable to make a meaningful estimate with respect to the amount of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome of many of the cases pending against

the Company, because the complaints filed in these cases rarely detail alleged damages. Typically, the claims set forth in an individual's complaint against the tobacco industry pray for money damages in an amount to be determined by a jury, plus punitive damages and costs. These damage claims are typically stated as being for the minimum necessary to invoke the jurisdiction of the court.

Third-party payor claimants and others have set forth several additional variations on relief sought: funding of corrective public education campaigns relating to issues of smoking and health; funding for clinical smoking cessation programs; disgorgement of profits from sales of cigarettes; restitution; treble damages; and attorneys' fees. Nevertheless, no specific amounts are provided. It is understood that requested damages against the tobacco company defendants in these cases might be in the billions of dollars.

It is possible that Liggett's consolidated financial position, results of operation and cash flow could be materially adversely affected by an unfavorable outcome in any such tobacco-related litigation.

Liggett has been involved in certain environmental proceedings, none of which, either individually or in the aggregate, rises to the level of materiality. Liggett's current operations are conducted in material compliance with all environmental laws and regulations. Management is unaware of any material environmental conditions affecting its existing facilities. Compliance with federal, state and local provisions regulating the discharge of materials into the environment, or otherwise relating to the protection of the environment, has not had a material effect on the capital expenditures, earnings or competitive position of Liggett.

There are several other proceedings, lawsuits and claims pending against Liggett unrelated to smoking or tobacco product liability. Management is of the opinion that the liabilities, if any, ultimately resulting from such other proceedings, lawsuits and claims should not materially affect Liggett's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

### LEGISLATION AND REGULATION:

In January 1993, the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") released a report on the respiratory effect of ETS which concludes that ETS is a known human lung carcinogen in adults and in children, causes increased respiratory tract disease and middle ear disorders and increases the severity and frequency of asthma. In June 1993, the two largest of the major domestic cigarette manufacturers, together with other segments of the tobacco and distribution industries, commenced a lawsuit against the EPA seeking a determination that the EPA did not have the statutory authority to regulate ETS, and that given the current body of scientific evidence and the EPA's failure to follow its own guidelines in making the determination, the EPA's classification of ETS was arbitrary and capricious. Whatever the outcome of this litigation, issuance of the report may encourage efforts to limit smoking in public areas. In July 1998, the court ruled that the EPA made procedural and scientific mistakes when it declared in its 1993 report that secondhand smoke caused as many as 3,000 cancer deaths a year among nonsmokers.

In April 1994, the United States Occupational Safety and Health Administration ("OSHA") issued a proposed rule that could ultimately ban smoking in the workplace. Hearings were completed during 1995. OSHA has not yet issued a final rule or a proposed revised rule. While Liggett cannot predict the outcome, some form of federal regulation of smoking in workplaces may result.

In February 1996, the United States Trade representative issued an "advance notice of rule making" concerning how tobaccos imported under a previously established tobacco rate quota ("TRQ") should be allocated. Currently, tobacco imported under the TRQ is allocated on a "first-come, first-served" basis, meaning that entry is allowed on an open basis to those first requesting entry in the quota year. Others in the cigarette industry have suggested an "end-user licensing" system under which the right to

import tobacco under the quota would be initially assigned based on domestic market share. Such an approach, if adopted, could have a material adverse effect on Liggett.

In August 1996, the FDA filed in the Federal Register a Final Rule (the "FDA Rule") classifying tobacco as a drug, asserting jurisdiction by the FDA over the manufacture and marketing of tobacco products and imposing restrictions on the sale, advertising and promotion of tobacco products. Litigation was commenced in the United States District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina challenging the legal authority of the FDA to assert such jurisdiction, as well as challenging the constitutionality of the rules. The court, after argument, granted plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment prohibiting the FDA from regulating or restricting the promotion and advertising of tobacco products and denied plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment on the issue of whether the FDA has the authority to regulate access to, and labeling of, tobacco products. The Fourth Circuit Court reversed the district court on appeal and on August 14, 1998 held that the FDA cannot regulate tobacco products because Congress had not given them the authority to do so. Liggett and BGL support the FDA Rule and have begun to phase in compliance with certain of the proposed interim FDA regulations. See discussions of the CASTANO and Attorney General Actions above.

In August 1996, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts enacted legislation requiring tobacco companies to publish information regarding the ingredients in cigarettes and other tobacco products sold in that state. In December 1997, the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts enjoined this legislation from going into effect; however, in December 1997, Liggett began complying with this legislation by providing ingredient information to the Massachusetts Department of Public Health.

As part of the budget agreement recently approved by Congress, federal excise taxes on a pack of cigarettes, which are currently 24 cents, would rise 10 cents in the year 2000 and 5 cents more in the year 2002. Additionally, the citizens of California recently voted in favor of a 50 cent per pack tax on cigarettes sold in that state.

PROPOSED RESOLUTION. In June 1997, Philip Morris Incorporated ("Philip Morris"), R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Company ("RJR"), B&W, Lorillard Tobacco Company ("Lorillard") and the United States Tobacco Company, along with the Attorneys General for the States of Arizona, Connecticut, Florida, Mississippi, New York and Washington and the CASTANO Plaintiffs' Litigation Committee executed a Memorandum of Understanding to support the adoption of federal legislation and necessary ancillary undertakings, incorporating the features described in a proposed resolution (the "Resolution"). The proposed Resolution mandates a total reformation and restructuring of how tobacco products are manufactured, marketed and distributed in the United States. (The proposed Resolution is discussed in Liggett's 1997 Form 10-K/A No. 1.)

In a speech in September 1997, President Clinton called for federal legislation that, among other things, would raise cigarette prices by up to \$1.50 per pack. Since then, several bills have been introduced in Congress, including bills modeled after the proposed Resolution, that purport to propose legislation along these lines. The White House, Congress and various public interest groups are currently reviewing the proposed Resolution along with other proposed federal tobacco legislation. Management is unable to predict whether the proposed Resolution or other federal legislation will be enacted or the form any such enactment might take. The present legislative and litigation environment is substantially uncertain and could have a material adverse effect on the business of Liggett.

In addition to the foregoing, there have been a number of other restrictive regulatory actions, adverse political decisions and other unfavorable developments concerning cigarette smoking and the tobacco industry, the effects of which, at this time, Liggett is not able to evaluate.

#### RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

During the third quarter of 1998, BGL contributed 470,000 shares of its common stock to Liggett. On August 28, 1998, Liggett transferred the 470,000 shares of BGL common stock to members of a law firm which represents the Company and BGL. The Company recognized charges of \$1,686 related to this transaction.

On March 12, 1998, BGL granted an option for 1,250,000 shares of BGL's common stock to a law firm that represents Liggett and BGL. On October 12, 1998, BGL amended the option agreement by reducing the original exercise price from \$17.50 per share to \$6.00 per share and extending the initial exercise date on all 1,250,000 shares to April 1, 2000, subject to earlier exercise under certain circumstances. The option expires on March 31, 2003. The fair value of the equity instrument was estimated based on the Black-Scholes option pricing model and the following assumptions: volatility 77.6%, risk-free interest rate of 5.47%, expected life of two years and a dividend rate of 0%. Liggett will recognize expenses of \$5,113 over the vesting period.

On July 5, 1996, Liggett purchased 140,000 shares (19.97%) of Liggett-Ducat's tobacco operations from BOL, for \$2,100. Liggett-Ducat produces and markets cigarettes in Russia. Liggett also acquired on that date for \$3,400 a ten-year option to purchase from BOL at the same per share price up to 292,407 additional shares of Liggett-Ducat, thereby entitling Liggett to increase its interest in Liggett-Ducat to approximately 62%. On March 13, 1997, Liggett acquired a second ten-year option to purchase BOL's remaining shares in Liggett-Ducat (an additional 33%) for \$2,200. Such amounts were accounted for as an element of cash flows from investing activities in the Company's consolidated statements of cash flows. Liggett accounted for its investment in Liggett-Ducat under the equity method of accounting. The excess of the cost of the option over the carrying amount of net assets to be acquired under the option has been charged to stockholder's deficit. On January 30, 1998, in connection with the restructuring of the Liggett Notes, BOL acquired the Liggett-Ducat shares and options held by Liggett. (Refer to Note 7 to the Company's consolidated financial statements.)

On April 28, 1997, BOL purchased excess production equipment from Liggett for \$3,000. The difference of \$2,578 between the sale price and the carrying value is accounted for as a credit to contributed capital.

Liggett is party to a Tax-Sharing Agreement dated June 29, 1990 with BGL and certain other entities pursuant to which Liggett has paid taxes to BGL as if it were filing a separate company tax return, except that the agreement effectively limits the ability of Liggett to carry back losses for refunds. Liggett is entitled to recoup overpayments in a given year out of future payments due under the agreement.

Liggett is a party to an agreement dated February 26, 1991, as amended October 1, 1995, with BGL to provide various management and administrative services to the Company in consideration for an annual management fee of \$900 paid in monthly installments and annual overhead reimbursements of \$864 paid in quarterly installments.

Liggett has entered into an annually renewable Corporate Services Agreement with BGLS wherein BGLS agreed to provide corporate services to the Company at an annual fee paid in monthly installments. Corporate services provided by BGLS under this agreement include the provision of administrative services related to Liggett's participation in its parent company's multi-employer benefit plan, external publication of financial results, preparation of consolidated financial statements and tax returns and such other administrative and managerial services as may be reasonably requested by Liggett. The charges for services rendered under the agreement amounted to \$1,377 in the nine months of 1998 and \$2,489 in the nine months of 1997. This fee is in addition to the management fee and overhead reimbursements described above. In connection with the January 30, 1998 amendment to the Liggett Notes Indenture, BGL and BGLS agreed to waive corporate services and management fees above \$3,600 per year, effective January 1, 1998.

Since April 1994, the Company has leased equipment from BGLS for \$50 per month.

#### 10. RESTRUCTURING CHARGES

During 1997, the Company reduced its headcount by 108 full-time positions and recorded a \$1,964 restructuring charge to operations (\$407 of which was included in cost of sales) for severance programs, primarily salary continuation and related benefits for terminated employees. Of the total restructuring recorded during 1997, \$1,671 was funded during 1997, leaving \$293 remaining to be funded in 1998.

For the nine months ending September 30, 1998, restructuring charges of approximately \$241 were funded, leaving \$52 to be funded in the remainder of 1998.

BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)
(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

	September 30 1998	December 31, 1997
ASSETS		
Cash	\$ 2	\$ 1
Office equipment	2	2
Trademarks, at cost, less accumulated amortization of \$20,270 and \$18,995, respectively	143	1,418
Total assets	\$ 147 =======	\$ 1,421 =======
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY (DEFICIT)		
Federal income taxes currently payable to parent	\$ 68	\$ 91
Dividends payable	1,337	1,273
Other current liabilities	7	3
Deferred income taxes	50	496
Total liabilities	1,462	1,863
Stockholder's equity (deficit): Common stock (par value \$1.00 per share; authorized, issued and outstanding 100 shares) and contributed capital	49,341	45,442
Receivables from parent:  Note receivable - interest at 14%, due no sooner  than February 1, 1999	(44,520) (6,136)	
Total stockholder's deficit	(1,315)	(442)
Total liabilities and stockholder's equity (deficit)	\$ 147 ======	\$ 1,421 ======

#### STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited) (Dollars in thousands)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	1998	1997	1998	1997	
Revenues: Royalties - parent	\$2,078 1,576	\$ 1,830 1,576	\$ 5,649 4,729	\$5,137 4,729	
·	3,654	3,406	10,378	9,866	
Expenses: Amortization of trademarks	425 22	425 18	1,276 60	1,276 82	
Income before income taxes	3,207	2,963	9,042	8,508	
Income tax provision	571	486	1,510	1,323	
Net income	\$2,636 =====	\$ 2,477 ======	\$ 7,532 ======	\$7,185 =====	

#### STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited) (Dollars in thousands)

	Nine Mont Septemb	er 30,
	1998	
Cash flows from operating activities:  Net income	\$ 7,532 1,276	\$ 7,185 1,276
Deferred income taxes  Changes in assets and liabilities: Federal income taxes currently payable to parent  Other current liabilities	(447) (23) 3	(447) 59 (19)
Net cash provided by operating activities	8,341	8,054
Cash flows from financing activities: Dividends/capital distributions Increase in cash due from parent Decrease in cash overdraft	(3,573) (4,772) 	` ' '
Net cash used in financing activities	(8,345)	(8,048)
Net (decrease) increase in cash	(4)	6
Cash: Beginning of period	6	
End of period	\$ 2 =======	\$ 6 ======
Supplemental cash flow information:  Payments of income taxes through receivable from parent  Income taxes	\$ 1,887 27 1,336	\$ 1,710 32 1,178

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

#### THE COMPANY

Eve Holdings Inc. ("Eve") is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Liggett Group Inc. ("Liggett"). Eve, formed in June 1990, is the proprietor of, and has all right, title and interest in, certain federal trademark registrations (the "Trademarks"). Eve has entered into an exclusive licensing agreement with Liggett (effective until 2010) whereby Eve grants the use of the Trademarks to Liggett in exchange for royalties, computed based upon Liggett's annual net sales, excluding excise taxes. The Trademarks are pledged as collateral for Liggett's borrowings under the notes indentures (see Note 3).

#### SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### a. Going Concern

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that Eve will continue as a going concern. Eve's revenues are comprised solely of royalties and interest income from Liggett. In addition, Eve holds a note receivable from Liggett for \$44,520 due no sooner than February 1, 1999. Liggett had a working capital deficiency of \$169,071 and a net capital deficiency of \$183,268 as of September 30, 1998, is highly leveraged and has substantial near-term debt service requirements. Both the Liggett Series B and Series C Notes (as defined below) and the revolving credit facility, amounting in total to approximately \$167,500, mature during the first quarter of 1999. These matters raise substantial doubt about Eve and Liggett meeting their liquidity needs and their ability to continue as going concerns.

The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

#### b. Per Share Data

All of Eve's common shares (100 shares authorized, issued and outstanding for all periods presented herein) are owned by Liggett. Accordingly, earnings and dividends per share data are not presented in these financial statements.

#### GUARANTEE OF LIGGETT NOTES

On February 14, 1992, Liggett issued \$150,000 of Senior Secured Notes (the "Series B Notes"). In connection with the issuance of the Series B Notes, the Trademarks were pledged as collateral. In addition, Eve is a guarantor for the Series B Notes. At September 30, 1998, a total of \$112,612 Series B Notes remains outstanding.

During 1994, Liggett issued \$32,850 of Series C Senior Secured Notes (the "Series C Notes"). Eve is a guarantor for the Series C Notes. At September 30, 1998, a total of \$32,279 Series C Notes remains outstanding.

#### INCOME TAXES

Eve qualifies as a company conducting operations exempt from income taxation under Delaware General Statute Section 1903(b). In recent years, some states have been aggressively pursuing companies exempt under this statute. Eve's management believes that certain state income tax rulings supporting these states' arguments will be ultimately reversed and that Eve's status as a company not conducting business in these states will be respected. Consequently, management has not provided a reserve for additional state income taxes. No assurance can be given with regard to future state income tax rulings and audit activity with respect to Eve.

#### Exhibit 99.3

### NEW VALLEY CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 1998

#### NEW VALLEY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 1997

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

		PAGE
Item 1.	Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements:	
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 1998 and December 31, 1997	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 1998 and 1997	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Deficiency for the nine months ended September 30, 1998	5
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 1998 and 1997	6
	Notes to the Condensed Quarterly Consolidated Financial Statements	7

#### NEW VALLEY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) (UNAUDITED)

	September 30, 1998	December 31, 1997
ASSETS		
Current assets:     Cash and cash equivalents     Investment securities available for sale     Trading securities owned     Restricted assets     Receivable from clearing brokers     Other current assets	\$ 25,463 26,420 15,703 1,843 1,854 2,581	\$ 11,606 51,993 49,988 232 1,205 3,618
Total current assets	73,864	118,642
Investment in real estate, net Furniture and equipment, net Restricted assets Long-term investments, net Investment in joint venture Other assets  Total assets	80,479 10,845 5,767 9,689 63,713 6,524 	256,645 12,194 5,484 27,224  21,202  \$ 441,391
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIENCY		
Current liabilities:    Margin loan payable Current portion of notes payable and other long-term obligations Accounts payable and accrued liabilities Prepetition claims and restructuring accruals Income taxes Securities sold, not yet purchased	\$ 1,470  31,466 12,379 18,715 3,208	\$ 13,012 760 57,722 12,611 18,413 25,610
Total current liabilities	67,238	128,128
Notes payable Other long-term obligations Redeemable preferred shares	55,083 20,571 300,711	173,814 11,210 258,638
Commitments and Contingencies		
Stockholders' deficiency:  Cumulative preferred shares; liquidation preference of \$69,769;  dividends in arrears, \$158,908 and \$139,412	279	279
9,577,624 shares outstanding	96 564,234 (750,145) (15) (7,171)	96 604,215 (742,427) (158) 7,596
Total stockholders' deficiency	(192,722)	(130,399)
Total liabilities and stockholders' deficiency	\$ 250,881 =======	\$ 441,391 =======

## NEW VALLEY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) (UNAUDITED)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Month Septemb	er 30,
	1998	1997	1998	1997
Revenues: Principal transactions, net	\$ (860)	\$ 6,131	\$ 7,476	\$ 11,857
Commissions	6,510 259 1,815 (1,746)	4,235 3,555 1,466	20,663 4,541 10,377 (2,233)	11,059 8,202 8,518
Real estate leasing	4,767 4,682 2,033 40	7,079  2,554 70	18,488 4,682 7,424 499	19,664  6,677 3,750
Other income	1,940 	1,614	6,635	6,925
Total revenues	19,440	26,704	78,552 	76,652
Cost and expenses: Operating, general and administrative Interest	25,109 3,555 	29,553 4,229 	84,466 11,167 	84,090 12,134 3,796
Total costs and expenses	28,664	33,782	95,633 	100,020
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes and minority interests	(9,224)	(7,078)	(17,081)	(23,368)
Income tax provision	10	24	31	119
Minority interests in loss from continuing operations of consolidated subsidiaries	495	528	1,654	1,543
Loss from continuing operations	(8,739)	(6,574)	(15,458)	(21,944)
Discontinued operations:  Gain on disposal of discontinued operations	6,860		7,740	
Income from discontinued operations	6,860		7,740	
Net loss	(1,879)	(6,574)	(7,718)	(21,944)
Dividend requirements on preferred shares	(20,743)	(17,567)	(59,333)	(50,297)
Net loss applicable to Common Shares	\$ (22,622) =======	\$ (24,141) =======	\$ (67,051) =======	\$ (72,241) =======
Loss per Common Share (basic and diluted): Continuing operations	\$ (3.08) 0.72	\$ (2.52) 	\$ (7.81) .81	\$ (7.54)
Net loss per Common Share	\$ (2.36) =======	\$ (2.52) ======	\$ (7.00) ======	\$ (7.54) ======
Number of shares used in computation	9,577,624 =======	9,577,624 =======	9,577,624 =======	9,577,624 =======

# NEW VALLEY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' DEFICIENCY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) (UNAUDITED)

	Pre	ass B ferred nares	Com Sha		Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Deficit	on.	rned sation Stock tions		ealized Gain
Balance, December 31, 1997  Net loss Undeclared dividends and accretion	\$	279	\$	96	\$ 604,215	\$(742,427) (7,718)	\$	(158)	\$	7,596
on redeemable preferred shares . Unrealized gain on investment securities					(39,838)				(	[14, 767)
compensation on stock options					(143)			143		
Balance, September 30, 1998	\$ ===:	279 =====	\$ ====	96 =====	\$ 564,234 =======	\$(750,145) =======	\$ ===	(15) =====		(7,171) ======

## NEW VALLEY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) (UNAUDITED)

	Nine Month Septemb	er 30,
	1998	1997
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (7,718)	\$ (21,944)
used for operating activities: Income from discontinued operations	(7,740)	
Loss in joint venture  Depreciation and amortization	2,233 5,472	6,635
Provision for loss on long-term investment	(9, 452)	3,796 
Stock based compensation expense	2,215	2,213
Decrease (increase) in receivables and other assets	39,804 409	(6,881) 86
(Decrease) increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(35,831)	5,951
Net cash used for continuing operations	(10,608)	(10,144)
Net cash provided from discontinued operations	7,740 	
Net cash used for operating activities	(2,868)	(10,144)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Sale or maturity of investment securities	21,286 (13,352)	37,697 (20,999)
Sale or liquidation of long-term investments	25, 895	2,807
Purchase of long-term investmentsSale of real estate, net of closing costs	(8,590) 111,292	(11,404)
Purchase of real estate	(18,387) 226	(6,208) 5,561
Payment of prepetition claims	(676)	(1,199)
Return of prepetition claims paid  Decrease in restricted assets	(1,894)	1,396 2,251
Cash transferred to joint venture	(487)	, 
Other  Payment for acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(1,411)	(20,014)
Net cash provided from (used for) investing activities	113,902	(10,112)
Cash flows from financing activities:	(44 = 44)	
Increase in margin loan payable, net	(11,541) 	5,417
Proceeds from participating loan	14,300	·
Proceeds from notes payable		19,993 (21,500)
Repayment of notes payable	(99,373) (563)	(20,703)
Repayment of Other Obligations	(303)	(3,526)
Net cash used for financing activities	(97,177)	(20,319)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	13,857	(40,575)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	11,606	57,282 
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 25,463 ======	\$ 16,707

## NEW VALLEY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONDENSED QUARTERLY CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) (UNAUDITED)

#### PRINCIPLES OF REPORTING

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of New Valley Corporation and Subsidiaries (the "Company"). The consolidated financial statements as of September 30, 1998 presented herein have been prepared by the Company without an audit. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly the financial position as of September 30, 1998 and the results of operations and cash flows for all periods presented have been made. Results for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results for an entire year.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended, for the year ended December 31, 1997 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (Commission File No. 1-2493).

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior interim period financial information to conform with current year presentation.

#### NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In June 1997, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 130, "Reporting Comprehensive Income" ("SFAS No. 130"). The Statement, which the Company adopted in the first quarter of 1998, establishes standards for reporting and displaying comprehensive income and its components in a full set of general-purpose financial statements. Where applicable, earlier periods have been restated to conform to the standards established by SFAS No. 130. The adoption of SFAS 130 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

For transactions entered into in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 1997, the Company adopted and is reporting in accordance with SOP 97-2, "Software Revenue Recognition". The adoption of SOP 97-2 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In March 1998, the AICPA issued SOP 98-1, "Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use." SOP 98-1 provides guidance that the carrying value of software developed or obtained for internal use is assessed based upon an analysis of estimated future cash flows on an undiscounted basis and before interest charges. SOP 98-1 is effective for transactions entered into in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 1998. The Company believes that adoption of SOP 98-1 will not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In June 1997, the FASB issued SFAS No. 131, "Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information", which establishes standards for the way that public business enterprises report information about operating segments. SFAS No. 131 is effective for financial statements for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 1997. The Company is currently reviewing its operating segment disclosures and will adopt SFAS No. 131 in the fourth quarter of 1998.

In June, 1998, FASB issued SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities". SFAS No. 133 is effective for all fiscal quarters of all fiscal years beginning after June 15, 1999. SFAS 133 requires that all derivative instruments be recorded on the balance sheet at fair value. Changes in the fair value of derivatives are recorded each period in current earnings or other comprehensive income, depending on whether a derivative is designated as part of a hedge transaction and, if it is, the type of hedge transaction. The Company has not yet determined the impact that the adoption of SFAS 133 will have on its earnings or statement of financial position.

#### WESTERN REALTY

On January 31, 1997, the Company entered into a stock purchase agreement with Brooke (Overseas) Ltd. ("Brooke (Overseas)"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Brooke Group Ltd. ("Brooke"), an affiliate of the Company, pursuant to which the Company acquired 10,483 shares (the "BML Shares") of the common stock of BrookeMil Ltd. ("BML") from Brooke (Overseas) for a purchase price of \$55,000, consisting of \$21,500 in cash and a \$33,500 9% promissory note of the Company (the "Note"). The BML Shares comprise 99.1% of the outstanding shares of BML, a real estate development company in Russia. The Note, which was collateralized by the BML Shares, was paid during 1997.

#### WESTERN REALTY DEVELOPMENT LLC

In February 1998, the Company and Apollo Real Estate Investment Fund III, L.P. ("Apollo") organized Western Realty Development LLC ("Western Realty Ducat") to make real estate and other investments in Russia. In connection with the formation of Western Realty Ducat, the Company agreed, among other things, to contribute the real estate assets of BML, including Ducat Place II and the site for Ducat Place III, to Western Realty Ducat and Apollo agreed to contribute up to \$58,750, including the investment in Western Realty Repin discussed below. Through September 30, 1998, Apollo had funded \$30,550 of its investment in Western Realty Ducat.

The ownership and voting interests in Western Realty Ducat will be held equally by Apollo and the Company. Apollo will be entitled to a preference on distributions of cash from Western Realty Ducat to the extent of its investment (\$40,000), together with a 15% annual rate of return, and the Company will then be entitled to a return of \$16,300 of BML-related expenses incurred and cash invested by the Company since March 1, 1997, together with a 15% annual rate of return; subsequent distributions will be made 70% to the Company and 30% to Apollo. Western Realty Ducat will be managed by a Board of Managers consisting of an equal number of representatives chosen by Apollo and the Company. All material corporate transactions by Western Realty Ducat will generally require the unanimous consent of the Board of Managers. Accordingly, the Company has accounted for its non-controlling interest in Western Realty Ducat using the equity method of accounting.

The Company recorded its basis in the investment in the joint venture in the amount of \$60,169 based on the carrying value of assets less liabilities transferred. There was no difference between the carrying value of the investment and the Company's proportionate interest in the underlying value of net assets of the joint venture.

Western Realty Ducat will seek to make additional real estate and other investments in Russia. Western Realty Ducat has made a \$26,300 participating loan to, and payable out of a 30% profits interest in, a company organized by Brooke (Overseas) which, among other things, owns an industrial site and manufacturing facility being constructed on the outskirts of Moscow by a subsidiary of Brooke (Overseas).

#### WESTERN REALTY REPIN LLC

In June 1998, the Company and Apollo organized Western Realty Repin LLC ("Western Realty Repin") to make a \$25,000 participating loan (the "Repin Loan") to BML. The proceeds of the loan will be used by BML for the acquisition and preliminary development of two adjoining sites totaling 10.25 acres (the "Kremlin Sites") located in Moscow across the Moscow River from the Kremlin. BML, which is planning the development of a 1.1 million sq. ft. hotel, office, retail and residential complex on the Kremlin Sites, owned 94.6% of one site and 52% of the other site at September 30, 1998. Apollo will be entitled to a preference on distributions of cash from Western Realty Repin to the extent of its investment (\$18,750), together with a 20% annual rate of return, and the Company will then be entitled to a return of its investment (\$6,250), together with a 20% annual rate of return; subsequent distributions will be made 50% to the Company and 50% to Apollo. Western Realty Repin will be managed by a Board of Managers consisting of an equal number of representatives chosen by Apollo and the Company. All material corporate transactions by Western Realty Repin will generally require the unanimous consent of the Board of Managers.

Through September 30, 1998, Western Realty Repin has advanced \$19,067 (of which \$14,300 was funded by Apollo) under the Repin Loan to BML, which is classified in other long-term obligations on the condensed consolidated balance sheet at September 30, 1998. The Repin Loan, which bears no fixed interest, is payable only out of 100% of the distributions, if made, by the entities owning the Kremlin Sites to BML. Such distributions shall be applied first to pay the principal of the Repin Loan and then as contingent participating interest on the Repin Loan. Any rights of payment on the Repin Loan are subordinate to the rights of all other creditors of BML. BML used a portion of the proceeds of the Repin Loan to repay the Company for certain expenditures on the Kremlin Sites previously incurred. The Repin Loan is due and payable upon the dissolution of BML and is collateralized by a pledge of the Company's shares of BML.

As of September 30, 1998, BML had invested \$15,171 in the Kremlin Sites and held \$809, in cash, which was restricted for future investment. In connection with the acquisition of its interest in one of the Kremlin Sites, BML has agreed with the City of Moscow to invest an additional \$6,000 in 1998 and \$22,000 in 1999 in the development of the property.

The development of Ducat Place III and the Kremlin Sites will require significant amounts of debt and other financing. The Company is actively pursuing various financing alternatives on behalf of Western Realty Ducat and BML. However, in light of the recent economic turmoil in Russia, no assurance can be given that such financing will be available on acceptable terms. Failure to obtain sufficient capital for the projects would force Western Realty Ducat and BML to curtail or delay the planned development of Ducat Place III and the Kremlin Sites.

3.

#### INVESTMENT SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE

Investment securities classified as available for sale are carried at fair value, with net unrealized gains included as a separate component of stockholders' deficiency. The Company had realized gains on sales of investment securities available for sale of \$191 and \$5,725 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 1998, respectively.

The components of investment securities available for sale at September 30, 1998 are as follows:

	C	0ST 	UNRI	GROSS EALIZED GAIN	UNR	GROSS EALIZED LOSS	-	FAIR /ALUE
Short-term investments Marketable equity securities Marketable	\$	10 30,396 	\$	364 1,831	\$	6,181 	\$	10 24,579 1,831
Marketable debt securities .		3,185				3,185		
Investment securities	\$ ====	33,591 =====	\$ ===:	2,195 ======	\$ ===	9,366 =====	\$ ===	26,420

#### 4. LONG-TERM INVESTMENTS

At September 30, 1998, long-term investments consisted primarily of investments in limited partnerships of \$9,689. The Company believes the fair value of the limited partnerships exceeds its carrying amount by approximately \$2,500 based on the indicated market values of the underlying investment portfolio provided by the partnerships. The Company recognized gains of \$1,624 and \$4,652 on liquidations of investments of certain limited partnerships for the three and nine months ended September 30, 1998, respectively. The Company's investments in limited partnerships are illiquid and the ultimate realizations of these investments are subject to the performance of the underlying partnership and its management by the general partners. The Company sold an interest in a limited partnership in September, 1998 and may sell or liquidate certain other limited partnership interests in the future. Any sale of such interests would be subject to the approval of the general partner.

In the first quarter of 1997, the Company determined that an other than temporary impairment in the value of its investment in a joint venture had occurred and wrote down this investment to zero with a charge to operations of \$3,796 for the three month period. The Company's estimates of the fair value of its long-term investments are subject to judgment and are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that could be realized in the current market.

#### . REAL ESTATE

On September 28, 1998, the Company completed a sale to institutional investors of four commercial office buildings (the "Office Buildings") located in Troy, Michigan and Bernards Township, New Jersey for an aggregate purchase price of \$112.4 million before closing adjustments and expenses. The Company received approximately \$13.0 million in cash from the transaction before closing adjustments and expenses. The Office Buildings were subject to approximately \$99.0 million of mortgage financing which was retired at closing. The Company recorded a gain of \$4,682 associated with the sale of the Office Buildings. The Company may seek to dispose of other U.S. real estate holdings in the future.

#### 6. INCOME FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

The Company recorded a gain on disposal of discontinued operations of \$6,860 and \$7,740 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 1998 related to the settlement of a lawsuit originally initiated by the Company's predecessor, Western Union Telegraph Company.

#### 7. REDEEMABLE PREFERRED SHARES

At September 30, 1998, the Company had authorized and outstanding 2,000,000 and 1,071,462, respectively, of its Class A Senior Preferred Shares. At September 30, 1998 and December 31, 1997, respectively, the carrying value of such shares amounted to \$300,711 and \$258,638, including undeclared dividends of \$204,050 and \$163,302 or \$190.44 and \$152.41 per share. As of September 30, 1998, the unamortized discount on the Class A Senior Preferred Shares was \$7,090.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 1998, the Company recorded \$757 and \$2,215 in compensation expense, respectively, related to certain Class A Senior Preferred Shares awarded to an officer of the Company in 1996. At September 30, 1998, the balance of the deferred compensation and the unamortized discount related to these award shares was \$3,396 and \$2,628, respectively.

#### 8. PREFERRED SHARES NOT SUBJECT TO REDEMPTION REQUIREMENTS

The undeclared dividends cumulatively amounted to \$158,908 and \$139,412 at September 30, 1998 and December 31, 1997, respectively. These undeclared dividends represent \$56.94 and \$49.95 per share as of the end of each period. No accrual was recorded for such undeclared dividends as the Class B Preferred Shares are not mandatorily redeemable.

#### CONTINGENCIES

#### LITIGATION

On or about March 13, 1997, a shareholder derivative suit was filed against the Company, as a nominal defendant, its directors and Brooke in the Delaware Chancery Court, by a shareholder of the Company. The suit alleges that the Company's purchase of the BML Shares constituted a self

dealing transaction which involved the payment of excessive consideration by the Company. The plaintiff seeks (i) a declaration that the Company's directors breached their fiduciary duties, Brooke aided and abetted such breaches and such parties are therefore liable to the Company, and (ii) unspecified damages to be awarded to the Company. The Company's time to respond to the complaint has not yet expired. The Company believes that the allegations were without merit. Although there can be no assurances, management is of the opinion, after consultation with counsel, that the ultimate resolution of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company is a defendant in various lawsuits and may be subject to unasserted claims primarily in connection with its activities as a securities broker-dealer and participation in public underwritings. These lawsuits involve claims for substantial or indeterminate amounts and are in varying stages of legal proceedings. In the opinion of management, after consultation with counsel, the ultimate resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

#### PREPETITION CLAIMS UNDER CHAPTER 11 AND RESTRUCTURING ACCRUALS

The prepetition claims remaining as of September 30, 1998 of \$12,379 may be subject to future adjustments depending on pending discussions with the various parties and the decisions of the Bankruptcy Court.

1

Exhibit 99.4

BROOKE (OVERSEAS) LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 1998

### BROOKE (OVERSEAS) LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 1998 and December 31, 1997	2
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 1998 and September 30, 1997	3
Consolidated Statement of Stockholder's Equity (Deficit) for the nine months ended September 30, 1998	4
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 1998 and September 30, 1997	5
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	6

## BROOKE (OVERSEAS) LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) (UNAUDITED)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

	September 30, 1998	December 31, 1997
ASSETS Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents Accounts receivable - trade Inventories Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$ 3,488 568 17,884 4,610	\$ 968 1,584 4,255 9,290
Total current assets	26,550	16,097
Property, plant and equipment, at cost, less accumulated depreciation of \$2,598 and \$1,020  Goodwill, net	41,313 1,168	29,122 1,001 2
Total assets	\$ 69,031 ======	\$ 46,222 ======
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY (DEFICIT) Current liabilities: Notes payable	\$ 12,202 15,768 47,948 10,805 2,750	\$ 5,000 3,798 67,539 18,077 8,398
Total current liabilities	89,473	102,812
Deferred gain Other liabilities	25,498 26,766	25,498 2,000
Commitments and contingencies  Stockholder's equity (deficit): Common stock, par value \$1 per share, 701,000 shares authorized, authorized, issued and outstanding	701 17,104 (90,511)	701 5,600 (90,389)
Total stockholder's equity (deficit)	(72,706)	(84,088)
Total liabilities and stockholder's equity (deficit)	\$ 69,031 ======	\$ 46,222 =======

## BROOKE (OVERSEAS) LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) (UNAUDITED)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

	Three Months Ended		Nine Month	ns Ended
	Sept. 30, 1998	Sept. 30, 1997	Sept. 30, 1998	Sept. 30, 1997
Net sales* Cost of sales*	\$22,572 15,854	\$20,940 17,224	\$69,613 50,186	\$53,095 43,847
Gross profit	6,718	3,716	19,427	9,248
Operating, selling, administrative and general expenses	4,518	2,318	9,716	6,236
Operating income	2,200	1,398	9,711	3,012
Other income (expense):    Interest income	(2,824) 244 (24)	273 (2,366) 60 (30) 9	(8,912) 328 (1,054) (56)	1,424 (6,890) 27,055 370 (158) 37
(Loss) income before income taxes	(404) (2,447)	(656) 248	17 (1,136)	24,850 12,279
Net income (loss)	\$ 2,043 ======	\$ (408) ======	\$ 1,153 ======	\$12,571 =====

<sup>- ------</sup>

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  Net sales and cost of sales include excise taxes of \$3,355, \$3,383, \$11,224 and \$8,606, respectively.

## BROOKE (OVERSEAS) LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY (DEFICIT) (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) (UNAUDITED)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

	COMMON STOCK		ADDITIONAL			
	SHARES	AMOUNT	PAID-IN CAPITAL	DEFICIT	TOTAL	
Balance, December 31, 1997	701,000	\$701	\$ 5,600	\$(90,389)	\$(84,088)	
Net income				1,153	1,153	
Distributions to parent				(1,275)	(1,275)	
Capital contribution			11,504		11,504	
Balance, September 30, 1998	701,000 =====	\$701 ====	\$17,104 ======	\$(90,511) ======	\$(72,706) ======	

# BROOKE (OVERSEAS) LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) (UNAUDITED)

	Nine Months Ended	
	Sept. 30, 1998	Sept. 30, 1997
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 8,666	\$ 10,841 
Cash flows from investing activities:  Capital expenditures	(16,107)	(11,574) 41,502 2,200
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(16,107)	32,128
Cash flows from financing activities: Proceeds from debt Repayments of debt Proceeds from participating loan. Capital contributions Repayment of intercompany debt. Distributions paid to parent.	17,612 (10,715) 25,000 9,000 (30,218) (1,275)	4,723 (3,905) (43,471)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	9,404	(42,653)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	557	
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	2,520	316
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	968	1,875
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 3,488 ======	\$ 2,191 ======
Supplemental cash flow information: Cash payments during the period Interest	d for: 1,391 2,588	1,613 1,280

#### ORGANIZATION

Brooke (Overseas) Ltd. ("the Company"), a Delaware corporation, is a wholly-owned subsidiary of BGLS Inc. ("BGLS") and an indirect subsidiary of Brooke Group Ltd. ("Brooke"). The consolidated financial statements of the Company include Western Tobacco Investments LLC ("Western Tobacco"), a Delaware limited liability company. Western Tobacco holds the Company's interest in Liggett-Ducat Ltd. ("Liggett-Ducat"), a Russian closed joint stock company engaged in the manufacture and sale of cigarettes in Russia, and Liggett-Ducat Tobacco ("LDT"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Liggett-Ducat engaged in the construction of a new cigarette factory. Prior to January 31, 1997, BrookeMil Ltd. ("BML") was a wholly-owned subsidiary engaged in construction of office buildings and property management in Moscow, Russia. On January 31, 1997, the Company sold its shares (which represented 99.1% of all shares outstanding) in BML to New Valley Corporation ("New Valley"). (Refer to Note 3.)

The interim consolidated financial statements of the Company are unaudited and, in the opinion of management, reflect all adjustments necessary (which are normal and recurring) to present fairly the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included as Exhibit 99.4 in Brooke's and BGLS' Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended, for the year ended December 31, 1997, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The consolidated results of operations for interim periods should not be regarded as necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the entire year.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Certain amounts in the 1997 consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the 1998 presentation.

#### SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### LIQUIDITY:

2.

At September 30, 1998, the Company had net capital and working capital deficiencies of \$72,706 and \$63,721, respectively. On February 2, 1998, Brooke and BGLS cancelled a note and interest thereon which amounted to \$20,384 at December 31, 1997. On February 5, 1998, Brooke made a capital contribution of \$9,000 to the Company, which was used to repay intercompany indebtedness to BGLS. These contributions to capital reduced the net capital deficiency and amounts due affiliates by \$29,384. In addition to a new factory under construction, the Company has upgraded the present cigarette operations' tobacco processing complex which has increased production and is

continuing to implement cost-saving measures. Management is currently using a credit facility totaling \$10,000 and cash from a participating loan and believes it will obtain funding from Russian banks to complete the factory. (Refer to Notes 5 and 6). In connection with the move to the new factory, Liggett-Ducat plans to begin the manufacture and marketing of western style cigarettes in May, 1999. Management believes that such activities will result in improved operations and cash flow, but there can be no assurances in this regard. (Refer to Note 10.)

#### SALE OF BROOKEMIL

On January 31, 1997, the Company sold all of its shares of BML to New Valley for \$21,500 in cash and a promissory note of \$33,500, collateralized by the BML shares, payable during 1997 with interest at 9%. The note was paid in full as of December 31, 1997. The consideration received exceeded the carrying value of the Company's investment in BML by \$52,500. The Company recognized a gain on the sale in 1997 in the amount of \$27,000. The remaining \$25,500 has been deferred, reflecting recognition that the Company's parent, BGLS, retains an interest in BML through its 42% equity ownership in New Valley, and that a portion of the property sold (the site of the third phase of the Ducat Place real estate project being developed by BML, which is currently used by Liggett-Ducat for its existing cigarette factory) is subject to a put option held by New Valley. This option allows New Valley, under certain circumstances, to put this site back to the Company at the greater of the appraised fair value of the property at the date of exercise or \$13,600, during the period Liggett-Ducat operates the factory on such site. The Company distributed the proceeds received from the sale of BML to BGLS.

Liggett-Ducat entered into a Use Agreement with BML whereby Liggett-Ducat is permitted to continue to utilize the site on the same basis as in the past. The Use Agreement is terminable by BML on 270 days' prior notice.

#### 4. INVENTORIES

Inventories consist of:

	September 30, 1998	December 31, 1997
Finished goods	\$ 3,826	\$
Work-in-process	228	50
Raw materials	8,036	3,284
Replacement parts and supplies	5,794	921
	\$17,884	\$4,255

Purchase commitments are for quantities not in excess of anticipated requirements and are at prices established at the date of the commitment. At September 30, 1998, the Company had leaf tobacco purchase commitments of \$8,599.

During the nine months ended September 30, 1998, Liggett-Ducat exchanged \$6,832 of cigarettes for the equivalent value in tobacco, other materials and services as compared to \$2,314 for the same period in 1997. Sales and purchases were priced at what management believes are normal sales prices for cigarettes and the normal market price for tobacco, other materials and services.

#### 5. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Property, plant and equipment consists of:

September 30, 1998	December 31, 1997
\$10,360	\$10,864
451	293
397	272
1,520	534
31,183	18,179
43,911	30,142
(2,598)	(1,020)
\$41,313 ======	\$29,122 =====
	\$10,360 451 397 1,520 31,183  43,911 (2,598)

Liggett-Ducat is in the process of constructing a new cigarette factory on the outskirts of Moscow which is currently scheduled to be operational in the second quarter 1999. Liggett-Ducat has entered into a construction contract for the plant. The remaining liability under that contract, as amended, at September 30, 1998 is approximately \$10,300. Equipment purchase agreements in place at September 30, 1998 total \$34,355, of which \$28,791 is being financed by the manufacturers.

In February 1998, New Valley and Apollo Real Estate Investment Fund III, L.P. organized Western Realty Development LLC ("Western Realty") to make real estate and other investments in Russia. In April, 1998, Western Realty completed making a \$20,000 participating loan to a company organized and wholly-owned by the Company, Western Tobacco, which holds the Company's interests in Liggett-Ducat and the new factory discussed above. The loan, which bears no fixed interest, is payable only out of 30% of distributions, if any, made by Western Tobacco to the Company. After the prior payment of debt service on loans to finance the construction of the new facility, 30% of distributions from Western Tobacco to the Company will be applied first to pay the principal of the loan and then as contingent participating interest on the loan. Any rights of payment on the loan are subordinate to the rights of all other creditors of Western Tobacco. A total of \$26,300 has been funded through November 15, 1998, with the proceeds used by the Company to reduce intercompany debt to BGLS and for payments on the new factory construction contracts. The loan is classified in other long-term liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet at September 30, 1998. (Refer to Note 2.)

#### 6. NOTES PAYABLE AND CREDIT FACILITIES

Notes payable and bank credit facilities consist of the following:

	September 30, 1998	December 31, 1997
Notes payable	\$ 2,202 10,000	\$ 5,000
	\$12,202 ======	\$5,000 =====

On July 29, 1998, the Company borrowed \$3,000, subsequently reduced to \$2,202, from an unaffiliated third party with interest at 14% per annum. The note which is due on August 1, 1999, is collateralized by factory equipment. Payments of \$50 toward principal and interest are made monthly, with the remaining principal balance due at maturity.

At September 30, 1998, Liggett-Ducat had one credit facility outstanding for \$10,000 which expires in May of 1999. The interest rate had been 21% but was increased on August 26, 1998 to 25% over the remaining term of the facility.

#### 7. STOCK OF LIGGETT-DUCAT

In 1997, the Company purchased 1,666 shares of Liggett-Ducat stock from other shareholders for \$25. At December 31, 1997, the Company owned 75.3% of the stock of Liggett-Ducat and Liggett Group Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of BGLS, owned 19.97%. On January 30, 1998, in connection with the restructuring of Liggett's long-term debt, Liggett agreed to transfer to the Company all of its shares and to cancel its option agreements to acquire additional shares. At March 31, 1998, the Company owned approximately 96% of the shares of common stock of Liggett-Ducat which the Company transferred to its subsidiary, Western Tobacco, in April 1998. (Refer to Note 5.)

#### 8. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Company has obtained funding through a revolving credit facility with Brooke and BGLS at an annual interest rate of 20% to cover certain expenses including the cost of certain administrative services and personnel, tobacco and material purchases and upgrades of factory equipment. In addition, Brooke and BGLS have advanced funds to BML for its real estate developments projects. In February 1998, Brooke and BGLS contributed \$29,384 to the Company, in order to reduce intercompany debt. The amounts due to Brooke and BGLS under this facility at September 30, 1998 were \$26,619, including interest of \$14,022, and \$21,329, including interest of \$9,428, respectively. (Refer to Note 2.)

Refer to Note 5 for information concerning the participating loan to Western Tobacco by Western Realty.

#### 9. INCOME TAXES

For the nine months ended September 30, 1998 and 1997, the tax (benefit) provision of (\$1,136) and \$12,279, respectively, consist of income tax expense pursuant to Russian statutory requirements of (\$1,136) and \$538, respectively, and U.S. income tax expense of \$0 and \$11,741 in accordance with the Company's tax sharing agreement with Brooke.

#### 10. CONTINGENCIES

BGLS has pledged its ownership interest in the Company's common stock as collateral in connection with the issuance of BGLS' 15.75% Senior Secured Notes due 2001 ("BGLS Notes").

On March 2, 1998, BGLS entered into an agreement with AIF II, L.P. and an affiliated investment manager on behalf of a managed account (together, the "Apollo Holders"), who held approximately 41.8% of the BGLS Notes then outstanding, in which the Apollo Holders agreed to defer the payment of interest on the BGLS Notes held by them, commencing with the interest payment that was due July 31, 1997, which they had previously agreed to defer, through the interest payment due July 31, 2000. The deferred interest payments will be payable at final maturity of the BGLS Notes on January 31, 2001 or upon an event of default under the Indenture for the BGLS Notes. In connection with the agreement, the Company pledged 50.1% of Western Tobacco to collateralize the BGLS Notes held by the Apollo Holders. (Refer to Note 5.)

On January 30, 1998, in connection with the restructuring of Liggett's long-term debt, Liggett agreed to transfer to the Company all of its shares of Liggett-Ducat and the Liggett noteholders were granted a security interest in 16% of Western Tobacco. (Refer to Note 7.)

The performance of Liggett-Ducat's cigarette operations in Russia is affected by uncertainties in Russia which may include, among others, political or diplomatic developments, regional tensions, currency repatriation restrictions, foreign exchange fluctuations, inflation, and an undeveloped system of commercial laws and legislative reform relating to foreign ownership in Russia.

1 Exhibit 99.5

NEW VALLEY HOLDINGS, INC. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 1998

#### NEW VALLEY HOLDINGS, INC.

#### FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Balance Sheets as of September 30, 1998 and December 31, 1997	2
Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 1998 and September 30, 1997	3
Statement of Stockholder's Equity (Deficit) for the nine months ended September 30, 1998	4
Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 1998 and September 30, 1997	5
Notes to Financial Statements	6

## NEW VALLEY HOLDINGS, INC. BALANCE SHEETS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) (UNAUDITED)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

	September 30, 1998	December 31, 1997
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 23	\$ 6
Investment in New Valley: Redeemable preferred stock	33,390 (33,390)	59,359 (59,359)
Total investment in New Valley		
Total assets	\$ 23 ======	\$ 6 =====
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY (DEFICIT)		
Payable to parent	6,333	\$ 56 6,298
Total liabilities	6,333	6,354
Commitments and contingencies		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 100 shares authorized, issued and outstanding	7,633 (42,787) 28,844	7,633 (25,737) 11,756
Total stockholder's equity (deficit)	(6,310)	(6,348)
Total liabilities and stockholder's equity (deficit)	\$ 23 ======	\$ 6 ======

#### NEW VALLEY HOLDINGS, INC. STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) (UNAUDITED)

\_\_\_\_\_

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Sept. 30, 1998	Sept. 30, 1997	Sept. 30, 1998	Sept. 30, 1997
Equity in loss of New Valley	\$(8,906)	\$(6,973)	\$(20,295)	\$(21,268)
Interest income	48		125	6
General and administrative expenses	(2)	(4)	(24)	(34)
Loss before income taxes	(8,860)	(6,977)	(20,194)	(21,296)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes: Current	16 (1,123)	(2) (24)	36 (1,123)	(10) (40)
Income tax benefit	(1,107)	(26)	(1,087)	(50)
Loss from continuing operations	(7,753)	(6,951)	(19,107)	(21,246)
Income from discontinued operations of New Valley, net of income taxes	2,085		2,085	
Net loss	\$(5,668) ======	\$(6,951) ======	\$(17,022) ======	\$(21,246) ======

## NEW VALLEY HOLDINGS, INC. STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY (DEFICIT) (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) (UNAUDITED)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

	Common Shares	Stock Amount	Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Other	Total
Balance, December 31, 1997	100	\$	\$7,633	\$(25,737)	\$11,756	\$ (6,348)
Unrealized holding gain on investment in New Valley					17,088	17,088
Dividend to parent				(28)		(28)
Net loss				(17,022)		(17,022)
Balance, September 30, 1998	100 ===		\$7,633 =====	\$(42,787) ======	\$28,844 ======	\$ (6,310) ======

#### NEW VALLEY HOLDINGS, INC. STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) (UNAUDITED)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

	Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 1998	September 30, 1997
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 45 	\$ 6 
Net cash provided by investing activities		
Net cash used in financing activities	(28)	
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	17	6
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	6	1
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 23 	\$ 7 

### NEW VALLEY HOLDINGS, INC. NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

#### BASIS OF PRESENTATION OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

ORGANIZATION. New Valley Holdings, Inc. (the "Company") was formed on September 9, 1994, pursuant to the laws of Delaware, by BGLS Inc. ("BGLS") to act as a holding company for certain stock investments in New Valley Corporation ("New Valley"). BGLS, which owns 100% of the authorized, issued and outstanding common stock of the Company, is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Brooke Group Ltd. ("Brooke"), a Delaware corporation whose stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange.

ESTIMATES AND ASSUMPTIONS. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS. For purposes of statements of cash flows, cash includes cash on deposit in banks and cash equivalents, comprised of short-term investments which have an original maturity of 90 days or less. Interest on short-term investments is recognized when earned.

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS. In June 1997, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 130, "Reporting Comprehensive Income". SFAS No. 130, which the Company adopted in the first quarter of 1998, establishes standards for reporting and displaying comprehensive income and its components in a full set of general-purpose statements. For the Company, other components of stockholders' equity include such items as the Company's proportionate interest in New Valley's capital transactions and unrealized gains and losses on investment securities. The implementation of SFAS No. 130 in the first quarter 1998 did not have any material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

#### INVESTMENT IN NEW VALLEY CORPORATION

At September 30, 1998, the Company's investment in New Valley consisted of a 41.7% voting interest. At September 30, 1998, the Company owned 57.7% of the outstanding \$15.00 Class A Increasing Rate Cumulative Senior Preferred Shares (\$100 Liquidation Value), \$.01 par value ("Class A Preferred Shares") and 41.5% of New Valley's common shares, \$.01 par value (the "Common Shares").

The Class A Preferred Shares are accounted for as debt securities pursuant to the requirements of SFAS No. 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities", and are classified as available-for-sale. The Common Shares are accounted for pursuant to Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 18, "The Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock".

The Company determines the fair value of the Class A Preferred Shares based on the quoted market price. Through September 30, 1996, earnings on the Class A Preferred Shares were comprised of dividends accrued during the period and the accretion of the difference between the Company's basis and their mandatory redemption price. During the quarter ended September 30, 1996, the decline in the market value of the Class A Preferred Shares, the dividend received on the Class A Preferred Shares and the Company's equity in losses incurred by New Valley caused the carrying value of the Company's investment in New Valley to be reduced to zero. Beginning in the fourth quarter of 1996, the Company suspended the recording of its earnings on the dividends accrued and the accretion of the difference between the Company's basis in the Class A Preferred Shares and their mandatory redemption price.

### NEW VALLEY HOLDINGS, INC. NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) - (Continued)

The Company's investment in New Valley at September 30, 1998 is summarized below:

	Number of Shares	Fair Value	Carrying Amount
Class A Preferred Shares	618,326 3,969,962	\$33,390 1,489	\$ 33,390 (33,390)
		\$34,879	\$
		======	=======

In November 1994, New Valley's First Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization, as amended ("Joint Plan"), was confirmed by order of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of New Jersey and on January 18, 1995, New Valley emerged from bankruptcy reorganization proceedings and completed substantially all distributions to creditors under the Joint Plan. Pursuant to the Joint Plan, among other things, the Class A Preferred Shares, the Class B Preferred Shares, the Common Shares and other equity interests were reinstated and retained all of their legal, equitable and contractual rights.

The Class A Preferred Shares of New Valley are required to be redeemed on January 1, 2003 for \$100.00 per share plus dividends accrued to the redemption date. The shares are redeemable, at any time, at the option of New Valley, at \$100.00 per share plus accrued dividends. The holders of Class A Preferred Shares are entitled to receive a quarterly dividend, as declared by the Board of Directors, payable at the rate of \$19.00 per annum. At September 30, 1998, the accrued and unpaid dividends arrearage was \$204,050 (\$190.44 per share).

#### 3. NEW VALLEY CORPORATION

Summarized financial information for New Valley as of September 30, 1998 and December 31, 1997 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 1998 and 1997 follows:

	September 30, 1998	December 31, 1997
Current assets, primarily cash and marketable		
securities	\$ 73,864	\$ 118,642
Non-current assets	177,017	322,749
Current liabilities	67,238	128,128
Non-current liabilities	75,654	185,024
Redeemable preferred stock	300,711	258,638
Shareholders' deficit	(192,722)	(130,399)

### NEW VALLEY HOLDINGS, INC. NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) - (Continued)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Sept. 30, 1998	Sept. 30, 1997	Sept. 30, 1998	Sept. 30, 1997
Revenues  Costs and expenses  Loss from continuing operations  Income from discontinued operations  Net loss applicable to common shares(A)	\$ 19,440 28,664 (8,739) 6,860 (22,622)	\$ 26,704 33,782 (6,754) (24,141)	\$78,552 95,633 (15,458) 7,740 (67,051)	\$ 76,652 100,020 (21,944) (72,241)

<sup>(</sup>A) Considers all preferred accrued dividends, whether or not declared.

On January 31, 1997, New Valley entered into a stock purchase agreement with Brooke (Overseas) Ltd. ("BOL"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of BGLS, and acquired all of BOL's shares (the "BML Shares") in BrookeMil Ltd. ("BML"), representing 99.1% of the common stock of BML, which is engaged in real estate development in Russia. New Valley paid BOL a purchase price of \$55,000 for the BML Shares, consisting of \$21,500 in cash and a New Valley \$33,500 9% promissory note. The note was paid in full in 1997.

In February 1998, New Valley and Apollo Real Estate Investment Fund III, L.P. ("Apollo") organized Western Realty Development LLC ("Western Realty Ducat") to make real estate and other investments in Russia. In connection with the formation of Western Realty, New Valley agreed, among other things, to contribute the real estate assets of BML, including Ducat Place II and the site for Ducat Place III, to Western Realty and Apollo agreed to contribute up to \$58,750, including the investment in Western Realty Repin discussed below. Through September 30, 1998, Apollo had funded \$30,550 of its investment in Western Realty Ducat.

The ownership and voting interests in Western Realty Ducat will be held equally by Apollo and New Valley. Apollo will be entitled to a preference on distributions of cash from Western Realty Ducat to the extent of its investment (\$40,000), together with a 15% annual rate of return, and New Valley will then be entitled to a return of \$16,300 of BML-related expenses incurred and cash invested by New Valley since March 1, 1997, together with a 15% annual rate of return; subsequent distributions will be made 70% to New Valley and 30% to Apollo. Western Realty Ducat will be managed by a Board of Managers consisting of an equal number of representatives chosen by Apollo and New Valley. All material corporate transactions by Western Realty Ducat will generally require the unanimous consent of the Board of Managers. Accordingly, New Valley has accounted for its non-controlling interest in Western Realty Ducat using the equity method of accounting.

New Valley recorded its basis in the investment in Western Realty Ducat in the amount of \$60,169 based on the carrying value of assets less liabilities transferred. There was no difference between the carrying value of the investment and New Valley's proportionate interest in the underlying value of net assets of Western Realty Ducat.

Western Realty Ducat will seek to make additional real estate and other investments in Russia. Western Realty Ducat has made a \$26,300 participating loan to, and payable out of a 30% profits interest in, a company organized by BOL which, among other things, acquired an interest in a new factory being constructed on the outskirts of Moscow by a subsidiary of BOL.

In June 1998, New Valley and Apollo organized Western Realty Repin LLC ("Western Realty Repin") to make a \$25,000 participating loan (the "Repin Loan") to BML. The proceeds of the loan will be used by BML for the acquisition and preliminary development of two adjoining sites totaling 10.25 acres (the "Kremlin Sites") located in Moscow across the Moscow River from the Kremlin. BML, which is planning the development of a 1.1 million sq. ft. hotel, office, retail and residential complex on the Kremlin Sites, owned 94.6% of one site and 52% of the other site at September 30, 1998. Apollo will be entitled to a preference on distributions of cash from Western Realty Repin to the extent of its investment (\$18,750) together with a 20% annual rate of return, and New Valley will then be entitled to a return of its investment (\$6,250), together with a 20% annual rate of return; subsequent distributions will be made 50% to New Valley and 50% to Apollo. Western Realty Repin will be

### NEW VALLEY HOLDINGS, INC. NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) - (Continued)

managed by a Board of Managers consisting of an equal number of representatives chosen by Apollo and New Valley. All material corporate transactions by Western Realty Repin will generally require the unanimous consent of the Board of Managers.

Through September 30, 1998, Western Realty Repin has advanced \$19,067 (of which \$14,300 was funded by Apollo) under the Repin Loan to BML. The Repin Loan, which bears no fixed interest, is payable only out of 100% of the distributions, if made, by the entities owning the Kremlin Sites to BML. Such distributions shall be applied first to pay the principal of the Repin Loan and then as contingent participating interest on the Repin Loan. Any rights of payment on the Repin Loan are subordinate to the rights of all other creditors of BML. Apollo funded an additional advance of \$5,300 under the Repin Loan on July 2, 1998. BML used a portion of the proceeds to repay New Valley for certain expenditures on the Kremlin Sites previously incurred. The Repin Loan is due and payable upon the dissolution of BML and is collateralized by a pledge of New Valley's shares of BML.

As of September 30, 1998, BML had invested \$15,171 in the Kremlin sites and held \$809, in cash, which was restricted for future investment. In connection with the acquisition of its interest in one of the Kremlin Sites, BML has agreed with the City of Moscow to invest an additional \$6,000 in 1998 and \$22,000 in 1999 in the development of the property.

The development of Ducat Place III and the Kremlin Sites will require significant amounts of debt and other financing. New Valley is actively pursuing various financing alternatives on behalf of Western Realty Ducat and BML. However, in light of the recent economic turmoil in Russia, no assurance can be given that such financing will be available on acceptable terms. Failure to obtain sufficient capital for the projects would force Western Realty Ducat and BML to curtail or delay the planned development of Ducat Place III and the Kremlin Sites.

#### 4. FEDERAL INCOME TAX

At September 30, 1998, the Company had \$8,400 of unrecognized net deferred tax assets, comprised primarily of future deductible temporary differences. A valuation allowance has been provided against this deferred tax asset as it is presently deemed more likely than not that the benefit of the tax asset will not be utilized. The Company continues to evaluate the realizability of its deferred tax assets and its estimate is subject to change.

#### 5. CONTINGENCIES

BGLS has pledged its ownership interest in the Company's common stock and the Company's investments in the New Valley securities as collateral in connection with the issuance of BGLS' 15.75% Senior Secured Notes due 2001 ("BGLS Notes").

On March 2, 1998, BGLS entered into an agreement with AIF II, L.P. and an affiliated investment manager on behalf of a managed account (together, the "Apollo Holders") who hold approximately 41.9% of the BGLS Notes in which the Apollo Holders agreed to defer the payment of interest on the BGLS Notes held by them, commencing with the interest payment that was due July 31, 1997, which they had previously agreed to defer, through the interest payment due July 31, 2000. The deferred interest payments will be payable at final maturity of the BGLS Notes on January 31, 2001 or upon an event of default under the Indenture for the BGLS Notes.